FI	LE	NO.	011473
----	----	-----	--------

MOTION NO. MOI-118

[Ballot Argument]

File Copy Do Not Remove

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition <u>C</u>, a Charter Amendment regarding vacancy in Elective Office

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisor does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition _____, a Charter amendment repealing Sections 2.102, and 6.107, amending Sections 3.102, 8.100 and 8.101 and adding Section 13.101.5 to shorten the period following a vacancy in an elective officer before there is an election to fill the unexpired term; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday. November 6, 2001.

Supervisor McGoldrick BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by At s of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT X FOR or AGAINST PROPOSITION C FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO						
Style	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES					
Notes						
B, I, or <i>BI</i>	San Francisco, which prides itself in letting the voters decide issues of	11				
	importance to the City, is behind the times and out of step when it comes to	16				
	filling vacancies to local office.	5				
	In California, if a vacancy occurs for the U.S. Senate, the Governor's	12				
	appointee must face the voters within two years. Likewise, if a vacancy occurs					
	for the U.S. Congress or for the California legislature, voters elect the					
	successor within twelve months.					
	In San Francisco, however, appointees to City-wide office and for					
	Supervisor may serve for up to 29 months, and to the Community College and					
	School Boards for up to 48 months before they must face the voters.					
	With the exception of a vacancy for Mayor, which is filled by the Board of					
	Supervisors, all other vacancies are filled by the Mayor.	9				
	From 1996 to 1999, the Mayor appointed six members to the Board of	13				
	Supervisors – a majority of the old Board.	7				
	This tended to limit open debate at the Board on the issues of great	14				
	Importance to San Francisco, and undermine the independence of the Board					
	as a co-equal branch of government.					
	The voters last Fall, stated, loud and clear, that they wanted to see balance	14				
	restored to City government, and to make the Board more accountable to the	13				
	voters.	1				
	Proposition C would do just that.	6				
	In most cases, this Charter Amendment would limit the time to one year that	14				
	appointees would serve without standing for election. The appointees would	10				
	face the voters at elections already scheduled, resulting in no additional cost to	13				
	administer elections.	2				
	Proposition C would restore balance to City Government, put San Francisco in	11				
	step with the rest of the State, and shift power to fill all elective offices back to	17				
	the voters where it rightfully belongs.	6				
	Vote Yes on Proposition C.	5				
	Board of Supervisors					
	[continue text on next page) Total # of Words =	299				
L						

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Ed	ich sheet must include the text of the argument.			
1,				
Printed Name	Signature and Date			
	•			
	S.F. 941			
Title to Appear on Argument	Address			
ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE				
PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAR	MPHLET.			

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page	of	attach additional pages as needed



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Motion

File Number:

011473

Date Passed:

August 20, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition C, a Charter Amendment regarding vacancy in Elective Office.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors - AMENDED

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick,

Newsom, Peskin, Yee Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011473

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

City and County of San Francisco