File No.	091159	Committee Item No. 6
		Board Item No.

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Government Audit and Oversight	Date November 12, 2009
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date
Cmte Boa	rd	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space	ce is needed)
•		Date November 6, 2009
Completed I	oy:[	Date

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document can be found in the file and the online version.

[Board response to the 2008-2009 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Pensions: Beyond Our Ability to Pay"]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2008-2009 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Pensions: Beyond Our Ability to Pay," and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seq., the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over which it has some decision making authority; and

WHEREAS, The 2008-2009 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Pensions: Beyond Our Ability to Pay" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 090876, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond to Finding Nos. 2.1.1 and 4.1.1 as well as Recommendation Nos. 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 4.2.2 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 2.1.1 states: "The Jury has not found evidence that SFERS [San Francisco Employees' Retirement System] management has provided the oversight

necessary to identify anomalies in pension payouts and to report the occurrences of pension spiking to the Retirement Board, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 4.1.1 states: "The Controllers report stated that this program would be cost neutral to the City however, in a letter to the Director of Elections dated October 26<sup>th</sup> 2007, SFERS management wrote that: 'While the initiative states that the program shall be cost neutral, no cost analysis is to be conducted until April 15<sup>th</sup> 2011. In other words the cost to administer the program has not been determined and the systems necessary to run it, have not been developed. If the Board of Supervisors determines not to extend the DROP [Deferred Retirement Option Program] based on this cost analysis no further DROP elections will be allowed';" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2.2.1 states: "A task force should be established to evaluate a change to a defined-contribution (DC) plan for all new employees of the City and County of San Francisco. By adopting a DC plan, the Mayor, BOS and SFERS can do more to restore credibility to the public pension plans than any other action they can take;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2.2.2 states: "Pension Spiking should be prohibited altogether as an unfair and costly practice that benefits no one, except for the retiring employee;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2.2.3 states: "An independent investigation of pension fund spiking should be initiated;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 4.2.2 states: "The City and SFERS should determine the actual cost of running the program, to determine if the DROP program is economically viable at this point;" and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior