FILE	NO.	011518
------	-----	--------

File Copy Do Not Remove

[Rebuttal Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition F, a Charter Amendment creating a Municipal Water and Power Agency.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition F, a Charter amendment repealing Section 4.112 and 16.103, and A8.508, amending Section 9.107, 13.101 and A8.500, and adding a new Chapter 8B, regarding a Municipal Water and Power Agency; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said rebuttal argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said rebuttal argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this REBUTTAL BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_FOR or _AGAINST PROPOSITION F FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS

ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style	NT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO. KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words
Notes		in each line
B, I, or BI	,	
	The real opponent of Prop F is PG&E, not Mr. Reid, who is a frequent local candidate.	17
В	Ratepayers have had enough. Prop F will address the failed California deregulation scheme (supported by PG&E in the legislature) and fix a poorly functioning City PUC.	12 14
	In 1999, City voters restructured the Municipal Railway. Michael Burns was also hired to run MUNI. The result: steady improvement in service and fewer complaints.	13 · 12
,	Prop F is modeled on the successful MUNI reform. In 2001, voters can reform the PUC, which delivers water service and sewer service to residents and power to City departments. Prop F is a companion measure to Measure I establishing a San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District. Clearly Mr. Reid has not read Prop F, because it expressly avoids any overlapping or duplication of services with the MUD.	15 14 13 12
	Environmentalists, business owners, property owners, tenants, labor and consumer advocates helped draft Prop F. Mr. Reid worries about labor. The reason public power is cheaper has nothing to do with labor costs: PG&E employees currently earn the same or more than their government counterparts.	9 14 14 7
	The savings from public power – on average 18% less expensive than power from investor-owned utilities – comes from not paying stockholder dividends, executive bonuses or federal and state taxes and from the cheaper cost of municipal, tax-free debt.	13 10 15 1
B B B	Prop F is endorsed by the Sierra Club, SF Democratic Party, SF League of Conservation Voters, Democratic Women's Forum and San Francisco Tenant's Union. Vote Yes on F and I.	14 8 7
-	Board of Supervisors	3
<i>i</i> [

Printed Name	Signature and Date
TITITE THEFT	Digitatoro ana Dato
	S.F. 941
Title to Appear on Argument	Address
	·
Printed Name	- Signature and Date
	S.F. 941
Title to Appear on Argument	Address
Printed Name	Signature and Date
	S.F. 941
Title to Appear on Argument	Address

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page	of	attach addi



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Motion

File Number:

011518

Date Passed:

August 27, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition F, a Charter Amendment creating a Municipal Water and Power Agency.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE

BEARING SAME TITLE

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin,

Sandoval

Noes: 1 - Yee

Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 011518

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young

Clerk of the Board