

FILE NO. 150606

MOTION NO. M16-009

1 [Follow-Up Board Response - 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury Report - San Francisco's City
2 Construction Program: It Needs Work]

3 **Motion responding to the Civil Grand Jury's request to provide a status update on the**
4 **Board of Supervisors; responses to Recommendation Nos. 6, 8 and 9 contained in the**
5 **2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "San Francisco's City Construction**
6 **Program: It Needs Work."**

7
8 WHEREAS, The 2014-2015 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury published a report, entitled
9 "San Francisco's City Construction Program: It Needs Work" (Report) on July 16, 2015; and

10 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors' (BOS) Government Audit and Oversight
11 Committee (GAO) conducted a public hearing to hear and respond to the Report on October
12 1, 2015; and,

13 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 385-15 reflecting the
14 GAO responses to the Report on October 6, 2015, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of
15 the Board of Supervisors in File No. 150607; and

16 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 6 states: "The BOS should request the Budget and
17 Legislative Analyst (BLA) or City Services Auditor (CSA) to benchmark the City's design and
18 engineering workforce organizational structure against comparable cities and issue a report";
19 and

20 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on October 6, 2015, responded in Resolution
21 No. 385-15 that Recommendation No. 6 "requires further analysis for reasons as follows: A
22 benchmarking analysis could provide important and helpful insight into best practices for how
23 to improve the organizational structure of the City's design and engineering workforce, and
24 merits further consideration. The Board of Supervisors will confer with their independent
25

1 Budget and Legislative Analyst's office to assess how to best address this recommendation
2 by January 2016"; and

3 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on October 6, 2015, responded in Resolution
4 385-15 that Recommendation No. 8 "requires further analysis for reasons as follows: This
5 recommendation overlaps with recent and existing work of a workgroup of Chapter 6
6 departments. Legislation modernizing Chapter 6 went into effect August 1, 2015, after more
7 than a year of collaboration. The next round of changes, including a shared database to track
8 contractor performance, is being discussed now with a goal of implementation by Summer
9 2016. The Board of Supervisors will confer with their independent Budget and Legislative
10 Analyst's office to assess how to best address this recommendation by January 2016"; and

11 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on October 6, 2015, responded in Resolution
12 385-15 that Recommendation No. 9 "requires further analysis for reasons as follows: This
13 recommendation will be revisited by the Board of Supervisors by January 2016 as part of the
14 ones being considered when further amendments to Chapter 6 of the Administrative Code are
15 brought forward"; and

16 WHEREAS, the GAO conducted an additional hearing on January 14, 2016, to receive
17 an update from City departments on Recommendation Nos. 6, 8 and 9; now, therefore, be it

18 MOVED, That Recommendation No. 6 will not be implemented, for reasons as follows:
19 the Board of Supervisors will request a report back from the City Services Auditor during their
20 next cycle of work planning by the end of the calendar year; and, be it

21 FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. 8 will not be implemented, for reasons
22 as follows: the Board of Supervisors does not have the authority to implement this
23 recommendation, the Board will request a report back from the City Services Auditor during
24 their next cycle of work planning by the end of the calendar year; and, be it

1 FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. 9 will not be implemented, for reasons
2 as follows: the Board of Supervisors does not have the authority to implement this
3 recommendation. Although the Board of Supervisors does not have the authority to implement
4 this recommendation, the Board requests the Departments to report on their construction
5 projects by the end of the calendar year; and, be it

6 FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
7 implementation of accepted recommendation through his/her department heads and through
8 the development of the annual budget.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion: M16-009

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 150606

Date Passed: January 26, 2016

Motion responding to the 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury request to provide a status update on the Board of Supervisors' response to the relevant recommendations issued in the 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "San Francisco's City Construction Program: It Needs Work."

October 01, 2015 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - CONTINUED TO CALL OF THE CHAIR

January 14, 2016 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - PREPARED IN COMMITTEE AS A MOTION

January 14, 2016 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - RECOMMENDED

January 26, 2016 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Peskin, Tang, Wiener and Yee

File No. 150606

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on 1/26/2016 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Angela Calvillo", written over a horizontal line.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board