FILE NO. <u>011519</u>

[Rebuttal Argument]

Amendment of the Whole in Committee. 8/24/01 On Not Remove

MOTION NO. MOI - 132

Motion authorizing proponents rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a Charter Amendment regarding Land Fill in the San Francisco Bay.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a rebuttal to opponent's ballot argument against Proposition D, a Charter amendment adopting a new Section 16.122, to allow the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco the right to vote on any Project of said city and county that would place 100 acres or more of fill in San Francisco Bay; and, be it FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said rebuttal ballot argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

Supervisor Peskin

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this REBUTTAL BALLOT ARGUMENT X FOR OF AGAINST PROPOSITION D FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO YOTE IN SAN ERANCISCO.

ARGUME	NT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.	
Style	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words
Notes		in each line
B , <i>I</i> , or <i>BI</i>		
	PROP D GIVES PEOPLE, NOT POLITICAL APPOINTEES, THE RIGHT TO	10
	DECIDE	1
	Detail College Description Description of the character the states the	14
	Don't be fooled. Proposition D does one thing – it gives you, the voter, the	12
	right to decide on large developments in San Francisco Bay. Proposition D is	6
	not for or against the airport.	· ·
	SF League of Women Voters says YES on D	9
	of Deaglie of Wollest Voters says 1 Do on D	
5	The San Francisco League of Women Voters says, "Because the Bay is such a	13
	crucial part of all our lives and because it is our position that citizens must	15
	have a meaningful participation in land use planning regulation in San	11
	Francisco, we support Proposition D."	4
	,	
	Labor and Business groups say YES on D	8
	Proposition D provides public accountability on large bay fill projects.	10
	m and 1 co 1 c	
	The SF Chamber of Commerce, Local 21—International Federation of Professional &	12
	Technical Engineers, and SPUR have endorsed it.	7
	Community leaders say YES on D	6
	Collinating leaders say (LS on D	٥
	The Bay belongs to all of us. That's why the Coalition for San Francisco	13
	Neighborhoods, State Senator Jackie Speier, Assemblywoman Carole Migden, the San	10
	Francisco Democratic Party, the Harvey Milk LGBT Democratic Club and many,	9
į	many other community organizations endorse it.	6
	• ,	,
	The Sierra Club, Save the Bay and Golden Gate Audubon Society say YES on D.	15
*		
	Opponents talk about "minor fill" of the Bay. In fact, any project that	13
	destroys 100 acres of the Bay would be the largest approved in 30 years.	14
		10
	That's why every leading environmental organization in the Bay Area urges you	12
	to vote YES on Prop D.	6
13	Board of Supervisors	3
	Pour or Substations	J
	[continue text on next page] Total # of Words =	239
	footiering over our next bage) Total # 01 Words	~

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page	of
1 426	U1



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Motion

File Number:

011519

Date Passed:

August 27, 2001

Motion authorizing proponents rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a Charter Amendment regarding Land Fill in the San Francisco Bay.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin,

Sandoval, Yee

Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 011519

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young

Clerk of the Board