File No.	091160	Committee Item No. 2
		Board Item No.

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Government Audit and Oversight		Date November 12, 2009
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting		Date
Cmte Boar	·d		
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	,	or Report
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)			
Completed by: Alisa Somera Date		Date_	November 6, 2009
		Date_	·

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document can be found in the file and the online version.

[Board response to the 2008-2009 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "TRUANTS CAN BE 'JOYFUL LEARNERS,' TOO: Unless Racism, Classism and/or Systematic Ineffectiveness Prevent Future Progress"]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2008-2009 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "TRUANTS CAN BE 'JOYFUL LEARNERS,' TOO: Unless Racism, Classism and/or Systematic Ineffectiveness Prevent Future Progress," and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seq., the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and,

WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over which it has some decision making authority; and,

WHEREAS, The 2008-2009 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "TRUANTS CAN BE 'JOYFUL LEARNERS,' TOO: Unless Racism, Classism and/or Systematic Ineffectiveness Prevent Future Progress" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 090920, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and,

WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond to Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 as well as Recommendation Nos. 1, 2, 3(A), 3(B), 3(C), 3(D) and 4 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and,

WHEREAS, Finding No. 1 states: "Excessive truancy causes many problems for the City including, less money for the school district, less literacy and more dropouts- which means more crime, lower wages (and thus, fewer tax revenues), and a host of serious societal problems;" and,

WHEREAS, Finding No. 2 states: "SFUSD employs talented persons whose interests, for whatever reason(s), do not lie in marshaling the district's resources to ensure that every child gets to school;" and,

WHEREAS, Finding No. 3 states: "Collection of reliable data and proper distribution of such data is a prerequisite for understanding the true nature and extent of the truancy crisis. SFUSD is not collecting nor distributing to appropriate SFUSD personnel and outside agencies data from which it can be determined the reasons for truancy, the demographic information, the interventions attempted and the outcomes of such interventions. Using aggregate data does not violate student privacy;" and,

WHEREAS, Finding No. 4 states: "Parents primarily are responsible to get their kids to school. When parents fail to do so, the school district, with assistance of other agencies, has the ability and the responsibility to take corrective action. SFUSD is not using the tools available to it and is not providing in a timely fashion to other agencies the information necessary for them to intervene;" and,

WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "Despite the efforts of the Mayor, the District Attorney and the Superintendent of Schools, neither the Board of Supervisors nor the Board of Education have determined which of them will take the lead in creating and implementing a truancy reduction policy;" and,

WHEREAS, Recommendations No. 1 states: "The Board of Supervisors should resolve the stakes are high enough and the evidence strong enough to warrant greater involvement by the Board of Supervisors in the fight against truancy;" and,

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2 states: "The Board of Supervisors should require performance measurement data on a semester basis from the City-funded positions: Learning Support Professionals and Stay-In-School Coordinator;" and,

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 3 (A through D) states: "The Board of Supervisors should use its considerable influence, including its power of the purse, to encourage SFUSD to:

- A. Create a truancy policy- preferably one that provides there is a zero tolerance for chronic truancy in the elementary grades.
- B. Appoint a person at a managerial level whose sole responsibility it will be to enforce attendance laws and coordinate all efforts for truancy;" and,
- C. Develop and implement a plan to correct truancy earlier in the year. This should include augmenting the computer system (including the Truancy Module or School Loop or whatever comes next) to find out who is truant early in the year, contacting parents earlier in the year, getting feedback from teachers earlier in the year, streamlining the process from SST [Student Success Team] to SARB [Student Attendance Review Board], and making more and earlier referrals to the District Attorney.
- D. Develop, maintain, interpret and share reliable statistics regarding the reasons for truancy, the demographics of the problem, the interventions undertaken by the district and the outcomes of such interventions. Use these data;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendations No. 4 states: "The Board of Supervisors should direct the Joint City and School District Select Committee to ensure Recommendation Numbers 2 and 3 (above) are implemented;" and,

WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 as well as Recommendation Nos. 1, 2, 3(A), 3(B), 3(C), 3(D) and 4 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Finding No. as well as Recommendation No. of the 2008-2009 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "TRUANTS CAN BE 'JOYFUL LEARNERS,' TOO: Unless Racism, Classism and/or Systematic Ineffectiveness Prevent Future Progress"; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it disagrees wholly or partially with Finding No. as well as Recommendation No. for reasons as follows; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.