Amended of the Whole In Committee, 12/12/01

FILE NO. 012174

MOTION NO. MOI-182

[Ballot Argument]

2 3

4

5

1

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition D. a Charter Amendment regarding Appointments to the Planning Commission and the Board of Appeals.

6

7

8

9

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Election Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition D. a charter amendment to amend Section 4.105, 4.106 and 15.105 to provide that appointments to the Planning Commission and the Board of Appeals shall be shared by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors; and, be it

11 12

10

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be

mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Rules Committee

Tuesday, March 5, 2002.

## SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

## Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT  $\underline{X}$  FOR or  $\underline{AGAINST}$  PROPOSITION  $\underline{D}$  FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON MARCH 5, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

MUST BE	REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.			
Style	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of	words	
Notes		in	each	
B, I, or		line	1	
BI	VOTE YES ON D TO RESTORE CHECKS AND BALANCES TO SAN	11		
2.	FRANCISCO'S PLANNING PROCESS.	2		
		. <del></del>		
	When development is put in the hands of lobbyists, special interests and	12		
	political appointees, our city suffers. Neighborhoods and communities are	9		
3		9	Ī	
	damaged. Small businesses are displaced. Historic treasures are destroyed.	0.00	1	
	Over time, as San Francisco loses its character, our economic lifeblood -	10	1	
	tourism – is threatened.	3		
		^		
	Proposition D restores balance and accountability to local development	9		
	decisions. By democratizing appointments to the Planning Commission and	9		
	Board of Appeals, Proposition D gives citizens, neighborhoods and	9		
	communities more meaningful participation in shaping the future of San	10		
	Francisco.	0		
		A September		
	Proposition D will make the appointment process for members of the	11		
	Planning Commission and the Board of Appeals more open and accountable. It	12		
	will continue to allow the Mayor to have a majority of the appointments to these	15	-	
	bodies, but will share a minority of the seats with the legislative branch of	14	ļ	
	government. All seats will be subject to public confirmation process at the	12	[	
	Board of Supervisors to ensure that appointees are qualified and that	11	Į.	
	neighborhood interests get representation in land use decisions. Once appointed,	10	-	
	commissioners will be protected from political pressure to favor developers by	11	ŀ	
	allowing dismissal of appointees only in cases of misconduct.	9		
T.		-		
	Time and time again, San Franciscans have watched in horror as	11	ŀ	
	lobbyists, developers and campaign contributors are given unchecked power	9		
	over neighbors and citizens. The power to make crucial land use decisions	12		
	should not remain in the hands of the few.	9		
	Storic not fortain in the stands of the fort.		1	
	Please join the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, representing	8		
	over # San Francisco neighborhood organizations, in restoring balance and	9	***************************************	
	accountability to city government.	4	Ì	
	accountacement to city government.	7		
	Supervisor Jake McGoldrick	27	1	
	Supervisor Tom Ammiano	21	į	
	•		j	
	Supervisor Chris Daly			
	Supervisor Matt Gonzalez		*	
	Supervisor Tony Hall			
,	Supervisor Mark Leno			
	Supervisor Sophie Maxwell			
	Supervisor Aaron Peskin		-	
	Supervisor Gerardo Sandoval			
	[continue text on next page] Total # of Words =	287		
Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.				

Use additional sheets if more than four signers	. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.
1Printed Name	Signature and Date
A TITALOG PEGALO	S.F. 941
Title to Appear on Argument	Address
ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE T PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION	HE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PAMPHLET.

The s:

Title to Appear on Argu	iment	Address
		FOLLOWING INFORMATION
LISHED IN THE VOTEI	KINKUKWIATIUN PAN	APHLEI.
true source of fund	s used for the print	ing fee of this argument i
Page of	attach additional pag	es as needed



## City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Motion

File Number:

012174

Date Passed:

December 17, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a Charter Amendment regarding Appointments to the Planning Commission and the Board of Appeals.

December 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin,

Sandoval

Noes: 2 - Newsom, Yee

File No. 012174

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 17, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young

Clerk of the Board