File No. <u>130043</u>

Committee Item No. _____ **20**

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: ____

Date

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date February 5, 2013

Cmte Board

	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence			
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is needed)			
	Environmental Review Determination, dtd 12/28/12 Moscone Expansion : Management District Plan, dtd 1/29/13 Economic Impact Report			
Completed by:Alisa MillerDateCompleted by:Date				

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document can be found in the file. ЗÇ,

RESOLUTION NO.

[Resolution to Establish - Moscone Expansion Business Improvement District]

Resolution of formation to establish a business-based business improvement district to be known as the "Moscone Expansion District;" levying assessments against defined hotel businesses located in that district for 32 years; providing for the determination, imposition, collection, and enforcement of the assessments; and making environmental findings.

WHEREAS, Pursuant to the Property and Business Improvement District Law of 1994 (California Streets and Highways Code §§36600 *et seq.*, the "1994 Act"), as augmented and modified by Article 15 of the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code (collectively with the 1994 Act, the "Business Assessment Law) the Board of Supervisors ("Board of Supervisors" or "Board) of the City and County of San Francisco ("City") adopted Resolution No. 416-12 on November 20, 2012, which Resolution was signed by the Mayor on November 26, 2012, declaring the City's intention to establish a business-based business improvement district to be known as the "Moscone Expansion District" ("District" or "MED") and to levy a multi-year assessment on defined tourist hotel businesses in the District ("the Assessments"), ordering a ballot election, and setting a time and place for a public meeting and a public hearing ("Resolution of Intention," *see* BOS File No. 120989); and,

WHEREAS, The Resolution of Intention, among other things, approved the Moscone Expansion District Management District Plan (including all Appendices) dated September 25, 2012 as updated November 14, 2012 (collectively, the "Management District Plan" or "Plan"), the form of Notice of Public Meeting and Public Hearing, and the form of Assessment Ballots, all of which are on file with Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120989, and which

Mayor Lee, Supervisor Kim BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

are hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein; and,

WHEREAS, The Moscone Expansion District Management District Plan (including all Appendices) dated September 25, 2012 as updated November 14, 2012 was again updated January 29, 2013 (collectively, the "Management District Plan" or "Plan"), which January 29, 2013 update is on file with Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 130043, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein; and,

WHEREAS, Evidence supporting the Assessments within the proposed District was submitted to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as Appendices to the Management District Plan; and,

WHEREAS, In order to finance all or a portion of certain additions and improvements to the George R. Moscone Convention Center ("Moscone Center") within the District ("Moscone Expansion Project" or "Project"), the City will issue or execute bonds, financing leases (including certificates of participation therein) or similar obligations (collectively, "City Obligations"), and a designated portion of the Assessments will be pledged and applied to repay those City Obligations, which City Obligations are expected to be issued or executed in 2017; and,

WHEREAS, The term of the District will be thirty-two (32) years from and after the date when the Assessments first are imposed on defined tourist hotel businesses ("tourist hotel businesses" or "hotel businesses") within the District pursuant to this Resolution; and,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors caused notice of a public meeting and a public hearing to be issued concerning the proposed formation of the District and the proposed levy of Assessments on tourist hotel businesses located within the District for a period of thirty-two (32) years; and,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors caused the notice of public meeting and public hearing and ballots to be mailed to the record owner(s) of each tourist hotel business

proposed to be assessed within the District, as required by law; and,

WHEREAS, Pursuant to such notice, a public meeting concerning the proposed formation of the District and the proposed levy of Assessments within such District was held by the Board of Supervisors Budget and Finance Committee on January 23, 2013 at 11:00 a.m. in the Board's Legislative Chambers, Room 250, City Hall, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, California; and,

WHEREAS, At the public meeting, the testimony of all interested persons for or against the proposed formation of the District and levy of Assessments was heard and considered, including the extent of the District, the furnishing of specified types of improvements, services and activities within the District, and the management and oversight of the District; and,

WHEREAS, Pursuant to such notice, a public hearing concerning the proposed formation of the District and the proposed levy of Assessments within such District was held before the Board of Supervisors on February 5, 2013, at 3:00 p.m., in the Board's Legislative Chambers, located on the Second Floor of City Hall, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, California; and,

WHEREAS, At the public hearing, the testimony of all interested persons for or against the proposed formation of the District and levy of Assessments was heard and considered, including the extent of the District, the furnishing of specified types of improvements, services and activities within the District, and the management and oversight of the District, and a full, fair and complete hearing was held; and,

WHEREAS, If (1) hotel businesses representing a majority of the total estimated Assessments proposed to be levied do not vote against formation of the District and levy of Assessments (and therefore there is no majority protest pursuant to §36623(b) of the 1994 Act); and, (2) hotel businesses representing at least fifty percent (50%) of the total estimated Assessments proposed to be levied on all hotel businesses in the District cast ballots; and,

(3) at least two-thirds (2/3) of the ballots that were cast (with each ballot weighted based on such hotel business's estimated Assessment proposed to be levied, as provided in the Management District Plan) are in favor of the formation of the District and levy of Assessments, the Board of Supervisors may vote on whether to establish the District and levy the Assessments; and,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors received and considered all objections or protests to the proposed Assessments that were not withdrawn; and,

WHEREAS, When the public hearing was closed, the City's Director of the Department of Elections in conjunction with the City's Treasurer and Tax Collector tabulated the assessment ballots submitted and not withdrawn, in support of or in opposition to the proposed formation of the District and proposed Assessments, and provided the results to the Board of Supervisors through the Clerk of the Board, as follows: (1) hotel businesses representing a majority of the total estimated assessments proposed to be levied did not vote against formation of the District and levy of Assessments (and therefore there is no majority protest pursuant to §36623(b) of the 1994 Act); and, (2) hotel businesses representing at least fifty percent (50%) of the total estimated Assessments proposed to be levied on all hotel businesses in the District cast ballots; and (3) at least two-thirds (2/3) of the ballots that were cast (weighted as set forth above) voted in favor of the formation of the District and levy of Assessments; and,

WHEREAS, upon the adoption of this Resolution, all conditions, things and acts required by law to exist, to happen and to be performed precedent to and as a condition of the formation of the District and the levy of the Assessments will exist, have happened and have been performed in due time, form and manner in accordance with applicable law, and the City shall be authorized pursuant to its Charter, the Business Assessment Law and other applicable law to determine, collect and enforce the Assessments; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors declares as follows:

Section 1. FINDING REGARDING RECITALS. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and determines that the foregoing recitals are true and correct.

Section 2. FINDING OF NO MAJORITY PROTEST. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and determines pursuant to §36623(b) of the 1994 Act, that a majority protest does not exist with respect to the formation of the District and levy of the Assessments. All objections or protests, both written and oral, are hereby duly overruled.

Section 3. FINDING OF BENEFITS. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and determines that: (A) the hotel businesses within the District that will be subject to the Assessments are specially benefitted by the improvements, services and activities to be funded by the Assessments as detailed in the Management District Plan; other businesses within the District are not specially benefitted in such manner; and (C) the Moscone Expansion Project is an "improvement" within the meaning of §36610 of the 1994 Act.

Section 4. FINDING OF TWO-THIRDS APPROVAL BY ELECTORATE. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and determines that (A) hotel businesses representing at least fifty percent (50%) of the total estimated Assessments proposed to be levied on all hotel businesses in the District cast ballots, and (B) at least two-thirds (2/3) of the ballots that were cast (weighted as set forth above) voted in favor of the formation of the District and levy of Assessments. The Board of Supervisors hereby further finds and determines that such election, including the weighted voting set forth herein, satisfies the requirements of Articles XIIIA and XIIIC of the California Constitution to the extent applicable.

Section 5. ESTABLISHMENT OF DISTRICT. Pursuant to the Business Assessment Law, the Board of Supervisors hereby establishes a business improvement district designated as the "Moscone Expansion District." The Assessments will be levied on defined businesses within the District, rather than on property within the District.

Section 6. MANAGEMENT DISTRICT PLAN. The Board of Supervisors hereby ratifies, approves and confirms its prior approval of the Management District Plan.

Section 7. BOUNDARIES AND ZONES; ASSESSED BUSINESSES.

A. Exterior Boundaries. The exterior boundaries of the District, and the zones within the District ("Zones"), are as set forth in the map contained in the Management District Plan. The District includes all hotel businesses generating revenue from tourist rooms that operate in the District during the term of the District, including tourist hotel businesses that first open for business after the adoption of this Resolution and operate during that term.

B. Zones. The District is divided into two Zones -- Zone 1 and Zone 2.

1. Zone 1. Zone 1 includes all tourist hotel businesses with addresses on or east of Van Ness Avenue, on or east of South Van Ness Avenue, and on or north of 16th Street from South Van Ness to the Bay, including all tourist hotel businesses east of Van Ness Avenue as if it continued north to the Bay, and north of 16th Street as if it continued east to the Bay.

2. Zone 2 includes all tourist hotel businesses with addresses west of Van Ness Avenue and South Van Ness Avenue, and all tourist hotel businesses south of 16th Street.

3. Reference is hereby made to the detailed map and the description and list of hotel businesses in the Management District Plan known at the time of adoption of the Plan, as to which hotel businesses currently are in which zone.

Failure to include a hotel business in the list of such businesses that is part of the Management District Plan shall not exempt the business from Assessment under Section 9 or from any other right or duty under this Resolution and the Management District Plan, if the hotel business is located within the District and generates revenue from tourist rooms. If after the passage of this Resolution the City identifies a hotel business that is located within the District and generates revenue from tourist rooms, but is not included in the list of hotel

Mayor Lee, Supervisor Kim BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

businesses that is part of the Management District Plan, the City Tax Collector shall assign such business to Zone 1 or Zone 2, based on the location of the hotel.

Section 8. TERM OF THE DISTRICT. The term of the District will be thirty-two (32) years from and after the Commencement Date. The "Commencement Date" will be the date as of which the Assessments first are imposed on tourist hotel businesses, which will be the later of (1) July 1, 2013, or (2) the first day of the first calendar quarter after a final judgment is entered by a court validating the City Obligations and the related establishment of the District and levy of the Assessments.

Section 9. ASSESSMENTS AND ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY. Assessments will be imposed and collected in each fiscal year to pay for the improvements, services and activities to be provided within the District.

A. The Assessments will be paid by defined tourist hotel businesses within the District based on gross revenue from tourist rooms in those hotels (determined in accordance with the Management District Plan). Zone 1 hotel businesses will pay a higher rate of assessment than Zone 2 hotel businesses because the benefits from the improvements, services and activities to be provided within the District are expected to be greater for Zone 1 hotel businesses, which are nearer and more readily accessible to Moscone Center and its surrounding area via the City's transportation infrastructure.

- 1. Zone 1 Assessment Formula:
 - With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated during the period beginning on the Commencement Date and continuing through December 31, 2013, the Zone 1 assessment rate shall be 0.50% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.

 With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated beginning January 1, 2014, until the scheduled expiration of the term of the District, the Zone 1 assessment rate shall be 1.25% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.
 Zone 2 Assessment Formula:

 With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated during the period beginning on the Commencement Date and continuing through the scheduled expiration of the term of the District, the Zone 2 assessment rate shall be 0.3125% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.

B. The maximum Assessments proposed to be collected for the first fiscal year of the District ending June 30, 2014, is \$19,332,000. The total amount of the Assessments imposed and collected each fiscal year thereafter may increase or decrease according to increases or decreases in the total actual gross revenue from tourist rooms for all hotel businesses within the District in such year. As set forth in the Management District Plan, the total maximum Assessments for each year of the District for years two (2) through thirty-two (32) reflects a potential increase of up to 10% over the previous year. The proposed assessment (that is, the total maximum amount of the Assessments that could be collected during the scheduled term of the District) is \$5,766,814,000.

C. The method and basis of determining, imposing, collecting and enforcing collection of the Assessments shall be as set forth in the Management District Plan.

1. The hotel businesses assessed by the City within the MED will be billed quarterly and/or will complete and submit a quarterly assessment payment form provided by the City. The Assessment payments will be submitted by hotel businesses on a quarterly basis to the City Treasurer and Tax Collector or his or her designee, or as otherwise designated by the Board of Supervisors, based on the gross revenue collected for tourist rooms each quarter.

2. All delinquent payments of Assessments shall be subject to interest and penalties. The City Treasurer and Tax Collector will enforce imposition of interest and penalties and collection of delinquent Assessments pursuant to the Business Assessment Law and Article 6 of the City Business and Tax Regulations Code, as it may be amended from time to time.

3. The Assessments collected will be distributed no less than four (4) times per year to the owners' association (as defined in the 1994 Act) which administers and implements the improvements, services and activities within the District pursuant to a management contract to be entered into between the City and the owners' association.

Section 10. ISSUANCE OF CITY OBLIGATIONS. The Board of Supervisors hereby determines and declares that the City will issue or execute City Obligations in an aggregate principal amount of not to exceed \$507,880,000 to finance a portion of the costs of the Moscone Expansion Project. The Board intends that debt service on the City Obligations, although a payment obligation of the City, will be paid or reimbursed in specified amounts from the Assessments. To that end, the Board of Supervisors hereby further determines and declares that the City will issue and purchase obligations payable from the Assessments in such form and substance as the City shall determine.

Subject to the budgetary and fiscal provisions of the City's Charter, the City will contribute the following amounts towards payment of the City Obligations in accordance with the Management District Plan:

A. \$8.2 million in fiscal year 2019 with an increase of 3% per year through fiscal year 2028 up to a maximum of \$10.7 million, with a continuing contribution of \$10.7 million per fiscal year for the remainder of the term of the District (the "City's Base Contribution").

B. To the extent that the sum in any fiscal year of (1) Assessment revenues allocated to Development Activities in the Management District Plan, plus (2) the City's Base Contribution, is less than debt service payable in such fiscal year on the City Obligations (a

"Shortfall"), such Shortfalls will be paid by the City subject to reimbursement from future Assessments as provided below.

Section 11. APPLICATION OF ASSESSMENT REVENUES.

A. For each fiscal year prior to the issuance of the City Obligations, the City shall collect Assessment revenues from hotel businesses within the District and apply such amounts pursuant to the Management District Plan.

B. Upon issuance of the City Obligations, in each fiscal year the City shall: (1) collect
Assessment revenues from hotel businesses within the District; (2) withhold and apply that
portion of those revenues allocated to Development Activities pursuant to the Management
District Plan necessary to pay the District's portion of debt service for the City Obligations;
(3) utilize any Surplus Revenues (as defined below) to fund the Stabilization Fund and Sinking
Fund and repay the City's contribution toward any Shortfall, and fund future development
expansion, renovation, and capital improvements; (4) and transfer to the SFTIDMC the portion

"Surplus Revenues" means any excess Assessment revenue allocated to Development Activities in the Management District Plan that are not needed to fund the District's portion of the debt service. Surpluses shall be applied as follows, as provided in the Management District Plan:

1. To fund a Stabilization Fund to be held by the City in an amount not to exceed \$15,000,000. The Stabilization Fund may be drawn upon by the City in any fiscal year to cover a Shortfall. Such Stabilization Fund shall be replenished as needed throughout the term of the District.

2. To fund a Sinking Fund to be held by the City in an amount equal to the aggregate of annual debt service on the City Obligations, less the City's Base Contribution, for all fiscal years after the scheduled expiration of the term of the District.

3. To reimburse the City for Shortfalls as provided in Section 10.B., above.

4. To the owners' association which administers and implements the improvements, services and activities within the District to fund future development, expansion, renovation, and capital improvements to the Moscone Center.

5. Any funds remaining in the Stabilization Fund or Sinking Fund no longer needed for debt service, *i.e.*, upon final maturity of the debt instruments, shall be allocated as set forth in the Management District Plan.

As provided in the Management District Plan, the City shall have the sole discretion to apply Surpluses among Funds No. 1 through No. 3, above, in the order it deems in the best interests of the City.

Section 12. As set forth in the Management District Plan, the City will own the Moscone Expansion Project and all other additions and improvements to the Moscone Center financed by City funds and Assessment revenues.

Section 13. USE OF ASSESSMENT REVENUES FOR IMPROVEMENTS, SERVICES AND ACTIVITIES.

A. The following proposed improvements, services and activities within the District are hereby approved:

1. Planning, design, engineering, entitlement, construction, project management and related services for the Moscone Expansion Project, including payment of debt service on the City Obligations.

2. Funding of a Moscone Convention Center Incentive Fund to attract significant meetings, tradeshows and conventions to the City by providing an offset against Moscone Center rental costs.

3. Funding of a Moscone Convention Center Sales & Marketing Fund for increased sales and marketing of convention business, with a focus on generating increased revenues for hotel businesses that pay the Assessments.

4. Funding of capital improvements and renovations to the Moscone Center, including a capital reserve fund to cover future upgrades and capital improvements.

5. Funding the formation, operation and administration of the District, and to establish and maintain a contingency reserve.

6. Funding for development and implementation of future phases of expansion, renovations or capital improvements, if there are funds available in excess of those needed for the Moscone Expansion Project.

B. The above improvements, services and activities to be provided in the District will be funded by the levy of the Assessments. Revenue from the levy of Assessments within the District shall not be used to provide improvements, services or activities outside the District or for any purpose other than the purposes specified in this Resolution and the Resolution of Intention, including the Management District Plan.

Section 14. DISTRICT MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT.

A. San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation.

1. The improvements, services and activities within the District will be administered and implemented by the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation ("SFTIDMC"), a 501c(6) non-profit corporation, pursuant to a management agreement with the City to be approved by the Board of Supervisors ("Management Agreement"). The Business Assessment Law provides that such business owners' associations may administer and implement the improvements, services and activities within the District. 2. The Management Agreement will require that SFTIDMC shall hold Assessment revenues it receives from the City in trust for the City, to be applied to pay costs of the improvements, services and activities within the District authorized by the Management District Plan.

3. The Management Agreement will provide as follows: (a) SFTIDMC shall deliver, at no expense to the City, a balance sheet and the related statement of income and cash flows with respect to the MED for each fiscal year ("financial statements"), all in reasonable detail acceptable to City; and (b) an annual independent audit report by a Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") of all such MED funds; (c) the financial statements and CPA audit may be funded from Assessment revenues as part of the administration costs with respect to the MED; and, (d) at all times the Board of Supervisors shall reserve full rights of accounting of such District funds.

4. The Management Agreement may provide such further management and financial reporting requirements with respect to the MED as the SFTIDMC and the City shall agree.

B. OEWD and DPW Coordination and Oversight.

1. The Office of Economic and Workforce Development ("OEWD") shall be the City agency responsible for overseeing the Management Agreement between the City and the SFTIDMC for the purposes of administering and implementing the MED. OEWD will also be the City Agency responsible for coordinating the entitlement and environmental review process for the Moscone Expansion Project, and will oversee expenditures related to the entitlement and environmental review process.

2. The Department of Public Works ("DPW") has direct fiscal oversight and primary responsibility for overseeing the expenditure of MED funds for construction and support services. In addition, DPW will provide oversight of MED funds spent on development and

renovation activities of the Moscone Center. All Requests for Proposals for Project design and construction that are issued by the SFTIDMC will be reviewed by DPW.

3. The City and SFTIDMC will enter into a separate Memorandum of Understanding that will outline specific roles and responsibilities for City and SFTIDMC regarding management of the Moscone Expansion Project.

Section 15. AMENDMENTS. The tourist hotel businesses in the District established by this Resolution shall be subject to any subsequent amendments to the Business Assessment Law and Article 6 of the City Business and Tax Regulations Code.

Section 16. RECORDATION OF NOTICE AND DIAGRAM. The County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to record a notice and an assessment diagram pursuant to §36627 of the 1994 Act, following adoption of this Resolution.

Section 17. LEVY OF ASSESSMENTS. The adoption of this Resolution and recordation of the notice and assessment diagram pursuant to §36627 of the 1994 Act constitutes the levy of the Assessments in each of the thirty-two (32) years identified in the Management District Plan.

Section 18. ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS. The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this Resolution to establish the Moscone Expansion District and levy multi-year Assessments are in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code §§21000 *et seq.*). Said determination is on file with Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 130043, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein.

Section 19. CONFLICTS. To the extent of any conflict between the Management District Plan or the Resolution of Intention and this Resolution, the provisions of this Resolution shall control.

909

Section 20. RATIFICATION OF PRIOR ACTIONS. All actions authorized and approved by this Resolution but heretofore taken are hereby ratified, approved and confirmed by the Board.

Section 21. GENERAL AUTHORITY. The appropriate officers of the City and their duly authorized deputies, designees and agents are hereby authorized and directed, jointly and severally, in consultation with the City Attorney, to take such actions and to execute and deliver such certificates, agreements, requests or other documents as they may deem necessary or desirable to accomplish the purposes of this Resolution, including but not limited to the determination, imposition, collection and enforcement of the Assessments.

Section 22. PARTIAL INVALIDITY. Any provision of this Resolution found to be prohibited by law shall be ineffective only to the extent of such prohibition, and shall not invalidate the remainder of this Resolution.

Mayor Lee, Supervisor Kim BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Caldeira, Rick

From:	Calvillo, Angela
Sent:	Wednesday, January 30, 2013 11:30 AM
To:	Caldeira, Rick; Young, Victor; Nevin, Peggy
Subject:	FW: Controller's Office, Office of Economic Analysis Report: Moscone Expansion Project,
	January 30, 2013

For the Hearing currently occurring in B&F. Rick, Please make sure the Committee members received. Thanks

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

From: Toy, Debbie

Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2013 11:24

To: Calvillo, Angela; BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; Kawa, Steve; Leung, Sally; Howard, Kate; Falvey, Christine; Elliott, Jason; Campbell, Severin; Newman, Debra; Rose, Harvey; sfdocs@sfpl.info; gmetcalf@spur.org; Matz, Jennifer; Lane, Maura

Cc: Egan, Ted; Liao, Jay; controller@sfgov.org

Subject: Controller's Office, Office of Economic Analysis Report: Moscone Expansion Project, January 30, 2013

The proposed legislation would authorize the City to issue approximately \$500 million in Certificates of Participation COPs) to fund an expansion of the Moscone Convention Center. The expansion project would occur during the 2014-19 period. The COPs would be backed by the Moscone Center, which is owned by the City, and would be repaid through an assessment on San Francisco hotel revenues, and a General Fund contribution.

The Moscone Center is the city's primary means of attracting large conventions to San Francisco. These conventions are a major source of demand for the local tourism industry. The SF Travel Association and Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels (JLLH) have conducted market research that suggests San Francisco is at a competitive disadvantage against other cities with larger, more integrated convention facilities. Local hotels will benefit from greater demand for hotel rooms from more conventions. The City stands to benefit from owning a more valuable asset, and to the extent there is a positive economic and fiscal impact associated with the expansion.

The Office of Economic Analysis estimates that the expansion project can be expected to create an average of 790 jobs during the 2013-2019 construction spending period, peaking in 2017 and 2018 when the bulk of the construction spending is expected to occur. After completion, the city will have up to an additional 1,240 permanent jobs per year on average from spending by new visitors brought to the city by a larger convention center.

Further, the project will also likely create financial benefits for hotels, in the form of higher hotel rates that exceed the assessment they will be charged to fund the expansion. The City will also receive indirect tax benefits, through higher hotel, sales, and business taxes, that should exceed the City's General Fund contribution in the first full year of operation after the expansion and thereafter.

However, the city's fiscal and economic benefits will be limited by the the difficulty in expanding hotel capacity in San Francisco. If hotel capacity could be added more readily, more visitors could be accommodated and the economic and iscal benefits to the city would be greater.

http://co.sfgov.org/webreports/details.aspx?id=1531

Debbie Toy Executive Assistant to Monique Zmuda, Deputy Controller City and County of San Francisco Office of the Controller City Hall, Room 316 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4694 Tel: 415-554-7500 Fax: 415-554-7466 Email: debbie.toy@sfgov.org



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

Ben Rosenfield Controller

Monique Zmuda Deputy Controller

January 30, 2013

The Honorable Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco Room 244, City Hall

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Room 244, City Hall

OARD OF SUPERVISORS

Re: Office of Economic Analysis Impact Report for File Numbers 130015, 130016, and 130043

Dear Madam Clerk and Members of the Board:

The Office of Economic Analysis is pleased to present you with its economic impact report on file numbers 130015, 130016, and 130043, "Moscone Expansion Project." If you have any questions about this report, please contact me at (415) 554-5268.

Best Regards,

Ted Egan Chief Economist

cc Victor Young, Committee Clerk, Budget & Finance Committee





Outline of the Legislation and would be repaid through two sources, over the 2019-2047 period Moscone Convention Center million in Certificates of Participation (COPs) to fund an expansion of the The expansion project would occur during the 2014-19 period. The proposed legislation would authorize the City to issue approximately \$500 a General Fund contribution of between \$8 million and \$10 million per year. for hotels near the Moscone Center, and 0.3125% in the rest of San Francisco.

The COPs would be backed by the Moscone Center, which is owned by the City,

an assessment on the receipts of hotels in San Francisco, equaling 1.25% of revenues

N

against other cities with larger, more integrated convention facilities. tourism industry. to San Francisco. These conventions are a major source of demand for the loca tourism industry in the city. Hotels in the city have formed the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District market research that suggests San Francisco is at a competitive disadvantage The Moscone Center is the city's primary means of attracting large conventions The SF Travel Association and Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels (JLLH) have conducted TID) as a means to assess themselves to invest in facilities that develop the

Background

expansion. to the extent there is a positive economic and fiscal impact associated with the conventions. The City stands to benefit from owning a more valuable asset, and The TID will benefit from greater demand for hotel rooms from more The proposed Moscone expansion will be jointly funded by the TID and the City.



ω

 and potential negative impacts. Positive impacts: Increased construction spending in San Francisco Increases in convention attendees, leading to increased demand for hotel rooms, net increase in visitors, and higher hotel rates. Increased spending associated with net increase in visitors. Potential increase in the value of the City-owned asset and higher fee payments from convention organizers. Potential negative impacts: Financing costs: TID assessment costs on hotels Financing costs: opportunity cost of General Fund support Repayment risk to the City 	 The overall economic impact of the expansion
 Positive impacts: Increased construction spending in San Francisco Increases in convention attendees, leading to increased increase in visitors, and higher hotel rates. Increased spending associated with net increase in visi potential increase in the value of the City-owned asset convention organizers. Potential negative impacts: Financing costs: TID assessment costs on hotels Financing costs: opportunity cost of General Fund supportunity cost of General Fund supportunity rest of General Fund support Repayment risk to the City 	
 Increased construction spending in San Francisco Increases in convention attendees, leading to increase increase in visitors, and higher hotel rates. Increased spending associated with net increase in visi Potential increase in the value of the City-owned asset convention organizers. Potential negative impacts: Financing costs: TID assessment costs on hotels Financing costs: opportunity cost of General Fund supp Repayment risk to the City 	
 Increases in convention attendees, leading to increase increase in visitors, and higher hotel rates. Increase in visitors, and higher hotel rates. Potential increase in the value of the City-owned asset convention organizers. Potential negative impacts: Financing costs: TID assessment costs on hotels Financing costs: opportunity cost of General Fund supportunity cost of General Fund supportunity cost of General Fund support Repayment risk to the City 	
 Increased spending associated with net increase in visi Potential increase in the value of the City-owned asset convention organizers. Potential negative impacts: Financing costs: TID assessment costs on hotels Financing costs: opportunity cost of General Fund supp Repayment risk to the City 	
 Potential increase in the value of the City-owned asset convention organizers. Potential negative impacts: Financing costs: TID assessment costs on hotels Financing costs: opportunity cost of General Fund supp Repayment risk to the City 	
 Potential negative impacts: Financing costs: TID assessment costs on hotels Financing costs: opportunity cost of General Fund supp Repayment risk to the City 	
 Financing costs: TID assessment costs on hotels Financing costs: opportunity cost of General Fund supp Repayment risk to the City 	
 Financing costs: opportunity cost of General Fund supp Repayment risk to the City 	
 Repayment risk to the City 	



Mee chidne Coult offer #2070 as The conduct the TVS:

Construction and Financing Costs Economic Impact Assessment: the City currently spends promoting San Francisco through the SF Trave Association. The City's contribution can thus be seen as a continuation of its capital investment in Moscone. However, the City's General Fund contribution to the financing is equal to what on the City's General Fund and will likely be less than 6% based on past experience. the construction cost. Actual financing costs will depend on market conditions At a conservative assumption of 6% interest, financing costs will equal \$35.5 year period beginning in 2019. It will be funded through the issuance of COPs that will be paid back over a 29-\$500 million, spent between 2014 and 2019 Construction and financing costs: The project is expected to cost approximately million per year for 29 years, and total amount financed will be roughly double Two-thirds of the financing cost will be borne by the hotels, with one-third falling

919

СЛ



920

ດ

and County Of San Francisco Hotel Capacity, Planned Supply, and Net New Visitors Economic Impact Assessments: 87.6%, while PKF Consulting has reported that San Francisco hotels have already attendance If these projects were built and existing hotels in the city all reached their achieved an 83% occupancy for 2012 (through November). Moscone expansion could be 175,000 per year, or 65% of the new convention displacing other, non-convention-attending, hotel guests. two projects planned in the Moscone area, accounting for only about 250 rooms JHHL further notes that the development pipeline for hotels is limited, with just this increase in demand would lead affect hotel revenues, occupancy, and rates industry, 65% of new convention demand could be accommodated without maximum feasible capacity, with no other source of growth in the local hotel JHHL has reasonably assumed a maximum possible hotel occupancy rate of Nevertheless, some impacts are clear given the readily-available data More detailed econometric research would be required to precisely estimate how This suggest the maximum number of net new hotel guests generated by the

Economic Impact Assessment: New Visitor Spending spend a maximum of \$180 million per year (in 2011 \$), in the following spending Based on SF Travel's spending profiles, the up to 175,000 net new visitors will San Francisco estimates do not distinguish between convention attendees and other visitors to categories: The SF Travel Association produces annual estimates of visitor spending. These Entertainment: \$15 million Retail (including exhibitors): \$48 million Restaurants: \$36 million Lodging: \$61 million [ransportation: \$19 million



 ∞

and the second second for the second s			
	•	• •	Π
	n H	なーりヰの	Or
	It also sı minimal.	ne l noje noje	l D
	so s	n ti Mos ect, fr	nic
	l.	or by or	
	ges	Given the limite the Moscone ey project, by a gr Thus, from the to pay for itself	qr
	ts t	e p lf.	ac
	hat	cal ans ater	
	the second se	ion , pec	SS
		ity , wil irce	ess
	ty's	of t l cr nta	me
	re	he eat ge	ent
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	рау	loca e, r tha hc	
	It also suggests that the City's repayment risk associminimal.	Given the limited capacity of the local hotel industry the Moscone expansion will create, hotel rates will lif project, by a greater percentage than the TID assess Thus, from the perspective of the hotel industry, the to pay for itself.	Economic Impact Assessment: Rate Impacts
	nt r	ote Infeind	
	isk	l ing ntes ust	mp
	ass	dus ass ass)ac
	ate	to abs kely ris sment. e expan	an
	iated with the COPs is	Given the limited capacity of the local hotel industry to absorb the demand that the Moscone expansion will create, hotel rates will likely rise, because of the project, by a greater percentage than the TID assessment. Thus, from the perspective of the hotel industry, the expansion project is likely to pay for itself.	and Repayment Risk
	lith	orb e, t	lep
	the	the beca	bay
· ·	C C	e de aus	'n
· · · · · · ·	OPs	e or	ent
· · · ·	is	and f th	R
		tha e kely	isk
	•	1 at	

923

ဖ

AH

Economic Impact Assessment: REMI Model Analysis this report was used to estimate the city-wide economic effects of the impacts discussed in All of these effects create multiplier effects in the local economy which the REMI Specifically, we modeled the impact of: model calculates and totals The Office of Economic Analysis's REMI model of the San Francisco economy should more than offset any negative impact of the assessment on the hotel industry. \$8 - \$10 million annual reduction in local government spending because of the General \$500 million in construction spending spread over the 2014-19 period \$0 impact in higher hotel assessment costs, as hotel rate increases from the project \$180 million in annual visitor spending beginning in 2020 until 2045 Fund support of the project.

County of San Francisco

and

924



Fiscal Impacts attendees in San Francisco hotels can all be expected to increase inflation until 2019, and the accommodation of 175,000 new convention million in new hotel tax revenue, for a total of \$13.0 million. This assumes 3% new business tax revenue, \$1.5 million in new sales tax revenue, and \$10.9 In 2019, when completion is complete, the City stands to receive \$0.6 million in project. Transient Occupancy (Hotel) Tax, Sales Tax, and Business Tax revenue The City will also receive fiscal benefits from the economic growth created by the This estimate does not assume any increase in hotel rates due to the capacity

than this estimate limitations in the hotel industry, so the actual hotel tax revenue could be higher

contribution, and will continue to grow along with inflation into the future The \$13.0 million in indirect tax revenue exceeds the City's General Fund



5

Miles of an examination of the states of the section of the states of the section of the section

Conclusions greater. accommodated and the economic and fiscal benefits to the city would be contribution in the first full year of operation after the expansion. after construction is completed However, the city's fiscal and economic benefits will be limited by the city's Moscone Center higher rates, that exceed their TID assessment 790 jobs during the construction phase, and an average of 1,240 permanent jobs If hotel capacity could be added more readily, more visitors could be inability to expand hotel capacity in line with the expanding capacity of the The project will also likely create financial benefits for hotels, in the form of The proposed Moscone Expansion project is expected to create an average of The indirect tax benefits to the City should exceed the City's General Fund



ω

•	City and County of	San Fran	cisco
· .		Ted Egan, Chief Economist (415) 554-5268 <u>ted.egan@sfgov.org</u>	St
		d E 15)	aff
		gar 55 Jan	C C
° '		1, C (0, S (0, S)	Staff Contacts
		hie 268	
		f Ec	ی ک
i i		D.	· · · · ·
		omi	
· · ·		st	
· · ·			
· ·			
			•
		•	
14			
tead or Tay out			
	· · · ·	•••	•



City and County of San Francisco :: Edwin M. Lee, Mayor Economic and Workforce Development :: Todd Rufo, Director

December 21, 2012

Resolution Number: 416-12

Bill Wycko Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Misison Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Wycko:

On November 20, 2012, the following legislation was adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Resolution No. 416-12 Resolution of Intention to Establish the Moscone Expansion District

The legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review per section 13 titled "Environmental Findings".

The meeting to hear this matter will be held on February 5, 2013.

Sincerely, Alsa K

Lisa Pagan Senior Project Manager CBD/BID Technical Assistance Program

Attachment

cc:

Nannie Turrell, Major Environmental Analysis Brett Bolinger, Major Environmental Analysis

Statuilory Exemption runder CEQA Guidelines, Section 152 73, Rates, Solls, Fares, and Charges Mexice & Surrell December 28, 2012



1 Dr. Carlion B. Goodlett Place, Room 448

San Francisco, CA 94102 | www.oewd.org

p: 415-554-6969 f. 415-554-6018



City and County of San Francisco :: Edwin M. Lee, Mayor Economic and Workforce Development :: Todd Rufo, Director

December 21, 2012

Resolution Number: 416-12

Bill Wycko Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Misison Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Wycko:

On November 20, 2012, the following legislation was adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Resolution No. 416-12 Resolution of Intention to Establish the Moscone Expansion District

The legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review per section 13 titled "Environmental Findings".

The meeting to hear this matter will be held on February 5, 2013.

Sincerely,

11 4

Lisa Pagan Senior Project Manager CBD/BID Technical Assistance Program

Attachment

cc: Nannie Turrell, Major Environmental Analysis Brett Bolinger, Major Environmental Analysis



1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 448

San Francisco, CA 94102 | www.oewd.org

p: 415.554.6969 f. 415.554.6018
Moscone Expansion District Management District Plan

Formed Under California Streets and Highways Code Sections 36600 et seq. "Property and Business Improvement District Law of 1994," Augmented by Article 15 of the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code

Submitted to

The Moscone Expansion District Advisory Committee

The Hotel Business Owners and Operators of the Proposed Moscone Expansion District

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Updated January 29, 2013 RED-LINED VERSION

1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction and Background	Page 3
Executive Summary – Table 1	Page 6
 Purpose of District – Moscone Center Expansion Why expansion? Formation Background 	Page 14
District Boundaries, Map	Page 18
 Improvements and Activities Proposed Annual Operating Budget Issuance of Bonds Formation costs eligible for recovery 	Page 20
Allocation of District Funds Among Budget Categories – Table 2	Page 23
 Assessment and Assessment Methodology Gross Revenue from tourist rooms Assessments levied on basis of estimated benefits to hotels in District 	Page 25
 Exemptions from gross revenue Method of Collection – quarterly, tax collector's office, etc. Number of Years Assessments will be levied Maximum Amount of Annual Assessment Revenue - Table 3 	•
 Timeline for Implementation Formation Process/Schedule Duration Disestablishment 	Page 30
Governance of District	Page 33
Proposed City Financing of Moscone Center Expansion	Page 34
Flow of Funds	Page 34
Surpluses	Page 35
Appendix A – List of Assessed Businesses Appendix B - Smith Travel Research (STR) Monthly Hotel Review, December 2011	Page 37 Page 50
Appendix C - San Francisco Travel Association/Destination Analysts "San Francisco Visitor Industry Economic Impact Estimates 2011"	Page 60
Appendix D - Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis"	Page 76
Appendix E - Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Lodging Market Forecasting Study"	Page 139
Appendix F – Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Impact"	Page 174

Moscone Expansion District

Introduction and Background

In 2008, the San Francisco hotel community and the Board of Supervisors approved the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District (*TID*), which authorized a small assessment on tourist hotel room revenue in order to fund promotion of the City and County of San Francisco (*City*) as a meeting and tourism destination. The TID assessment also raised funds for the renovation of the Moscone Convention Center, and for exploration of its potential expansion.

In the years since, increased sales, marketing and promotion have helped transform San Francisco's hotel room market into one of the healthiest in the country as measured by increases in year-over-year average daily room rates (*ADR*) among the top 25 destinations¹.

In addition, we are proud to report that a public/private partnership, consisting of the TID, industry stakeholders, and City agencies, has successfully completed a \$56 million renovation of the Moscone Convention Center, a major generator of hotel room demand, on time and on budget. The portion of the TID assessment allocated to renovation of the Moscone Convention Center is set to expire at the end of 2013.

The TID has also begun to address the need to expand the Moscone Convention Center. In a city in which convention attendees and exhibitors comprise nearly 30% of overnight hotel guests,² a healthy meetings and tradeshow market is vital to maintaining occupancy and room rates. Because large conventions generally make destination decisions 5 to 15 years in advance, convention room-blocks are the base upon which hotels layer mid- and short-term business, essentially locking in a foundation of business a decade or more in advance.

However, the existing three-building configuration of Moscone Center is effectively filled to capacity; it is occupied an average of 70% of any given year, essentially full when factoring in holidays and move-in/move-out days. Therefore, it is impossible to significantly grow the San Francisco convention market without providing additional meeting and exhibit space. Further, major customers have told us that in addition to needing more space, they need more contiguous space than the existing facilities can offer.

The Moscone Expansion District (*MED* or the *District*) provides the mechanism for this effort. If approved by the hotel community and the Board of Supervisors, this assessment will help fund the design, engineering, planning, entitlements, and

¹Smith Travel Research (STR) Monthly Hotel Review, December 2011 (refers to percent change in Average Daily Rate (ADR), Revenue Per Available Room (RevPAR) and Rooms Revenue between the calendar year 2011 vs. 2010.

² San Francisco Travel Association/Destination Analysts "San Francisco Visitor Industry Economic Impact Estimates 2011" [Page 4, "Percent Group Meeting", 2011]

construction of the proposed expansion of Moscone Convention Center. The improvements contemplated are estimated to cost up to \$500 million.

Project Description

The Moscone Center Expansion Capital project (the *Project*) is managed through a public/private partnership between the City and the hotels participating in MED. The MED will partner with the City in financing the Project, which currently includes reconfiguring the North and South exhibit halls to create up to 550,000 gross square feet (gsf) of contiguous exhibit space (including supporting "pre-function" space), a new 35,000 – 75,000 gsf ballroom, up to 200,000 gsf of meeting space, and up to 100,000 gsf of loading/service space. In addition to adding space to the current convention facilities, the proposed expansion will include improvements to landscaping, urban design, and streetscape within and adjacent to the Moscone Convention Center campus. The MED will finance many of the soft costs related to the Project including, for example, architectural and engineering design, construction management/general contractor, project management, consulting fees, legal fees and debt service. The MED will also finance a portion of the general construction costs, which will also be financed with City funds.

If, over the life of the District, excess funds are raised within the maximum assessment collection allowed in the Management District Plan for the life of the district, but beyond what is required for the Project, including required debt service to pay any bond, financing lease (including certificates of participation) or similar obligations to the City, the board of directors of the "owners association" governing the District may, in consultation with the City, allocate those funds toward financing additional development, expansion, renovation, or capital improvements to the Moscone Center Campus. The City owns the existing Moscone Convention Center, and will also own the expanded Moscone Convention facilities and improvements financed by District and City funds.

The MED will partially fund the repayment of bonded indebtedness, financing lease (including principal and interest on any certificates of participation executed therein), or other similar obligations (the "Bonds"), together with any related professional consulting, architectural and other professional fees and issuance costs required for the construction of the Moscone Expansion. The MED will also provide funding for convention business attraction efforts including (a) a Convention Incentive Fund, to be used to help attract important meetings to San Francisco by offsetting convention center rental, a practice used by many other cities that compete with San Francisco for major convention business, (b) increased, targeted sales and marketing of convention business, (c) a capital reserve fund for future improvements and upgrades to Moscone Center, and (d) funds for costs incurred in the formation and for the administration of the District.

Project Oversight

The Department of Public Works (*DPW*) has direct fiscal oversight on the expenditure of public funds. DPW has the primary responsibility for overseeing the expenditure of funds related to construction and support services. The Office of Economic and Workforce Development (*OEWD*) will oversee expenditures related to pre-development costs, such as environmental review and entitlements.

In addition, DPW will provide oversight of MED funds spent on development and renovation activities within the MED budget, since they are being used for a Cityowned building. All RFPs with respect to design and construction activities issued by the MED for the project will be reviewed by DPW.

The City and the MED will enter into a Memorandum of Understanding that will outline specific roles and responsibilities for the management of the Moscone Expansion Project.

Together, these efforts will help maintain and grow San Francisco's hotel room market well into the future. Without them, the City faces the continued loss of large conventions that have outgrown the current, non-contiguous Center; additional losses of groups that will outgrow it in the coming years; and losses from smaller groups that could book one building in the Center, but cannot currently find space due to lack of capacity.

TABLE 1

Executive Summary of Moscone Expansion District

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

Name of District

Moscone Expansion District ("MED" or the "District")

Purpose of the District

FEATURE

To expand the George S. Moscone Convention Center in San Francisco, California. The existing convention center is increasingly too small and provides insufficient contiguous space for certain convention customers. An expansion of the facility, including an increase in contiguous space, will help attract and retain more and larger conventions to the Moscone Center, providing benefits to hotels within the District by generating additional revenue from increased room nights, rates, and related hotel guest spending.

In furtherance of providing benefits to hotels within the District, assessment funds will also be used for a Convention Incentive Fund, to help attract significant meetings to San Francisco; a Moscone Center Sales and Marketing Fund, to promote the convention center to meeting, convention and event planners; a Capital Improvements and Renovations Fund, to cover future upgrades and improvements of Moscone Center; and for administration of the District, including funds for an operating contingency and for reimbursement of District formation costs. Assessment funds, if available, will also be used to fund additional development, expansion, renovation, and capital improvements to the Moscone Center Campus.

Benefits from the planned expansion will accrue to tourist hotels within the District boundaries. Zone 1 hotels will pay a higher assessment than Zone 2 hotels because the estimated benefits to Zone 1 hotels is expected to be greater. Zone 1 hotels are located within a defined geographic proximity to Moscone Center, and are readily accessible to the Moscone Center and its surrounding area via the City's transportation infrastructure. Proportional benefits will accrue to tourist hotels in Zone 2 via "compression" *i.e.*, studies show that increased convention activity generates higher demand for the limited supply of hotel rooms in Zone 1, which in turns increases demand

EEATURE A

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

for hotel rooms in Zone 2, increasing both occupancy and room rates within Zone 2.

Assessed Businesses and Boundaries of the District The District shall include all tourist hotels operating in the City & County of San Francisco that generate revenue from tourist rooms, and which are located in the following geographic areas:

Zone 1: Tourist hotels with addresses:

- On or east of Van Ness Avenue
- On or east of South Van Ness Avenue, and
- On or north of 16th Street from South Van Ness to the Bay, including all tourist hotels east of Van Ness Avenue as if it continued north to the Bay, and north of 16th Street as if it continued east to the Bay.

Zone 2: Tourist hotels with addresses:

- West of Van Ness Avenue and South Van Ness Avenue, and
- South of 16th Street.

The boundaries of Zones 1 and 2 of the MED are identical to the boundaries of Zones 1 and 2 of the TID.

A map of the District and a list of existing tourist hotels within the District are set forth in the Management District Plan. Because this is a business-based District, tourist hotels that open for business within the District in the future will also be subject to the assessment.

 Planning, design, engineering, entitlement, construction, project management and related services for expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, including related payments for any bond, financing lease (including certificates of participation) or similar obligations of the City.

• Funding of a Moscone Convention Center Incentive Fund, which will be used to attract significant meetings, tradeshows and conventions to San Francisco via offset of rental costs.

Improvements and Activities, including categories of expenditures

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

- Funding of a Moscone Convention Center Sales & Marketing Fund to provide increased funding for sales and marketing of convention business, with a focus on generating increased revenues for hotels that pay the assessment.
- Funding of capital improvements and renovations, including a capital reserve fund to cover future upgrades and improvements to the Moscone Convention Center.
- Allocation of funds to pay for District formation, operation and administration, and to establish and maintain a contingency reserve.
- In consultation with City, funding of expenses for development and implementation of future phases of expansion, renovations or capital improvements if there are funds available in excess of those needed for the Project.

Tourist hotels within the District will pay assessments based on the following formula. During the life of the District, the benefits that will accrue to each assessed business within each zone will correlate directly to the rate of assessments in that zone.

Zone 1:

- With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated during the period beginning with commencement of the assessment through December 31, 2013, the assessment shall be 0.50% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.
- With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated beginning January 1, 2014 until the termination of the District, the assessment in Zone 1 shall be 1.25% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.

Zone 2:

• With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms

Assessments and Assessment Methodology

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

generated during the period beginning with commencement of the assessment until the termination of the District, the assessment shall be 0.3125% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.

Annual revenues generated from assessments will fluctuate over the life of the District based on actual gross revenues from tourist rooms, subject to the maximum assessment set forth in the Management District Plan.

> The assessment formula is designed to levy assessments on the basis of the estimated benefits that will accrue to the tourist hotels within the District.

> "Gross revenues from tourist rooms" is defined in the Management District Plan.

> It is anticipated that the District will enter into an agreement with the San Francisco Tax Collector's Office for collection of the assessment and for certain enforcement functions.

No more than a total maximum of \$5,766,814,000 in assessment funds will be collected during the 32-year term of the MED. The maximum allowable assessment to be levied annually for the duration of the MED is set forth in the Management District Plan. Each year's maximum annual assessment reflects a potential 10% increase over the previous year. It should be noted that these are maximum annual collections *allowed* under this plan; actual annual collections may be significantly less, depending on market conditions.

It is anticipated that in connection with financing of all or a portion of the District's improvements and activities, the City will issue bonds, financing lease (including certificates of participation) or similar obligations, and that District funds will be used in furtherance of repayment of those obligations. It is expected that the Bonds will be issued in 2017 to fund expansion-related activities.

The District will begin imposing assessments on tourist room revenue beginning the later of July 1, 2013, or the

Maximum Collections

FEATURE

Financing Activities

Duration of District

SUMMARY EXPLANATION 1

first day of the calendar quarter after a final judgment is entered by a court validating the issuance of City indebtedness for the Moscone Expansion Project, and related establishment of the District and levy of the assessments (the *Commencement Date*). The term of the district is 32 years after the Commencement Date.

Formation

Formation of the District requires submission to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors of written petitions signed by the owners of tourist hotels in the District that will pay more than 30% of the assessments proposed to be levied. After submission of those petitions, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors may approve a resolution of intention to form the District. If this Resolution of Intention is approved by the Board of Supervisors, the City's Department of Elections will mail out assessment ballots to all tourist hotels that would be subject to assessment in the proposed District. During the special ballot election period tourist hotels within the District will be entitled to vote based on a weighted-voting formula. If tourist hotels representing at least 50% of the total estimated assessments proposed to be levied on all tourist hotels in the District cast ballots, and at least two-thirds of the returned weighted ballots are in favor of the formation of the District and levy of assessments, the Board of Supervisors will vote on whether to establish the District and levy the assessments.

The "Weight" calculated for the petition vote and ballot election is determined by the assessment each tourist hotel will pay into the district compared to the total assessments estimated to be collected in year one. Year one maximum assessment collection estimates are based on 12 months of projected collections at the assessment formula of 1.25% and 0.3125% for tourist hotels located in Zones 1 and 2 respectively, calculated on the assessable gross room revenue from tourist rooms of calendar year 2011 as reported by hotels. The City will tabulate the petition and ballot results and will assign a "weight" to each hotel based on its calendar year 2011 assessable gross room revenue from tourist rooms in relation to its portion of the total MED assessment in year one. If a hotel changed ownership after the hotel's 2011 assessable gross income was reported to the City, the "weight," for purposes of this Plan,

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

shall be calculated based on the 2011 assessable gross income from tourist rooms as reported to the City by the hotel prior to the ownership change. A majority vote of the Board of Supervisors is required to establish the District and levy the assessments.

Management of the District

City Contribution to Costs of Expansion The District will be managed by the non-profit San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation *("SFTIDMC")*, the same organization that manages the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District.

The City & County of San Francisco, subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors, will commit the following towards the repayment of Bonds issued in connection with the \$500 million Project:

- Contribution of \$8.2 million in fiscal year 2019 with an increase of 3% per year through fiscal year 2028 up to cap of \$10.7 million, with a continuing contribution of no less than \$10.7 million per year for the remainder of the term of the District (the City's "Base Contribution").
- In addition, the City will fund shortfalls in any given year for purposes of debt service, which will be repaid from surpluses in MED assessments, as detailed in this plan.
- For purposes of this Project, "shortfall" means a fiscal year's debt service not covered by (a) the MED allocation to debt, plus (b) the City's \$8.2 million \$10.7 million contribution.

City contributions will partially fund the repayment on any bonded indebtedness or financing lease (including principal and interest on any certificates of participation) issued to finance related professional consulting, architectural and other professional fees and issuance costs, or similar obligations issued or incurred in connection with the expansion, together with a portion of the hard construction cost. The project will be built using an alternative project delivery method called Construction Manager/General Contractor (CM/GC). The MED will select

SUMMARY EXPLANATION SALE

the CM/GC, with input from the City, and the MED will fund the cost of the CM/GC. The City will expend construction costs by procuring, pursuant to the City's contracting rules, and paying for the trade contractors. The trade contractors will be overseen by the CM/GC funded by the MED. The City is the owner of the existing Moscone Convention Center, and will also own the expanded Moscone Convention facilities financed by District and City funds.

The City will collect MED revenues from hotels, withhold funds from those revenues allocated to Development Activities in the Plan necessary to pay debt service, fund the Stabilization Fund and Sinking Fund, and fund repayment of the City's contribution toward shortfall in debt service costs from prior years, and transfer to the MED the portion of revenue per the allocation outlined in the Management Plan.

For purposes of this plan, "Surpluses" mean any excess MED revenue allocated to Development Activities in the Plan that are not needed to fund the MED contributions toward debt service, *i.e.*, excluding the City Contribution toward debt service outlined above. Surpluses shall be applied as follows:

- To fund a Stabilization Fund of up to \$15,000,000, to be drawn upon in any year when lower than expected MED collections cause MED's contributions toward debt service to be lower than the sum set forth in cash flow projections with respect to the debt service for the Project; then
- 2. To fund a Sinking Fund in an amount equal to annual debt service beyond expiration of the District term less City Contribution; then
- 3. To the City as repayment for the City's contribution toward shortfall in debt service costs from prior years, *i.e.*, City contributions, if any, in excess of the City's Base Contribution as outlined above; then
- 4. To the MED to fund future development, expansion, renovation, and capital improvements to the Moscone Center Campus.

942

Flow of Funds

Surpluses

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

5. Any funds remaining in the Stabilization Fund or Sinking Fund no longer needed for debt service, i.e., upon final maturity of the debt instruments, shall be distributed to MED or its successor, in consultation with the City and the San Francisco Travel Association or its successor, for use consistent with part 4, above.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, with respect to funds allocated to the above funds 1 through 3, the City shall have the sole discretion to apply Surpluses among those three funds in the order it deems in the best interests of the City.

Name of District

The District shall be known as the Moscone Expansion District ("MED" or the "District").

Purpose of the District

The District will be formed in order to expand the George S. Moscone Convention Center in San Francisco, California to provide funding to attract significant meetings, tradeshows and conventions, and provide for significant future improvements and upgrades.

Why Expand Moscone Convention Center?

Moscone Convention Center is a primary driver of hotel room demand in San Francisco. However, Moscone Center is the smallest among 13 convention centers that are most competitive with it, particularly in terms of saleable exhibit space.³ Among this same set, convention centers in at least two cities, Los Angeles and San Diego, have completed expansion or are in the process of expanding, while at least one, Las Vegas, is putting substantial capital into renovating the public spaces in and around its convention center.

Meeting planners regularly report record attendance when holding events in the City, compounding the need for additional space. San Francisco ranks particularly favorably among international convention attendees due to the large amount of direct air service. In addition, San Francisco's position as a gateway to Asia bodes well for technology and medical meetings in particular, which attract growing numbers of Asian attendees⁴.

However, if Moscone Center is not expanded, San Francisco stands to lose a number of current conventions that will outgrow the existing center, won't win back meetings that have already left due to size constraints, and will lose small meetings that currently cannot be accommodated in one or two of the existing three-building campus due to lack of available dates.

In addition, meeting planners have reported that the current lack of contiguous space is a serious detriment to their ability to book Moscone Center and San Francisco.

In fact, San Francisco has already lost meetings representing \$2,057,000,000 in direct spending as a result of space issues, for meetings with dates between 2010 and 2019. These events instead booked convention centers in Chicago, Las Vegas, San Diego and other cities, taking with them delegate spending, tax revenue and other economic impact.⁵

- ³ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis" [Page 29]
- Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis" [Page 35]
- Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis" [page 23]

Benefits from Moscone Center Expansion

The planned expansion of the Moscone Center will be financed via a partnership between the tourist hotel community and the City. The tourist hotel community will pay its share of expansion-related costs out of District assessments. The City will pay its share of expansion-related costs out of general fund revenues or other funds and sources. The District and City will each pledge revenues to pay principal, interest and related financing costs on payments of any bond, financing lease (including certificates of participation), or other similar obligations of the City that will be issued to facilitate the expansion. Based on this shared-cost scenario, the tourist hotels within the District will derive economic benefits from the portion of the expansion paid for with District assessments. The City will derive economic benefits in return for its financial commitment. The benefits that are unique to the hotels, and the other benefits, are described below.

Benefits to Hotels that Pay the Assessment

Expansion of Moscone Center will generate benefits for tourist hotels within the District that will pay the assessment, which will not accrue to those not charged. Industry studies demonstrate that expansions of convention centers in markets competitive with San Francisco generate growth in hotel "RevPAR" (revenue per available hotel room). Consistent with that finding on a national basis, past expansions of Moscone Center have led to higher real RevPAR growth for San Francisco hotels. Studies indicate that increased convention attendance arising from this new, proposed expansion of Moscone Center, combined with the incentive fund and targeted sales and marketing expenditures designed to maximize lodging performance, will generate increased hotel demand, with a positive impact on RevPAR via higher hotel occupancy rates and average daily room rates.⁶ Assessed businesses, therefore, receive the benefit of higher yields, derived through the practice of maximizing revenue based on predictable demand. Studies also indicate that in addition to increased occupancy and room rates, hotels in the District will also derive increased revenues from their ancillary facilities, such as hotel restaurants, bars, meeting space and spas.⁷ Further, hotel values are likely to be directly enhanced or increase by the completion of the Moscone Convention Center proposed expansions.⁸

Zone 1 hotels will pay a higher assessment than Zone 2 hotels because it is expected that Zone 1 hotels will achieve a greater positive impact on RevPar. Zone 1 hotels are located within a defined geographic proximity to Moscone Center, and are readily accessible to the Moscone Center and its surrounding area via the City's transportation infrastructure. Proportional benefits will accrue to tourist hotels in Zone 2 directly, and via "compression," *i.e.*, when groups using Moscone Center fill tourist hotel rooms in Zone 1 (increasing their occupancy and average daily rate),

⁶ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Lodging Market Forecasting Study" [§5.2]

⁸ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Impact" [§1.3]

⁷ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Lodging Market Forecasting Study" [§5.2]

the data show that other bookings, such as transient commercial, group tour, and leisure visitor business, are pushed into tourist hotels in Zone 2 (increasing occupancy and average daily rate at those hotels). In sum, hotels in Zone 1 are expected to receive approximately three times RevPAR benefit, and four times profit per available room, as compared to hotels in Zone 2.⁹ This differential, which also manifests in a different rate of increase in hotel values between the two zones, provides the basis for structuring two levels of assessment.

Other Economic Benefits

In return for the City's financial contribution to the expansion of Moscone Center, it is expected that increased convention activity will generate increased economic activity in the City. In 2011, activity from meetings, conventions and trade shows accounted for \$1.8 billion in spending in the City¹⁰. Expert projections, based on studies of expansions in competitive markets and on past expansions of Moscone Center, indicate that expansion of Moscone Center will generate additional economic activity in the form of increased spending for local businesses and increased tax revenue for the City.¹¹

A Record of Success: The San Francisco Tourism Improvement District The expansion will be managed by an experienced team that includes the San Francisco hotel community, the City and County of San Francisco, the managers of Moscone Convention Center, and the San Francisco Travel Association, which is responsible for marketing convention center space.

This team collaborated to create the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District in 2008, increasing funding to sell, market, and promote the City as a visitor destination. Funds were also used to renovate the Moscone Convention Center and to explore its expansion in light of competitive pressures.

The renovation, completed in May 2012, was accomplished on time and on budget. Much-needed repairs were made to both Moscone South (opened in 1981) and Moscone North (opened in 1992), neither of which had seen any significant capital improvements. New way-finding signage, energy efficient lighting and HVAC systems, upgraded bathrooms, new paint and carpet, and Center-wide wireless access have vastly modernized the complex.

The issues of size and contiguous space remain serious obstacles, however, and led the SFTID to commission two separate studies, from Economic Research Associates/AECOM in 2010, and Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels (JLLH) in 2012. For these studies, a comprehensive set of data was gathered, including:

Competitive convention center information

⁹ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Lodging Market Forecasting Study" [§1.3]

¹⁰ San Francisco Travel Association/Destination Analysts "San Francisco Visitor Industry Economic Impact Estimates 2011" [*Page 4, "Grand Total: Convention Impact", 2011*]

¹¹ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis" [§6.8]

- Interviews with major Moscone Convention Center users
- Analysis of Lost Business Reports generated by San Francisco Travel
- Trends in the meetings market

The ERA/AECOM study showed that, without additional exhibit space, the number of Moscone Convention Center-based meetings will decline as larger groups move to other cities with more space, and as smaller groups are unable to book space due to lack of availability. The JLLH report is studying various expansion scenarios.

An advisory committee has been formed to provide industry input from the assessed tourist hotels. It includes representatives of the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation (*SFTIDMC*) Board of Directors, representatives appointed by the Hotel Council of San Francisco, and representatives of City government.

In addition to funding Moscone Convention Center expansion, the District will fund a Convention Incentive Fund, which will be used to attract significant meetings, conventions and tradeshows to San Francisco. In the increasingly competitive convention market, many first tier cities (and several second and third tier cities, as well) provide convention center rental offsets in order to attract meetings with significant economic impact. San Francisco has made similar funds available in the past, and will be at a competitive disadvantage without the continuation of these funds. The District will also fund a Moscone Center Sales and Marketing Fund, for the purpose of generating increased revenue for hotels that pay the assessment by promoting the convention center to meeting, convention and event planners, and a Capital Improvements and Renovations Reserve Fund, to cover future upgrades and improvements so that the Moscone Center buildings remain competitive with convention centers in other cities and do not once again fall into disrepair. Funds will also be allocated to build and maintain a contingency reserve, for costs related to formation of the District, and for the administration of the District, such as payment to the City's Treasurer and Tax Collector for the costs of collecting, enforcing, and distributing assessments, and payment for staff and professional services needed to run the District. Lastly, funds may be used to fund future development, expansion, renovation, and capital improvements of the Moscone Center campus,

Assessed Businesses and Boundaries of the District

This will be a business-based district that shall include all tourist hotels operating in the City & County of San Francisco that generate revenue from tourist rooms, and which are located in the following geographic areas:

Zone 1: Tourist hotels with addresses:

- On or east of Van Ness Avenue
- On or east of South Van Ness Avenue, and
- On or north of 16th Street from South Van Ness to the Bay, including all tourist hotels east of Van Ness Avenue as if it continued north to the Bay, and north of 16th Street as if it continued east to the Bay.

Zone 2: Tourist hotels with addresses:

- West of Van Ness Avenue and South Van Ness Avenue, and
- South of 16th Street.

The boundaries of Zones 1 and 2 of the MED are identical to the boundaries of Zones 1 and 2 of the Tourism Improvement District.

Because they will benefit from the improvements and activities funded by the District, and because this is a business-based district, future tourist hotels that open for business within the District will also be subject to the assessment.

Map of the District

Moscone Expansion District Map



Proposed Annual Operating Budget, including Improvements and Activities, and categories of expenditures

(The FY 2013/14 projected budget is set forth below.¹² Annual budgets for subsequent years will be outlined in annual reports prepared by SFTIDMC and submitted to the Board of Supervisors as required by applicable law.)

Improvements and Activities	Percent of Budget Allocated to Types of Activities	Budget
Development Activities	87.5%	\$16,915,500
 Planning, design, engineering, entitlement, project management and related development services for the Project, which it is projected will include reconfiguration of existing non-contiguous space to create up to 550,000 gsf of contiguous exhibit space, and new meeting rooms, ballroom, and loading and service spaces. 		
 Construction costs for of the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center as noted above. 		
• Financing costs related to the Project, including those associated with the payments of any bond, financing lease (including certificates of participation), or other similar obligations of the City.		· · ·
Renovation Activities	1%	\$193,320
• Funding of a capital reserve to pay for future renovations of and improvements to the Moscone Convention Center complex, to include capital improvements, but not including general maintenance or general repairs.		
• Surplus funds in this category at the conclusion of any year may be transferred to other MED categories of expenditures upon a majority vote of the board of directors of the MED owners association.		

¹² The FY 2013/2014 projected annual budget assumes that the District Commencement Date is no later than July 1, 2013, and thus reflects a full twelve months of assessment revenue. The proportionate allocation of District funds among budget categories for the life of the District is set forth in Table 2.

Convention Business Attraction Activities		
• Funding of a Moscone Convention Center Incentive Fund (<i>MCCI Fund</i>), which will be used to attract significant meetings,	9%	\$1,739,880
tradeshows and conventions to San Francisco.		
• Surplus funds in this category at the conclusion of any year may be transferred to other MED categories of expenditures upon a		
majority vote of the board of directors of the MED owners association.		
• Funding of a Moscone Convention Center Sales and Marketing Fund, to be used by San Francisco Travel Association in the sales,	0%	\$0
marketing and promotion of the Convention Center to meeting, convention and event planners and customers. These funds will		
augment current general convention promotional funding, and will be used to generate increased revenue for hotels that pay the	4	
assessment via targeted sales and marketing of the Convention Center to clients who can book some or all of the space.		
• Funds for this category will be allotted beginning in year 5.		
• Surplus funds in this category at the conclusion of any year may be transferred to other MED categories of expenditures upon a		
majority vote of the board of directors of the MED owners association.		
Administration of the MED and Operating Contingency Reserve These funds will be used to cover administrative costs and expenses elated to the operation and administration of the District, including, for	2.5%	\$483,300
hese funds will be used to cover administrative costs and expenses	2.5%	\$483,300
 These funds will be used to cover administrative costs and expenses elated to the operation and administration of the District, including, for example: Payment of the operational and administrative expenses of SFTIDMC in its capacity as owners association of MED Reimbursement of the cost of services and other expenses to the 	2.5%	\$483,300
 These funds will be used to cover administrative costs and expenses elated to the operation and administration of the District, including, for example: Payment of the operational and administrative expenses of SFTIDMC in its capacity as owners association of MED 	2.5%	\$483,300
 Chese funds will be used to cover administrative costs and expenses elated to the operation and administration of the District, including, for example: Payment of the operational and administrative expenses of SFTIDMC in its capacity as owners association of MED Reimbursement of the cost of services and other expenses to the City Treasurer and Tax Collector, the Office of the City Attorney, the Controller's Office, and other City departments for audit, collection, enforcement, and disbursement of the assessment, and 	2.5%	\$483,300

majo	ity vote	of	the	board	of	directors	of	the	MED	owners		
assoc	iation.						. •		5			· · ·
Total		•				·····				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100%	\$19,332,000

Surpluses

Any Surpluses (defined in this Plan as "any excess MED revenue allocated to Development Activities in the Plan that are not needed to fund the MED contributions toward debt service, i.e., excluding the City Contribution toward debt service") shall be applied as outlined in the "Surpluses" section of this Plan.

Formation Costs

In year 1 of the MED, up to \$685,000 to cover costs incurred in forming the District (Formation Costs) may be allocated. Formation Costs eligible for recovery through assessments include actual costs incurred by the MED steering committee, the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District, San Francisco Travel Association, and by the City and County of San Francisco arising out or of or related to the formation process. Such reimbursable Formation Costs include, for example, costs arising out of or related to (a) the costs of preparation of the management district plan and engineer's report or other expert reports required by state law or to be included with the management district plan (b) the costs of circulating and submitting the petition to the Board of Supervisors seeking establishment of the District, (c) the costs of printing, advertising and giving of published, posted or mailed notices, (d) the costs of engineering, consulting, legal or other professional services provided in support of formation of the District, including, for example, project management of the formation process, contract negotiation and drafting, and the provision of legal advice and representation with respect to formation of the District, (e) costs of any ballot proceedings required by law for approval of a new assessment, (f) set up of the MED assessment billing and collection systems by the City and County of San Francisco, including reimbursement of actual costs by the City Treasurer and Tax Collector, and (g) related consultant and attorney fees, consistent with Section 1511(d) of the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code. The basis for determining the amount of Formation Costs payable by the MED assessment shall be actual costs incurred. Legal fees and related costs incurred in connection with the validation of debt issuance and of the related establishment of MED and levy of assessments, including related legal proceedings, shall be paid for by District revenues and shall not be considered "Formation Costs."

TAbuf 2	
	•

Proportionate allocation of District funds among budget categories over the life of the MED

9% $0%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $9%$ $0%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $9%$ $0%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $9%$ $1%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $9%$ $1%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $9%$ $1%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $9%$ $1%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $9%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $9%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $9%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $100%$ $8%$ $1%$	iscal Ex (ear Dev A
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	'14 87.5%
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	15 87.5%
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	'16 87.5%
1% $1%$ $1%$ $1%$ $1%$ $1%$ $1%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $2.5%$	17 87.5%
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	'18 86.5%
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	86.5%
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20 86.5%
1% $1%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $6%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $6%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $1%$ $2.5%$ $2.5%$ $2.5%$	21 86.5%
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	'22
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	723 82.5%
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	'24
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	'25 82.5%
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$,26
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	'27
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	/28
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	/29 82.5%
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	/30
1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5%	/31 82.5%
1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5%	/32 82.5%
1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5%	/33
1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5%	/34
1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5%	/35
1% 6% 2.5% 1% 6% 2.5%	/36 82.5%
1% 6% 2.5%	'37
	2037/38 82.5%

Total		1000	100%	1000%	10005	10006	1000%	100%
Admin/Cont/ Reserve Allocation		2 50%	2.5%	2 50%	2 50%	2 20%	0/ G/2 2 乙の	2.5%
Cap Reserve Fund Allocation		6%	6%	6%	6%	%9	96%	6%
Convention Sales/Mktg Fund Allocation	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Incentive Fund Allocation		8%	%8	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%
Expansion/ Development/ Allocation	-	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%
Fiscal Year		2038/39	2039/40	2040/41	2041/42	2042/43	2043/44	2044/45
Year		26	27	28	29	30	31	32

Assessment and Assessment Methodology

Assessment Method - Gross Revenue from Tourist Rooms

Tourist hotels within the District will pay assessments on the basis of the estimated benefit to those hotels. Further, the assessments imposed will provide benefits to tourist hotels within the District that are not provided to businesses that do not pay the assessment, and will not exceed the reasonable costs of conferring those benefits. Those benefits, which will accrue from the portion of planned expansion of the Moscone Center paid for with the funds raised by the assessments and related MED activities and improvements, include increased RevPAR (revenue per available hotel room) in the hotels within the District, resulting from increases in such hotels' average daily room rates and occupancy rates arising from increased convention activity, and increased sales and marketing activity for the convention center designed to increase revenue to hotels that pay the assessment.

The assessment will be paid by tourist hotels within the District based on gross revenue from tourist rooms in those hotels, based on the following formula. During the life of the District, the benefits that will accrue to each assessed business within each zone will correlate directly to the rate of assessments in that zone.

Zone 1:

- With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated during the period beginning with commencement of the assessment though December 31, 2013, the assessment shall be 0.50% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.
- With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated beginning January 1, 2014, until the termination of the District, the assessment shall be 1.25% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.

Zone 2:

• With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated during the period beginning with commencement of the assessment until the termination of the District, the assessment shall be .3125% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.

For purposes of calculating the MED assessment, "gross revenue from tourist rooms" means: the consideration received for occupancy valued in money, whether received in money or otherwise, including all receipts, cash, credits, and property of any kind or nature, without any deduction therefrom whatsoever. Gross revenue from tourist rooms will include only the following charges, regardless of how such charges are characterized:

- a) Charges for a guest room (including non-refundable deposits) regardless of whether the guest uses the room;
- b) Charges for additional guests to occupy the room;
- c) Charges for guaranteeing the availability of a room (sometimes referred to as guaranteed "no-show" charges), regardless of whether the guest uses the room (excluding event attrition fees and event cancellation fees paid by event organizers)

For purposes of this plan, "tourist room" and "guest room" are used interchangeably.

Exemptions

The following charges and revenues shall be exempt from payment of the assessments:

- a) Charges for guest rooms occupied by permanent residents, defined as: "Any occupant as of a given date who has or shall have occupied, or has or shall have the right of occupancy, of any guest room in a hotel for at least 30 consecutive days next preceding such date;"
- b) Revenue from the lodging of airline crews, *i.e.*, lodging provided to airline cockpit and/or cabin crews pursuant to an agreement between a hotel and an airline, which is in furtherance of or to facilitate such crews' performance of their jobs for the airline, including layovers between flights; or
- c) The City's Transient Occupancy Tax collected on the room rent and remitted to the City;
- d) Revenue from the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District assessment established in 2008, including any renewals or extensions thereof;
- e) Charges for guest rooms located in youth hostels that are owned and operated exclusively by and for non-profit entities;
- f) Charges for guest rooms that are subject to the room rate exemption for the San Francisco Transit Occupancy Tax under Article 7, section 506(c) of the San Francisco Business & Tax Regulations Code, as amended from time to time; and
- g) Charges for guest rooms located in non-profit, purely private social clubs that make guest rooms available only for the use of their members. The term "purely private social clubs" means non-profit, private membership clubs, whose primary purpose is social, which are owned by a limited membership, and which do not advertise or promote the use of their facilities by the

public. Further, entities that allow guest rooms to be occupied by nonmembers, including via reciprocal arrangements with other clubs or organizations or upon referral of a member, shall not constitute "purely private social clubs" as defined herein.

The assessment formula will remain the same throughout the duration of the District. Annual revenues generated from assessments will fluctuate over the life of the District based on actual gross revenues from tourist hotel rooms, subject to the maximum assessment set forth in the Management District Plan. Any annual budget surplus or deficit will be rolled into the following year's MED budget.

Time and Manner of Collecting Assessments

The MED assessment, including the collection and enforcement of any delinquent assessments and imposition of interest and penalties per City and County of San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code Article 6, as it may be amended from time to time, will be collected and enforced by the Treasurer and Tax Collector of the City (the *Treasurer and Tax Collector*). The Treasurer and Tax Collector shall transfer the assessment payments on a quarterly basis to the SFTIDMC, a non-profit corporation that is designated as the Owners Association for the District. The SFTIDMC will manage and administer the MED pursuant to a management contract with the City, as approved by the Board of Supervisors. The management contract will also include provisions identifying and defining procedures for collection and enforcement of the assessment, including, for example, hotel and recordkeeping requirements, audits, assessment of penalties and interest, claims, and refunds.

Number of Years Assessment will be Levied

As indicated elsewhere in this plan, the capital improvements to the Moscone Center will be financed, in part, by either bonds, financing lease (including certificates of participation), or other similar obligations of the City, to be paid by revenues from the MED and the City. The amount of debt service to retire the MED portion of the indebtedness shall not exceed the amount of revenue estimated to be raised from the assessment. For that reason, and because some of the assessment funds are allocated to expenses other than servicing such debt, the assessment will be levied for 32 years beginning with the Commencement Date. For example, if the Commencement Date is July 1, 2013, the assessment will be levied through June 30, 2045.

Total Maximum Amount of Annual Assessment Revenue

No more than a total maximum of \$5,766,814,000 in assessment funds will be collected during the 32-year term of the MED. The maximum allowable assessment to be levied annually for the duration of the MED is set forth below in Table 2. Each year's maximum annual assessment reflects a potential 10% increase over the

previous year. It should be noted that these are maximum annual collections *allowed* under this plan; actual annual collections may be significantly less depending on market conditions.

Financing for Moscone Expansion Improvements

Designated assessment funds will used to pay financing costs, including those associated with the issuance and payment of principal and interest on bonds, financing lease (including certificates of participation), or other similar obligations of the City to pay for the development costs associated with the Moscone Expansion Project, including planning, design, engineering, entitlement, project management and related development services, as well as construction of Moscone Expansion capital improvements.

Year	Fiscal Year	Maximum Collections
1	2013/14	\$19,332,000
2	2014/15	\$29,597,500
3	2015/16	\$32,557,000
4	2016/17	\$35,812,500
5	2017/18	\$40,388,500
6	2018/19	\$45,528,500
7	2019/20	\$50,188,000
8	2020/21	\$55,207,000
9	2021/22	\$60,727,500
10	2022/23	\$67,356,500
11	2023/24	\$74,648,000
12	2024/25	\$82,112,500
13	2025/26	\$90,324,000
14	2026/27	\$99,356,500
15	2027/28	\$109,293,000
16	2028/29	\$120,222,500
17	2029/30	\$132,244,000
18	2030/31	-\$145,468,000
19	2031/32	\$160,015,000
20	2032/33	\$176,017,000
21	2033/34	\$193,619,000
22	2034/35	\$212,981,000
23	2035/36	\$234,279,500
24	2036/37	\$257,707,500
25	2037/38	\$283,478,500
26	2038/39	\$311,826,500
27	2039/40	\$343,009,000
28	2040/41	\$377,310,000
29	2041/42	\$415,041,000
30	2042/43	\$456,545,500
31	2043/44	\$502,200,500
32	2044/45	\$552,420,500
		\$5,766,814,000
•		

TABLE 3 Maximum Amount of Annual Assessment Revenue

Implementation Timeline

Formation

Formation of the District requires submission to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors of written petitions signed by the owners of tourist hotels in the District that will pay more than 30% of the assessments proposed to be levied. After submission of those petitions, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors may approve a Resolution of Intention to form the District. If this Resolution of Intention is approved by the Board of Supervisors, the City's Department of Elections will mail out assessment ballots to all tourist hotels that would be subject to assessment in the proposed District. During the special ballot election period, tourist hotels within the District will be entitled to vote based on a weighted-voting formula. If tourist hotels representing at least 50% of the total estimated assessments proposed to be levied on all tourist hotels in the district cast ballots, and at least two-thirds of the returned weighted ballots are in favor of the formation of the District and levy of assessments, the Board of Supervisors will hold a vote on whether to establish the District and levy the assessments.

The "Weight" calculated for the petition vote and ballot election is determined by the assessment each tourist hotel will pay into the district compared to the total assessments estimated to be collected in year one. Year one maximum assessment collection estimates are based on the 12 months of projected collections at assessment formula of 1.25% and 0.3125% for tourist hotels located in Zones 1 and 2 respectively, calculated on the assessable gross room revenue from tourist rooms of calendar year 2011 as reported by hotels. The City will tabulate the petition and ballot results and will assign a "weight" to each hotel based on its calendar year 2011 assessable gross room revenue from tourist rooms in relation to its portion of the total MED assessment in year one. If a hotel changed ownership after the hotel's 2011 assessable gross income was reported to the City, the "weight." for purposes of this Plan, shall be calculated based on the 2011 assessable gross income from tourist rooms as reported to the City by the hotel prior to the ownership change. A majority vote of the Board of Supervisors is required to establish the District and levy the assessments.

Duration

The District will begin imposing assessments on tourist room revenue beginning the later of July 1, 2013, or the first day of the calendar quarter after a final judgment is entered by a court validating the issuance of City indebtedness for the Moscone Expansion Project, and related establishment of the District and levy of the assessments (the *"Commencement Date"*). The term of the District is 32 years after the Commencement Date.

30 960

Disestablishment

If there is no indebtedness, outstanding and unpaid, incurred to accomplish any of the purposes of the District, the District may be disestablished under any of the following circumstances:

(1) If the Board of Supervisors finds that there has been a misappropriation of funds, malfeasance, or a violation of law in connection with management of the District;

(2) During the operation of the District, there shall be a 30-day period each year in which assessees may request disestablishment of the District. The first such period shall begin one year after the date of establishment of the District and shall continue for 30 days. The next such 30-day period shall begin two years after the date of the establishment of the District. Each successive year of operation of the district shall have such a 30-day period. Upon the written petition of the owners or authorized representatives of businesses in the District who pay 50 percent or more of the assessments levied, the Board of Supervisors shall pass a resolution of intention to disestablish the District. The Board of Supervisors shall notice a hearing on disestablishment; or

(3) A supermajority of eight or more members of the Board of Supervisors may initiate disestablishment proceedings for any reason.

All outstanding indebtedness must be paid prior to disestablishment of the District.

Task	Estimated Date of Completion
Final approval of Management District Plan by MED Advisory Committee	September 2012
Distribute petitions endorsing plan to affected MED hotel business owners/operators	September 2012
Submit minimum 30% weighted petitions endorsing Plan and proposed assessments to the Board of Supervisors (BOS)	October 2012
Introduce Resolution of Intention to Form the MED, with final Management District Plan and supporting documents, to BOS	October 2012
BOS Committee hearings	November 2012
BOS vote on Resolution of Intention at public hearing	November 2012

Formation Schedule

Department of Elections mails ballots, 45 Day Ballot Election Period Initiated	November 2012
BOS Committee hearing/meeting and final public hearing at BOS, on Resolution to Establish District and levy assessments; ballots due and counted; District established and assessments levied.	January - February 2013
Management contract with City executed	June 2013
MED Assessment becomes effective	The later of July 1, 2013, or no more than 30 days after a final judgment of validation
First Quarterly MED Assessment payment transferred to SFTIDMC	Not later than 45 days after the quarterly filing deadline following the effective date, above.
MED services initiated	Not later than 45 days after the quarterly filing deadline following the effective date, above.

Governance of the District

The District will be managed by the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation, a 501c(6) non-profit corporation (SFTIDMC), the same organization that manages the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District. The SFTIDMC has been in operation since 2009 and has established policies and procedures to effectively manage the funds and business affairs of the SFTID. Significant cost savings will be realized by not establishing a new organization.

The SFTIDMC is responsible for the recent renovation of the existing convention center, which was accomplished on time and on budget. The renovation process included input from San Francisco's major convention customers – the Center's users – with oversight by the assessed businesses in the TID. Because Moscone Convention Center is booked to 70% of capacity, the SFTID worked with Center management, City agencies and private contractors to ensure that work did not displace previously booked business while fitting into previously unsold periods.

Under the terms of California's Property and Business Improvement District Law of 1994, as amended, the SFTIDMC is designated as the "owner's association" for the District, meaning that it will enter into a contract with the City, and will have the authority to manage the District and ensure that the improvements and activities described in this plan are carried out. The SFTIDMC has entered into an agreement with the San Francisco Travel Association (*SFTA*) to provide administrative services in support of TID operations. It is anticipated that SFTIDMC will enter into a similar agreement with SFTA for the new District.

The SFTIDMC is governed by a volunteer, 11-member Board of Directors. The majority of seats on the Board are reserved for representatives of the San Francisco hotel industry. Also, a majority of Board members shall be present or former directors of SFTA. Specifically, the structure of the SFTIDMC Board of Directors is as follows:

- Six seats are reserved for appointees representing tourist hotels;
- One seat is reserved for the Chair of San Francisco Travel Association;
- One seat is reserved for a representative of the Moscone Convention Center; and
- Three seats are reserved for at-large members of the tourism business community of San Francisco.

Meetings of the SFTIDMC are open to the public. Notice is posted on <u>www.sftid.com</u> and at the San Francisco Public Library, Main Branch.

Proposed City Financing of Moscone Convention Center Expansion

The City recognizes the significance of the convention industry to the economic health of the City. To that end, and in recognition of the critical component that the Moscone Convention Center plays with respect to sustaining growth in this area, in addition to the proposed establishment of the MED, the City, subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors, will authorize the execution and delivery of City indebtedness, the proceeds of which will be used to pay a portion of the costs for the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, estimated at \$500 million. The City, subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors, will commit to payment of the following sums toward the Project, including debt service, as follows:

- Contribution of \$8.2 million in fiscal year 2019 with an increase of 3% per year through fiscal year 2028 up to cap of \$10.7 million, with a continuing contribution of no less than \$10.7 million per year for the remainder of the term of the District (the City's "*Base Contribution*").
- In addition, the City will fund shortfalls in any given year for purposes of debt service, which will be repaid from surpluses in MED assessments, as detailed in this plan.
- For purposes of this Project, "shortfall" means a fiscal year's debt service not covered by (a) the MED allocation to debt, plus (b) the City's \$8.2 million \$10.7 million contribution.

City contribution will be used for payment on any bonded indebtedness, financing lease (including principal and interest on any certificates of participation executed therein), or other similar obligations of the City issued to finance related professional consulting, architectural and other professional fees and issuance costs, together with a portion of hard construction cost. The project will be built using an alternative project delivery method called Construction Manager/General Contractor (CM/GC). The MED will select the CM/GC, with input from the City, and the MED will fund the cost of the CM/GC. The City will expend construction costs by procuring, pursuant to the City's contracting rules, and paying for trade contractors. The trade contractors will be overseen by the CM/GC funded by the MED. The City is the owner of the existing Moscone Convention Center, and will also own the expanded Moscone Convention facilities financed by District and City funds.

Flow of Funds

The City will collect MED revenues from hotels, withhold funds allocated to Development Activities in the Plan that are necessary to pay debt service, fund the Stabilization Fund and Sinking Fund, and fund repayment of the City's contribution toward any shortfall in debt service costs from prior years, and transfer to the MED the portion of revenue per the allocation outlined in the Management Plan.

Surpluses

For purposes of this plan, "Surpluses" mean any excess MED revenue allocated to Development Activities in the Plan that are not needed to fund the MED contributions toward debt service, *i.e.*, excluding the City Contribution toward debt service outlined above. Surpluses shall be applied as follows:

- To fund a Stabilization Fund of up to \$15,000,000, to be drawn upon in any year when lower than expected MED collections cause MED's contributions toward debt service to be lower than the sum set forth in cash flow projections with respect to the debt service for the Project; then
- 2. To fund a Sinking Fund in an amount equal to annual debt service beyond expiration of the District term less City Contribution; then
- 3. To the City as repayment for the City's contribution toward any shortfall in debt service costs from prior years, *i.e.*, City contributions, if any, in excess of the City's Base Contribution as outlined above; then
- 4. To the MED to fund future development, expansion, renovation, and capital improvements to the Moscone Center Campus.
- 5. Any funds remaining in the Stabilization Fund or Sinking Fund no longer needed for debt service, i.e., upon final maturity of the debt instruments, shall be distributed to MED or its successor in consultation with the City and the San Francisco Travel Association or its successor, for use consistent with part 4, above.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, with respect to funds allocated to the above funds 1 through 3, the City shall have the sole discretion to apply Surpluses among those three funds 1 through 3 in the order it deems in the best interests of the City.

Appendices

- A. List of Assessed Businesses
- B. Smith Travel Research (STR) Monthly Hotel Review, December 2011
- C. San Francisco Travel Association/Destination Analysts "San Francisco Visitor Industry Economic Impact Estimates 2011"
- D. Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis"
- E. Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Lodging Market Forecasting Study"
- F. Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Impact"
Appendix A - List of Assessed Businesses

All tourist hotels operating in the City and County of San Francisco that generate revenue from tourist rooms shall be included in the MED and assessed throughout the term of the MED, as more specifically provided for in this plan. The following is a list of hotels known at the time of adoption of this plan, which generate revenue from tourist rooms. Because this is a business-based District, hotels that generate revenue from tourist rooms that open for business within the District in the future will also be subject to the assessment.

Hotel Name	Address	Zone
1005 LARKIN ST	1005 LARKIN ST	1
1010 POST ST	1010 POST ST	1
1233-1235 MONTGOMERY ST A	1233 MONTGOMERY ST	1
1617 POLK RENTAL	1617 POLK ST	1
217-241 COLUMBUS APTS	237 COLUMBUS AVE	1
30-36 CASTLE ST APT	30 CASTLE ST	1
481 MINNA ST INN	481 MINNA ST	1
5 NIGHT-SVC@THE DONATELLO	501 POST ST	1
556 LARKIN ST	556 LARKIN ST	1
620 JONES STREET	620 JONES ST	1
626 OFARRELL ROOMS	626 OFARRELL ST	1
647 CLAY ST APTS	647 CLAY ST	1
654 GRANT AV RENTALS	654 GRANT AVE	1
656 PACIFIC RENTALS	656 PACIFIC AVE	1
735 WASHINGTON APTS	735 WASHINGTON ST	1
752 PACIFIC AVENUE	752 PACIFIC AVE	1
754 BROADWAY APTS	754 BROADWAY ST	1
809 STOCKTON ST APARTMENT	809 STOCKTON ST	1
815 CLAY ST RENTALS	815 CLAY ST	1
868 CLAY ST BLDG	868 CLAY ST	1
912 JACKSON RENTALS	912 JACKSON ST	. 1
977 FOLSOM HOTEL	977 FOLSOM ST	· 1
AALOHA CONDOS	440 PACIFIC AVE	1
ABBY HOTEL	630 GEARY ST	1
ABIGAIL HOTEL THE	246 MCALLISTER ST	1
ACER HOTEL	280 OFARRELL ST	1
ADANTE HOTEL	610 GEARY ST	1
ADMIRAL HOTEL	608 OFARRELL ST	. 1
ALDRICH HOTEL	439 JONES ST	1
ALEXANDER INN	415 O'FARRELL ST	1
ALEXIS PARK SAN FRANCISCO	825 POLK ST	1
ALKAIN HOTEL	948 MISSION ST	1
AMERICA HOTEL	1075 POST ST	1

AMERICANIA HOTEL	121 7TH ST	1
AMERICAS BEST VALUE INN S	10 HALLAM ST	1
AMERICAS BEST VALUE INN-U	505 OFARRELL ST	1
AMSTERDAM HOSTEL	749 TAYLOR ST	1
ANDREW HOTEL THE	624 POST ST	1
ANSONIA HOTEL	717 SUTTER ST	1
ANSONIA-CAMBRIDGE HOTEL	711 POST ST	1
ARGONAUT HOTEL	495 JEFFERSON ST	1
ARTMAR HOTEL	433 ELLIS ST	1
AUBURN HOTEL	481 MINNA ST	1
BAKER HOTEL	1485 PINE STREET	1
BALBOA HOTEL	120 HYDE ST	1
BALDWIN HOTEL	321 GRANT AVE	1
BASQUE HOTEL	15 ROMOLO PL	1
BAY BRIDGE INN	966 HARRISON ST	1
BAYSIDE INN AT THE WHARF	1201 COLUMBUS AVE	1
BEL-AIR HOTEL	344 JONES ST	1
BERESFORD ARMS HOTEL	701 POST ST	1
BERESFORD HOTEL	635 SUTTER ST	1
BEST INN	116 TAYLOR ST	1
BEST WESTERN CIVIT CENTER	364 9TH STREET	1
BILTMORE HOTEL	735 TAYLOR ST	1
BOSTON HOTEL	140 TURK ST	1
BRISTOL HOTEL	56 MASON ST	1
BUDGET INN	1139 MARKET ST	1
CABLE CAR COURT HOTEL	1499 CALIFORNIA ST	1
CABLE CAR HOTEL	1388 CALIFORNIA ST	1
CADILLAC HOTEL	380 EDDY ST	1
CALIFORNIA HOTEL	910 924 GEARY ST	1
CAMPTON PLACE SF A TAJ HT	340 STOCKTON	1
CARLTON HOTEL	1075 SUTTER ST	1
CARRIAGE INN	140 7TH ST	1
CASA MELISSA	615 UNION ST	1
CASTLE INN	1565 BROADWAY ST	. 1
CASTRO HOTEL INC	705 VALLEJO ST	1
CATHEDRAL HILL HOTEL	1101 VAN NESS AVE	1
CATHIDRAL HILL HOTEL	1101 VAN NESS AV	1
CHANCELLOR HOTEL	433 POWELL ST	1
CHASE HOTEL	1278 MARKET ST	1
CHINESE GENERAL PEACE ASS	48A SPOFFORD ALY	1
CHL INTERNATIONAL ASSOC I	120 ELLIS ST	1
CIVIC CENTER INN	790 ELLIS ST	1
CLUB DONATELLO	501 POST ST	1
CLUB DONATELLO OWNERS ASS	501 POST ST	1

· ·	· ·	
CLUB QUARTERS SAN FRANCISCO	424 CLAY ST	1
COLUMBUS HOTEL	354 COLUMBUS AVE	1
COLUMBUS MOTOR INN	1075 COLUMBUS AVE	1
CORNELL HOTEL	715 BUSH ST	1
COURTYARD BY MARRIOTT AT	580 BEACH ST	1
COVA HOTEL	655 ELLIS ST	1
CRESCENT SAN FRANCISCO	417 STOCKTON ST	1
CW HOTEL	917 FOLSOM ST	1
DA VINCI VILLA	2550 VAN NESS AVE	1
DAKOTA HOTEL	606 POST ST	1
DANIEL K YOST	52 SONOMA ST	1
DESMOND HOTEL	42 6TH ST	1
DONNELLY HOTEL	1272 MARKET ST	1
DRAKE HOTEL	235 EDDY ST	1
EARLE HOTEL THE	284 GOLDEN GATE AVE	1
EDDY HOTEL	640 EDDY ST	1
EDGEWORTH HOTEL LLC	770 OFARRELL ST	1
EL DORADO	1385 MISSION ST 200	1
EMBASSY U M A	610 POLK ST	1
EMPEROR NORTON	615 POST ST	1
ENCORE EXPRESS A NOB HILL	1353 BUSH ST	1
ENTELLA HOTEL	905 COLUMBUS AVE	1
EUROPA HOTEL	310 COLUMBUS AVE	1
EUROPEAN HOSTEL	761 MINNA ST	1
EXECUSTAY CORP	0000 VARIOUS LOCATIONS	. 1
EXECUTIVE HOTEL MARK TWAI	345 TAYLOR ST	1
EXECUTIVE HOTEL VINTAGE	650 BUSH ST	1
FAIRMONT HERITAGE PLACE,	900 NORTH POINT STREET	1
FAIRMONT HOTEL	950 MASON ST	1
FITZGERALD HOTEL	620 POST ST	1
FLORENCE HOTEL	1351 STOCKTON ST	1
FOUR SEASONS HOTEL SF	757 MARKET ST	1
FRANCISCAN HOTEL	205 09TH ST	1
FREDERIC WALDMAN	1139 GREEN ST	. 1
FX STUDIOS	15A SUMNER STREET	1
GALLERIA PARK HOTEL	191 SUTTER ST	1
GATEWAY INN	438 O'FARRELL ST	1
GINA HOTEL	221 07TH ST	1
GINKGO HOTEL	3032 16TH ST	1
GLENN REYNOLDS	9 SUMNER ST	1
GLOBAL VILLAGE HOSTEL	374 5TH ST	1
GLOBETROTTERS INN	225 ELLIS ST	1
GOLDEN EAGLE	402 BROADWAY ST	1
GOLDEN GATE HALL	1412 MARKET ST	1

GOLDEN GATE HOTEL	775 BUSH ST	1
GRAND HYATT SAN FRANCISCO	345 STOCKTON ST	1
GRANT HOTEL INC	753 BUSH ST	1
GRANT PLAZA HOTEL	465 GRANT AVE	1
GREEN TORTOISE GUEST HOUS	1118 KEARNY ST	1
GROSVENOR HOUSE	899 PINE ST	1
HALCYON HOTEL LLC	649 JONES ST	1
HANDLERY HOTELS	260 OFARRELL ST	1
HARBOR COURT HOTEL	165 STEUART ST	1
HARCOURT HOTEL	1105 LARKIN ST	1
HAVELI HOTEL	37 6TH ST	1
HELEN HOTEL	166 TURK ST	1
HENRY HOTEL	106 6TH ST	1
HERBERT HOTEL	161 POWELL ST	1
HERITAGE MARINA HOTEL	2550 VAN NESS AVE	1
HILTON S F FINANCIAL DIST	750 KEARNY ST	1
HILTON S.F. FISHERMAN'S W	2620 JONES ST	1
HILTON SAN FRANCISCO	333 O'FARRELL ST	1
HOLIDAY INN EXPRESS HOTEL	550 NORTH POINT ST	1
HOLIDAY INN FISHERMAN'S W	1300 COLUMBUS AVE	1
HOLIDAY INN GOLDEN GATEWA	1500 VAN NESS AVE	1
HOLIDAY INN-CIVIC CENTER	50 8TH ST	1
HOTEL ABRI	127 ELLIS ST	. 1
HOTEL ADAGIO	550 GEARY ST	1
HOTEL AMERICA	1087 MARKET ST	1
HOTEL ASTORIA	510 BUSH ST	1
HOTEL BUOU	111 MASON ST	1
HOTEL BOHEME	444 COLUMBUS AVE	1
HOTEL DALWONG	242 POWELL ST	1
HOTEL DES ARTS	447 BUSH ST	1
HOTEL DIVA	440 GEARY ST	1
HOTEL FRANK	386 GEARY ST	1
HOTEL FUSION	140 ELLIS ST	1
HOTEL GRIFFON	155 STEUART ST	1
HOTEL METROPOLIS	25 MASON ST	1
HOTEL MILANO	55 5TH ST	1
HOTEL MONACO	501 GEARY ST	1
HOTEL NIKKO SF	222 MASON ST	1
HOTEL PALOMAR	12 4TH ST	
HOTEL PHILLIP	205 9TH ST	1
HOTEL REX	562 SUTTER ST	1
HOTEL SUTTER LARKIN	1048 LARKIN ST	
HOTEL TRITON	342 GRANT AVE	1
HOTEL UNION SQUARE	114 POWELL ST	1

9⁴⁰ 970

HOTEL VERTIGO	940 SUTTER ST	1
HOTEL VITALE	8 MISSION ST	1
HOTEL WHITCOMB	1231 MARKET ST	1
HUNTER HOTEL	102 6TH ST	1
HUNTINGTON HOTEL	1075 CALIFORNIA ST	1
HYATT AT FISHERMAN'S WHAR	555 NORTH POINT ST	1
HYATT REGENCY SAN FRANCIS	5 EMBARCADERO CENTER	1
HYDE REGENCY HOTEL	1531 HYDE ST	1
IL TRIANGOLO HOTEL	524 COLUMBUS AVE	1
INN AT OREILLYS	106 FERN ST	, 1
INN AT UNION SQUARE THE	440 POST ST	1
INN ON BROADWAY	2201 VAN NESS AVE	1
INTER CONTINENTAL SAN FRA	888 HOWARD ST	1
JONES HOTEL	515 JONES ST	1
JW MARRIOTT SF UNION SQ	500 POST ST	1
KEAN HOTEL	1018 MISSION ST	1
KENSINGTON PARK HOTEL	450 POST ST	· 1
KIM OY LEE	801 PACIFIC AVE	1
KING GEORGE HOTEL	334 MASON ST	1
KINIGHTS INN - DOWNTOWN	240 7TH ST	1
KRUPA HOTEL	700 JONES ST	1
LANDMARK REALTY	550 15 [™] ST	1
LARKSPUR HOTEL UNION SQUA	524 SUTTER ST	1
LAYNE HOTEL	545 JONES ST	1
LE MERIDIEN SAN FRANCISCO	333 BATTERY ST	1
LIGURIA HOTEL	371 COLUMBUS AVE	1
LORRAINE HOTEL	740 BROADWAY ST	1
LUM WAI KUI & LAN WAI	673 BROADWAY ST	1
LUZ HOTEL	725 GEARY ST	1
MANDARIN ORIENTAL SF	222 SANSOME ST	1
MANNING PROPERIES	1037 1039 BROADWAY ST	1
MARILYN INN	27 DASHIELL HAMMETT ST	1
MARINE MEMORIAL ASSN	609 SUTTER ST	1
MARK HOPKINS HOTEL	999 CALIFORNIA ST	1
MART MOTEL	101 9TH ST	1
MAYFLOWER HOTEL	975 BUSH ST) 1
MCSWEENEY CONSTRUCTION	1155 LEAVENWORTH ST #11	1
MERIT HOTEL	1105 POST ST	1
MIDORI HOTEL	1325 MISSION ST	· 1
MITHILA HOTEL	972 SUTTER ST	1
MOTEL 6	895 GEARY ST	1
MUSIC CITY HOTEL	1353 BUSH ST	1
NAZARETH HOTEL	556 JONES ST	. 1
NEW CENTURY MANAGEMENT LL	1580 WASHINGTON STREET, SF	1

NOB HILL HOTEL	835 HYDE ST	1
NOB HILL INN	1000 PINE ST	1
NOB HILL INN CITY PLAN ET	1000 PINE ST	1
NOB HILL MOTOR INN	1630 PACIFIC AVE	1
NORMANDIE HOTEL	251 9TH ST	1
NORTH BEACH HOTEL	935 KEARNY ST	1
OAKTREE HOTEL	45 6TH ST	1
OAKWOOD HOTEL	44 5TH ST	1
OBRERO HOTEL	1208 STOCKTON ST	1
OMNI SAN FRANCISCO HOTEL	500 CALIFORNIA ST	1
ORANGE VILLAGE HOTEL	411 OFARRELL ST	1
ORCHARD GARDEN HOTEL	466 BUSH ST	1
ORCHARD HOTEL	665 BUSH ST	1
ORLANDO HOTEL	995 HOWARD ST	1
PACIFIC TRADEWINDS HOSTEL	680 SACRAMENTO ST	· 1
PAGE HOTEL	161 LEAVENWORTH ST	1
PALACE HOTEL	2 NEW MONTGOMERY ST	1
PALO ALTO HOTEL	1685 SACRAMENTO	1
PARC 55 HOTEL	55 CYRIL MAGNIN	1
PARK HOTEL LLC	325 SUTTER ST	1
PETITE AUBERGE	863 BUSH ST	1
PHOENIX INN	601 EDDY ST	1
PICKWICK HOTEL	85 5TH ST	1
PIEDMONT HOTEL	1449 POWELL ST	1
PONTIAC HOTEL	138 6TH ST	1
POST HOTEL	589 POST ST	1
POTTER HOTEL	1288 MISSION ST	1
POWELL HOTEL	28 CYRIL MAGNIN ST	1
POWELL PLACE CITY/SHARE	730 POWELL ST	1
PRESCOTT HOTEL	545 POST ST	1
QUALITY INN SAN FRANCISCO	2775 VAN NESS AVE	1
RADISSON AT FISHERMAN'S W	250 BEACH	1
RAM'S HOTEL	80 9TH ST 27	1
RAPHAEL HOUSE	1065 SUTTER ST	1
RED COACH MOTOR LODGE	700 EDDY ST	1
REGENCY HOTEL	1214 POLK ST 201 MG	1
REININGA CORPORATION	900 N POINT ST	1
RENOIR HOTEL	45 MCALLISTER ST	1
REST STOP	1137 GREEN ST	1
RHC/POWELL PLACE AT NOB H	730 POWELL PLACE ST	1
RITZ CARLTON SAN FRANCISC	600 STOCKTON ST	1
RIVIERA HOTEL	420 JONES ST	1
ROYAL INN	130 EDDY ST	. 1
ROYAL PACIFIC MOTEL	661 BROADWAY	1

SAM WONG HOTEL	615 BROADWAY ST		1
SAN FRAN. SECOND HOME	1831 LARKIN ST	4	1
SAN FRANCISCO MARRIOTT	55 4TH ST		1
SAN FRANCISCO MARRIOTT UN	480 SUTTER ST		1
SAN FRANCISCO SUITES	710 POWELL ST	•	1
SAN REMO HOTEL THE	2237 MASON ST		1
SERRANO HOTEL	405 TAYLOR ST		1
SESTRI HOTEL	1411 STOCKTON ST		1
SF DOWNTOWN COURTYARD MAR	299 2ND ST	•	1
SF MARRIOT FISHERMAN'S WH	1250 COLUMBUS AVE		1
SF PROP OWNERS ASSOC INC	750 SUTTER ST	· · · ·	1
SHAHIL HOTEL	664 LARKIN ST	· · · · · · · ·	1
SHARON HOTEL	226 6TH ST		1
SHEEHAN HOTEL	620 SUTTER ST		1
SHELDON HOTEL	629 POST ST		. 1
SHERATON FISHERMANS WHARF	2500 MASON ST		1
SHIRLEY HOTEL	1544 POLK ST		1
SIR FRANCIS DRAKE HOTEL	450 POWELL ST		1
SOLANKI VIRENDRASINH	41 6TH ST	· · ·	1
SONNY HOTEL	579 OFARRELL ST		1
SONOMA INN	1485 BUSH ST		1
SOUTH BEACH MARINA APTS	2 TOWNSEND ST		1
SPAULDING HOTEL LLC	240 OFARRELL ST		1
ST CLARE HOTEL	1334 VAN NESS AVE	· .	1
ST CLOUD HOTEL	170 6TH ST		1
ST MORITZ HOTEL	190 OFARRELL ST		1
ST REGIS HOTEL SF	657 MISSION ST	200	1
STANFORD HOTEL	250 KEARNY ST		1
STANLEY HOTEL	1544 CALIFORNIA ST		1
STEINHART HOTEL	952 SUTTER ST	· .	1
STRATFORD HOTEL	242 POWELL ST		1
SUITES AT FISHERMANS WHAR	2655 HYDE ST		1
SUNNYSIDE HOTEL	135 6TH ST		1
SUNSET HOTEL	161 SIXTH ST	#100	1
SUTTER/LARKIN HOTEL	1048 LARKIN ST		1
SVC@FISHERMAN'S WHARF	2655 HYDE ST		1
SVC@THE DONATELLO	501 POST ST		1
SWEDEN HOUSE HOTEL	570 O'FARRELL ST		1
SWEDEN HOUSE HOTEL	570 O'FARRELL ST		1
SWEETWATER AT SAN FRANCIS	845 PINE ST		1
SYCAMORE HOTEL	2446 VAN NESS AVE		1
SYNERGY CORPORATE HOUSING	12657 ALCOSTA BLVD	550	1
TAYLOR HOTEL	615 TAYLOR ST	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
THE ALLEN HOTEL LLC	411 EDDY ST		1

THE CLIFT HOTEL	495 GEARY ST	1
THE DONATELLO HOTEL	501 POST ST	. 1
THE FAIRMONT S F - RENTAL	950 MASON ST	1
THE GAYLORD SUITES	620 JONES ST	1
THE GOOD HOTEL	112 7TH ST	1
THE HOTEL ADAGIO	550 GEARY ST	1
THE HOTEL CALIFORNIA	580 GEARY ST	1
THE HOTEL MARIA	517 BROADWAY	1
THE MAXWELL HOTEL-RENTAL	386 GEARY ST	1
THE MONARCH HOTEL	1015 GEARY ST	1
THE MOSSER HOTEL	54 4TH ST	1
THE OPAL SAN FRANCISCO	1050 VAN NESS AVE	1
THE REGENCY HOTEL	587 EDDY ST	1
THE RITZ-CARLTON CLUB	690 MARKET ST	1
THE STANFORD CT A REN HOT	905 CALIFORNIA ST	1
THE SUITES AT FISHERMAN'S	2655 HYDE ST	1
THE TOUCHSTONE HOTEL	480 GEARY ST	1
THE VILLA FLORENCE	225 POWELL ST	1
THE WESTIN SF MARKET ST	50 3RD ST	1
TUSCAN INN	425 NORTH POINT ST	1
UNION SQ BACKPACKERS HOST	70 DERBY ST	1
UNION SQUARE PLAZA HOTEL	432 GEARY ST	1
UNIVERSITY CLUB	800 POWELL ST	1
UTAH HOTEL	504 4TH ST	1
VAGABOND INN	385 9TH ST	1
VAN NESS MOTEL	2850 VAN NESS AVE	1
VANTAGGIO SUITES	835 TURK STREET	1
VANTAGGIO SUITES COSMO	761 POST ST	1
VANTASSIO SUITES UNION SQ	580 O'FARRELL ST	1
VILLA SOMA	1550-54 HOWARD ST	
VRI*ETY NOB HILL INN	1000 PINE ST	1
VVV RENTAL LLC	333 FULTON ST	1
W HOTEL SAN FRANCISCO	181 THIRD ST	1
WALAND SUREKHAVEN C.	152 6TH ST	1
WARFIELD HOTEL	118 TAYLOR ST	1
WARWICK REGIS HOTEL	490 GEARY ST	1
WASHINGTON SQUARE INN	1660 STOCKTON ST	1
WATERFRONT MANAGEMENT LLC	884-886 NORTH POINT ST	1
WESTIN ST FRANCIS THE	335 POWELL ST	1
WESTON HOTEL	335 LEAVENWORTH ST	1
WHARF MOTEL THE	2601 MASON ST	1
WHITE SWAN INN	845 BUSH ST	
WILLIAM PEN HOTEL	160 EDDY ST	1
WINSOR HOTEL	20 6TH ST	

	• •	
WINTON HOTEL	445 OFARRELL ST	1
WORLDMARK SAN FRANCISCO	590 BUSH ST	1
WORLDMARK THE CLUB	590 BUSH ST	1
WVR SAN FRANCISCO	750 SUTTER ST	1
WYNDHAM VACATION RESORTS	750 SUTTER ST	1
WYNDHAM VACATION RESORTS	750 SUTTER ST	1
YOUTH HOSTEL CENTREAL	116 TURK ST	1
YUG HOTEL	2072 MISSION ST	1
1007 DE HARO RENTALS	1007 DE HARO ST	2
109 CORNWALL ST	109 CORNWALL ST	2
1257 9TH AVE APARTMENTS	1257 9TH AVE	2
182-184 CARL STREET	182 CARL ST	2
210 5TH AVE APTS	210 5TH AVE	2
2263-2269 SACRAMENTO HOTE	2263 SACRAMENTO ST	2
24 HENRY ST	24 HENRY ST	2
3143 FILLMORE ST APT	3143 FILLMORE ST	2
3987 19TH ST	3987 19TH ST	2
4425 CABRILLO ST	4425 CABRILLO ST	2
5 NIGHT-SVC@INN AT THE OP	333 FULTON ST	2
7710-7718 APT BUILDING	7710 7718 GEARY BLVD	2
ADELAIDE HOSTEL LLC	5 ISADORA DUNCAN LANE	2
ALBION HOTEL	3143 16TH ST	2
AMAZON MOTEL	5060 MISSION ST	2
AMERICAS BEST VLE-GOLDEN	2322 LOMBARD ST	2
AMIT HOTEL	2060 MISSION ST	2
AMY ARCHER	863 45TH AVE	2
ANGELS OF ARMS IND LIVING	1150 PALOU ST G	2
ARCHIBISHOPS MANSION	1000 FULTON	2
ASCOT HOTEL	1657 MARKET ST	2
AT THE PRESIDIO TRAVELODG	2755 LOMBARD ST	2
BABY BEAR'S HOUSE	1424 PAGE ST	2
BARNETT LATRICE	785 SAN JOSE AVE	2
BEACH MOTEL	4211 JUDAH ST	2
BECK'S MOTOR LODGE	2222 MARKET ST	2
BELVEDERE HOUSE	598 BELVEDERE ST	2
BEST INN	2707 LOMBARD ST	2
BEST WESTERN HOTEL TOMO	1800 SUTTER ST	2
BETH MAZIE & JEREL GLASSM	3773 22ND ST	2
BHART HOTEL	866 VALENCIA ST	2
BOOLA'S BED AND BREADKAST	1150 HAIGHT ST	2
		2
		2
		2
		2
BRIDGE MOTEL BROWNSTONE PROPERTIES BRUCE BOARD & CARE HOME BUENA VISTA MOTOR INN	2524 LOMBARD ST 917 CENTRAL AVE 12 BYRON CT 1599 LOMBARD ST	2

CARL HOTEL	198 CARL ST	2
CASA BUENA VISTA RENTAL	783 BUENA VISTA W	2
CASA LOMA HOTEL	610 FILLMORE ST	2
CASTILLO INN	48 HENRY ST	2
CATTLEMEN HOTEL	3900 3RD ST	2
CHATEAU TIVOLI	1057 STEINER ST	2
CHATEAU VACATION RENTALS	570 OAK PARK DR	. 2
CHELSEA MOTOR INN	2095 LOMBARD ST	2
CHIPPENDALE HOTEL	492 GROVE ST	2
CIVIC CENTRAL HOTEL	20 12TH ST	2
COVENTRY MOTOR INN	1901 LOMBARD ST	2
COW HOLLOW MOTOR INN	2190 LOMBARD ST	2
CROWN HOTEL LLC	528 VALENCIA ST	2
CRYSTAL HOTEL	2766 MISSION ST	2
CURTIS HOTEL	559 VALENCIA ST	2
DAYS INN	465 GROVE ST	2
DAYS INN LOMBARD	2358 LOMBARD ST	2
DAYS INN-SLOAT BLVD	2600 SLOAT BLVD	2
DELBEX HOTEL	2126 MISSION ST	2
DOLORES PLACE	3842 25TH ST	2
DUNCAN HOUSE	173 DUNCAN ST	2
ECONO LODGE	2505 LOMBARD ST	2
ECONOMY INN	2 WEST CLAY ST	2
EDWARD II HOTEL	3155 SCOTT ST	2
EDWARDIAN HOTEL	1668 MARKET ST	2
EL CAPITAN HOTEL	2361 MISSION ST	2
ELEMENTS HOTEL	2524 MISSION ST	2
ELITE HOTEL	1001 CLEMENT ST	2
EULA HOTEL	3061 16TH ST	2
FRANCISCO BAY MOTEL	1501 LOMBARD ST	2
GEARY PARKWAY MOTEL	4750 GEARY BLVD	2
GOLDEN GATE VISTA GUEST A	1625 SHRADER ST	2
GRAYWOOD HOTEL	3308 MISSION ST	2
GREAT HIGHWAY MOTOR INN	1234 GREAT HWY	2
GREENWICH INN	3201 STEINER ST	2
GRIFFITH & HARRIS UNIV GU	763 COLE ST	2
HAYES VALLEY INN	417 GOUGH ST	2
HERB 'N INN THE	525 ASHBURY ST	2
HIDDEN COTTAGE BED/BREAKF	1186 NOE ST	2
HOLLAND HOTEL	1 RICHARDSON AVE	2
HOME BY THE PARK	706 15TH AVE	2
HOTEL CAPRI	2015 GREENWICH ST	2
HOTEL DEL SOL	3100 WEBSTER ST	2
HOTEL DRISCO	2901 PACIFIC AVE	2

HOTEL KABUKI	1625 POST ST	2
HOTEL MAJESTIC	1500 SUTTER ST	2
HOTEL MIRABELLE LLC	1906 MISSION ST	2
HOTEL SUNRISE	447 VALENCIA ST	2
HOTEL TROPICANA THE	663 VALENCIA ST	2
HOTEL VICTORIANA	1023-25 HAIGHT ST	2
INN AT THE OPERA	333 FULTON ST	2
INN GROVE THE	890 GROVE ST	2
INN ON CASTRO	321 CASTRO ST	2
INN SAN FRANCISCO	943 S VAN NESS AVE	2
JACKSON COURT CITY SHARES	2198 JACKSON ST	2
JERRY HOTEL	3032 16TH ST	2
JLARAM HOTEL LLC	868 VALENCIA ST	2
JULIAN HOUSE HOTEL	179 JULIAN AVE	2
KENNEDY HOTEL	4544 3RD ST	2
KRISHNA HOTEL	2032 MISSION ST	2
LA LUNA INN	2555 LOMBARD ST	2
LAUREL INN	444 PRESIDIO AVE	2
LISA WIST	618 BUCHANAN ST A	2
LOEWE RENTAL COMPANY	2527 42ND AVE, SAN FRANCISCO CA	2
LOMBARD MOTOR INN	1475 LOMBARD ST	· 2
LOMBARD PLAZA MOTEL	2026 LOMBARD ST	2
LUXSF	30 RICHLAND AVE	. 2
MARINA INN	3110 DCTAVIA ST	2
MARINA MOTEL	2576 LOMBARD ST	2
METRO HOTEL THE	319 DIVISADERO ST	2
MISSION SERRA HOTEL	5630 MISSION ST	2
MOFFATT HOUSE RESERVATION	1401 7TH AVE	2
MONTE CRISTO THE	600 PRESIDIO	2
MY ROSEGARDEN GUEST ROOMS	75 20TH AVE	2
NOE PLACE LIKE HOME	1187A NOE ST	2
NOE VALLEY SWEET SUITE	1386 NOE ST	2
NORMA HOTEL	2697 MISSION ST	2
OAK HOTEL	171 FELL ST	2
OASIS INN UMA	900 FRANKLIN ST	2
OCEAN PARK MOTEL	2690 46TH AVE	2
OCEANVIEW MOTEL	4340 JUDAH ST	2
PACIFIC HEIGHTS INN	1555 UNION ST	2
PAMELA MCGARRY	2383 GREENWICH ST	2
PARKER HOUSE THE	520 CHURCH ST	· 2
PERRAMONT HOTEL	2162 MARKET ST	2
PETER STALDER VAC'T RET'L	4343 19TH ST	2
PINWHEEL PROPERTIES	2634 23RD AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	2
POLINA MYASKOVSKY	1562 11TH AVE	2

POTRERO HILL HOUSE	1110 RHODE ISLAND ST	2
PRESIDIO BED & BREAKFAST	14 LIBERTY ST 104	2
PRESIDIO INN	2361 LOMBARD ST	2
PRITA HOTEL	2284 MISSION ST	2
QUEEN ANNE HOTEL	1590 SUTTER ST	2
RACHEL DONOVAN	141 DUNCAN ST	. 2
RADAH HOTEL	2042 MISSION ST	2
RAMADA LTD - GOLDEN GATE	1940 LOMBARD ST	2
RED VICTORIAN BED ETC	1665 HAIGHT ST	2
REDWOOD INN	1530 LOMBARD ST	2
ROBERTS AT THE BEACH MTL	2828 SLOAT BLVD	2
RODEWAY INN	860 EDDY ST	2
RUBY ROSE HOTEL	730 22ND ST	2
SAMAYOA EDWARD R & GEORGE	864 TREAT AVE	2
SEAL ROCK INN MOTEL	545 POINT LOBOS AVE	2
SEASIDE INN	1750 LOMBARD ST	2
SERAPINNSF	1409 SUTTER ST	2
SF GUESTHOUSE	3120 GEARY BLVD	2
SF HOLIDAY RENTALS	3 PORTER ST	2
SF MOTOR INN	1750 LOMBARD ST	2
SIMONE DEVRIES & CURTIS S	3226 25TH ST A	2
SLEEP	135 GOUGH ST	2
STANYAN PARK HOTEL LLC	750 STANYAN ST	.2
STUDIO ON SIXTH	1387 6TH AVE	2
SUPER 8 MOTEL	2440 LOMBARD ST	2
SURF MOTEL	2265 LOMBARD ST	2
SVC@INN AT THE OPERA	333 FULTON ST	2
THE ELDER LIVING TRUST	1009 1/2 CASTRO ST	2
THE IVY HOTEL	539 OCTAVIA ST	. 2
THE LOURDESS INN	80 JULIAN AVE	2
THE PARSONAGE	198 HAIGHT ST	2
THE SENTIENT SF	179 JULIAN AVE	2
THE UNION STREET INN	2229 UNION ST	2
THE VALENCIANO HOMES	935 ULLOA ST	2
THE VILLA-SAN FRANCISCO V	379 COLLINGWOOD ST	2
THE WILLOWS INN	710 14TH ST	2
THOMAS CARLISLE	930 BAKER ST	2
TOWN HOUSE MOTEL	1650 LOMBARD ST	2
TRAVELODGE BY THE BAY THE	1450 LOMBARD ST	2
TRAVELODGE CENTRAL	1707 MARKET ST	2
TRAVELODGE GOLDEN GATE	2230 LOMBARD ST	2
TWIN PEAKS HOTEL	2160 MARKET ST	2
TWYMANS GUEST HOUSE	1420 6TH AVE	2
UNION HOTEL	2030 MISSION ST	2

⁴⁸ 978

USA HOSTEL SAN FRANCISCO	711 POST ST	2
USA HOSTELS	630 GEARY ST	. 2
WESTMAN HOTEL	2056 MISSION ST	2
WHITT	1359 4TH AVE	. 2

Moscone Expansion District Management District Plan

Formed Under California Streets and Highways Code Sections 36600 et seq. "Property and Business Improvement District Law of 1994," Augmented by Article 15 of the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code

Submitted to

The Moscone Expansion District Advisory Committee

The Hotel Business Owners and Operators of the Proposed Moscone Expansion District

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Updated January 29, 2013 CLEAN VERSION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction and Background	Page 3
Executive Summary – Table 1	Page 6
 Purpose of District – Moscone Center Expansion Why expansion? Formation Background 	Page 14
District Boundaries, Map	Page 18
 Improvements and Activities Proposed Annual Operating Budget Issuance of Bonds Formation costs eligible for recovery 	Page 20
Allocation of District Funds Among Budget Categories – Table 2	Page 23
 Assessment and Assessment Methodology Gross Revenue from tourist rooms Assessments levied on basis of estimated benefits to hotels in District Exemptions from gross revenue Method of Collection – quarterly, tax collector's office, etc. Number of Years Assessments will be levied Maximum Amount of Annual Assessment Revenue - Table 3 	Page 25
 Timeline for Implementation Formation Process/Schedule Duration Disestablishment 	Page 30
Governance of District	Page 33
Proposed City Financing of Moscone Center Expansion	Page 34
Flow of Funds	Page 34
Surpluses	Page 35
Appendix A – List of Assessed Businesses Appendix B - Smith Travel Research (STR) Monthly Hotel Review, December 2011	Page 37 Page 50
Appendix C - San Francisco Travel Association/Destination Analysts "San Francisco Visitor Industry Economic Impact Estimates 2011"	Page 60
Appendix D - Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis"	Page 76
Appendix E - Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Lodging Market Forecasting Study"	Page 139
Appendix F – Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Impact"	Page 174

.

Moscone Expansion District

Introduction and Background

In 2008, the San Francisco hotel community and the Board of Supervisors approved the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District (*TID*), which authorized a small assessment on tourist hotel room revenue in order to fund promotion of the City and County of San Francisco (*City*) as a meeting and tourism destination. The TID assessment also raised funds for the renovation of the Moscone Convention Center, and for exploration of its potential expansion.

In the years since, increased sales, marketing and promotion have helped transform San Francisco's hotel room market into one of the healthiest in the country as measured by increases in year-over-year average daily room rates (*ADR*) among the top 25 destinations¹.

In addition, we are proud to report that a public/private partnership, consisting of the TID, industry stakeholders, and City agencies, has successfully completed a \$56 million renovation of the Moscone Convention Center, a major generator of hotel room demand, on time and on budget. The portion of the TID assessment allocated to renovation of the Moscone Convention Center is set to expire at the end of 2013.

The TID has also begun to address the need to expand the Moscone Convention Center. In a city in which convention attendees and exhibitors comprise nearly 30% of overnight hotel guests,² a healthy meetings and tradeshow market is vital to maintaining occupancy and room rates. Because large conventions generally make destination decisions 5 to 15 years in advance, convention room-blocks are the base upon which hotels layer mid- and short-term business, essentially locking in a foundation of business a decade or more in advance.

However, the existing three-building configuration of Moscone Center is effectively filled to capacity; it is occupied an average of 70% of any given year, essentially full when factoring in holidays and move-in/move-out days. Therefore, it is impossible to significantly grow the San Francisco convention market without providing additional meeting and exhibit space. Further, major customers have told us that in addition to needing more space, they need more contiguous space than the existing facilities can offer.

The Moscone Expansion District (*MED* or the *District*) provides the mechanism for this effort. If approved by the hotel community and the Board of Supervisors, this assessment will help fund the design, engineering, planning, entitlements, and

¹Smith Travel Research (STR) Monthly Hotel Review, December 2011 (refers to percent change in Average Daily Rate (ADR), Revenue Per Available Room (RevPAR) and Rooms Revenue between the calendar year 2011 vs. 2010.

² San Francisco Travel Association/Destination Analysts "San Francisco Visitor Industry Economic Impact Estimates 2011" [Page 4, "Percent Group Meeting", 2011]

construction of the proposed expansion of Moscone Convention Center. The improvements contemplated are estimated to cost up to \$500 million.

Project Description

The Moscone Center Expansion Capital project (the *Project*) is managed through a public/private partnership between the City and the hotels participating in MED. The MED will partner with the City in financing the Project, which currently includes reconfiguring the North and South exhibit halls to create up to 550,000 gross square feet (gsf) of contiguous exhibit space (including supporting "pre-function" space), a new 35,000 – 75,000 gsf ballroom, up to 200,000 gsf of meeting space, and up to 100,000 gsf of loading/service space. In addition to adding space to the current convention facilities, the proposed expansion will include improvements to landscaping, urban design, and streetscape within and adjacent to the Moscone Convention Center campus. The MED will finance many of the soft costs related to the Project including, for example, architectural and engineering design, construction management/general contractor, project management, consulting fees, legal fees and debt service. The MED will also finance a portion of the general construction costs, which will also be financed with City funds.

If, over the life of the District, excess funds are raised within the maximum assessment collection allowed in the Management District Plan for the life of the district, but beyond what is required for the Project, including required debt service to pay any bond, financing lease (including certificates of participation) or similar obligations to the City, the board of directors of the "owners association" governing the District may, in consultation with the City, allocate those funds toward financing additional development, expansion, renovation, or capital improvements to the Moscone Center Campus. The City owns the existing Moscone Convention Center, and will also own the expanded Moscone Convention facilities and improvements financed by District and City funds.

The MED will partially fund the repayment of bonded indebtedness, financing lease (including principal and interest on any certificates of participation executed therein), or other similar obligations (the "Bonds"), together with any related professional consulting, architectural and other professional fees and issuance costs required for the construction of the Moscone Expansion. The MED will also provide funding for convention business attraction efforts including (a) a Convention Incentive Fund, to be used to help attract important meetings to San Francisco by offsetting convention center rental, a practice used by many other cities that compete with San Francisco for major convention business, (b) increased, targeted sales and marketing of convention business, (c) a capital reserve fund for future improvements and upgrades to Moscone Center, and (d) funds for costs incurred in the formation and for the administration of the District.

Project Oversight

The Department of Public Works (*DPW*) has direct fiscal oversight on the expenditure of public funds. DPW has the primary responsibility for overseeing the expenditure of funds related to construction and support services. The Office of Economic and Workforce Development (*OEWD*) will oversee expenditures related to pre-development costs, such as environmental review and entitlements.

In addition, DPW will provide oversight of MED funds spent on development and renovation activities within the MED budget, since they are being used for a Cityowned building. All RFPs with respect to design and construction activities issued by the MED for the project will be reviewed by DPW.

The City and the MED will enter into a Memorandum of Understanding that will outline specific roles and responsibilities for the management of the Moscone Expansion Project.

Together, these efforts will help maintain and grow San Francisco's hotel room market well into the future. Without them, the City faces the continued loss of large conventions that have outgrown the current, non-contiguous Center; additional losses of groups that will outgrow it in the coming years; and losses from smaller groups that could book one building in the Center, but cannot currently find space due to lack of capacity.

TABLE 1

Executive Summary of Moscone Expansion District

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

Name of District

Moscone Expansion District ("MED" or the "District")

Purpose of the District

FEATURE

To expand the George S. Moscone Convention Center in San Francisco, California. The existing convention center is increasingly too small and provides insufficient contiguous space for certain convention customers. An expansion of the facility, including an increase in contiguous space, will help attract and retain more and larger conventions to the Moscone Center, providing benefits to hotels within the District by generating additional revenue from increased room nights, rates, and related hotel guest spending.

In furtherance of providing benefits to hotels within the District, assessment funds will also be used for a Convention Incentive Fund, to help attract significant meetings to San Francisco; a Moscone Center Sales and Marketing Fund, to promote the convention center to meeting, convention and event planners; a Capital Improvements and Renovations Fund, to cover future upgrades and improvements of Moscone Center; and for administration of the District, including funds for an operating contingency and for reimbursement of District formation costs. Assessment funds, if available, will also be used to fund additional development, expansion, renovation, and capital improvements to the Moscone Center Campus.

Benefits from the planned expansion will accrue to tourist hotels within the District boundaries. Zone 1 hotels will pay a higher assessment than Zone 2 hotels because the estimated benefits to Zone 1 hotels is expected to be greater. Zone 1 hotels are located within a defined geographic proximity to Moscone Center, and are readily accessible to the Moscone Center and its surrounding area via the City's transportation infrastructure. Proportional benefits will accrue to tourist hotels in Zone 2 via "compression" *i.e.*, studies show that increased convention activity generates higher demand for the limited supply of hotel rooms in Zone 1, which in turns increases demand

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

for hotel rooms in Zone 2, increasing both occupancy and room rates within Zone 2.

Assessed Businesses and Boundaries of the District

The District shall include all tourist hotels operating in the City & County of San Francisco that generate revenue from tourist rooms, and which are located in the following geographic areas:

Zone 1: Tourist hotels with addresses:

- On or east of Van Ness Avenue
- On or east of South Van Ness Avenue, and
- On or north of 16th Street from South Van Ness to the Bay, including all tourist hotels east of Van Ness Avenue as if it continued north to the Bay, and north of 16th Street as if it continued east to the Bay.

Zone 2: Tourist hotels with addresses:

- West of Van Ness Avenue and South Van Ness Avenue, and
- South of 16th Street.

The boundaries of Zones 1 and 2 of the MED are identical to the boundaries of Zones 1 and 2 of the TID.

A map of the District and a list of existing tourist hotels within the District are set forth in the Management District Plan. Because this is a business-based District, tourist hotels that open for business within the District in the future will also be subject to the assessment.

 Planning, design, engineering, entitlement, construction, project management and related services for expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, including related payments for any bond, financing lease (including certificates of participation) or similar obligations of the City.

• Funding of a Moscone Convention Center Incentive Fund, which will be used to attract significant meetings, tradeshows and conventions to San Francisco via offset of rental costs.

Improvements and Activities, including categories of expenditures

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

Funding of a Moscone Convention Center Sales & Marketing Fund to provide increased funding for sales and marketing of convention business, with a focus on generating increased revenues for hotels that pay the assessment.

Ę

• Funding of capital improvements and renovations, including a capital reserve fund to cover future upgrades and improvements to the Moscone Convention Center.

• Allocation of funds to pay for District formation, operation and administration, and to establish and maintain a contingency reserve.

 In consultation with City, funding of expenses for development and implementation of future phases of expansion, renovations or capital improvements if there are funds available in excess of those needed for the Project.

Tourist hotels within the District will pay assessments based on the following formula. During the life of the District, the benefits that will accrue to each assessed business within each zone will correlate directly to the rate of assessments in that zone.

Zone 1:

- With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated during the period beginning with commencement of the assessment through December 31, 2013, the assessment shall be 0.50% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.
- With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated beginning January 1, 2014 until the termination of the District, the assessment in Zone 1 shall be 1.25% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.

Zone 2:

• With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms

Assessments and Assessment Methodology

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

generated during the period beginning with commencement of the assessment until the termination of the District, the assessment shall be 0.3125% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.

Annual revenues generated from assessments will fluctuate over the life of the District based on actual gross revenues from tourist rooms, subject to the maximum assessment set forth in the Management District Plan.

> The assessment formula is designed to levy assessments on the basis of the estimated benefits that will accrue to the tourist hotels within the District.

> "Gross revenues from tourist rooms" is defined in the Management District Plan.

> It is anticipated that the District will enter into an agreement with the San Francisco Tax Collector's Office for collection of the assessment and for certain enforcement functions.

No more than a total maximum of \$5,766,814,000 in assessment funds will be collected during the 32-year term of the MED. The maximum allowable assessment to be levied annually for the duration of the MED is set forth in the Management District Plan. Each year's maximum annual assessment reflects a potential 10% increase over the previous year. It should be noted that these are maximum annual collections *allowed* under this plan; actual annual collections may be significantly less, depending on market conditions.

It is anticipated that in connection with financing of all or a portion of the District's improvements and activities, the City will issue bonds, financing lease (including certificates of participation) or similar obligations, and that District funds will be used in furtherance of repayment of those obligations. It is expected that the Bonds will be issued in 2017 to fund expansion-related activities.

Duration of District

Financing Activities

Maximum Collections

The District will begin imposing assessments on tourist room revenue beginning the later of July 1, 2013, or the

-- FEATURE

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

first day of the calendar quarter after a final judgment is entered by a court validating the issuance of City indebtedness for the Moscone Expansion Project, and related establishment of the District and levy of the assessments (the *Commencement Date*). The term of the district is 32 years after the Commencement Date.

Formation

Formation of the District requires submission to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors of written petitions signed by the owners of tourist hotels in the District that will pay more than 30% of the assessments proposed to be levied. After submission of those petitions, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors may approve a resolution of intention to form the District. If this Resolution of Intention is approved by the Board of Supervisors, the City's Department of Elections will mail out assessment ballots to all tourist hotels that would be subject to assessment in the proposed District. During the special ballot election period tourist hotels within the District will be entitled to vote based on a weighted-voting formula. If tourist hotels representing at least 50% of the total estimated assessments proposed to be levied on all tourist hotels in the District cast ballots, and at least two-thirds of the returned weighted ballots are in favor of the formation of the District and levy of assessments, the Board of Supervisors will vote on whether to establish the District and levy the assessments.

The "Weight" calculated for the petition vote and ballot election is determined by the assessment each tourist hotel will pay into the district compared to the total assessments estimated to be collected in year one. Year one maximum assessment collection estimates are based on 12 months of projected collections at the assessment formula of 1.25% and 0.3125% for tourist hotels located in Zones 1 and 2 respectively, calculated on the assessable gross room revenue from tourist rooms of calendar year 2011 as reported by hotels. The City will tabulate the petition and ballot results and will assign a "weight" to each hotel based on its calendar year 2011 assessable gross room revenue from tourist rooms in relation to its portion of the total MED assessment in year one. If a hotel changed ownership after the hotel's 2011 assessable gross income was reported to the City, the "weight," for purposes of this Plan,

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

shall be calculated based on the 2011 assessable gross income from tourist rooms as reported to the City by the hotel prior to the ownership change. A majority vote of the Board of Supervisors is required to establish the District and levy the assessments.

Management of the District

City Contribution to Costs of Expansion The District will be managed by the non-profit San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation *("SFTIDMC")*, the same organization that manages the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District.

The City & County of San Francisco, subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors, will commit the following towards the repayment of Bonds issued in connection with the \$500 million Project:

- Contribution of \$8.2 million in fiscal year 2019 with an increase of 3% per year through fiscal year 2028 up to cap of \$10.7 million, with a continuing contribution of no less than \$10.7 million per year for the remainder of the term of the District (the City's "Base Contribution").
- In addition, the City will fund shortfalls in any given year for purposes of debt service, which will be repaid from surpluses in MED assessments, as detailed in this plan.
- For purposes of this Project, "shortfall" means a fiscal year's debt service not covered by (a) the MED allocation to debt, plus (b) the City's \$8.2 million \$10.7 million contribution.

City contributions will partially fund the repayment on any bonded indebtedness or financing lease (including principal and interest on any certificates of participation) issued to finance related professional consulting, architectural and other professional fees and issuance costs, or similar obligations issued or incurred in connection with the expansion, together with a portion of the hard construction cost. The project will be built using an alternative project delivery method called Construction Manager/General Contractor (CM/GC). The MED will select

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

the CM/GC, with input from the City, and the MED will fund the cost of the CM/GC. The City will expend construction costs by procuring, pursuant to the City's contracting rules, and paying for the trade contractors. The trade contractors will be overseen by the CM/GC funded by the MED. The City is the owner of the existing Moscone Convention Center, and will also own the expanded Moscone Convention facilities financed by District and City funds.

The City will collect MED revenues from hotels, withhold funds from those revenues allocated to Development Activities in the Plan necessary to pay debt service, fund the Stabilization Fund and Sinking Fund, and fund repayment of the City's contribution toward shortfall in debt service costs from prior years, and transfer to the MED the portion of revenue per the allocation outlined in the Management Plan.

For purposes of this plan, "Surpluses" mean any excess MED revenue allocated to Development Activities in the Plan that are not needed to fund the MED contributions toward debt service, *i.e.*, excluding the City Contribution toward debt service outlined above. Surpluses shall be applied as follows:

- To fund a Stabilization Fund of up to \$15,000,000, to be drawn upon in any year when lower than expected MED collections cause MED's contributions toward debt service to be lower than the sum set forth in cash flow projections with respect to the debt service for the Project; then
- 2. To fund a Sinking Fund in an amount equal to annual debt service beyond expiration of the District term less City Contribution; then
- 3. To the City as repayment for the City's contribution toward shortfall in debt service costs from prior years, *i.e.*, City contributions, if any, in excess of the City's Base Contribution as outlined above; then
- 4. To the MED to fund future development, expansion, renovation, and capital improvements to the Moscone Center Campus.

12 991

Flow of Funds

Surpluses

5. Any funds remaining in the Stabilization Fund or Sinking Fund no longer needed for debt service, i.e., upon final maturity of the debt instruments, shall be distributed to MED or its successor, in consultation with the City and the San Francisco Travel Association or its successor, for use consistent with part 4, above.

SUMMARY EXPLANATION

FEATURE

Notwithstanding the foregoing, with respect to funds allocated to the above funds 1 through 3, the City shall have the sole discretion to apply Surpluses among those three funds in the order it deems in the best interests of the City.

Name of District

The District shall be known as the Moscone Expansion District ("MED" or the "District").

Purpose of the District

The District will be formed in order to expand the George S. Moscone Convention Center in San Francisco, California to provide funding to attract significant meetings, tradeshows and conventions, and provide for significant future improvements and upgrades.

Why Expand Moscone Convention Center?

Moscone Convention Center is a primary driver of hotel room demand in San Francisco. However, Moscone Center is the smallest among 13 convention centers that are most competitive with it, particularly in terms of saleable exhibit space.³ Among this same set, convention centers in at least two cities, Los Angeles and San Diego, have completed expansion or are in the process of expanding, while at least one, Las Vegas, is putting substantial capital into renovating the public spaces in and around its convention center.

Meeting planners regularly report record attendance when holding events in the City, compounding the need for additional space. San Francisco ranks particularly favorably among international convention attendees due to the large amount of direct air service. In addition, San Francisco's position as a gateway to Asia bodes well for technology and medical meetings in particular, which attract growing numbers of Asian attendees⁴.

However, if Moscone Center is not expanded, San Francisco stands to lose a number of current conventions that will outgrow the existing center, won't win back meetings that have already left due to size constraints, and will lose small meetings that currently cannot be accommodated in one or two of the existing three-building campus due to lack of available dates.

In addition, meeting planners have reported that the current lack of contiguous space is a serious detriment to their ability to book Moscone Center and San Francisco.

In fact, San Francisco has already lost meetings representing \$2,057,000,000 in direct spending as a result of space issues, for meetings with dates between 2010 and 2019. These events instead booked convention centers in Chicago, Las Vegas, San Diego and other cities, taking with them delegate spending, tax revenue and other economic impact.⁵

- ³ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis" [Page 29]
- ⁴ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis" [Page 35]
- ⁵ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis" [page 23]

Benefits from Moscone Center Expansion

The planned expansion of the Moscone Center will be financed via a partnership between the tourist hotel community and the City. The tourist hotel community will pay its share of expansion-related costs out of District assessments. The City will pay its share of expansion-related costs out of general fund revenues or other funds and sources. The District and City will each pledge revenues to pay principal, interest and related financing costs on payments of any bond, financing lease (including certificates of participation), or other similar obligations of the City that will be issued to facilitate the expansion. Based on this shared-cost scenario, the tourist hotels within the District will derive economic benefits from the portion of the expansion paid for with District assessments. The City will derive economic benefits in return for its financial commitment. The benefits that are unique to the hotels, and the other benefits, are described below.

Benefits to Hotels that Pay the Assessment

Expansion of Moscone Center will generate benefits for tourist hotels within the District that will pay the assessment, which will not accrue to those not charged. Industry studies demonstrate that expansions of convention centers in markets competitive with San Francisco generate growth in hotel "RevPAR" (revenue per available hotel room). Consistent with that finding on a national basis, past expansions of Moscone Center have led to higher real RevPAR growth for San Francisco hotels. Studies indicate that increased convention attendance arising from this new, proposed expansion of Moscone Center, combined with the incentive fund and targeted sales and marketing expenditures designed to maximize lodging performance, will generate increased hotel demand, with a positive impact on RevPAR via higher hotel occupancy rates and average daily room rates.⁶ Assessed businesses, therefore, receive the benefit of higher yields, derived through the practice of maximizing revenue based on predictable demand. Studies also indicate that in addition to increased occupancy and room rates, hotels in the District will also derive increased revenues from their ancillary facilities, such as hotel restaurants, bars, meeting space and spas.⁷ Further, hotel values are likely to be directly enhanced or increase by the completion of the Moscone Convention Center proposed expansions.8

Zone 1 hotels will pay a higher assessment than Zone 2 hotels because it is expected that Zone 1 hotels will achieve a greater positive impact on RevPar. Zone 1 hotels are located within a defined geographic proximity to Moscone Center, and are readily accessible to the Moscone Center and its surrounding area via the City's transportation infrastructure. Proportional benefits will accrue to tourist hotels in Zone 2 directly, and via "compression," *i.e.*, when groups using Moscone Center fill tourist hotel rooms in Zone 1 (increasing their occupancy and average daily rate),

⁶ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Lodging Market Forecasting Study" [§5.2]

⁷ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Lodging Market Forecasting Study" [§5.2]

⁸ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Impact" [§1.3]

the data show that other bookings, such as transient commercial, group tour, and leisure visitor business, are pushed into tourist hotels in Zone 2 (increasing occupancy and average daily rate at those hotels). In sum, hotels in Zone 1 are expected to receive approximately three times RevPAR benefit, and four times profit per available room, as compared to hotels in Zone 2.⁹ This differential, which also manifests in a different rate of increase in hotel values between the two zones, provides the basis for structuring two levels of assessment.

Other Economic Benefits

In return for the City's financial contribution to the expansion of Moscone Center, it is expected that increased convention activity will generate increased economic activity in the City. In 2011, activity from meetings, conventions and trade shows accounted for \$1.8 billion in spending in the City¹⁰. Expert projections, based on studies of expansions in competitive markets and on past expansions of Moscone Center, indicate that expansion of Moscone Center will generate additional economic activity in the form of increased spending for local businesses and increased tax revenue for the City.¹¹

A Record of Success: The San Francisco Tourism Improvement District The expansion will be managed by an experienced team that includes the San Francisco hotel community, the City and County of San Francisco, the managers of Moscone Convention Center, and the San Francisco Travel Association, which is responsible for marketing convention center space.

This team collaborated to create the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District in 2008, increasing funding to sell, market, and promote the City as a visitor destination. Funds were also used to renovate the Moscone Convention Center and to explore its expansion in light of competitive pressures.

The renovation, completed in May 2012, was accomplished on time and on budget. Much-needed repairs were made to both Moscone South (opened in 1981) and Moscone North (opened in 1992), neither of which had seen any significant capital improvements. New way-finding signage, energy efficient lighting and HVAC systems, upgraded bathrooms, new paint and carpet, and Center-wide wireless access have vastly modernized the complex.

The issues of size and contiguous space remain serious obstacles, however, and led the SFTID to commission two separate studies, from Economic Research Associates/AECOM in 2010, and Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels (JLLH) in 2012. For these studies, a comprehensive set of data was gathered, including:

• Competitive convention center information

⁹ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Lodging Market Forecasting Study" [§1.3]

¹⁰ San Francisco Travel Association/Destination Analysts "San Francisco Visitor Industry Economic Impact Estimates 2011" [Page 4, "Grand Total: Convention Impact", 2011]

¹¹ Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis" [§6.8]

- Interviews with major Moscone Convention Center users
- Analysis of Lost Business Reports generated by San Francisco Travel
- Trends in the meetings market

The ERA/AECOM study showed that, without additional exhibit space, the number of Moscone Convention Center-based meetings will decline as larger groups move to other cities with more space, and as smaller groups are unable to book space due to lack of availability. The JLLH report is studying various expansion scenarios.

An advisory committee has been formed to provide industry input from the assessed tourist hotels. It includes representatives of the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation (*SFTIDMC*) Board of Directors, representatives appointed by the Hotel Council of San Francisco, and representatives of City government.

In addition to funding Moscone Convention Center expansion, the District will fund a Convention Incentive Fund, which will be used to attract significant meetings, conventions and tradeshows to San Francisco. In the increasingly competitive convention market, many first tier cities (and several second and third tier cities, as well) provide convention center rental offsets in order to attract meetings with significant economic impact. San Francisco has made similar funds available in the past, and will be at a competitive disadvantage without the continuation of these funds. The District will also fund a Moscone Center Sales and Marketing Fund, for the purpose of generating increased revenue for hotels that pay the assessment by promoting the convention center to meeting, convention and event planners, and a Capital Improvements and Renovations Reserve Fund, to cover future upgrades and improvements so that the Moscone Center buildings remain competitive with convention centers in other cities and do not once again fall into disrepair. Funds will also be allocated to build and maintain a contingency reserve, for costs related to formation of the District, and for the administration of the District, such as payment to the City's Treasurer and Tax Collector for the costs of collecting. enforcing, and distributing assessments, and payment for staff and professional services needed to run the District. Lastly, funds may be used to fund future development, expansion, renovation, and capital improvements of the Moscone Center campus.

Assessed Businesses and Boundaries of the District

This will be a business-based district that shall include all tourist hotels operating in the City & County of San Francisco that generate revenue from tourist rooms, and which are located in the following geographic areas:

Zone 1: Tourist hotels with addresses:

- On or east of Van Ness Avenue
- On or east of South Van Ness Avenue, and
- On or north of 16th Street from South Van Ness to the Bay, including all tourist hotels east of Van Ness Avenue as if it continued north to the Bay, and north of 16th Street as if it continued east to the Bay.

Zone 2: Tourist hotels with addresses:

- West of Van Ness Avenue and South Van Ness Avenue, and
- South of 16th Street

The boundaries of Zones 1 and 2 of the MED are identical to the boundaries of Zones 1 and 2 of the Tourism Improvement District.

Because they will benefit from the improvements and activities funded by the District, and because this is a business-based district, future tourist hotels that open for business within the District will also be subject to the assessment.

Map of the District

Moscone Expansion District Map



Proposed Annual Operating Budget, including Improvements and Activities, and categories of expenditures

(The FY 2013/14 projected budget is set forth below.¹² Annual budgets for subsequent years will be outlined in annual reports prepared by SFTIDMC and submitted to the Board of Supervisors as required by applicable law.)

Improvements and Activities	Percent of Budget Allocated to Types of Activities	Budget
Development Activities	87.5%	\$16,915,500
 Planning, design, engineering, entitlement, project management and related development services for the Project, which it is projected will include reconfiguration of existing non-contiguous space to create up to 550,000 gsf of contiguous exhibit space, and new meeting rooms, ballroom, and loading and service spaces. 		
• Construction costs for of the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center as noted above.		
• Financing costs related to the Project, including those associated with the payments of any bond, financing lease (including certificates of participation), or other similar obligations of the City.		
Renovation Activities	1%	\$193,320
 Funding of a capital reserve to pay for future renovations of and improvements to the Moscone Convention Center complex, to include capital improvements, but not including general maintenance or general repairs.)
• Surplus funds in this category at the conclusion of any year may be transferred to other MED categories of expenditures upon a majority vote of the board of directors of the MED owners association.		

¹² The FY 2013/2014 projected annual budget assumes that the District Commencement Date is no later than July 1, 2013, and thus reflects a full twelve months of assessment revenue. The proportionate allocation of District funds among budget categories for the life of the District is set forth in Table 2.

Convention Business Attraction Activities	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
• Funding of a Moscone Convention Center Incentive Fund (<i>MCCI Fund</i>), which will be used to attract significant meetings, tradeshows and conventions to San Francisco.	9%	\$1,739,880
• Surplus funds in this category at the conclusion of any year may be transferred to other MED categories of expenditures upon a majority vote of the board of directors of the MED owners association.		
• Funding of a Moscone Convention Center Sales and Marketing Fund, to be used by San Francisco Travel Association in the sales, marketing and promotion of the Convention Center to meeting, convention and event planners and customers. These funds will augment current general convention promotional funding, and will be used to generate increased revenue for hotels that pay the assessment via targeted sales and marketing of the Convention Center to clients who can book some or all of the space.	0%	\$0
 Funds for this category will be allotted beginning in year 5. Surplus funds in this category at the conclusion of any year may be transferred to other MED categories of expenditures upon a majority vote of the board of directors of the MED owners association. 		
 Administration of the MED and Operating Contingency Reserve These funds will be used to cover administrative costs and expenses related to the operation and administration of the District, including, for example: Payment of the operational and administrative expenses of SFTIDMC in its capacity as owners association of MED 	2.5%	\$483,300
• Reimbursement of the cost of services and other expenses to the City Treasurer and Tax Collector, the Office of the City Attorney, the Controller's Office, and other City departments for audit, collection, enforcement, and disbursement of the assessment, and related administrative functions.		
• Administration, assessment and enforcement functions related to the MED assessment, which are contingent on the management contract between the City and the MED.		
• Surplus funds in this category at the conclusion of any year may be transferred to other MED categories of expenditures upon a		

majority vote of the board of directors	of the MED ow	vners	
association.	·		
Total		100%	\$19,332,000

Surpluses

Any Surpluses (defined in this Plan as "any excess MED revenue allocated to Development Activities in the Plan that are not needed to fund the MED contributions toward debt service, i.e., excluding the City Contribution toward debt service") shall be applied as outlined in the "Surpluses" section of this Plan.

Formation Costs

In year 1 of the MED, up to \$685,000 to cover costs incurred in forming the District (Formation Costs) may be allocated. Formation Costs eligible for recovery through assessments include actual costs incurred by the MED steering committee, the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District, San Francisco Travel Association, and by the City and County of San Francisco arising out or of or related to the formation process. Such reimbursable Formation Costs include, for example, costs arising out of or related to (a) the costs of preparation of the management district plan and engineer's report or other expert reports required by state law or to be included with the management district plan (b) the costs of circulating and submitting the petition to the Board of Supervisors seeking establishment of the District, (c) the costs of printing, advertising and giving of published, posted or mailed notices, (d) the costs of engineering, consulting, legal or other professional services provided in support of formation of the District, including, for example, project management of the formation process, contract negotiation and drafting, and the provision of legal advice and representation with respect to formation of the District, (e) costs of any ballot proceedings required by law for approval of a new assessment, (f) set up of the MED assessment billing and collection systems by the City and County of San Francisco, including reimbursement of actual costs by the City Treasurer and Tax Collector, and (g) related consultant and attorney fees, consistent with Section 1511(d) of the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code. The basis for determining the amount of Formation Costs payable by the MED assessment shall be actual costs incurred. Legal fees and related costs incurred in connection with the validation of debt issuance and of the related establishment of MED and levy of assessments, including related legal proceedings, shall be paid for by District revenues and shall not be considered "Formation Costs."

> ²² 1001

TABLE 2

Proportionate allocation of District funds among budget categories over the life of the MED

Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Admin/Cont/ Reserve Allocation	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
Cap Reserve Fund Allocation	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	6%	6%	. 6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	.6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	. 6%	6%
Convention Sales/Mktg Fund Allocation	%0	0%	0%	%0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Incentive Fund Allocation	%6	6%6	%6	6%	9%6	6%	%6	6%	- %6	8%	8%	8%	- 8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	%8	8%
Expansion/ Development/ Allocation	87.5%	പ	87.5%	87.5%	86.5%	86.5%	86.5%	86.5%	86.5%	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%	2.5	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%	2.5	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%
Fiscal Year	3/1	5	2015/16	2016/17	7	8	9/2	\sim	2021/22	\geq	2023/24	2			~	2028/29	3	2030/31	2031/32	2032/33	/3	4/3	5/3	36/3	2037/38
Year	Ţ	2	ς Ω	4	വ	. 9		ω.	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%																		
--	---------	----------	-----------	-------------------	---------	---------	---------																		
Admin/Cont/ Reserve Allocation	2.5%	2.5%	2 50%	2.570	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%																		
Cap Reserve Fund Allocation	6%	60%	60%	%9 979	%9	6%	. %9																		
Convention Sales/Mktg Fund Allocation	1%	10%	10%	10%	1%	1%	1%																		
Incentive Fund Allocation	8%	80%	0% 80%	80%	8%	8%	8%																		
Expansion/ Development/ Allocation	82.5%	87 50%	87 50%	07.2.70 R7 E06	82.5%	82.5%	82.5%																		
Fiscal Year	2038/39		04/0000	2041 /47	2042/42	2043/44	2044/45																		
Year	76	07 CC	17 17	07	30	31	32																		

Assessment and Assessment Methodology

Assessment Method - Gross Revenue from Tourist Rooms

Tourist hotels within the District will pay assessments on the basis of the estimated benefit to those hotels. Further, the assessments imposed will provide benefits to tourist hotels within the District that are not provided to businesses that do not pay the assessment, and will not exceed the reasonable costs of conferring those benefits. Those benefits, which will accrue from the portion of planned expansion of the Moscone Center paid for with the funds raised by the assessments and related MED activities and improvements, include increased RevPAR (revenue per available hotel room) in the hotels within the District, resulting from increases in such hotels' average daily room rates and occupancy rates arising from increased convention activity, and increased sales and marketing activity for the convention center designed to increase revenue to hotels that pay the assessment.

The assessment will be paid by tourist hotels within the District based on gross revenue from tourist rooms in those hotels, based on the following formula. During the life of the District, the benefits that will accrue to each assessed business within each zone will correlate directly to the rate of assessments in that zone.

Zone 1:

- With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated during the period beginning with commencement of the assessment though December 31, 2013, the assessment shall be 0.50% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.
- With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated beginning January 1, 2014, until the termination of the District, the assessment shall be 1.25% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.

Zone 2:

• With respect to gross revenue from tourist rooms generated during the period beginning with commencement of the assessment until the termination of the District, the assessment shall be .3125% of gross revenue from tourist rooms.

-25

For purposes of calculating the MED assessment, "gross revenue from tourist rooms" means: the consideration received for occupancy valued in money, whether received in money or otherwise, including all receipts, cash, credits, and property of any kind or nature, without any deduction therefrom whatsoever. Gross revenue from tourist rooms will include only the following charges, regardless of how such charges are characterized:

- a) Charges for a guest room (including non-refundable deposits) regardless of whether the guest uses the room;
- b) Charges for additional guests to occupy the room;
- c) Charges for guaranteeing the availability of a room (sometimes referred to as guaranteed "no-show" charges), regardless of whether the guest uses the room (excluding event attrition fees and event cancellation fees paid by event organizers)

For purposes of this plan, "tourist room" and "guest room" are used interchangeably.

Exemptions

The following charges and revenues shall be exempt from payment of the assessments:

- a) Charges for guest rooms occupied by permanent residents, defined as: "Any occupant as of a given date who has or shall have occupied, or has or shall have the right of occupancy, of any guest room in a hotel for at least 30 consecutive days next preceding such date;"
- b) Revenue from the lodging of airline crews, *i.e.*, lodging provided to airline cockpit and/or cabin crews pursuant to an agreement between a hotel and an airline, which is in furtherance of or to facilitate such crews' performance of their jobs for the airline, including layovers between flights; or
- c) The City's Transient Occupancy Tax collected on the room rent and remitted to the City;
- d) Revenue from the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District assessment established in 2008, including any renewals or extensions thereof;
- e) Charges for guest rooms located in youth hostels that are owned and operated exclusively by and for non-profit entities;
- f) Charges for guest rooms that are subject to the room rate exemption for the San Francisco Transit Occupancy Tax under Article 7, section 506(c) of the San Francisco Business & Tax Regulations Code, as amended from time to time; and
- g) Charges for guest rooms located in non-profit, purely private social clubs that make guest rooms available only for the use of their members. The term "purely private social clubs" means non-profit, private membership clubs, whose primary purpose is social, which are owned by a limited membership, and which do not advertise or promote the use of their facilities by the

public. Further, entities that allow guest rooms to be occupied by nonmembers, including via reciprocal arrangements with other clubs or organizations or upon referral of a member, shall not constitute "purely private social clubs" as defined herein.

The assessment formula will remain the same throughout the duration of the District. Annual revenues generated from assessments will fluctuate over the life of the District based on actual gross revenues from tourist hotel rooms, subject to the maximum assessment set forth in the Management District Plan. Any annual budget surplus or deficit will be rolled into the following year's MED budget.

Time and Manner of Collecting Assessments

The MED assessment, including the collection and enforcement of any delinquent assessments and imposition of interest and penalties per City and County of San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code Article 6, as it may be amended from time to time, will be collected and enforced by the Treasurer and Tax Collector of the City (the *Treasurer and Tax Collector*). The Treasurer and Tax Collector shall transfer the assessment payments on a quarterly basis to the SFTIDMC, a non-profit corporation that is designated as the Owners Association for the District. The SFTIDMC will manage and administer the MED pursuant to a management contract with the City, as approved by the Board of Supervisors. The management contract will also include provisions identifying and defining procedures for collection and enforcement of the assessment, including, for example, hotel and recordkeeping requirements, audits, assessment of penalties and interest, claims, and refunds.

Number of Years Assessment will be Levied

As indicated elsewhere in this plan, the capital improvements to the Moscone Center will be financed, in part, by either bonds, financing lease (including certificates of participation), or other similar obligations of the City, to be paid by revenues from the MED and the City. The amount of debt service to retire the MED portion of the indebtedness shall not exceed the amount of revenue estimated to be raised from the assessment. For that reason, and because some of the assessment funds are allocated to expenses other than servicing such debt, the assessment will be levied for 32 years beginning with the Commencement Date. For example, if the Commencement Date is July 1, 2013, the assessment will be levied through June 30, 2045.

Total Maximum Amount of Annual Assessment Revenue

No more than a total maximum of \$5,766,814,000 in assessment funds will be collected during the 32-year term of the MED. The maximum allowable assessment to be levied annually for the duration of the MED is set forth below in Table 2. Each year's maximum annual assessment reflects a potential 10% increase over the

previous year. It should be noted that these are maximum annual collections *allowed* under this plan; actual annual collections may be significantly less depending on market conditions.

Financing for Moscone Expansion Improvements

Designated assessment funds will used to pay financing costs, including those associated with the issuance and payment of principal and interest on bonds, financing lease (including certificates of participation), or other similar obligations of the City to pay for the development costs associated with the Moscone Expansion Project, including planning, design, engineering, entitlement, project management and related development services, as well as construction of Moscone Expansion capital improvements.

Year	Fiscal Year	Maximum Collections
1	2013/14	\$19,332,000
2	2014/15	\$29,597,500
3	2015/16	\$32,557,000
4	2016/17	\$35,812,500
5	2017/18	\$40,388,500
6	2018/19	\$45,528,500
7	2019/20	\$50,188,000
8	2020/21	\$55,207,000
9	2021/22	\$60,727,500
10	2022/23	\$67,356,500
11	2023/24	\$74,648,000
12	2024/25	\$82,112,500
13	2025/26	\$90,324,000
14	2026/27	\$99,356,500
15	2027/28	\$109,293,000
16	2028/29	\$120,222,500
17	.2029/30	\$132,244,000
18	2030/31	\$145,468,000
19	2031/32	\$160,015,000
20	2032/33	\$176,017,000
21	2033/34	\$193,619,000
22	2034/35	\$212,981,000
23	2035/36	\$234,279,500
24	2036/37	\$257,707,500
25	2037/38	\$283,478,500
26	2038/39	\$311,826,500
27	2039/40	\$343,009,000
28	2040/41	\$377,310,000
29	2041/42	\$415,041,000
30	2042/43	\$456,545,500
31	2043/44	\$502,200,500
32	2044/45	\$552,420,500
		\$5,766,814,000

TABLE 3 Maximum Amount of Annual Assessment Revenue

Implementation Timeline

Formation

Formation of the District requires submission to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors of written petitions signed by the owners of tourist hotels in the District that will pay more than 30% of the assessments proposed to be levied. After submission of those petitions, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors may approve a Resolution of Intention to form the District. If this Resolution of Intention is approved by the Board of Supervisors, the City's Department of Elections will mail out assessment ballots to all tourist hotels that would be subject to assessment in the proposed District. During the special ballot election period, tourist hotels within the District will be entitled to vote based on a weighted-voting formula. If tourist hotels representing at least 50% of the total estimated assessments proposed to be levied on all tourist hotels in the district cast ballots, and at least two-thirds of the returned weighted ballots are in favor of the formation of the District and levy of assessments, the Board of Supervisors will hold a vote on whether to establish the District and levy the assessments.

The "Weight" calculated for the petition vote and ballot election is determined by the assessment each tourist hotel will pay into the district compared to the total assessments estimated to be collected in year one. Year one maximum assessment collection estimates are based on the 12 months of projected collections at assessment formula of 1.25% and 0.3125% for tourist hotels located in Zones 1 and 2 respectively, calculated on the assessable gross room revenue from tourist rooms of calendar year 2011 as reported by hotels. The City will tabulate the petition and ballot results and will assign a "weight" to each hotel based on its calendar year 2011 assessable gross room revenue from tourist not the total MED assessment in year one. If a hotel changed ownership after the hotel's 2011 assessable gross income was reported to the City, the "weight," for purposes of this Plan, shall be calculated based on the 2011 assessable gross income from tourist rooms as reported to the City by the hotel prior to the ownership change. A majority vote of the Board of Supervisors is required to establish the District and levy the assessments.

Duration

The District will begin imposing assessments on tourist room revenue beginning the later of July 1, 2013, or the first day of the calendar quarter after a final judgment is entered by a court validating the issuance of City indebtedness for the Moscone Expansion Project, and related establishment of the District and levy of the assessments (the *"Commencement Date"*). The term of the District is 32 years after the Commencement Date.

Disestablishment

If there is no indebtedness, outstanding and unpaid, incurred to accomplish any of the purposes of the District, the District may be disestablished under any of the following circumstances:

(1) If the Board of Supervisors finds that there has been a misappropriation of funds, malfeasance, or a violation of law in connection with management of the District;

(2) During the operation of the District, there shall be a 30-day period each year in which assessees may request disestablishment of the District. The first such period shall begin one year after the date of establishment of the District and shall continue for 30 days. The next such 30-day period shall begin two years after the date of the establishment of the District. Each successive year of operation of the district shall have such a 30-day period. Upon the written petition of the owners or authorized representatives of businesses in the District who pay 50 percent or more of the assessments levied, the Board of Supervisors shall pass a resolution of intention to disestablish the District. The Board of Supervisors shall notice a hearing on disestablishment; or

(3) A supermajority of eight or more members of the Board of Supervisors may initiate disestablishment proceedings for any reason.

All outstanding indebtedness must be paid prior to disestablishment of the District.

Task	Estimated Date of Completion
Final approval of Management District Plan by MED Advisory Committee	September 2012
Distribute petitions endorsing plan to affected MED hotel business owners/operators	September 2012
Submit minimum 30% weighted petitions endorsing Plan and proposed assessments to the Board of Supervisors (BOS)	October 2012
Introduce Resolution of Intention to Form the MED, with final Management District Plan and supporting documents, to BOS	October 2012
BOS Committee hearings	November 2012
BOS vote on Resolution of Intention at public hearing	November 2012

Formation Schedule

Department of Elections mails ballots, 45 Day Ballot	November 2012
Election Period Initiated	
BOS Committee hearing/meeting and final public hearing	g January - February 2013
at BOS, on Resolution to Establish District and levy	
assessments; ballots due and counted; District establishe	d
and assessments levied.	
Management contract with City executed	June 2013
MED Assessment becomes effective	The later of July 1, 2013, or no more
	than 30 days after a final judgment
	of validation
First Quarterly MED Assessment payment transferred to	Not later than 45 days after the
SFTIDMC	quarterly filing deadline following
	the effective date, above.
MED services initiated	Not later than 45 days after the
	quarterly filing deadline following
	the effective date, above.

Governance of the District

The District will be managed by the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation, a 501c(6) non-profit corporation (SFTIDMC), the same organization that manages the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District. The SFTIDMC has been in operation since 2009 and has established policies and procedures to effectively manage the funds and business affairs of the SFTID. Significant cost savings will be realized by not establishing a new organization.

The SFTIDMC is responsible for the recent renovation of the existing convention center, which was accomplished on time and on budget. The renovation process included input from San Francisco's major convention customers – the Center's users – with oversight by the assessed businesses in the TID. Because Moscone Convention Center is booked to 70% of capacity, the SFTID worked with Center management, City agencies and private contractors to ensure that work did not displace previously booked business while fitting into previously unsold periods.

Under the terms of California's Property and Business Improvement District Law of 1994, as amended, the SFTIDMC is designated as the "owner's association" for the District, meaning that it will enter into a contract with the City, and will have the authority to manage the District and ensure that the improvements and activities described in this plan are carried out. The SFTIDMC has entered into an agreement with the San Francisco Travel Association (*SFTA*) to provide administrative services in support of TID operations. It is anticipated that SFTIDMC will enter into a similar agreement with SFTA for the new District.

The SFTIDMC is governed by a volunteer, 11-member Board of Directors. The majority of seats on the Board are reserved for representatives of the San Francisco hotel industry. Also, a majority of Board members shall be present or former directors of SFTA. Specifically, the structure of the SFTIDMC Board of Directors is as follows:

- Six seats are reserved for appointees representing tourist hotels;
- One seat is reserved for the Chair of San Francisco Travel Association;
- One seat is reserved for a representative of the Moscone Convention Center; and
- Three seats are reserved for at-large members of the tourism business community of San Francisco.

Meetings of the SFTIDMC are open to the public. Notice is posted on <u>www.sftid.com</u> and at the San Francisco Public Library, Main Branch.

Proposed City Financing of Moscone Convention Center Expansion

The City recognizes the significance of the convention industry to the economic health of the City. To that end, and in recognition of the critical component that the Moscone Convention Center plays with respect to sustaining growth in this area, in addition to the proposed establishment of the MED, the City, subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors, will authorize the execution and delivery of City indebtedness, the proceeds of which will be used to pay a portion of the costs for the expansion of the Moscone Convention Center, estimated at \$500 million. The City, subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors, will commit to payment of the following sums toward the Project, including debt service, as follows:

- Contribution of \$8.2 million in fiscal year 2019 with an increase of 3% per year through fiscal year 2028 up to cap of \$10.7 million, with a continuing contribution of no less than \$10.7 million per year for the remainder of the term of the District (the City's "*Base Contribution*").
- In addition, the City will fund shortfalls in any given year for purposes of debt service, which will be repaid from surpluses in MED assessments, as detailed in this plan.
- For purposes of this Project, "shortfall" means a fiscal year's debt service not covered by (a) the MED allocation to debt, plus (b) the City's \$8.2 million \$10.7 million contribution.

City contribution will be used for payment on any bonded indebtedness, financing lease (including principal and interest on any certificates of participation executed therein), or other similar obligations of the City issued to finance related professional consulting, architectural and other professional fees and issuance costs, together with a portion of hard construction cost. The project will be built using an alternative project delivery method called Construction Manager/General Contractor (CM/GC). The MED will select the CM/GC, with input from the City, and the MED will fund the cost of the CM/GC. The City will expend construction costs by procuring, pursuant to the City's contracting rules, and paying for trade contractors. The trade contractors will be overseen by the CM/GC funded by the MED. The City is the owner of the existing Moscone Convention Center, and will also own the expanded Moscone Convention facilities financed by District and City funds.

Flow of Funds

The City will collect MED revenues from hotels, withhold funds allocated to Development Activities in the Plan that are necessary to pay debt service, fund the Stabilization Fund and Sinking Fund, and fund repayment of the City's contribution toward any shortfall in debt service costs from prior years, and transfer to the MED the portion of revenue per the allocation outlined in the Management Plan.

Surpluses

For purposes of this plan, "Surpluses" mean any excess MED revenue allocated to Development Activities in the Plan that are not needed to fund the MED contributions toward debt service, *i.e.*, excluding the City Contribution toward debt service outlined above. Surpluses shall be applied as follows:

- To fund a Stabilization Fund of up to \$15,000,000, to be drawn upon in any year when lower than expected MED collections cause MED's contributions toward debt service to be lower than the sum set forth in cash flow projections with respect to the debt service for the Project; then
- 2. To fund a Sinking Fund in an amount equal to annual debt service beyond expiration of the District term less City Contribution; then
- 3. To the City as repayment for the City's contribution toward any shortfall in debt service costs from prior years, *i.e.*, City contributions, if any, in excess of the City's Base Contribution as outlined above; then
- 4. To the MED to fund future development, expansion, renovation, and capital improvements to the Moscone Center Campus.
- 5. Any funds remaining in the Stabilization Fund or Sinking Fund no longer needed for debt service, i.e., upon final maturity of the debt instruments, shall be distributed to MED or its successor in consultation with the City and the San Francisco Travel Association or its successor, for use consistent with part 4, above.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, with respect to funds allocated to the above funds 1 through 3, the City shall have the sole discretion to apply Surpluses among those three funds 1 through 3 in the order it deems in the best interests of the City.

Appendices

- A. List of Assessed Businesses
- B. Smith Travel Research (STR) Monthly Hotel Review, December 2011
- C. San Francisco Travel Association/Destination Analysts "San Francisco Visitor Industry Economic Impact Estimates 2011"
- D. Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis"
- E. Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Lodging Market Forecasting Study"
- F. Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Impact"

Appendix A - List of Assessed Businesses

All tourist hotels operating in the City and County of San Francisco that generate revenue from tourist rooms shall be included in the MED and assessed throughout the term of the MED, as more specifically provided for in this plan. The following is a list of hotels known at the time of adoption of this plan, which generate revenue from tourist rooms. Because this is a business-based District, hotels that generate revenue from tourist rooms that open for business within the District in the future will also be subject to the assessment.

Hotel Name	Address	Zone
1005 LARKIN ST	1005 LARKIN ST	1
1010 POST ST	1010 POST ST	1
1233-1235 MONTGOMERY ST A	1233 MONTGOMERY ST	1
1617 POLK RENTAL	1617 POLK ST	1
217-241 COLUMBUS APTS	237 COLUMBUS AVE	1
30-36 CASTLE ST APT	30 CASTLE ST	1
481 MINNA ST INN	481 MINNA ST	1
5 NIGHT-SVC@THE DONATELLO	501 POST ST	1
556 LARKIN ST	556 LARKIN ST	1
620 JONES STREET	620 JONES ST	1
626 OFARRELL ROOMS	626 OFARRELL ST	1
647 CLAY ST APTS	647 CLA¥ ST	1
654 GRANT AV RENTALS	654 GRANT AVE	1
656 PACIFIC RENTALS	656 PACIFIC AVE	1
735 WASHINGTON APTS	735 WASHINGTON ST	1
752 PACIFIC AVENUE	752 PACIFIC AVE	1
754 BROADWAY APTS	754 BROADWAY ST	1
809 STOCKTON ST APARTMENT	809 STOCKTON ST	1
815 CLAY ST RENTALS	815 CLAY ST	1
868 CLAY ST BLDG	868 CLAY ST	1
912 JACKSON RENTALS	912 JACKSON ST	1
977 FOLSOM HOTEL	977 FOLSOM ST	1
AALOHA CONDOS	440 PACIFIC AVE	1
ABBY HOTEL	630 GEARY ST	1
ABIGAIL HOTEL THE	246 MCALLISTER ST	1
ACER HOTEL	280 OFARRELL ST	1
ADANTE HOTEL	610 GEARY ST	1
ADMIRAL HOTEL	608 OFARRELL ST	1
ALDRICH HOTEL	439 JONES ST	1
ALEXANDER INN	415 O'FARRELL ST	1
ALEXIS PARK SAN FRANCISCO	825 POLK ST	1
ALKAIN HOTEL	948 MISSION ST	• 1
AMERICA HOTEL	1075 POST ST	1

AMERICANIA HOTEL	121 7TH ST	1
AMERICAS BEST VALUE INN S	10 HALLAM ST	1
AMERICAS BEST VALUE INN-U	505 OFARRELL ST	1
AMSTERDAM HOSTEL	749 TAYLOR ST	1
ANDREW HOTEL THE	624 POST ST	1
ANSONIA HOTEL	717 SUTTER ST	1
ANSONIA-CAMBRIDGE HOTEL	711 POST ST	1
ARGONAUT HOTEL	495 JEFFERSON ST	1
ARTMAR HOTEL	433 ELLIS ST	1
AUBURN HOTEL	481 MINNA ST	1
BAKER HOTEL	1485 PINE STREET	1
BALBOA HOTEL	120 HYDE ST	1
BALDWIN HOTEL	321 GRANT AVE	1
BASQUE HOTEL	15 ROMOLO PL	1
BAY BRIDGE INN	966 HARRISON ST	1
BAYSIDE INN AT THE WHARF	1201 COLUMBUS AVE	1
BEL-AIR HOTEL	344 JONES ST	1
BERESFORD ARMS HOTEL	701 POST ST	1
BERESFORD HOTEL	635 SUTTER ST	1
BEST INN	116 TAYLOR ST	. 1
BEST WESTERN CIVIT CENTER	364 9TH STREET	1
BILTMORE HOTEL	735 TAYLOR ST	1
BOSTON HOTEL	140 TURK ST	1
BRISTOL HOTEL	56 MASON ST	1
BUDGET INN	1139 MARKET ST	1
CABLE CAR COURT HOTEL	1499 CALIFORNIA ST	1
CABLE CAR HOTEL	1388 CALIFORNIA ST	1
CADILLAC HOTEL	380 EDDY ST	1
CALIFORNIA HOTEL	910 924 GEARY ST	1
CAMPTON PLACE SF A TAJ HT	340 STOCKTON	1
CARLTON HOTEL	1075 SUTTER ST	1
CARRIAGE INN	140 7TH ST	1
CASA MELISSA	615 UNION ST	1
CASTLE INN	1565 BROADWAY ST	1
CASTRO HOTEL INC	705 VALLEJO ST	1
CATHEDRAL HILL HOTEL	1101 VAN NESS AVE	1
CATHIDRAL HILL HOTEL	1101 VAN NESS AV	1
CHANCELLOR HOTEL	433 POWELL ST	1
CHASE HOTEL	1278 MARKET ST	1
CHINESE GENERAL PEACE ASS	48A SPOFFORD ALY	1
CHL INTERNATIONAL ASSOC 1	120 ELLIS ST	1
CIVIC CENTER INN	790 ELLIS ST	1
CLUB DONATELLO	501 POST ST	1
CLUB DONATELLO OWNERS ASS	501 POST ST	1

CLUB QUARTERS SAN FRANCISCO	424 CLAY ST	1
COLUMBUS HOTEL	354 COLUMBUS AVE	1
COLUMBUS MOTOR INN	1075 COLUMBUS AVE	1
CORNELL HOTEL	715 BUSH ST	1
COURTYARD BY MARRIOTT AT	580 BEACH ST	1
COVA HOTEL	655 ELLIS ST	1
CRESCENT SAN FRANCISCO	417 STOCKTON ST	1
CW HOTEL	917 FOLSOM ST	1
DA VINCI VILLA	2550 VAN NESS AVE	1
DAKOTA HOTEL	606 POST ST	1
DANIEL K YOST	52 SONOMA ST	1
DESMOND HOTEL	42 6TH ST	1
DONNELLY HOTEL	1272 MARKET ST	1
DRAKE HOTEL	235 EDDY ST	1
EARLE HOTEL THE	284 GOLDEN GATE AVE	1
EDDY HOTEL	640 EDDY ST	1
EDGEWORTH HOTEL LLC	770 OFARRELL ST	1
EL DORADO	1385 MISSION ST 200	1
EMBASSY U M A	610 POLK ST	1
EMPEROR NORTON	615 POST ST	1
ENCORE EXPRESS A NOB HILL	1353 BUSH ST	1
ENTELLA HOTEL	905 COLUMBUS AVE	1
EUROPA HOTEL	310 COLUMBUS AVE	1
EUROPEAN HOSTEL	761 MINNA ST	1
EXECUSTAY CORP	0000 VARIOUS LOCATIONS	1
EXECUTIVE HOTEL MARK TWAI	345 TAYLOR ST	1
EXECUTIVE HOTEL VINTAGE	650 BUSH ST	1
FAIRMONT HERITAGE PLACE,	900 NORTH POINT STREET	1
FAIRMONT HOTEL	950 MASON ST	1
FITZGERALD HOTEL	620 POST ST	1
FLORENCE HOTEL	1351 STOCKTON ST	1
FOUR SEASONS HOTEL SF	757 MARKET ST	1
FRANCISCAN HOTEL	205 09TH ST	1
FREDERIC WALDMAN	1139 GREEN ST	1
FX STUDIOS	15A SUMNER STREET	1
GALLERIA PARK HOTEL	191 SUTTER ST	1
GATEWAY INN	438 O'FARRELL ST	1
GINA HOTEL	221 07TH ST	1
GINKGO HOTEL	3032 16TH ST	1
GLENN REYNOLDS	9 SUMNER ST	1
GLOBAL VILLAGE HOSTEL	374 5TH ST	1
GLOBETROTTERS INN	225 ELLIS ST	1
GOLDEN EAGLE	402 BROADWAY ST	1
GOLDEN GATE HALL	1412 MARKET ST	1

GOLDEN GATE HOTEL	775 BUSH ST	1
GRAND HYATT SAN FRANCISCO	345 STOCKTON ST	1
GRANT HOTEL INC	753 BUSH ST	1
GRANT PLAZA HOTEL	465 GRANT AVE	1
GREEN TORTOISE GUEST HOUS	1118 KEARNY ST	1
GROSVENOR HOUSE	899 PINE ST	1
HALCYON HOTEL LLC	649 JONES ST	1
HANDLERY HOTELS	260 OFARRELL ST	1
HARBOR COURT HOTEL	165 STEUART ST	1
HARCOURT HOTEL	1105 LARKIN ST	1
HAVELI HOTEL	37 6TH ST	1
HELEN HOTEL	166 TURK ST	1
HENRY HOTEL	106 6TH ST	1
HERBERT HOTEL	161 POWELL ST	1
HERITAGE MARINA HOTEL	2550 VAN NESS AVE	1
HILTON S F FINANCIAL DIST	750 KEARNY ST	1
HILTON S.F. FISHERMAN'S W	2620 JONES ST	1
HILTON SAN FRANCISCO	333 O'FARRELL ST	1
HOLIDAY INN EXPRESS HOTEL	550 NORTH POINT ST	1
HOLIDAY INN FISHERMAN'S W	1300 COLUMBUS AVE	1
HOLIDAY INN GOLDEN GATEWA	1500 VAN NESS AVE	1
HOLIDAY INN-CIVIC CENTER	50 8TH ST	1
HOTEL ABRI	127 ELLIS ST	1
HOTEL ADAGIO	550 GEARY ST	1
HOTEL AMERICA	1087 MARKET ST	1
HOTEL ASTORIA	510 BUSH ST	1
HOTEL BIJOU	111 MASON ST	1
HOTEL BOHEME	444 COLUMBUS AVE	1
HOTEL DALWONG	242 POWELL ST	1
HOTEL DES ARTS	447 BUSH ST	1
HOTEL DIVA	440 GEARY ST	1
HOTEL FRANK	386-GEARY ST	1
HOTEL FUSION	140 ELLIS ST	1
HOTEL GRIFFON	155 STEUART ST	1
HOTEL METROPOLIS	25 MASON ST	1
HOTEL MILANO	55 5TH ST	1
HOTEL MONACO	501 GEARY ST	1
HOTEL NIKKO SF	222 MASON ST	1
HOTEL PALOMAR	12 4TH ST	1
HOTEL PHILLIP	205 9TH ST	1
HOTEL REX	562 SUTTER ST	1
HOTEL SUTTER LARKIN	1048 LARKIN ST	1
HOTEL TRITON	342 GRANT AVE	1
HOTEL UNION SQUARE	114 POWELL ST	1

HOTEL VERTIGO	940 SUTTER ST	1
HOTEL VITALE	8 MISSION ST	1
HOTEL WHITCOMB	1231 MARKET ST	1
HUNTER HOTEL	102 6TH ST	1
HUNTINGTON HOTEL	1075 CALIFORNIA ST	1
HYATT AT FISHERMAN'S WHAR	555 NORTH POINT ST	1
HYATT REGENCY SAN FRANCIS	5 EMBARCADERO CENTER	1
HYDE REGENCY HOTEL	1531 HYDE ST	. 1
IL TRIANGOLO HOTEL	524 COLUMBUS AVE	1
INN AT OREILLYS	106 FERN ST	1
INN AT UNION SQUARE THE	440 POST ST	1
INN ON BROADWAY	2201 VAN NESS AVE	1
INTER CONTINENTAL SAN FRA	888 HOWARD ST	1
JONES HOTEL	515 JONES ST	1
JW MARRIOTT SF UNION SQ	500 POST ST	1
KEAN HOTEL	1018 MISSION ST	1
KENSINGTON PARK HOTEL	450 POST ST	1
KIM OY LEE	801 PACIFIC AVE	1
KING GEORGE HOTEL	334 MASON ST	1
KINIGHTS INN - DOWNTOWN	240 7TH ST	1
KRUPA HOTEL	700 JONES ST	1
LANDMARK REALTY	550 15 [™] ST	1
LARKSPUR HOTEL UNION SQUA	524 SUTTER ST	1
LAYNE HOTEL	545 JONES ST	1
LE MERIDIEN SAN FRANCISCO	333 BATTERY ST	1
LIGURIA HOTEL	371 COLUMBUS AVE	1
LORRAINE HOTEL	740 BROADWAY ST	1
LUM WAI KUI & LAN WAI	673 BROADWAY ST	1
LUZ HOTEL	725 GEARY ST	1
MANDARIN ORIENTAL SF	222 SANSOME ST	1
MANNING PROPERIES	1037 1039 BROADWAY ST	1
MARILYN INN	27 DASHIELL HAMMETT ST	1
MARINE MEMORIAL ASSN	609 SUTTER ST	. 1
MARK HOPKINS HOTEL	999 CALIFORNIA ST	1
MART MOTEL	101 9TH ST	1
MAYFLOWER HOTEL	975 BUSH ST	1
MCSWEENEY CONSTRUCTION	1155 LEAVENWORTH ST #11	1
MERIT HOTEL	1105 POST ST	1
MIDORI HOTEL	1325 MISSION ST	1
MITHILA HOTEL	972 SUTTER ST	1
MOTEL 6	895 GEARY ST	1
MUSIC CITY HOTEL	1353 BUSH ST	1
NAZARETH HOTEL	556 JONES ST	1
NEW CENTURY MANAGEMENT LL	1580 WASHINGTON STREET, SF	1

NOB HILL HOTEL	835 HYDE ST	1
NOB HILL INN	1000 PINE ST	1
NOB HILL INN CITY PLAN ET	1000 PINE ST	1
NOB HILL MOTOR INN	1630 PACIFIC AVE	1
NORMANDIE HOTEL	251 9TH ST	1
NORTH BEACH HOTEL	935 KEARNY ST	1
OAKTREE HOTEL	45 6TH ST	1
OAKWOOD HOTEL	44 5TH ST	1
OBRERO HOTEL	1208 STOCKTON ST	1
OMNI SAN FRANCISCO HOTEL	500 CALIFORNIA ST	1
ORANGE VILLAGE HOTEL	411 OFARRELL ST	1
ORCHARD GARDEN HOTEL	466 BUSH ST	1
ORCHARD HOTEL	665 BUSH ST	1
ORLANDO HOTEL	995 HOWARD ST	1
PACIFIC TRADEWINDS HOSTEL	680 SACRAMENTO ST	1
PAGE HOTEL	161 LEAVENWORTH ST	1
PALACE HOTEL	2 NEW MONTGOMERY ST	1
PALO ALTO HOTEL	1685 SACRAMENTO	1
PARC 55 HOTEL	55 CYRIL MAGNIN	1
PARK HOTEL LLC	325 SUTTER ST	1
PETITE AUBERGE	863 BUSH ST	1
PHOENIX INN	601 EDDY ST	1
PICKWICK HOTEL	85 5TH ST	1
PIEDMONT HOTEL	1449 POWELL ST	1
PONTIAC HOTEL	138 6TH ST	1
POST HOTEL	589 POST ST	1
POTTER HOTEL	1288 MISSION ST	1
POWELL HOTEL	28 CYRIL MAGNIN ST	1
POWELL PLACE CITY/SHARE	730 POWELL ST	1
PRESCOTT HOTEL	545 POST ST	1
QUALITY INN SAN FRANCISCO	2775 VAN NESS AVE	1
RADISSON AT FISHERMAN'S W	250 BEACH	1
RAM'S HOTEL	80 9TH ST 27	1
RAPHAEL HOUSE	1065 SUTTER ST	1
RED COACH MOTOR LODGE	700 EDDY ST	1
REGENCY HOTEL	1214 POLK ST. 201 MG	1
REININGA CORPORATION	900 N POINT ST	1
RENOIR HOTEL	45 MCALLISTER ST	1
REST STOP	1137 GREEN ST	. 1
RHC/POWELL PLACE AT NOB H	730 POWELL PLACE ST	1
RITZ CARLTON SAN FRANCISC	600 STOCKTON ST	1
RIVIERA HOTEL	420 JONES ST	1
ROYAL INN	130 EDDY ST	1
ROYAL PACIFIC MOTEL	661 BROADWAY	1

SAM WONG HOTEL	615 BROADWAY ST		1
SAN FRAN. SECOND HOME	1831 LARKIN ST	4	1
SAN FRANCISCO MARRIOTT	55 4TH ST		1
SAN FRANCISCO MARRIOTT UN	480 SUTTER ST		1
SAN FRANCISCO SUITES	710 POWELL ST	•	1
SAN REMO HOTEL THE	2237 MASON ST		1
SERRANO HOTEL	405 TAYLOR ST	· · · · · · · ·	1
SESTRI HOTEL	1411 STOCKTON ST	· .	1
SF DOWNTOWN COURTYARD MAR	299 2ND ST	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
SF MARRIOT FISHERMAN'S WH	1250 COLUMBUS AVE	· ·	1
SF PROP OWNERS ASSOC INC	750 SUTTER ST		1
SHAHIL HOTEL	664 LARKIN ST		1
SHARON HOTEL	226 6TH ST		1
SHEEHAN HOTEL	620 SUTTER ST		1
SHELDON HOTEL	629 POST ST	· ;· ·	1
SHERATON FISHERMANS WHARF	2500 MASON ST		1
SHIRLEY HOTEL	1544 POLK ST		1
SIR FRANCIS DRAKE HOTEL	450 POWELL ST	·····	1
SOLANKI VIRENDRASINH	41 6TH ST		1
SONNY HOTEL	579 OFARRELL ST	·	1
SONOMA INN	1485 BUSH ST		1
SOUTH BEACH MARINA APTS	2 TOWNSEND ST		1
SPAULDING HOTEL LLC	240 OFARRELL ST		1
ST CLARE HOTEL	1334 VAN NESS AVE		1
ST CLOUD HOTEL	170 6TH ST		1
ST MORITZ HOTEL	190 OFARRELL ST	-	1
ST REGIS HOTEL SF	657 MISSION ST	200	1
STANFORD HOTEL	250 KEARNY ST		1
STANLEY HOTEL	1544 CALIFORNIA ST		1
STEINHART HOTEL	952 SUTTER ST		1
STRATFORD HOTEL	242 POWELL ST		1
SUITES AT FISHERMANS WHAR	2655 HYDE ST		1
SUNNYSIDE HOTEL	135 6TH ST		1
SUNSET HOTEL	161 SIXTH ST	#100	1
SUTTER/LARKIN HOTEL	1048 LARKIN ST		1
SVC@FISHERMAN'S WHARF	2655 HYDE ST		1
SVC@THE DONATELLO	501 POST ST		1
SWEDEN HOUSE HOTEL	570 O'FARRELL ST		1
SWEDEN HOUSE HOTEL	570 O'FARRELL ST		1
SWEETWATER AT SAN FRANCIS	845 PINE ST	•	1
SYCAMORE HOTEL	2446 VAN NESS AVE		1
SYNERGY CORPORATE HOUSING	12657 ALCOSTA BLVD	550	1
TAYLOR HOTEL	615 TAYLOR ST	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· 1
THE ALLEN HOTEL LLC	411 EDDY ST		1

THE CLIFT HOTEL	495 GEARY ST	1
THE DONATELLO HOTEL	501 POST ST	1
THE FAIRMONT S F - RENTAL	950 MASON ST	1
THE GAYLORD SUITES	620 JONES ST	1
THE GOOD HOTEL	112 7TH ST	1
THE HOTEL ADAGIO	550 GEARY ST	1
THE HOTEL CALIFORNIA	580 GEARY ST	1
THE HOTEL MARIA	517 BROADWAY	1
THE MAXWELL HOTEL-RENTAL	386 GEARY ST	1
THE MONARCH HOTEL	1015 GEARY ST	1
THE MOSSER HOTEL	54 4TH ST	1
THE OPAL SAN FRANCISCO	1050 VAN NESS AVE	1
THE REGENCY HOTEL	587 EDDY ST	1
THE RITZ-CARLTON CLUB	690 MARKET ST	1
THE STANFORD CT A REN HOT	905 CALIFORNIA ST	1
THE SUITES AT FISHERMAN'S	2655 HYDE ST	1
THE TOUCHSTONE HOTEL	480 GEARY ST	1
THE VILLA FLORENCE	225 POWELL ST	1
THE WESTIN SF MARKET ST	50 3RD ST	1
TUSCAN INN	425 NORTH POINT ST	1
UNION SQ BACKPACKERS HOST	70 DERBY ST	1
UNION SQUARE PLAZA HOTEL	432 GEARY ST	1
UNIVERSITY CLUB	800 POWELL ST	1
UTAH HOTEL	504 4TH ST	1
VAGABOND INN	385 9TH ST	1
VAN NESS MOTEL	2850 VAN NESS AVE	1
VANTAGGIO SUITES	835 TURK STREET	1
VANTAGGIO SUITES COSMO	761 POST ST	1
VANTASSIO SUITES UNION SQ	580 O'FARRELL ST	1
VILLA SOMA	1550-54 HOWARD ST	1
VRI*ETY NOB HILL INN	1000 PINE ST	1
VVV RENTAL LLC	333 FULTON ST	1
W HOTEL SAN FRANCISCO	181 THIRD ST	1
WALAND SUREKHAVEN C.	152 6TH ST	1
WARFIELD HOTEL	118 TAYLOR ST	1
WARWICK REGIS HOTEL	490 GEARY ST	1
WASHINGTON SQUARE INN	1660 STOCKTON ST	1
WATERFRONT MANAGEMENT LLC	884-886 NORTH POINT ST	1
WESTIN ST FRANCIS THE	335 POWELL ST	1
WESTON HOTEL	335 LEAVENWORTH ST	1
WHARF MOTEL THE	2601 MASON ST	1
WHITE SWAN INN	845 BUSH ST	1
WILLIAM PEN HOTEL	160 EDDY ST	1
WINSOR HOTEL	20 6TH ST	1

WINTON HOTEL	445 OFARRELL ST	. 1
WORLDMARK SAN FRANCISCO	590 BUSH ST	1
WORLDMARK THE CLUB	590 BUSH ST	1
WVR SAN FRANCISCO	750 SUTTER ST	1
WYNDHAM VACATION RESORTS	750 SUTTER ST	1
WYNDHAM VACATION RESORTS	750 SUTTER ST	1
YOUTH HOSTEL CENTREAL	116 TURK ST	1
YUG HOTEL	2072 MISSION ST	1
1007 DE HARO RENTALS	1007 DE HARO ST	2
109 CORNWALL ST	109 CORNWALL ST	2
1257 9TH AVE APARTMENTS	1257 9TH AVE	2
182-184 CARL STREET	182 CARL ST	2
210 5TH AVE APTS	210 5TH AVE	2
2263-2269 SACRAMENTO HOTE	2263 SACRAMENTO ST	2
24 HENRY ST	24 HENRY ST	2
3143 FILLMORE ST APT	3143 FILLMORE ST	2
3987 19TH ST	3987 19TH ST	2
4425 CABRILLO ST	4425 CABRILLO ST	2
5 NIGHT-SVC@INN AT THE OP	333 FULTON ST	2
7710-7718 APT BUILDING	7710 7718 GEARY BLVD	2
ADELAIDE HOSTEL LLC	5 ISADORA DUNCAN LANE	2
ALBION HOTEL	3143 16TH ST	2
AMAZON MOTEL	5060 MISSION ST	2
AMERICAS BEST VLE-GOLDEN	2322 LOMBARD ST	2
AMIT HOTEL	2060 MISSION ST	2
AMY ARCHER	863 45TH AVE	2
ANGELS OF ARMS IND LIVING	1150 PALOU ST G	2
ARCHIBISHOPS MANSION	1000 FULTON	2
ASCOT HOTEL	1657 MARKET ST	2
AT THE PRESIDIO TRAVELODG	2755 LOMBARD ST	2
BABY BEAR'S HOUSE	1424 PAGE ST	2
BARNETT LATRICE	785 SAN JOSE AVE	2
BEACH MOTEL	4211 JUDAH ST	2
BECK'S MOTOR LODGE	2222 MARKET ST	2
BELVEDERE HOUSE	598 BELVEDERE ST	2
BEST INN	2707 LOMBARD ST	2
BEST WESTERN HOTEL TOMO	1800 SUTTER ST	2
BETH MAZIE & JEREL GLASSM	3773 22ND ST	2
BHART HOTEL	866 VALENCIA ST	2
BOOLA'S BED AND BREADKAST	1150 HAIGHT ST	2
BRIDGE MOTEL	2524 LOMBARD ST	2
BROWNSTONE PROPERTIES	917 CENTRAL AVE	2
BRUCE BOARD & CARE HOME	12 BYRON CT	2
BUENA VISTA MOTOR INN	1599 LOMBARD ST	2

CARL HOTEL	198 CARL ST	2
CASA BUENA VISTA RENTAL	783 BUENA VISTA W	2
CASA LOMA HOTEL	610 FILLMORE ST	2
CASTILLO INN	48 HENRY ST	2
CATTLEMEN HOTEL	3900 3RD ST	2
CHATEAU TIVOLI	1057 STEINER ST	2
CHATEAU VACATION RENTALS	570 OAK PARK DR	2
CHELSEA MOTOR INN	2095 LOMBARD ST	2
CHIPPENDALE HOTEL	492 GROVE ST	2
CIVIC CENTRAL HOTEL	20 12TH ST	2
COVENTRY MOTOR INN	1901 LOMBARD ST	2
COW HOLLOW MOTOR INN	2190 LOMBARD ST	2
CROWN HOTEL LLC	528 VALENCIA ST	2
CRYSTAL HOTEL	2766 MISSION ST	2.
CURTIS HOTEL	559 VALENCIA ST	2
DAYS INN	465 GROVE ST	· 2
DAYS INN LOMBARD	2358 LOMBARD ST	2
DAYS INN-SLOAT BLVD	2600 SLOAT BLVD	2
DELBEX HOTEL	2126 MISSION ST	2
DOLORES PLACE	3842 25TH ST	2
DUNCAN HOUSE	173 DUNCAN ST	2
ECONO LODGE	2505 LOMBARD ST	2
ECONOMY INN	2 WEST CLAY ST	2
EDWARD II HOTEL	3155 SCOTT ST	2
EDWARDIAN HOTEL	1668 MARKET ST	2
EL CAPITAN HOTEL	2361 MISSION ST	2
ELEMENTS HOTEL	2524 MISSION ST	2
ELITE HOTEL	1001 CLEMENT ST	2
EULA HOTEL	3061 16TH ST	2
FRANCISCO BAY MOTEL	1501 LOMBARD ST	2
GEARY PARKWAY MOTEL	4750 GEARY BLVD	2
GOLDEN GATE VISTA GUEST A	1625 SHRADER ST	2
GRAYWOOD HOTEL	3308 MISSION ST	2
GREAT HIGHWAY MOTOR INN	1234 GREAT HWY	2
GREENWICH INN	3201 STEINER ST	2
GRIFFITH & HARRIS UNIV GU	763 COLE ST	2
HAYES VALLEY INN	417 GOUGH ST	2
HERB 'N INN THE	525 ASHBURY ST	2
HIDDEN COTTAGE BED/BREAKF	1186 NOE ST	2
HOLLAND HOTEL	1 RICHARDSON AVE	2
HOME BY THE PARK	706 15TH AVE	2
HOTEL CAPRI	2015 GREENWICH ST	2
HOTEL DEL SOL	3100 WEBSTER ST	2
HOTEL DRISCO	2901 PACIFIC AVE	2

HOTEL KABUKI	1625 POST ST	2
HOTEL MAJESTIC	1500 SUTTER ST	2
HOTEL MIRABELLE LLC	1906 MISSION ST	2
HOTEL SUNRISE	447 VALENCIA ST	2
HOTEL TROPICANA THE	663 VALENCIA ST	· 2
HOTEL VICTORIANA	1023-25 HAIGHT ST	2
INN AT THE OPERA	333 FULTON ST	2
INN GROVE THE	890 GROVE ST	2
INN ON CASTRO	321 CASTRO ST	2
INN SAN FRANCISCO	943 S VAN NESS AVE	2
JACKSON COURT CITY SHARES	2198 JACKSON ST	2
JERRY HOTEL	3032 16TH ST	2
JLARAM HOTEL LLC	868 VALENCIA ST	2
JULIAN HOUSE HOTEL	179 JULIAN AVE	2
KENNEDY HOTEL	4544 3RD ST	2
KRISHNA HOTEL	2032 MISSION ST	2
LA LUNA INN	2555 LOMBARD ST	2
LAUREL INN	444 PRESIDIO AVE	2
LISA WIST	618 BUCHANAN ST A	2
LOEWE RENTAL COMPANY	2527 42ND AVE, SAN FRANCISCO CA	2
LOMBARD MOTOR INN	1475 LOMBARD ST	2
LOMBARD PLAZA MOTEL	2026 LOMBARD ST	2
LUXSF	30 RICHLAND AVE	2
MARINA INN	3110 OCTAVIA ST	2
MARINA MOTEL	2576 LOMBARD ST	2
METRO HOTEL THE	319 DIVISADERO ST	2
MISSION SERRA HOTEL	5630 MISSION ST	2
MOFFATT HOUSE RESERVATION	1401 7TH AVE	2
MONTE CRISTO THE	600 PRESIDIO	2
MY ROSEGARDEN GUEST ROOMS	75 20TH AVE	2
NOE PLACE LIKE HOME	1187A NOE ST	2
NOE VALLEY SWEET SUITE	1386 NOE ST	2
NORMA HOTEL	2697 MISSION ST	2
OAK HOTEL	171 FELL ST	2
OASIS INN UMA	900 FRANKLIN ST	2
OCEAN PARK MOTEL	2690 46TH AVE	2
OCEANVIEW MOTEL	4340 JUDAH ST	2
PACIFIC HEIGHTS INN	1555 UNION ST	2
PAMELA MCGARRY	2383 GREENWICH ST	2
PARKER HOUSE THE	520 CHURCH ST	2
PERRAMONT HOTEL	2162 MARKET ST	2
PETER STALDER VAC'T RET'L	4343 19TH ST	2
PINWHEEL PROPERTIES	2634 23RD AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	2
POLINA MYASKOVSKY	1562 11TH AVE	2

POTRERO HILL HOUSE	1110 RHODE ISLAND ST	2
PRESIDIO BED & BREAKFAST	14 LIBERTY ST 104	2
PRESIDIO INN	2361 LOMBARD ST	2
PRITA HOTEL	2284 MISSION ST	2
QUEEN ANNE HOTEL	1590 SUTTER ST	2
RACHEL DONOVAN	141 DUNCAN ST	2.
RADAH HOTEL	2042 MISSION ST	2
RAMADA LTD - GOLDEN GATE	1940 LOMBARD ST	2
RED VICTORIAN BED ETC	1665 HAIGHT ST	2
REDWOOD INN	1530 LOMBARD ST	2
ROBERTS AT THE BEACH MTL	2828 SLOAT BLVD	2
RODEWAY INN	860 EDDY ST	2
RUBY ROSE HOTEL	730 22ND ST	2
SAMAYOA EDWARD R & GEORGE	864 TREAT AVE	2
SEAL ROCK INN MOTEL	545 POINT LOBOS AVE	2
SEASIDE INN	1750 LOMBARD ST	2
SERAPINNSF	1409 SUTTER ST	2
SF GUESTHOUSE	3120 GEARY BLVD	2
SF HOLIDAY RENTALS	3 PORTER ST	2
SF MOTOR INN	1750 LOMBARD ST	. 2
SIMONE DEVRIES & CURTIS S	3226 25TH ST A	2
SLEEP	135 GOUGH ST	2
STANYAN PARK HOTEL LLC	750 STANYAN ST	2
STUDIO ON SIXTH	1387 6TH AVE	2
SUPER 8 MOTEL	2440 LOMBARD ST	2
SURF MOTEL	2265 LOMBARD ST	2
SVC@INN AT THE OPERA	333 FULTON ST	2
THE ELDER LIVING TRUST	1009 1/2 CASTRO ST	2
THE IVY HOTEL	539 OCTAVIA ST	2
THE LOURDESS INN	80 JULIAN AVE	2
THE PARSONAGE	198 HAIGHT ST	2
THE SENTIENT SF	179 JULIAN AVE	2
THE UNION STREET INN	2229 UNION ST	2
THE VALENCIANO HOMES	935 ULLOA ST	2
THE VILLA-SAN FRANCISCO V	379 COLLINGWOOD ST	2
THE WILLOWS INN	710 14TH ST	2
THOMAS CARLISLE	930 BAKER ST	2
TOWN HOUSE MOTEL	1650 LOMBARD ST	2
TRAVELODGE BY THE BAY THE	1450 LOMBARD ST	2
TRAVELODGE CENTRAL	1707 MARKET ST	2
TRAVELODGE GOLDEN GATE	2230 LOMBARD ST	2
TWIN PEAKS HOTEL	2160 MARKET ST	2
TWYMANS GUEST HOUSE	1420 6TH AVE	2
UNION HOTEL	2030 MISSION ST	2

USA HOSTEL SAN FRANCISCO	711 POST ST	2
USA HOSTELS	630 GEARY ST	2
WESTMAN HOTEL	2056 MISSION ST	2
WHITT	1359 4TH AVE	2

Appendix B

Smith Travel Research (STR) Monthly Hotel Review, December 2011



Translation Table

For the Month of: December 2011

201	Thu
nber	Wed
December	Tue
	u

Sat

E

Thu

Wed

Tue

Mon

Sun

December 2010

ო

N

8 52

ŋ 22

4 Ч

ო 9

ന

24

9

30 33 ω

33

28

20 <u>6</u> 42 ŝ

20 27

Ξ

Sat	ຕ	10	17	24	ю Г	
Fri	N	თ	16	23	30	
Thu	-	8	1 <u>5</u>	22	58	
Wed Thu		7	14 4	21	28	
Tue		9	13	20	27	
Mon		ß	12	19	26	
Sun		4	1	18	25	

This Year

Wednesday, Dec 21st - First Day of Hanukkah Sunday, Dec 25th - Christmas Monday, Dec 26th - First Day of Kwanzaa Saturday, Dec 31st - New Year's Eve Saturday, Dec 24th - Christmas Eve

52 Number of Weekdays: Number of Weekend Days:

Last Year

Thursday, Dec 2nd - First Day of Hanukkah Sunday, Dec 26th - First Day of Kwanzaa Friday, Dec 31st - New Year's Eve Friday, Dec 24th - Christmas Eve Saturday, Dec 25th - Christmas

. 22 0 Number of Weekdays: Number of Weekend Days:

Note: Weekdays - Sunday through Thursday, Weekends - Friday and Saturday

DISCLOSURE

STR's Hotel Review is a publication of STR and is intended solely for use by paid subscribers. Reproduction or distribution of the Hotel Review, in whole or part, without written permission of STR is prohibited and subject to legal action. Site licenses are available. Ownership, distribution and use of the Hotel Review and its contents are subject to the terms set forth in the contract you have entered into with STR. Source 2012 Smith Travel Research, inc.

U.S. Hotel Industry at a Glance For the Month of: December 2011

.

		ົ				
up 0.5 % 👗 up 0.5 %		dn	0.6 %		dn	0.6 %
up 4.6 % 📐 up 4.3 %		dn	5.0 %		dn	5.0 %
up 4.1 % 🔥 up 3.8 %	•	h	4.4 %	◄	dn	4.4 %
up 3.4 % 🔥 up 3.9 %		d n	3.7 %		dn	3.7 %
up 7.6 % 👗 up 7.8 %	7.8 %	dn	8.2 %	◀	dn	8.2 %

1032

DISCLOSURE

STP's Hotel Review is a publication of STR and is intended solely for use by paid subscribers. Reproduction or distribution of the Hotel Review, in whole or part, without written permission of STR is prohibited and subject to legal action. Site ficenses are available. Ownership, distribution and use of the Hotel Review and its contents are subject to the terms set forth in the contract you have entered into with STR. Source 2012 Smith Travel Research, Inc.

e

•	Segments
	Industry
	nance by
	Perform

For the Month of: December 2011



DISCLOSURE STR's Hotel Review is a publication of STR and is intended soley for use by paid subscribers. Reproduction or distribution of the Hotel Review, in whole or part, without written permission of STR is prohibited and subject to legal action. Ilcenses are available. Ownership, distribution and use of the Hotel Review and its contract to the terms set forth in the contract you have entered into with STR. Source 2012 Smith Travel Research, Inc.

Slle

Performance in Top 25 Markets For the Month of: December 2011



0.9 STR Helei Review is a publication of STR and is intended solely for use by paid subscribers. Reproduction or distribution of the Holei Review, in whole or part, without witten parmission of STR is prohibled and subject to legal action. Sits itemas are available, Ownership, distribution and use of the Holei Review and its connents are subject to legal action. Sits itemas are available, Ownership, distribution and use of the 7.9 8.8 9.2 3.7 4.5 75.40 47.77 56.43 82.34 51.31 61.06 98.06 123.59 69.90 101.64 63.7 54.7 57.5 66.6 57.1 60.1 444 0.4 0 7.3 8.8 6.7 7.6 7.6 3.9.0 3.6 4.4 63.81 35.02 44.12 68.09 37.93 47.48 118.89 83.15 96.41 122.48 86.30 99.67 53.7 42.1 65.6 47.6 DISCLOSURE

5.0

6.0 3.1 3.7 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 12.5

60.58 86.83

114.40 103.96 125.99

54.7 80.9 67.6 67.6 66.2 58.3 79.0 68.9 68.8 60.5 58.5 50.5

47,18 66,49 66,49 227,57 26,32 26,32 149,31 60,51 60,51 60,51 60,51 60,51 60,51 60,51 60,51 60,51 60,51 61,12 31,41 61,12 53,15 61,12 53,15 61,12 53,15 61,12 61,1

52.7 64,4

San Diego, CA San Francisco/San Maleo, CA

77.5 37.0 79.7 51.0 51.0

Vorfolk-Virginia Beach, VA Dahu Island, HI

Vew Orleans, LA Vew York, NY

Orlando, FL Philadelphia, PA-NJ Phoenix, AZ

76.08 85.47

48.1 42.4 46.8 46.8

Tampa-St Petersburg, FL Washington, DC-MD-VA

St Louis, MO-IL

eattle, WA

otal United State All Other Markets

Fop 25 Markets

60.6 660.6 664.4 664.8 663.9 665.4 665.4 665.4 75.1 75.1 75.1 75.1 75.1 75.1

4.4 5.0 9.7 0.7 0.7 0.7

56.17 81.02 102.40 72.31 46.12 50.44 96.16

122.54 78.99 49.05 56.72 97.60

155.14 114.89 83.78 93.82 144.76

118.30 87.29

2.9 3.6 3.7

9 1 8 14.1 14.8

54.60 88.33

101.36 55.14 50.52 74.70 187.28

115,65 60.98 58.01 78.38 198.52 46.08 133.46 63.51 63.51 75.72

123.15 152.95 95.40 93.33 93.33 122.15 84.24 165.05 93.94

70.4

1.0 0.3

41.59

filmneapolis-St Paul, MN-WI lashville, TN

iami-Hialeah, Fi

0.6

44.71 117.36 57.98 69.16

Performance by State

For the Month of: December 2011

December 2011 vs December 2010

	2010 42.5 42.5 42.5 53.3 0 53.3 0 55.7 0 55.0 0 55.7 0 55.0 0 55.	2011 66.96 66.96 66.96 66.96 66.95 91.07 11.60 72.56 72.51 72.55 72.55 72.55 69.24 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 69.24 70.14 108.15 72.55 69.24 70.14 108.15 72.55 69.24 70.14 108.15 72.55 69.24 70.1	2010 66.15 85.79 85.79 85.56 1103.46 71.48 71.48 71.48 71.48 71.48 71.20 71.20 71.20 66.94	2011 28.33 42.82 39.30 24.78 60.27 56.76 56.76	2010 28,12 42.02 39,13	000 -0.5 -2.2	ADR F	RevPAR 0.7	Rev 1.3 2.0	Avail Avail 0.5 0.1	Sold 0.0	
a a taken a ta	422 422 422 422 422 422 422 422 422 422		66.15 86.79 85.67 85.67 85.67 103.74 120.74 120.74 120.74 105.10 71.48 88.63 71.20 71.21 66.94 66.94	28.33 42.82 39.30 24.78 60.27 56.76 42.41	28.12 42.02 39.13	-0.5 -2.2	21 C	0.7 1.9	2.0	0.5	0.0	
as as the second states the se	490 357 357 357 357 357 357 357 357 357 357		86.79 82.67 82.67 103.55 1120.74 91.71 186.94 71.21 71.21 71.21 66.94 66.94	42.82 39.30 24.78 60.27 56.76 42.41	42.02 39.13	22		-	2.0	5		
a se	8 4 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	84.30 65.95 125.49 95.53 91.01 71.60 219.79 71.56 91.75 71.56 91.75 71.56 91.76 91.76 91.74 78.73 78.73 78.73 78.73 78.73 78.73 78.73 78.73 74.56 91.76 71.60 71.60 71.60 71.60 71.60 71.60 71.60 71.60 71.60 71.60 71.60 71.7	82.67 65.56 11203.62 91.71 91.71 86.53 71.88 71.88 91.00 91.00 91.00 66.94	39.30 24.78 60.27 56.76 42.41	39.13		2.4	1	•		-2.2	
pi se terret.	7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	06.95 108.21 108.21 108.21 109.15 109.15 71.60 71.60 91.76 91.76 91.76 91.76 91.76 72.51 91.76 72.51 91.76 72.51 91.76 72.51 91.76 71.72 72.75 91.74 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	65.56 103.62 91.71 91.71 120.74 91.71 71.48 204.72 71.48 91.00 91.00 91.00 66.94	24.78 60.27 56.76 42.41	•	-1.5	2.0	0.4	7	0.8	-0.7	
pi ^m teats	6 2 2 4 2 6 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7	108.21 125.49 91.51 108.15 71.60 71.60 71.60 71.56 71.	103.62 120.74 91.71 88.63 71.48 71.48 71.88 91.00 91.00 66.94 66.94	60.27 56.76 42.41	23.58	4.5	0.0	0.1 0	4,4	9 0 0 0	1 G	-
pje te transfer	4 4 2 6 3 4 1 6 3 4 1 6 4 2 6 3 4 2 6 3 8 2 6 4 2 6 8 4 4 6 8 4 4 6 8 4 7 6 8 7 7 6 8 7 7 6 8 7 7 6 8 7 7 7 6 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	125.49 95.53 91.01 109.16 71.60 72.51 91.73.56 72.51 73.56 72.51 91.67 73.56 72.26 72.26 73.56 72.26 73.56 73.56 73.56 73.56 73.56 73.56 73.56 73.56 73.57 73.56 73.57 73.56 73.57 73.56 73.57 73.56 73.57 73.56 72.51 74.60 77 73.56 72.51 74.60 72.51 74.60 72.51 74.60 72.51 74.60 72.51 74.60 72.51 74.60 72.51 74.60 74.70 74.7	120.74 91.71 105.10 71.48 71.48 91.00 91.00 91.00 66.94 66.94	56.76 42.41	55.09	4.8	4.4	4.9	5.0	2.0	4	
ope 201 a cut	3 41.6 5 57.0 5 57.0 5 57.0 3 6 5 5 3 6 5 5 3 6 5 5 3 6 5 5 4 7 5 5 7 5 7	95.53 91.01 71.60 71.60 91.76 91.76 91.76 61.24 69.25 70.14 94.61 70.14 14.18	91.71 88.63 71.48 71.48 71.88 91.00 91.00 68.98 68.94	42.41	51.39	6.3	3.9	10.4		0, 0 0, 0	0,0	
	2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222	72.51 71.60 72.51 72.51 72.51 72.55 72.56 69.73 70.14 94.61 78.73 78.73 78.73	66.94 71.48 71.48 71.86 91.00 91.00 66.88 66.94		38.18	19 U	4 0 V V	1.11 A 7	0.11 0	0,1	0.0	
∕a ⊥ucets stists	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	71.60 71.60 72.51 91.76 72.26 69.24 692.4 70.14 94.61 74.73 94.61 74.73 94.61 74.73	71.48 71.88 71.88 91.00 91.00 66.94 66.94	50.00 67 18	58.03		100	72	7.4	0.2	3.4	
∕a ⊥ucets appe	4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	72.19.79 72.19.79 91.76 73.56 72.26 69.24 694.61 70.14 94.61 74.73 94.61 74.73	204.72 71.86 91.00 71.21 66.94 66.94	191 10	00.00	2 2	0	10	- 0-	60-	-0.3	
setts	364 364 364 364 364 364 364 364 364 364	72.51 91.76 72.51 73.56 60.24 60.14 94.61 78.73 86.25 114.18 86.25	71.24 91.00 71.21 68.88 66.94	10.10 160.76	143 02	4	4.4	12.4	12.6	0.2	4.9	
86 11	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	91.76 73.56 69.24 69.24 94.61 78.73 86.25 86.25 114.18	91.00 91.00 68.88 66.94	78.79	25.81	6.9	6.0	7.2	7.6	0.4	6.6	
198	385.9 4.1 4.2 5.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7 7 7 7	73.56 72.26 69.24 70.14 94.61 78.73 94.61 78.73 96.25 114.18	71.21 68.88 66.94	42.13	39.56	5.6	0.8	6.5	6.1	-0.4	5.2	
105	36.14 37.5 37.5 37.5 37.5 37.5 37.5 37.5 37.5	72.26 69.24 94.61 78.73 96.25 114.18 96.25 74.73	66.94 66.94	30.09	27.36	6.5	3.3	10.0	11.4	1.3	7,9	
tas	41.6 38.5 35.8 35.8 47.5	69.24 70.14 94.61 78.73 96.25 114.18 74.36	66.94	29.58	27.22	3.6	4.9	· 8.7	8.8	0.0	3.7	
setts	38.5 47.5 35.8 41.2	70.14 94.61 78.73 96.25 114.18	1	28.66	27.82	-0.4	3.4	3.0	5.4	2.3	1.8	
setts	47.5 35.8 41.2	94.61 78.73 96.25 114.18	70.30	.27.67	27.07	2.4	-0,2	2.2	1.7	6.0 -	6.	
stee	35.8 41.2	78.73 96.25 114.18 74.36	85.01	46.49	40.38	3.5	11.3	15.1	19.0	9.4 1	6	
settes	41.2	96.25 114.18 74 36	77.40	29.44	27.73	4.4	17	9,2		0.9	0 I	
setts		114.18 74 36	95.15	42.28	39.21	9.9 9.9	<u>- 1</u>	8.7	9.0	7.0	4.7	••
	43./		111.83	51.68	48.87	9 0 0 0	- 0	8.G	200	0, 1 0	4	
	40.1		10.57	30.89	72.62	5. T	- c	R 1 4	0.0	5 6	- C f -	
	44.0	00.00 70.06	71 27	06.05	20.02	<u>t</u> «		6		0.2	2.0	
Menuel 39.1	38.3	74.19	74.01	29.02	28.32	2,2	0.2	5.0	55	0.2	2.0	
	34.9	72.50	69.89	26.72	24.41	5.5	3.7	9.5	10.3	0.8	6.3	
Nebraska 37.9	36.1	71.50	68.93	27.07	24.90	4.8	3.7	8.7	10.7 .	1.8	9.7	•
	47.4	89,96	83,46	43.18	39.43	1:2	8.2	9.9	10.0	1 1 1 1		
New Hampshire 41.1	38.9	100.70	98.65	41.41	38,36	5.8 9		6.7	N 1	/- o	2,0	
New Jersey 47.3	44.7	98.09	95.84	46.41	42.82	9.9 1	2 4 6	4 4	- C 1 G			
	46.2	73.65	70.88	. 34.77	32.78	1.2	5,0		איג ייג		ממ	
	E 09	219,69	2/1/2	138.14	40.101	N 0	2.0	ν C		6 C	4 4	
North Carolina 43.3	1.14	11.07	100 FB	Na na	46.03	14.6	15.2	32.1	38.7	5.0	20.3	
	9 46	73 45	71 50	30.45	27.58	7.5	2.7	10.4	10.6	0.1	7.6	
	42.2	69.31	66.94	31.15	28.24	6.5	3.5	10.3	11.5		1.7	
	40.4	81.54	79.17	33.12	32.02	0,4	3.0	3.4	3.8	0.4	0.8	
vania	44,4	97.83	95.40	• 44.87	42.34	3.4	2.5	6.0	7.2	4	4.6	
	40.2	91.39	90.95	36.89	36.52	0.5	0.5	0,1	0.0	0, n 0, n	4.6	
	36.6	71.13	67.95	26.99	24,85	8.0	4.0	0 0 0	0,0 9,0		50	
South Dakota 38.2	38.5	31 77	75.47	92 92 92 90	29.43 29.53	9 - O- O	0.0	8.5	0.00	2.0	1.0	
	46.3	79.87	1.9.77	39,50	35.96		2.9	9,8	11.3	Г. С.	8.1	
	41,0	105.25	97.22	44.26	39.86		8.3	11.0	10.7	-0.3	2,3	
ont	43.3	128.50	125.42	60.94	54.36	9.4	2.5	12.1	11.9	9.5	0-1 0-1	•
	39.9	84.41	84.90	35.32	33.90	4.8	9.0 0.0	4 0	4 v 4 v		9,0	
	43.6	91.88	89.40	40.48	38.95	- ;	8.7 1	9.5	4 ç	6 F		
nia	45.1	82.20	78.63	41.23	35.43	-	0.4 0	10,4	10.0	- c 	1 0	
	36.5	77.30 09.75	74.44 Bo Ke	18.82	CL.12	N G	0 0 0 0	11.9	11.8		2.9	
Total linked States 47 6	0.00	92./U	06.41	47 48	44.19		A.F.	7.6	8.1	0.5	4.6	1

Rooms	Sold	2.4	5.1	3.6	2.4	5.4	5,4	7.6	in i N i	6.3	. 3.1	9.9		- u		ο ά 1 ο	8-0	0.3	E.1	3.0	5.2	6.4	4 - 2 - 0	- 0 0 +	1.0	- F	8.8	6.5	9	9.1 7	4.9	13.4	9.9		1 1	2.7	20	÷ ;	5 C	3.7	ம்	3.0		4 0	50	j
Rooms	Avall	0.2	-0-1	0.5	5	0.0	1:2	2	4, 0 0		-0.3	0.0	0.0	- c - 7	3 5	4 4	0	2.2	-0.8	0.5	-0.3	-0.4	0 0	0.0 4 d		200	0.6	0.1	0	0 0 0 0	0.9	3.1	0.1		12	- 17	-0.2	0.2	- 4	0.4	0.9	0.5) - C	- 0	4 F	2
Room Rooms Ro	Неу	0 0	4.4	6.5	3.0	11.1	8.5	10.6	0,0	10.9	3.7	12.7	9.9 1	+ t 0 0	4 P	5 4	1.0	3.7	4.9	3.7	8.7	9.6	8.8	2 4	0.0 1	3.6	12.9	10.1	9'9	2 0	7.6	30.4	9.4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10.5	5.4	5,1	0.2	10.4 10.7	9.4	8.5	67. 19	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	0.9	A B A	ċ
,	RevPAR	5.0	4.5	6.0	3.0	11.1	7.2	10.4	8 G	10.8	4.1	12.7	ດ ດີ ເ	0 0		2 4	- -	4.	5.8	3,2	9.1	10.0	9 0 0	2.0	8.8	1.3	12.3	10,1	6.9	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6.7	26.5	9.3 1	ה מים		4.1	5.3	0.0	10.0	6,9	7.6	2.7	0.0	9 G		л, 1
	- 1	8.2	3.0	2.8	0.6	5.6	2,9	2.7	3.6	4.3	0.7	С	1.1	• € 0	מ ער ער	2 0	0.0	3.4	3.6	0.7	3.3	3.0	4.4	9. C	1 9 C		3.8	3.4	1.7	4 C	2.6	15.0	2.8	- c	10.4	2.6	3.0	1.4	4 0	5.5	3.3	0.0	4 I.	0,4 0,4		4.7
	800	N.	1.4	3.2	2,3	5.3	4	7.4	20	6.3	3.4	3.9	3.7	4 4 2 0	4 0	0 C V T		6	2.2	5.5	5.5	6.9	0,0 7	5 - 0 7 - 0	t t d ud	0	8.2	6.5	5.0	- 0 1 0	4,0	9,9	6.2	n	0.4	15	2.3	,	7.2	3.3	4.2	2.4	2.6	4 c 2 f 2	1.0	4 2
	2010	38.67	73.53	51.73	33.34	69.66	58,11	53.63	52.70	61.48	42.08	123,59	42.07	06.10	10,04	14.00	42.33	58.11	53.26	65.50	83.75	40.46	49.27	41.64	45.10	40.54	50.04	54.75	59,58	42.69 1 2 2 0 5	43.05	52.58	40,48	04.75 71.04	20102	67.26	45.49	42.30	40.75	49.63	63.36	54.19	58.87	48.37	14.14 24 25	10X 1.4
	2011	40.61	76.85	54.85	34.33	77.37	62.31	59.19	55.78	68.13	43.79	139.27	44,56		70,04	10.14	47.82	56.91	56.37	67.59	91.33	44.52	53.46	42.95	49.08	41.06	56.20		•	43.80				40.02			-		44.72 ED 04	54.04		55.66			10.04	
	2010	71.24	113.27	92.66	69.36	110.32	103.57	98.19	100.03	103.80	78.43	174.84	79.77		17.11	21.21	78.57	10.00	95.81	110.22	134.37	78.13	86.39	79.41	R0 83	75.49	86.59	102.15	104.21	11.11	79.80	77.37	70:77	69.78	00 66 00 66				76.13		-			79.96		
	2011	73.27	116.72	95.23	69.81	116.35	106.56	100,89	103.62	108.25	78,95	189.62	79,32	/1.cn1	84.R/	71.92	00.1 1	95.56	99.22	110.94	138.87	80.47	90.17	76.62	67 28	77.20	89.89	105.59	106.03	76.16	81.86	89.02	79.29	70.79	104 19	114.50			79.42	92.60			101,22	83.53	17.20	06.50
	2010	54.3	64.9	55.8	48.1	63.1	66.1	54.6	52.7	59.2	53.6	70.7	54.2	0.90	4 70	4 10		60.7	55.6	59.4	62.3	51.8	57.0	55.3	22.2	53.7	57.8	53.6	57.2	56.8	53.9	. 68.0	52.5	63.7	7 02	60.3			53.5	56.5	56.9	56.3	60.1	61.7		
	2011	55,4	65.8	57.6	49.2	66.5	58.5	58.7	53.8	62.9	55.5	73.4	56.2	0.19		0.00	54.4	59.8	56.8	60.9	65.8	55.3	59.3	56.0	58.6	53.2	62.5	57.1	60.0	57.5	58.1	7.4.7	55.8	2.99 1	4 / C	61.2	54.B	54.8	56.3 50.3	29.60	59.3	67.7	61.7	64.3	200	55

DISCLOSURE STTR Hotel Review is a publication of STT and is intended solery for use by peld subscribers. Reproduction or distribution of the Hotel Review, in whole or part, without willien permission of STR is prohibited and subject to legal action. Sile licenses are available. Ownership, distribution and use of the Hotel Review and its contents are subject to the terms set forth in the contract you have entered into with STT. Source 2012 Smith Travel Research, inc.

Price (Luxury, Upscale, Mid-Price, Economy, Budget) The five categoties of a metro STR market which are defined by actual or estimated average room rate.	Resort Properties located in resort areas that primarily serve leisure demand.	Revenue Per Available Room (RevPAR) Room revenue divided by rooms available (occupancy times average room rate will closely approximate RevPAR).	Rooms Available (Room Supply) The number of rooms times the number of days in the period.	Room Revenue Total room revenue generated from the sale or rental of rooms.	Rooms Sold (Room Demand) The number of rooms sold (excludes complimentary rooms). e.	Properties located in markets with less than 150k population.	South Atlantic Maryland, Delaware, West Virginia, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Washington D.C.	Suburban Properties located in suburbs of urban markets.	Urban Properties located in urban areas with populations greater than 150k.	West North Central Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa, Nebraska, Missouri, Kansas	West South Central Arkansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Loulsiana
Airport Propertles near (usually within 5 miles) an international airport that primarily serve demand generated from airport traffic. Averade Daily Rate (ADR)	Room revenue divided by rooms sold. Chain Scale	(Luxury Chains, Upper Upscale Chains, Upscale Chains, Upper Midscale Chains, Midscale Chains, Economy Chains, Independents) The chain scale segments are based primarily on the actual, system-wide average room rates of the major chains.	East North Central Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio	East South Central Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippl	Interstate Properties near interstate highways that primarily serve demand generated from the interstate. Interstate hotels located in suburban areas are classified in the Suburban location type.	Locat	with location classifications for a significant number of properties.	New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey de Mountain	Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Colorado, Utah, Nevada, Arizona, New Mexlco New England	Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island Occupancy	Hooms sold divided by rooms available. Pacific Alaska, Washington, Oregon, California, Hawali.

Glossary

East Nortl Mich

Appendix C

San Francisco Travel Association/Destination Analysts "San Francisco Visitor Industry Economic Impact Estimates 2011"



SAN FRANCISCO

Visitor Industry Economic Impact Estimates, 2011

San Francisco Travel Association
Background

Research Objective

For the past fifteen years, the San Francisco Travel Association has produced annual estimates of the economic impact of the travel industry to the city and county of San Francisco. These economic impact estimates are produced each year based on a model developed by the San Francisco Travel's staff and local consulting firm Economic Research Associates. This report presents estimates developed using this model for calendar year 2011.

The economic model used to develop San Francisco's visitor industry impact estimates calculates as its key outputs, the number of visitors to San Francisco, the number of days spent in The City by these visitors, total spending by in-market by these visitors, tax revenues generated by the industry for San Francisco's government, and the total number of jobs supported by the industry in San Francisco. These estimates updated for 2011 are presented in this report, along with background information of key assumptions made in these calculations.

The model defines its estimates based on a visitor's place of stay. Four key segments are covered: Visitors staying in San Francisco hotels, visitors staying in private residences in San Francisco, visitors staying outside the city either in Bay Area hotels or private homes and finally Bay Area residents taking day trips to the city for purely leisure reasons. Detailed visitor volume and spending estimates for these four segments also are presented in this report.

Historical Data

After rebounding from the difficult times faced in the wake of the dot com collapse and terrorist attacks of 9/11, the San Francisco visitor industry experienced a sustained period of growth. The industry's performance began to suffer in early 2001 when business travel related to the region technology industry sharply declined. This downturn was then greatly exacerbated in the wake of 9/11. Historical estimates show that both the number of visitors coming to San Francisco and their in-market spending grew during the next six years, but dropped in 2009. In the most recent year, however, the industry has continued its rebound, attracting 16.35 million visitors who spent \$8.46 billion in San Francisco. Data showing these trends are briefly examined in the following two charts (next page).

SAN FRANCISCO TRAVEL ASSOCIATION RESEARCH

San Francisco Visitor Volume: Fifteen Year Perspective

In 2011, the total number of visitors in San Francisco jumped to 16.3 million, up approximately 3 percent from the previous year.

ANNUAL TOTAL VISITOR VOLUME (IN MILLIONS)



San Francisco Visitor Spending: Fifteen Year Perspective

Total visitor spending increased to \$8.5 billion in 2011. Spending estimates include spending for all goods and services purchased by visitors while inside the city of San Francisco.



ANNUAL VISITOR SPENDING (IN BILLIONS)

1040

2011 Visitor Volume & Spending

The table below shows a detailed comparison of 2010 and 2011 San Francisco visitor volume and spending. In 2011 with San Francisco hosting 16.3 million visitors who spent \$8.5 billion while in The City. In addition, the industry generated \$526 million dollars in tax revenues for the City and County of San Francisco and supported 71,403 local jobs.

BREAKDOWN OF SAN FRANCISCO ANNUAL VISITOR VOLUME & SPENDING, 2011		•	
VISITOR VOLUME		<u> </u>	•
(Number of visitors to San Francisco in millions)			
Place of stay	2010	2011	% CHNG
San Francisco Hotel	4.89	5.04	3.1%
Private Home in San Francisco	1.11	1.09	-1.2%
Other Bay Area Locations	5.64	5.88	4.3%
Bay Area Residents on Leisure Trips	4.29	4.33	1.0%
Total	15.92	16.35	2.7%
VISITOR SPENDING			
(Visitor spending in San Francisco in billion dollars)			
(Histor Spending in our Planetoco in Siner denato)			
Place of stay	2010	2011	2011
San Francisco Hotel	\$4.64	\$5.20	12.0%
Private Home in San Francisco*	\$0.71	\$0.75	4.9%
Other Bay Area Locations*	\$1.04	\$1.14	9.5%
Bay Area Residents on Leisure Trips	\$1.31	\$1.38	5.1%
Total	\$7.70	\$8.46	9.8%
OTHER KEY VISITOR INDUSTRY STATISTIC	S, 2011		
Taxes generated for City of San Francisco (millions)	\$485	\$526	8.6%
Jobs supported in San Francisco	67,122	71,403	6.4%
Total payroll (billions)	\$1.88	\$2.06	9.2%
Visitors in San Francisco on an average day	126,931	129,499	2.0%
Visitor spending in San Francisco on an average day (millions)	\$21.11	\$23.19	9.8%
Annual visitor spending per San Franciscan	\$9,570	\$10,411	8.8%
SOURCE San Francisco Travel Association, Economics Research Associates, De	ctination Apoly	ata laa	· •

з 1041

2011 Convention & Group Meeting Impact

Conventions, trade shows and group meetings are major contributors to San Francisco's tourism industry. The table below compares performance in this area for 2010 and 2011.

Calculation of Annual Expenditures Related to Trade Shows and Conventions

	2010	2011
Total city-wide room nights	9,665,729	9,968,585
Percent group meeting	29.0%	27.0%
Total citywide group meeting nights	2,800,538	2,690,953
Length of stay	4.1	4.1
Attendees in SF Hotels	683,058	656,330
Total out-of-town attendees	683,058	656,330
Spending per day	\$264.72	\$294.84
SF hotel attendee spending	\$741,358,382	\$793,413,141
Multiple occupancy factor	1.4	1.4
Total spending (direct) stayed in hotel	\$1,037,901,734	\$1,110,778,398
Associations at (Moscone)	54	54
Association spending/event	\$776,782	\$827,272.31
Total association spending	\$41,946,202	\$44,672,705
Total exhibitor spending	\$593,282,530	\$631,845,894.25
Total Association/Exhibitor Spending	\$635,228,731	\$676,518,598.96
Grand total: Convention Impact	\$1,673,130,466	\$1,787,296,997

Appendix 1: Tables from Model

The San Francisco Travel Association model relies on a complex set of Microsoft Excel worksheets to make its calculations. In the pages that follow some of the key worksheets used in this process are included as a quick reference and to allow easier access to more detailed data if it should arise.

Table 1

ANALYSIS OF SPENDING BY VISITOR SEGMENT: 2011

			I	MARKET SE	GMENTS			
	SF Hotel/M	iolel	V.F.R. in S	S.F.	V.F.R. and Hotel Elsew	here in Bay Area	Bay Area Resi	dent Trips
	2011 Visitor(000s)	5,041	2011 Visitor(000s)	1,092	2011 Visitor(000s)	5,879	2011 Visitor(000s)	4,334
	Length of Stay	3,50	Length of Stay	5.50	Avg. Number of		Avg. Trips/Year	2.77
	-				Trips to S.F.	2.0	Party Size	1.0
	Visitor-Days(000s)	17,644	Visitor-Days(000s)	6,004	Visitor-Days(000s)	11,600	Visitor-Days(000s)	12,019
	2011	Total		Total		Total		Total
	\$/Day	Annual		Annual		Annual	+·· /	Annual
	/Person	(1000s)	/Person	(1000s)	/Person	(1000s)	/Person	(1000s)
SPENDING CATEGORIES						•		
Lodging	\$99.90	\$1,762,744	\$12.90	\$77,467	\$0.00	- \$0	\$0.18	\$2,139
Restaurants in Hotels	\$19.64	\$346,592	\$2,43	\$14,590	\$33.35	\$386,823	\$0.00	\$0
All Other Restaurants	\$41.74	\$736,508	\$36.20	\$217,365	\$0.00	\$0	\$29.73	\$357,360
Retail	\$39.25	\$692,564	\$37.17	\$223,180	\$28.73	\$333,30B	\$53.36	\$641,289
Entertainment & Sightseeing	\$24.29	\$428,533	\$19.07	\$114,476	\$20.91	\$242,564	\$20,19	\$242,717
Local Transportation	\$9.59	\$169,173	\$3.12	\$18,722	· \$3.82	\$44,261	\$0.24	\$2,846
Gas/Auto Services	\$16.03	\$282,891	\$12.28	\$73,714	\$10.01	\$116,121	\$10.89	\$130,889
Car Rental	\$6.05	\$106,832	\$0.96	\$5,782	\$1.26	\$14,651	\$0.00	\$21
Exhibitor/Assoc. Expends.	\$38.34	\$676,519	. ^{\$0.00} -	\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	\$0
TOTAL SPENDING	\$294.84	\$5,202,356	\$124.13	\$745,296	\$98.08	\$1,137,729	\$114.59	\$1,377,262

Source: San Francisco Travel Association

Total Visitor Days (000s) Total Visitor Spending Avg. spending per person day 47,267 \$8,462,642 \$179.04 .{

SAN FRANCISCO TRAVEL ASSOCIATION RESEARCH-

Table 2

TOTAL DIRECT VISITOR SPENDING WITHIN SAN FRANCISCO:2011

		Total	
	S.I.C.	Spending	Percent
SPENDING CATEGORIES	Codes	(\$1,000s)	of Total
Lodging	701	\$1,842,350	21.8%
Restaurants in Hotels	581	\$748,005	
All Other Restaurants	581	\$1,311,233	15.5%
Retail	53,56,59	\$1,890,341	22.3%
Entertainment & Sightseeing	79,783	\$1,028,290	12.2%
Local Transportation	41,47	\$235,002	2.8%
Gas/Auto Services	554,75	\$603,615	7.1%
Car Rental	751	\$127,287	1.5%
Exhibitor/Assoc. Expends.	792,17	\$676,519	8.0%
TOTAL SPENDING		\$8,462,642	100.0%

Source: San Francisco Travel Association

1044

Table 3ANALYSIS OF HOTEL SPENDING:2011

Spending on Rooms	· · ·	\$1,842,350
Spending on Food & Beverage		\$748,005
Less: Tips @	15.0%	(\$97,566)
Less: Sales Tax @	8.5%	(\$50,956)
	•	
Total Industry Revenue	•	\$2,441,833

	Hotel Industry	
	Operating	Visitor
	Ratios ¹	Impacts
Payroll	29.5%	\$720,716
Other Expenses	70.5%	\$1,721,117
Total Expenses	100%	\$2,441,833

EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS: HOTELS

	Industry
	Average
HOTEL INDUSTRY	<u>or Total</u>
Annual Payroll Income ^{2,3}	\$32,802
Jobs Supported	21,972

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Economic Census, San Francisco County or MSA.

² U.S. Census Bureau, County Business Patterns, 2008.

³ 2008 inflated to 2011 using the BLS Employment Cost Index

Source: San Francisco Travel Association

SAN FRANCISCO TRAVEL ASSOCIATION RESEARCH

Table 4

ANALYSIS OF RESTAURANT SPENDING:2011

Spending on Food & Beverage			\$1,311,233
Less: Tips @	15.0%	.*	(\$171,030)
Less: Sales Tax @	8.5%		<u>(\$89,325)</u>
Total Industry Revenue			\$1,050,878

	Restauran	t Industry
	Operating	Visitor
	Ratios ¹	Impacts
Payroll	32.8%	\$344,668
All Other	67.2%	\$706,210
Total Expenses	100.0%	\$1,050,878

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Economic Census, San Francisco County or MSA.

EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS: RESTAURANTS

RESTAURANT INDUSTRY	Industry Average <u>or Total</u>
Annual Payroll Income ^{2,3}	\$20,591
Jobs Supported	16,739

8

1046

 ² U.S. Census Bureau, County Business Patterns, 2008.
 ³ 2008 inflated to 2011 using the BLS Employment Cost Index Source: San Francisco Travel Association SAN FRANCISCO TRAVEL ASSOCIATION RESEARCH

Table 5

ANALYSIS OF RETAIL SPENDING: 2011

Gross Retail Spending	\$1,890,341
Less: Sales Tax	<u>(\$148,091)</u>
Total Industry Revenue	\$1,742,249
	RetailIndustry

	Retail Industry		
	Operating	Visitor	
	Ratios ¹	<u>Impacts</u>	
1257 C		1. A. A.	
Payroll	11.3%	\$196,874	
All Other	88.7%	<u>\$1,545,375</u>	
		·	
Total Expenses	100.0%	\$1,742,249	

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Economic Census, San Francisco County or MSA.

EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS: RETAIL

	Industry
	Average
RETAIL INDUSTRY	<u>or Total</u>
Annual Payroll Income ^{2,3}	\$31,739
Jobs Supported	6,203

 ² U.S. Census Bureau, County Business Patterns, 2008.
 ³ 2008 inflated to 2011 using the BLS Employment Cost Index Source: San Francisco Travel Association

ANALYSIS OF SPENDING FOR ENTERTAINMENT AND SIGHTSEEING: 2011

Gross Spending on		•		· · ·	
Entertainment					.
and Sightseeing				\$1,028	290

	Entertainme	ent Industry
<u>.</u>	Operating	Visitor
	Ratios ¹	Impacts
Payroll	39.1%	\$402,062
All Other	60.9%	\$626,229
Total Expenses	100.0%	\$1,028,290

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2007 Economic Census, San Francisco County or MSA.

EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS: ENTERTAINMENT AND SIGHTSEEING

	Industry
	Average
ENTERTAINMENT/SIGHTSEEING	<u>or Total</u>
Annual Payroll Income ^{2,3}	\$41,149
Jobs Supported	9,771

²U.S. Census Bureau, County Business Patterns, 2008.

³2008 inflated to 2011 using the BLS Employment Cost Index

Source: San Francisco Travel Association

ANALYSIS OF SPENDING FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION: 2011

Local Transportation	\$235,002
Gas/Auto Services	\$603,615
Car Rentals	<u>\$127,287</u>
Total Industry Revenue	\$965 904

	Transp. I	ndustries
	Operating	· Visitor
	Ratios ¹	<u>Impacts</u>
Payroll	13.0%	\$125,568
All Other	87.0%	<u>\$840,337</u>
Total Expenses	100.0%	\$965,904

¹ 2005 Survey of SF Businesses

EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS: TRANSPORTATION

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Industry
	Average
TRANSPORTATION INDUSTRY	<u>or Total</u>
Annual Payroll Income ^{2,3}	\$28,820
Jobs Supported	4,357

 ² U.S. Census Bureau, County Business Patterns, 2008.
 ³ 2008 inflated to 2011 using the BLS Employment Cost Index Source: San Francisco Travel Association

ANALYSIS OF SPENDING FOR CONVENTION AND TRADE SHOW EXPOSITIONS: 2011

Association Expenditures \$676,519	Exhibitor and	· · · · · ·		Ĺ
	Association Expenditures		\$676,519	

	Exposition Industry	
· · · · ·	Operating Visi	
	Ratios ¹	Impacts
Payroll	39.2%	\$265,195
All Other	<u>60.8%</u>	\$411,323
Total Expenses	100.0%	\$676,519

2005 Survey of S.F. businesses

EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS: EXHIBITOR AND ASSOCIATION EXPENDITURES

	Industry
	Average
EXPOSITION INDUSTRY	<u>or Total</u>
Annual Payroll Income ^{2,3}	\$41,685
Jobs Supported	6,362

².U.S. Census Bureau, County Business Patterns, 2008.

³ 2008 inflated to 2011 using the BLS Employment Cost Index

Source: San Francisco Travel Association

SAN FRANCISCO TRAVEL ASSOCIATION RESEARCH

Table 9

TOTAL VISITOR GENERATED EMPLOYMENT IN ALL INDUSTRIES:2011

• •	
Total	
Employment	
21,972	
16,739	
6,203	
9,771	
4,357	
6,362	
6,000	
71,403	
	Employment 21,972 16,739 6,203 9,771 4,357 6,362 6,000

13 1051

Source: San Francisco Travel Association

SAN FRANCISCO TRAVEL ASSOCIATION RESEARCH

Table	10
-------	----

CALCULATION OF PAYROLL AND BUSINESS TAXES BY INDUSTRY: 2011

	·	Key C	Operating	Ratios	Amour	nt in 2011 \$1,	000s	Business Tax
	Gross				•			Payroll
	Receipts							Tax @
INDUSTRY SEGMENT	<u>(\$1,000s)</u>	Payroll	Utilities	Prop.Tax	· Payroll	Utilities	Prop.Tax	1.5%
Hotel/Motel	\$2,441,833	29.5%	5.7%	3.2%	\$720,716	\$139,184	\$58,800	\$10,811
Restaurant	\$1,050,878	32.8%	3.1%	1.9%	\$344,668	\$32,577	\$19,967	\$5,170
Retail	\$1,742,249	11.3%	4.2%	1.9%	\$196,874	\$73,174	\$33,103	\$2,953
Entertainment & Sightseeing	\$1,028,290	39.1%	2.3%	2.2%	\$402,062	\$23,651	\$22,622	\$6,031
Local Transportation	\$965,904	13.0%	1.7%	1.9%	\$125,568	\$16,420	\$18,352	\$1,884
Expo/Convention Services	\$676,519	39.2%	0.5%	1.0%	\$265,195	\$3,383	\$6,765	\$3,978
TOTALS	\$7,905,673				\$2,055,083	\$288,390	\$159,609	\$30,826

2

Source: San Francisco Travel Association

SAN FRANCISCO CITY REVENUES

PAID DIRECTLY BY VISITOR INDUSTRIES: 2011

Total Annual

Direct Revenue

MAJOR REVENUE SOURCES		in 2011
HOTEL TAX		
Visitor Spending on Lodging	\$1,842,349,606	
Tax Rate	14.0%	
Factor for Non-Taxable Room Sales	14.7%	
Hotel Tax Collected by the City		\$220,000,000
PROPERTY TAX		
Property Taxes Paid to the City		\$159,609,179
SALES TAX		
Visitor Spending (including 8.5% tax)		•
Retail	\$1,890,340,564	
Hotel Restaurants (less 15% tips)	\$650,439,106	
Other Restaurants (less 15% tips)	\$1,140,202,929	
25% of Entertainment & Sightseeing	\$257,072,619	
Tax Rate (net to City and County) ¹	1.75%	. •
Sales Tax Returned to the City	·	\$67,730,679
BUSINESS TAXES		
Payroll or Gross Receipts Taxes Collected		\$30,826,244
UTILITY USERS TAX		
Utility Costs for Visitor Industries	\$288,389,804	
Tax Rate	7.5%	. •
Utility Users Tax Collected by the City		\$21,629,235
AIRPORT ENTERPRISE		
Annual Service Payment to General Fund	\$30,100,000	
Portion Attributable to Visitors to S.F.	30.0%	
Visitor Derived Contribution to City	•	\$9,030,000
PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO		•
Lease Revenues Derived from Visitor Businesses		\$9,608,864
SAN FRANCISCO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY ²		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Lease Revenues Derived from Visitor Businesses		\$5,837,492
OTHER REVENUES		······
Rough estimate: Parking Tax, Fines, Rec. Fees, etc.		\$2,000,000
DIRECT CITY REVENUES FROM VISITOR INDUST	RIES	\$526,271,694
	•	

¹ Includes local sales tax portion to City General Fund, local transportation portion and special district tax portion to SF Transportation Authority.

² Redevelopment revenue: Marriott and Metreon ground lease and Four Seasons and St. Regis leases

Appendix D

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, "Moscone Convention Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis"



Real value in a changing world

MOSCONE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION

Draft Cost Benefit Phase II Analysis Prepared for San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management

May 25, 2012



May 25, 2012

Ms. Lynn Farzaroli Senior Manager TID/Foundation San Francisco Travel 201 Third Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Strategic Advisory Services – Moscone Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis – Draft Phase II Analysis

Dear Ms. Farzaroli:

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels ("JLLH"), a division of Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc, is pleased to submit herewith our comprehensive draft review of the performance of the Moscone Center's existing facilities, competitive environment, potential for expansion and lodging market analysis. The information gleaned from the review process of the property and its market, along with the cost-benefit analysis conducted by JLLH and the assumptions stated herein, collectively form the basis of the conclusions and recommendations of this report. It is to note that this Draft report only presents the conclusions related to the Economic Impact Analysis derived from increased attendance and visitor spend upon expansion of the Moscone Center facilities.

Please do not hesitate to contact either of us if you have any questions regarding the report.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrea Grigg Senior Vice President Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels

Cc: Greg Hartmann Amelia Lim Lauro Ferroni Tu-Uyen Do Harry Schoening Managing Director Jones Lang LaSalle Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

Contents

1	Executive Summary	
1,1	Scope of Work	
1.2	Key Findings – Review of Existing Facility Performance	
1.3	Key Findings – Survey of Competitive Environment and Potential for Expansion	
1.4	Key findings - Analysis of San Francisco Lodging Market	
1.5	Key findings - Expansion Economic Impact Analysis	
2	Review of Existing Facility Performance	
2.1	Property Overview	
. 2.2	Current Usage of Moscone North, South and West	
2.3	Moscone Center Historic Attendance and Event Volume	
2.4	Profile of Facility Users and Associated Trends	
2.5	Analysis of Existing Users' Surveys	
2.6	Analysis of Key Lost Groups	
2,7	Macro Level Factors that Impact Historical Attendance	
2.8	Conclusions from Interviews with Competitive Convention Centers	
3	Survey of Competitive Environment and Potential for Expansion	
3.1	Impact of Other Convention Center Expansions on Lodging Market	
3.2	Comparison Matrix of Competitive Facilities	
3.3	Evaluation of Additional Exhibit Space Warranted	
4	Analysis of San Francisco Lodging Market	
4.1	San Francisco Lodging-Market Overview – Historic Performance	
. 4.2	Existing Hotel Inventory	
4.3	New Supply Pipeline	
4.4	Performance by Submarket	
4.5	Moscone Center Impact on Hotel Performance.	
4.6	Regression Analysis of Moscone Attendance on Hotel Performance and Local Economy	
5	Description of Three Expansion Schemes	
5.1	Third Street Addition	
5.2	Howard Street Connection	
5.3	Moscone East	
5.4	Pros & Cons	
5.5	Phasing	
5,6	Conclusions from Interviews with Moscone User Groups	
5,7	Filling Market Niche with Expansion	48
6	Expansion Economic Impact Analysis	
6.1	Evaluation of Various Expansion Scenarios	
6,2	Methodology of Attendance Projections based on Expansion Scenario	
6.3	Calculation of Economic Impact of Expansion Scenarios	
6,4	Economic Impact Summary	
7 [·]	Appendices	
,7.1	Glossary	
7.2	Moscone Center Existing Facility SWOT Analysis	
7.3	Summary Attendance Projection Pro-Forma	
7.4	Visitor Spend Impact based on Incremental Attendance	

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

7.5	Total Visitor Spend Economic Impact based on IMPLAN Multipliers.	, 62
7.6	Annual Incremental Economic Impact by Expansion Scenario	. 63
7.7	Change in Employment by Expansion Scenario.	. 64

COPYRIGHT & JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

1 Executive Summary

1.1 Scope of Work

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels ("JLLH") has been engaged by the San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation ("TID") to perform a cost/benefit and return on investment analysis in connection with the contemplated expansion of the Moscone Convention Center ("Moscone Center"). This Draft report only presents the conclusions related the Economic Impact Analysis derived from increased attendance and visitor spend upon expansion. To arrive at the conclusions presented herein, JLLH has undertaken the following scope of work:

- Review of Existing Facility Performance, to include analysis of on-the-books events, booking patterns, utilization rates and user profile, interviews of key personnel, development of a SWOT analysis to inform the future attendance projections for the various contemplated expansion scenarios;
- Survey of Competitive Environment and Potential for Expansion, to include the study of expansions implemented at comparable convention centers, survey of competitive supply, interviews with competitive convention center managers and research on how the proposed facility can fill a market niche;
- Analysis of San Francisco Lodging Market, to include historic analysis of supply and demand, assessment
 of the impact that previous Moscone Center expansions have had on hotel revenue, and regression analysis
 of attendance figures to key economic metrics;
- Expansion Economic Impact Analysis, to include attendance projections for a variety of expansion scenarios, forming the basis for determining the economic impact on visitor spending and Moscone Center facility.

1.2 Key Findings – Review of Existing Facility Performance

The Moscone Center is located in San Francisco's SOMA / Yerba Buena district. The convention center is comprised of three main buildings, Moscone North and Moscone South, which are connected underground, and Moscone West, a free-standing building.

Moscone South opened in 1981, and consists of 260,600 s.f. of exhibit space. Moscone North opened in 1992, adding 181,400 s.f. of exhibit space to the facility. The latest addition is Moscone West which features 96,700 s.f. of exhibit space.

The Moscone Center is owned by the City and County of San Francisco. The Moscone Center is privately managed by SMG, an entertainment and convention center venue manager. Convention business for the center is booked by San Francisco Travel which serves as the city's conventions and visitors' bureau.

Attendance data analyzed by JLLH highlights that Moscone Center convention attendee levels can fluctuate considerably from year to year. The volatility in attendance is driven by economic changes along with the schedule of rotations of the center's largest groups. Consistent with other convention centers in large U.S. cities, the convention calendar has a significant impact on lodging market performance and economic output.

The JLLH Consulting Team reviewed Moscone Center annual reports, definite group booking reports and lost business reports in order to determine booking patterns, utilization rates, user profile by business sector, average spend and space utilization. This analysis was employed to inform future attendance projections and the cost benefit analysis of the various expansion scenarios.

Attendance trends: The two largest business sectors of groups that convene at the Moscone Center are High Tech/Computer and Medical, together accounting for two thirds of attendees.

Average Gross Exhibit Space Used per Attendee: The amount of gross exhibit space used per attendee approximated 40 s.f. in FY 2010/2011. For groups booked in future years, the metric generally marks a gradual decline, suggesting that more attendees are convening in the same amount of space—a trend which generally supports that an addition of exhibit space is warranted.

Average Direct Spend per Attendee: From FY 2011/2012 onward, per-attendee direct spend is expected to remain flat/mark a slight decrease.

Average Number of Event Days per Convention: JLLH concluded that the Moscone Center is currently not exposed to any significant convention industry trends whereby the average length of a convention is increasing or decreasing substantially.

Summary of Previous User Surveys

In an attempt to uncover other trends or insight for its attendance projections and subsequent economic impact calculations, JLLH also evaluated existing Moscone User surveys. Surveys reviewed generally indicate users' satisfaction with San Francisco Travel from a convention sales aspect and affirm the draw of San Francisco as a destination. Furthermore, some respondents noted dissatisfaction with the non-renovated areas of the Moscone Center, and, in some cases, respondents cited space constraints as a potential future impediment.

Analysis of Key Lost Groups

To quantify the loss in attendee spend due to Moscone Center space constraints based on the lost business report provided by San Francisco Travel, JLLH established a methodology whereby each reason for loss of a group was assigned a factor in terms of how much the loss was related to space constraints. This factor was multiplied by the estimated direct spend for the groups lost due to that particular reason. The analysis leads to the conclusion that the total assumed loss in direct spend resulting from Moscone Center space constraints and related categories is \$2.1 billion for the years 2010/2011 through 2019/2020.

leason - JLLH Adapted Calegories	JLLH Assumed Factor in Being Related to Space Constraints		L	Iributled Result of oss in Direct Spend (SM)
First Option Went Definite	- 5%	\$ 1,112	Ş	56
Board Decision	15%	\$ 3,110	\$	467
Change in Rotation	15%	\$ 1,276	\$	191
Dates Not Available	10%	\$ 1,715	\$	172
loes Not Meet Center Requirements	0%	\$ 455	\$	· -
conomic Reasons	0%	\$ 931	\$	-
pace constraints	100%	\$ 950	\$	950
) Iher	25%	\$ 887	\$	222

Source: Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels

1.3 Key Findings – Survey of Competitive Environment and Potential for Expansion

JLLH evaluated competitive convention centers in the U.S. In summary, the Moscone Center is smaller than the 12 convention centers that JLLH deemed most competitive to it, especially with regard to exhibit space: the Moscone Center has 1.7 s.f. of exhibit space per square foot of meeting space, while the competitive set's

average is 4.3 s.f. of exhibit space per square foot of meeting space supporting the case for an addition of exhibit space at the Moscone Center.

JLLH independently demonstrated that a market growth rate applied to the current number of attendees warrants the addition of exhibit space at the Moscone Center in the future. JLLH demonstrated that by FY 2021/2022, the growth in attendance will warrant an additional minimum 120,000 s.f. of exhibit space.

Competitive Convention Center Expansions: Impact on Lodging Market

JLLH studied the impact that substantial expansions of the 12 competitive convention centers had on their respective lodging markets. The analysis yielded a measurable impact that the various convention center expansions had on hotel revenue: the three years after a convention center expansion was completed saw an annual RevPAR growth premium of 2.6 percentage points (compared to if no expansion took place). This analysis shows that an expansion of a convention center can enhance hotel RevPAR across the relevant market areas.

Filling Market Niche with Expansion

JLLH examined how the proposed expansion can fill a market niche to lead to a competitive advantage. Elements for success include:

- Allow for natural light where possible.
- The additional exhibit space should be contiguous with the Moscone Center's largest exhibit hall.
- Any additional buildings should be physically connected with Moscone North/South.

1.4 Key findings – Analysis of San Francisco Lodging Market

There are currently 224 hotels in San Francisco with a total of approximately 34,300 guest rooms, roughly 25,000 of which are within walking distance of the Moscone Center. No new supply has entered San Francisco since 2008, a stark contrast to other major U.S. gateway markets.

San Francisco Lodging Market Outperformed Post Previous Moscone Expansions

Having demonstrated on a *national* basis that convention center area hotels generally garner higher revenue growth after a convention center expansion (compared to the long term average), JLLH analyzed the impact to RevPAR three to five years after the year of expansion for *San Francisco specifically*.

The three-year post expansion real RevPAR compounded annual growth rate ranged from 5.4% to 8.4%, and the five-year post expansion real RevPAR CAGR ranged from 7.8% to 12.1%. These growth rates generally exceed the 6.6% long-term real RevPAR CAGR that the city's core convention center hotels experienced, and as such supports that significant Moscone Center expansions have led to higher real RevPAR growth than witnessed during non-expansion periods.

Gross Metro Product and Hotel Demand Correlated to Convention Attendance

JLLH performed a regression analysis between convention attendance hetel demand, RevPAR, retail sales revenues, wage and salary disbursements, gross metro product, air passenger traffic, leisure and hospitality employment and hotel tax revenues. The highest correlation resulted between convention attendance and San Francisco County gross metro product, hotel demand for core convention area hotels and San Francisco County wage & salary disbursements, all of which exhibited a correlation of 0.70 and above, exhibiting the relatively strong relationship between convention attendance and economic factors in San Francisco.

1.5 Key findings – Expansion Economic Impact Analysis

JLLH conducted an economic impact analysis of the various Moscone Center expansion scenarios to address the business case for optimum expansion of the current facilities. JLLH forecast impact based on projected incremental income to the expanded facility and economic impact derived from incremental visitor spending.

Evaluation of Various Expansion Scenarios

JLLH projected the growth in attendance from FY 2011/2012 through FY 2025/2026 for a variety of expansion scenarios, summarized below:

	Moscone Center Expansion Scenarios	
Scenario		Saleable Space (s.f.)
1	Moscone East Construction	170,150
2	Third Street Addition and Howard Street Connector Expansion	206.700
3	Third Street Addition and Moscone East Construction	269,850
• 4	Howard Street Connector Expansion and Moscone East Construction	277,150
5	All Three Expansions	376,850

JLLH first calculated organic growth rates in Moscone Center attendance assuming no expansion in space. An assumed growth rate of 2.5% per annum was applied to the attendance for FY 2010/2011.

JLLH subsequently calculated attendance projections for the three expansion scenarios detailed below, along with all possible combinations thereof. JLLH took the organic attendance growth figures (capped at a space utilization rate of 2.2 as described in the body of the report), and calculated the induced demand, expressed as number of groups multiplied by average historic group size. The final projected attendance figures for each of the expansion cases thus represent organic growth, plus induced demand, minus displaced demand.

Calculation of Economic Impact Scenario

JLLH studied the economic impact that various expansion scenarios are expected to yield. To compute the full economic impact of the various expansion scenarios, JLLH relied on data from IMPLAN. IMPLAN's multipliers consist of three types of impact: direct, indirect and induced effects. Direct effects are those related to the initial spending in the economy, and indirect effects measure the additional businesses needed to purchase goods and services to produce the product purchased by the direct effect. Induced effects are the response by an economy to the initial change causing further local economic activity.

In computing the full economic impact per the above-referenced methodology, JLLH calculated the impact of incremental Moscone Center Net Operating Income and incremental visitor spending. JLLH excluded the economic impact from the construction from the construction itself in the analysis of the five expansion scenarios.

Economic Impact Summary

The table below shows the forecasted net economic impact and employment change summary for each scenario:

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Hights Reserved

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

		Economic Impact - Visitor Spending & Moscone Center	Facility	
Ranking	Scenario	Components	Net Economic Impact	Change in Employment
1	5	All Three Expansions	\$1,434,098,880	6,878
.2	4	Howard Street Connector Expansion and Moscone East Construction	\$1,331,026,465	6,616
3	3	Third Street Addition and Moscone East Construction	\$802,700,493	3,682
4	2	Third Street Addition and Howard Street Connector Expansion	\$734,402,886	3,480
5	1	Moscone East Construction	\$699,631,255	3,412

Based on the economic impact analysis from visitor spending and taking into account the Net Operating Income from the Moscone Center operations, Scenario 5 with all three expansions yielded the highest net economic impact with the highest change in employment.

Impact on Hotel Market Occupancy

JLLH projected future hotel demand, assuming no supply increases to core convention center hotels, to demonstrate how increased attendance associated with the recommended expansion will likely warrant the addition of new hotel supply in the future.

Based on the projection methodology detailed in the body of the report, the rise in convention attendees amid minimal supply increases is expected to be limited by an annual occupancy likely not to exceed low to mid 80s occupancy levels given the weekly and seasonal cyclical periods of lower demand such as Sundays and holidays. These cyclical limitations indicates that a high degree of lodging demand will go unaccommodated and/or be turned away toward hotels outside of San Francisco or diverted from their trip all together. Therefore, based on the incremental convention center attendance resulting from the various expansion scenarios, there is strong evidence to suggest that the market will be able to support the addition of new hotel stock over the medium term. The addition of hotel rooms, whether part of an official convention center headquarters hotel, or another hotel in the immediate area, will have an additional positive impact on area employment, economic impact, tax revenues and forecasted Internal rates of return beyond what is quantified in this report.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis – Phase II Analysis

2 Review of Existing Facility Performance

2.1 Property Overview

The Moscone Center is located in San Francisco's SOMA / Yerba Buena district. The convention center is comprised of three main buildings, Moscone North and Moscone South, which are connected underground, and Moscone West, a free-standing building. The three buildings comprise of approximately two million square feet of building area. The center is named after George R. Moscone, a former mayor of San Francisco. There are approximately 25,000 hotel rooms within walking distance of the convention center.

Moscone South opened in 1981, and consists of 260,600 s.f. of exhibit space in Halls A, B and C. Moscone North opened in 1992, adding 181,400 s.f. of exhibit space in Halls D and E. This addition is connected to Moscone South via underground corridors and meeting space. The latest addition to the center is Moscone West, a standalong building located one-half block to the west of the other two buildings. Moscone West features 96,700 s.f. of exhibit space on the first level.



Source: Moscone Center website

The Moscone Center is owned by the City and County of San Francisco. The Moscone Center is privately managed by SMG, an entertainment and convention center venue manager. Convention business for the center is booked by San Francisco Travel which serves as the city's conventions and visitors' bureau.

The JLLH Consulting Team performed a comprehensive review of the historic performance of the Moscone Center by analyzing annual reports, definite group booking reports and lost business reports in order to determine booking patterns, utilization rates, user profile by business sector, average spend and space utilization. This analysis was used to inform the Moscone Center and future projections and the cost benefit analysis of various expansion scenarios.

JLLH toured the North, South and West buildings of the Moscone Center on January 20, 2012, viewing both frontof-house and back-of-house areas. JLLH was able to visually inspect non-renovated areas and renovated spaces, along with Moscone West, the newest building of the Moscone Center. JLLH also viewed the Third Street Garage (from the outside) which represents a potential expansion site for Moscone East.

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

In addition, JLLH held in-person meetings and interviews with senior personnel from the Moscone Center and San Francisco Travel, to include the Senior Manager of the TID Foundation, the EVP & Chief Customer Officer of San Francisco Travel, the VP of Convention Sales for San Francisco Travel and the Assistant General Manager of the Moscone Center. Content from these meetings was central in informing JLLH's recommendations and is summarized in JLLH's files.

In order to ensure a complete review and assessment of the Moscone Center, JLLH also obtained background on the operating structure of the Moscone Center and the center's collaboration with San Francisco Travel and the TID during these meetings. JLLH confirmed that the Moscone Center's mandate to achieve maximum economic impact for the City of San Francisco supersedes its objective to itself turn an operating profit. As such, the Moscone Center often operates at a net operating income loss, which is typical of convention centers across the country.

JLLH also established during the above-referenced meetings that it is the Moscone Center's policy to generally not hold any public shows at the center, the exception being the San Francisco International Automobile Show. This event takes place each November and typically draws up to 300,000 attendees which purchase a ticket to enter the show, thus marking a significant difference from other convention attendees (delegates) who attend a convention due to their affiliation with a certain company, association or business sector.

Representatives from San Francisco Travel and the TID stated that the Moscone Center is unlikely to consider holding more public shows such as the auto show. Therefore, JLLH did not consider this scenario in its recommendations or projections.

2.2 Current Usage of Moscone North, South and West

Since Moscone North and South are connected, they can be marketed as one space for a large event or divided up into two separate buildings for two separate groups. The newest addition, Moscone West, was originally built as a stand-alone facility and to level out hotel room occupancy, since hotel occupancy in the market generally declines during the move-in and move-out days of the convention period. The original intent was to fill up Moscone West during Moscone North and South's move-in and move-out days in order to maximize the market's hotel occupancy. According to Moscone Center's General Manager, although Moscone West's bookings ended up not coinciding with Moscone North and South's move-in and move-out days, it did increase the usage of all three buildings.

Moscone West has been a success due to its flexible space with moveable walls for exhibit space, general sessions and spacious meetings, 28-foot high ceilings, natural light, and great design and acoustic. The only complaints received for Moscone West are the lack of connection to Moscone North and South and the lack of office space, but there are plans to convert some meeting space into several office space for clients use.

JLLH evaluated whether Moscone West could be marketed as a stand-alone facility following an expansion of the Moscone Center. From reviewing definite booking reports, JLLH notes that Moscone West is in some instances already being used to accommodate groups on a self-sufficient basis, meaning that all activities are housed in Moscone West without making use of Moscone North and Moscone South. This represents a considerable benefit, because it allows for separate meetings to be going on automatically, without creating any conflicts of cross-over in the same building.

The construction of Moscone East would likely result in a similar scenario whereby events could be held in the facility on a stand-alone basis. If Moscone East were to be built, the Moscone Center could theoretically house three groups simultaneously: one in Moscone North/South, a second program in Moscone West, and a third event in Moscone East.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

But for large groups, no matter which of the expansion scenarios is selected, Moscone West will continue to be required to accommodate the needs of the group. JLLH therefore does not deem it strategic to permanently market Moscone West as a stand-alone facility, but rather recommends continuing to use it as a stand-alone facility when it best fits the needs of a given group.

2.3 Moscone Center Historic Attendance and Event Volume

JLLH conducted a thorough analysis of the Moscone Center's historic performance and definite groups on the books. San Francisco Travel provided JLLH with the annual attendance and number of events from FY 1989/1990 through FY 2010/2011, displayed in the chart below.



Source: Moscone Center management (SMG)

JLLH was provided with Moscone Center Annual Reports for FY 1990/1991 onward. Overall attendance reached an interim peak of 894,800 during 1998/1999. Attendance thereafter dipped slightly in 1999/2000, but the volume of convention attendees increased in 2000/2001 to 839,400. This time period marked the height of the technology boom in the San Francisco area, which was a driver for technology-related conventions. Consistent with national trends, convention attendance declined following the events of 9/11 and the ensuing economic downturn.

In San Francisco, the dip in the technology sector further contributed to an ongoing slowdown in convention attendance. As is described in more detail in Section 4 of this report, San Francisco experienced a longer and deeper lodging market downtum following 9/11 than most other large U.S. markets, and convention center attendance figures mirror this trend. The Moscone Center's attendance hit trough levels in FY 2001/2002 at 744,700 attendees, and FY 2002/2003 showed an increase of only 3,000 attendees. Moscone West opened at the end of FY 2002/2003, and total attendance increased by 25% in FY 2003/2004.

Amid accelerating economic growth, annual attendance increased to a then record-high in FY 2005/2006 of 1,046,300 attendees. Due to the rotation of several large groups, FY 2006/2007 saw a 7% decline in attendance,

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

but attendees thereafter grew to an all-time high of 1,279,000 in FY 2007/2008. The economic downturn then contributed to a 24% attendance decline in FY 2008/2009 and a further 5% dip in FY 2009/2010 to 919,800 attendees. Attendance rose by 19% in FY 2010/2011 to reach 1,093,000, representing the highest level in four years, but still 15% below the record FY 2007/2008 peak.

Attendance data analyzed by JLLH highlights that Moscone Center convention attendee levels can fluctuate considerably from year to year. The volatility in attendance is driven by economic changes along with the schedule of rotations of the center's largest groups. Consistent with the convention center in many large U.S. cities, the convention calendar has a significant impact on lodging market performance and economic output.

The annual reports contain more detailed attendance data based on type of event, which JLLH plotted for 2000/2001 onward to show additional detail in the chart below. The largest subcategory of convention attendance as defined by San Francisco Travel is the Convention/Tradeshows category, which comprises roughly 50% of total attendance each year. The next-largest categories are Tradeshows and Consumer Shows (Public/Gated). Consumer Shows include public shows such as the San Francisco Automobile Show.



Source: Moscone Center annual reports

2.4 Profile of Facility Users and Associated Trends

Following the review of the annual aggregate figures, JLLH conducted a more detailed analysis of both historic group bookings since FY 2001/2002 along with definite bookings on the books through FY 2019/2020 based on a report provided by San Francisco Travel.

This definite booking report contained data on 766 meetings. The overall attendance figures in this report do not necessarily match the overall attendance figures stated in the Moscone Center's annual reports for previous years because a number of confidential conventions were omitted from the detail report furnished by San Francisco Travel. The number of groups listed for FY 2001/2002 and FY 2002/2003 was considerably sparser than for the subsequent years; the data for these years was included only where it did not skew the findings. The report did not contain the headquarters location of the group nor did it state the point of origin of the attendees so JLLH did not analyze this.

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

JLLH conducted an analysis of the definite booking report to tabulate data and establish trends in the following categories by year and primary business sector:

- Attendance
- Average gross exhibit space used per attendee
- Average direct spend per attendee
- Average number of event days per convention

JLLH drew comparisons to national trends in the meetings industry where appropriate. JLLH synthesized information from the 2012 Meetings Market Trends Survey, an online survey completed by 805 meeting planners to assess the macro perspective in the meetings industry and inform findings about overall issues the industry faces. The number of responses collected for the survey (805 responses) is considered a statistically significant number.

According to the survey, the three largest challenges that meeting planners expect to face in 2012 are increasing costs, a lower budget, and declining attendance. These concerns were consistent with themes picked up during the Moscone user interviews and competitive convention center management interviews.

The 2012 Meetings Market Trends Survey also summarized meeting planners' main overall perceived threats to the meetings industry going forward. Economic pressures were the most frequent response, accounting for 70% of responses. The other selections received far fewer responses. Only one in ten respondents cited virtual meetings as a threat to the industry.

Lastly, JLLH reviewed the most likely changes that meeting planners expect to see in the future based on the survey. The methodology for this question was unclear as the responses did not total 100%, but JLLH nonetheless reviewed the most frequent responses. Among the most common responses was "more complicated contract negotiations", often due to organizations' desire to monitor budgets and mitigate risk. Meeting planners and convention center managers that JLLH interviewed also cited this as a prominent trend that is likely here to stay.

Another common response in the 2012 Meetings Market Trends Survey was the "greater emphasis on ROI", which again is consistent with responses gathered during JLLH's interviews. Another frequent reply was that meeting planners concurrently cited "less entertainment" along with "more meeting sessions per day" as trends for the future. This implies that meetings' programs are getting fuller and condensed in order to focus more on the business purpose.

JLLH deems the review of the 2012 Meetings Market Trends Survey as an important component in assessing the national meetings industry broadly and the Moscone Center user profile specifically. Following the above review of high-level trends, JLLH presents below the user profile analysis with regard to the Moscone Center specifically.

Attendance Trends

As a basis for conducting an informed projection for future convention center attendance, JLLH analyzed Moscone Center annual attendance by business sector. The definite bookings reported provided by San Francisco Travel contained a category titled "Meeting Account Market Segment", which classified each group as Association, Corporate or Trade Shows & Expositions business. For the Association and Corporate business, a business sector was identified, but JLLH often deemed the categories as too broad and/or not mutually exclusive. Moreover, 16% of the groups were classified as Trade Shows & Expositions without mention of business sector.

JLLH therefore attributed each group to one of nine business sector categories defined by JLLH to more accurately capture the business industry attributable to the group: High Tech/Computer, Medical, Science, Education, Architecture/Construction/Real Estate, Financial Services, Food Industry, Marketing/Digital Media and Other. Public shows, such as the annual San Francisco International Auto Show, along with the Major League Baseball DHL All-Star FanFest held in 2007 were excluded from the analysis as these groups are driven by different business factors and have a less significant economic impact on the surrounding hotels.

The two largest business sectors of groups that convene at the Moscone Center are High Tech/Computer and Medical, together accounting for two thirds of attendees during the time frame studied. Based on interviews with competitive convention center managers, these two sectors are considered among the most lucrative in terms of economic spend.



Moscone Center Definite Booking Attendance by Business Sector

Source: San Francisco Travel, Definite Booking Pace Report

JLLH calculated the standard deviation by which annual attendance varied from all years, and determined that the attendance count in the High Tech/Computer business sector generally was most volatile. The business sector with the second greatest standard deviation was the Medical sector. JLLH however cautions that this analysis is influenced greatly by the completeness of the data. Any omitted (confidential) groups can skew the volatility of the group, and as such did not assign much weight to the volatility of groups in its analysis.

Average Gross Exhibit Space Used per Attendee

JLLH analyzed the average gross exhibit space used per attendee as a basis for its attendance projections. The definite booking report stated which buildings the groups occupied (Moscone North/South/West). JLLH considered the exhibit space square footage of the space(s) in question and divided it by total attendance for the group. The chart below depicts average gross exhibit space square footage occupied by attendee averaged across all business sectors.

i,



Gross Square Feet of Exhibit Space Used per Attendee

Source: San Francisco Travel, Definite Booking Pace Report

The amount of gross exhibit space used per attendee peaked in FY 2005/2006 at 54 s.f. per attendee and thereafter has generally marked a softening. For groups booked in future years, the metric thereafter generally marks a gradual decline, suggesting that more attendees are convening on the same amount of space—a trend which generally supports an addition in exhibit space is warranted for the Moscone Center. When comparing attendees per exhibit space in the most recent year, Moscone Center was the second highest out of the competitive set, only after Las Vegas.

Average Direct Spend per Attendee

JLLH evaluated the average direct spend per attendee based on the definite group booking report. According to San Francisco Travel, the direct spend category refers to spending in San Francisco only and is comprised of the following three categories: a) local spending on lodging, dining, entertainment, retail and local transit based on San Francisco Travel surveys; b) local spending by meeting sponsors based on Destination Marketing Association International estimates; and c) local spending by exhibitors on booths and entertainment based on Destination Marketing Association International estimates. Together, this comprises the estimated direct spend of a group in San Francisco, which JLLH divided by the number of attendees stated in the same file.

Direct spend represents a lower figure than the overall economic impact. Direct spend data for FY 2001/2002 and FY 2002/2003 are not always reported so JLLH commenced the analysis for FY 2003/2004 onward. The aforementioned analysis was conducted separately from the economic impact analysis in Section 5. The purpose of the analysis described in this section was primarily to ascertain how average direct spend per attendance is trending. Average direct spend per attendee peaked in FY 2009/2010 driven by several groups which represented a high level of expenditure and lower than average number of attendees as a denominator. San Francisco Travel did not specify whether the figures are adjusted for inflation, so it is assumed that the figures represent actual spend in the respective years at that year's current dollars.



Source: San Francisco Travel, Definite Booking Pace Report

From FY 2011/2012 onward, the average direct spend per Moscone Center attendee stabilizes at roughly \$1,400 per year. As such, there are no striking trends to be ascertained from this analysis and perattendee direct spend is expected to remain flat or mark a slight decrease over the forecast horizon based on the data provided.

JLLH also evaluated industry trends with regard to meetings budgets. While data containing a national long-term trend line was not readily available, JLLH did review the 2012 Meetings Market Trends Survey, an online survey completed by 805 meeting planners, which stated that 50% of respondents expect their meetings budget to be flat in 2012. Another 27% of those surveyed expect their budgets to decrease, while 13% expect an increase. The findings from this survey are largely consistent with the data analyzed from San Francisco Travel for the Moscone Center.



Source: 2012 Meetings Market Trends Survey

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Average Number of Event Days per Convention

In establishing a profile of past facility use, JLLH also calculated the average length of conventions for each of the fiscal years contained in the definite booking report. The length of a convention is expressed in event days, which refers to days on which the convention has a scheduled program. The event day measure excludes the move-in days leading up to the show and break-down days following the meeting.

The average number of event days for groups from FY 2001/2002 through FY 2019/2020 is 3.2 days. Aside from FY 2002/2003 and FY2003/2004, there has been relatively little variation. In future years for which definite meetings are on the books, there is little variation in average annual number of event days. As such, JLLH concludes that the Moscone Center is currently not exposed to any significant industry trends whereby the average length of a convention is increasing or decreasing substantially.



Source: San Francisco Travel, Definite Booking Pace Report

The average number of event days for conventions held at the Moscone Center is in line with industry averages. According to the 2012 Meetings Market Trends Survey, an online survey completed by 805 meeting planners, 43% of respondents stated that their typical meeting duration is 2.5 – 3.5 days.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC, 2012. All Rights Reserved



Typical Meeting Duration based on Industry Survey

Source: 2012 Meetings Market Trends Survey

2.5 Analysis of Existing Users' Surveys

To garner any other insight for its attendance projections and subsequent economic impact study, JLLH also evaluated existing Moscone User surveys. San Francisco Travel provided JLLH with the results of approximately 30 surveys completed by Moscone Center users following their events held at the Moscone Center between 2009 and 2011. The surveys were generally completed by the lead meeting planner of the convention.

On average, JLLH was provided with one survey per month for the above-referenced time period. The average attendance size of conventions for which a survey was received by JLLH was 9,400 attendees (based on self-reported figures). The majority of surveys indicated that the groups used two or more buildings of Moscone. The analysis below is based on the 30 surveys received from San Francisco Travel and does not contain any data from surveys that were reviewed by AECOM as part of their 2009 report.

Below is a list of the organizations that responded to the Convention Services Critique Form .:

Organizations Responding to Convention Services	Critique Su	vey	1
adtech	•		
American Academy of Dermatology			
American Chemical Society			
American Geophysical Union	•		
American Psychiatric Association			
American Society for Surgery of the Hand			
ASCD	• •		
California Dental Association			
Cambridge Healthtech Inst.		•	
Cardiovascular Research Foundation			
Citrix			
IDG World Expo, Inc.	•		
Intel Corporation			
International Trademark Association			
Java			
National Association for the Specialty Food Trade			
National Association of Independent Schools			
National Association of Secondary School Principals			
RSA, the Security Division of EMC			
Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International			
Society of Gynecologic Oncologists			
SPIE			
Subway Franchise World Headquarters			
SunGard Higher Education			
UCSF			
Urban Land Institute			
. ·			

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012, All Rights Reserved

Below is a list of the questions contained in the survey:

1. Meeting Information	Moscone Center Users
Name of Meeting	
Date of Meeting	•
Attendance	
Facilities Used	
2. Convention Sales Department	
How would you rate the SECVB Co	nvention Sales Representative's knowledge of your meeting?
How would you rate the professiona	alism?
How would you rate the responsive	Dess?
3. Convention Services Department	
How would you rate the SECVB Co	nvention Services Representative's knowledge of your meeting?
How would you rate the professional	iliem?
How would you rate the responsive	
4. Website	1633:
User-friendly	•
Content	
5. Collateral	
Quality of promotional materials	
San Francisco Book	· · · ·
Meeting & Event Planner Guide	•
3. Rate overall experience with SFCVB	· · ·
Rate overall experience with SFCVB	
San Francisco, The City	meniner subfiliers.
Attractions/Entertaining/Shopping	
Cleanliness	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Hotel Rates	
Restaurants	
Safety	
Transportation	• •
Describe overall experience in San F	Tancisco
D. Will San Francisco be considered fo	Dr this event again?
1. If no, rank the reasons for not return	Ping in order of priority
	rvice which you feel we can improve upon:

14. Organization Information

For most of the questions, respondents were given the option of providing a score of up to 5, with 5 representing "excellent", 4 meaning "very good", 3 representing "good", and 2 meaning "fair". None of the surveys evaluated had a score below "2" in any of the categories.

JLLH averaged the scores for each of the major categories. The average scores are displayed in detail in the graph below. In summary, satisfaction with the Convention Sales Department received the highest scores, at an average of 4.69. This was followed by the Convention Services Department, with an average score of 4.66. Respondents' satisfaction with Collateral averaged 4.42 points. The Website category followed at 4.33.

Respondents' satisfaction with San Francisco as a whole averaged 3.94 points. This category was negatively affected by respondents' perception of cleanliness, which averaged 3.55, and the Hotel Rate category, which averaged 3.34. JLLH attributes these two below-average scoring categories to meeting planners' concerns regarding the homeless population around the Moscone Center and the downtown hotels, and the fact that hotel rates were often perceived as being high.

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved
Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis – Phase II Analysis



Source: San Francisco Travel

For the surveys reviewed, 61% of respondents indicated that their overall experience in San Francisco met expectations, and 39% stated that their expectations were exceeded. Additionally, 90% of those surveyed indicated that they will consider San Francisco for a future event.



Source: San Francisco Travel

Three questions on the survey allowed respondents to provide free-form commentary. While these responses cannot be statistically tabulated, common themes were as follows:

 Conventions achieved record-breaking attendance in San Francisco, attributed to San Francisco's allure as a destination and popularity among attendees;

1075

Need for renovation of sections of the Moscone North and South;

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012, All Rights Reserved

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

- City is more expensive than other cities in the convention's rotation. This primarily referred to Moscone Center rental rates, Moscone vendor and labor rates and hotel rates along with perceived rigidness of hotels when negotiating room blocks and rates;
- Concern about homeless population in the area surrounding the Moscone Center, cleanliness of sidewalks around the Moscone Center.

In summary, the surveys reviewed by JLLH indicate users' satisfaction with San Francisco Travel from a convention sales aspect and affirm the draw of San Francisco as a destination. Some respondents noted dissatisfaction with the non-renovated areas of the Moscone Center; and, in some cases, the respondents cited space constraints as a potential future impediment. The responses are largely consistent with what JLLH observed during the tour of the facility and surrounding hotels and phone interviews with select convention center users.

2.6 Analysis of Key Lost Groups

JLLH conducted a detailed review of groups that tentatively held dates and space at the Moscone Center but were subsequently lost, as opposed to being converted to the "definite" category. A review of this data was deemed essential in reaching an informed decision regarding the current constraints that the Moscone Center faces and for the formulation of recommendations for the future.

San Francisco Travel provided JLLH with a list of "Citywide Lost & Turned-Down Groups". The report was run for meeting dates from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2019. The report contained 904 lost and turned-down groups for that time period. As part of its analysis of the performance of the existing facility, JLLH reviewed this report and tabulated data points to summarize data as a basis for drawing conclusions.

Based on the report, 884 groups on the list were lost and 20 groups were turned down. According to the report, the reason that groups were turned down is because they did not meet the center requirements, which is assumed to be because of size (i.e. too small) or type of group (i.e. public show). The turned down business represented a minimum of 2% of total non-materialized business and was as such not analyzed further.

For each group that was lost, the report stated a "Reason 1" why the business did not materialize. Additionally, 13% of the groups lost listed a "Reason 2", and 2% of groups lost listed a "Reason 3". JLLH focused its analysis on "Reason 1" since it had the most complete data.

On the report from San Francisco Travel containing the 884 lost groups, some 362 groups stated "Reason 1" lost as "Other". JLLH asked San Francisco Travel for additional detail on the "Other" category for this large proportion of groups in order to be able to conduct a more complete analysis. San Francisco Travel provided a separate file which contained free-form written commentary for each of the "Other" categories on the first report. Based on this supplementary report, JLLH categorized as many of the "Other" responses into one of the existing San Francisco Travel-defined 'reason lost' categories as possible.

Subsequently, JLLH reviewed the results for each of San Francisco Travel's pre-defined categories, and consolidated several similar categories to make the analysis more streamlined. For example, JLLH determined that three categories—"Appropriate space not available", "Convention Center too Small" and "Non-contiguous space/Split Exhibits"—relate to physical space constraints and were combined by JLLH in a category named "Space Constraints." The number of categories was thereby consolidated from 17 reasons to eight reasons as detailed below:

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis – Phase II Analysis

All Reason Lost 1 Categories 1st Option Went Delinite (95) Appropriate space not available (72) Better Draw of Clients in Selected Area (80) Board Decision (20) Change In Rotation (85) Convention Center Rates Too High (60) Convention Center too Small (30) Dates Not Available (40) Does not meet Center Requirements (70) Economic Reasons (42) Labor Negoliations (87) Meeting Cancelled (45) No viable bids received (71) Non-configuous space/Split Exhibits (73) Polifical Reasons (50) Other (See Recommended Action Section) (90) Room Rales Too High (10)

JLLH Adapted Calegories First Option Went Definite Space constraints Board Decision Board Decision Change in Rotation Economic Reasons Space constraints Dates Not Available Does Not Meet Center Requirements Economic Reasons Other Board Decision Other Space constraints Board Decision Other Economic Reasons

JLLH notes that several of the categories as defined by San Francisco Travel are not necessarily mutually exclusive. For example, a common reason for the loss of business was due to "Board Decision". This could be the result of "Economic Factors" or "Dates not Available", both of which are their own separate categories. JLLH therefore advises that this analysis be considered in aggregate with other factors. None of San Francisco Travel's categories referred to displacement due to the impact of the on-going renovation, as such this was not given as a reason for any lost business.

The most common reason why a group was lost was due to a board decision (32% of lost groups). This category was followed by lack of suitable dates (17%), change in rotation (12%), economic reasons (11%) and first option went definite (11%). Another 8% of groups were lost due to Moscone space constraints.

The analysis found that no single category relating to Moscone Center's physical facility stood out as being the reason for the lion's share of lost business. Aside from "Board Decision", the distribution of reasons for lost business is relatively balanced.



Moscone Center: Reason Groups Lost 2010 - 2019

Source: San Francisco Travel

JLLH further broke down the "Economic Reasons" category. Of the 99 responses in this category, 35 stated "Hotels too Expensive" and 28 stated "Convention Center Rates too Expensive". The remaining did not specify more detail.

21

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012, All Rights Reserved

Additionally, JLLH took a closer look at the "Space Constraints" category. Of the 71 responses in this category, 36 were attributed to "Convention Center too Small". The "Non-contiguous space/Split Exhibits" category was only selected in two instances and was as such not plotted individually in the graph above.

In order to attempt to quantify the economic impact of groups lost due to space constraints at the Moscone Center, JLLH more closely analyzed which cities the Moscone Center lost groups chose in instances where the reason of "space constraint" was given.

Ranked by amount of foregone direct spend, the Moscone Center lost four groups to Chicago, resulting in an estimated loss of direct spend to the City of San Francisco of roughly \$177 million. Chicago was followed by Las Vegas, which captured 12 groups lost from the Moscone Center due to space constraints, at an estimated foregone direct spend in San Francisco of roughly \$116 million. San Diego was third, capturing six conventions with estimated direct spend of \$114 million.

The other cities, as tracked in the report, are displayed in the graph below. The fact that Chicago, Las Vegas and San Diego were the primary cities which accommodated groups lost by the Moscone Center is consistent with commentary that JLLH gained from senior-level meeting planners of conventions which currently convene at the Moscone Center or have held events at there in the past.





Source: San Francisco Travel

In order to approximate the full direct spend of groups that were lost due to space constraints, JLLH recognized the need to cast a wider net and also evaluate the potential direct spend of groups lost for reasons other than "space constraints" as the different reasons influence each other and cannot simply be examined in isolation.

JLLH established a methodology whereby each of its consolidated list of nine reasons for loss of group was assigned a factor, and this factor was multiplied by the estimated direct spend for the groups lost to that particular reason. The assumed factors are displayed below:

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

Reason - JLLH Adapted Calegories	JLLH Assumed Factor in Being Related to Space Constraints	Di	rect Spend of Losi Business per Category (SM)	Loss	in Direct Spend
First Option Went Definite	5%	\$	1,112	\$	56
Board Decision	. 15%	\$	3,110	\$.	467
Change in Rotation	15%	\$	1,276	\$	191
Dates Not Available	10%	\$	1,715	S	172
Does Not Meet Center Requirements	0%	\$	455	\$. . .
Fronomic Reasons	0%	\$	931	\$	•
Space constraints	100%	\$	950	\$. 950
Other	25%	\$	887	\$	222
Total Assumed Loss in Direct Span	due to Space Constraints (Group	1	ist from 2010-2019)	\$	2,057

Source: Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels

The analysis leads to the conclusion that the total assumed loss in direct spend resulting from Moscone Center space constraints and related categories is \$2.1 billion for the years 2010/2011 through 2019/2020.

2.7 Macro Level Factors that Impact Historical Attendance

San Francisco is a unique destination that draws visitors to the city due to its renowned reputation, which often translates to attendance records for groups that hold meetings at the Moscone Center. From our analysis of the market, meetings with sales managers at convention hotels in San Francisco, and interviews with user groups that currently use the Moscone or have in the past, the following factors (exogenous to Moscone Center size and configuration) were identified that impact attendance:

- Demand shocks from economic and natural disasters, such as the Asian Financial Crisis, Dot-Com Bubble, 9/11 and the Loma Prieta Earthquake.
- Number of flights offered at San Francisco International Airport to both U.S. and international destinations.
- The compressed geography of San Francisco enhances the walkability from the hotels to the Moscone Center, which eases transportation planning and diminishes costs.
- San Francisco is a renowned and unique destination and offers major international tourist attractions.
 Many attendees bring their significant others, because the city offers many tourism activities.
- Cost and availability of accommodations within the city.
- Proximity of San Francisco to other tourist attractions, such as Wine Country and Monterey/Carmel.
- The year-round mild climate in San Francisco.
- Proximity to Silicon Valley's high-tech companies and South San Francisco as a growing hot-bed for the biotechnology firms.

2.8 Conclusions from Interviews with Competitive Convention Centers

In order to form a more comprehensive understanding of the possible impact of a convention center expansion, JLLH conducted interviews with seven competitive convention centers that have experienced a previous expansion and/or have plans for future expansions. The key findings from the interviews are below:

- National Trends in Convention Bookings
 - Attendance levels have remained relatively stagnant on a national basis as convention demand was shifted from one convention center to another instead of growing significantly.

23

- o Projecting annual attendance growth rates of 2% to 5% over next five years.
- A number of annual conventions have been eliminated.
- o Saw attendance growth in 2011, but attendance has not returned to peak levels.
- Impact of Expansion

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

- Minimal disruptions were seen in previous expansions with only some noise complaints.
- General consensus that convention centers cannot afford to displace business; therefore, development plans are structured to avoid disruption wherever possible.
- Event planners will secure future events at the convention center as soon as expansion plans are finalized. Typically, the sales team will start selling the space two to two and one-half years in advance of the new space coming online.
- o Uptick in bookings was seen two to three years after the completion of the expansion.
- Expansion Improvements
 - Upgrades of existing technology, such as audio visual equipment and Wi-Fi throughout deemed a necessity.
 - Increase amount of contiguous space and ballroom space.
 - o Connect every building either by underground passage or connecting bridge.
- Comments on Moscone Center
 - Advantages include San Francisco as a destination, international draw of city with a strong airlift, downtown location of Moscone Center, and the quality of hotels in the area.
 - Disadvantages include the high costs of holding an event in San Francisco and interrupted flow
 of the convention center with Moscone West as a standalone building.
- Important Factors to Consider for Expansion Plans
 - Flow of convention center as a whole; allow for flexible registration space as technology trends are shaping space requirements (due to online registration, etc.)
 - Fully understand details of construction schedule and communicate it clearly to convention sales team so groups' expectations are managed.
 - o. Design flexible space in order to adjust to changes in consumer needs.

1080

Contrary to national trends, San Francisco as a unique destination has seen a year-over-year convention attendance growth of nearly 19% in FY 2010/2011 with 1,092,975 attendees, surpassing FY 2005/2006's level and slightly behind FY 2007/2008's peak of 1,279,000. From 1989 to 2011, San Francisco has seen a CAGR of 2.7% in convention attendance with year-over-year spikes of 25% following the two expansions with Moscone North and West's debut in 1992 and 2003 respectively. The growth of the San Francisco market has been attributed to several differentiating factors, including the tech boom, which has created new groups, such as Salesforce, that now hold meetings at the Moscone Center, and the prime location of San Francisco as a gateway city. Additional factors will be highlighted in Section 5.

3 Survey of Competitive Environment and Potential for Expansion

JLLH conducted a detailed comparison and analysis of competitive convention centers in the U.S. Throughout this section, JLLH will continuously refer to 12 convention centers deemed primarily competitive to the Moscone Center. This list of competitive convention centers was compiled based on feedback from discussions and interviews with San Francisco Travel senior staff, Moscone Center executives, senior meeting planners of past and current Moscone Center groups and general managers of a number of convention centers across the country. In addition, JLLH reviewed the cities which frequently came up on the Moscone Center's lost business report.

Convention Center Name (Alphabetical Order)	City		Exhibit Space s.1.	Meeting Space s.f.
Anaheim Convention Center	Anaheim	945,000	B15,000	130,000
Boston Convention and Exhibition Center	Boston	676,000	516.000	160,000
Ernest N. Morial Convention Center	New Orleans	1.375,500	1,100,000	275,500
Georgia World Congress Center	Atlanta	1,708,400	1,366,000	342,400
Las Vegas Convention Center	Las Vegas	2,225,800	1,984,800	241,000
Los Angeles Convention Center	Los Angeles	867,000	720.000	147.000
McCormick Place	Chicago	3,200,000	2,600,000	600,000
Miami Beach Convention Center	Miami Beach	627,300	502,800	124,500
Orange County Convention Center	Orlando	2,533,000	2,053,800	479,200
Pennsylvania Convention Center	Philadelphia	1,000,000	679,000	321,000
San Diego Convention Center	San Diego	819,800	615,700	204,100
Walter E Washington Convention Center	Washington, D.C.	828,000	703,000	125,000
Moscone Convention Center	San Francisco	652,100 \$	and the second sec	

Source: Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels based on convention centers' websites

3.1 Impact of Other Convention Center Expansions on Lodging Market

JLLH studied the impact that substantial expansions of competitive convention centers have had on their respective lodging markets. JLLH conducted this analysis for the 12 convention centers deemed most competitive to the Moscone Center. All convention centers in the study had at least 500,000 s.f. of saleable exhibit space and have undergone one or more substantial expansions—in most cases an addition of 200,000 or more square feet over the past 20 years.

For the 12 markets where these convention centers are located, along with San Francisco, JLLH computed the historic CAGR of hotel RevPAR for each of the cities. In most cases, JLLH had access to historic RevPAR data going back to 1987. JLLH used hotel revenue per available room as a metric to quantify hotel revenues. The selected RevPAR data largely pertains to hotel brands that typically serve a significant amount of group-related demand, such as Marriott, Hilton and Westin hotels and the sample is thus deemed representative. The properties in the sample are, in most cases, located in the downtown and thus highest-rated submarkets of the metropolitan areas.

JLLH then computed the RevPAR CAGR for two time periods: The three-year period beginning in the year after a substantial convention center expansion was completed, and the five-year period starting in the year after the substantial convention center expansion. JLLH conducted this analysis on an inflation-adjusted basis. JLLH then compared the long-term RevPAR CAGR for the market and with the RevPAR CAGR for the three and five years following the convention center expansion as defined above.

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

For the markets in the analysis, real hotel RevPAR increased by an average of 0.5% per year over the historic time period reviewed. The analysis yielded a measurable impact that the various convention center expansions had: in the three years after an expansion was completed, real RevPAR increased on average by 3.1% per annum; in the five years after an expansion, real RevPAR increased on average by 0.7% per annum.

This represents a RevPAR growth premium (compared to if no expansion took place) of 2.6 percentage points per year in the three-year timeframe and 0.2 percentage points in the five-year timeframe. This analysis shows that an expansion of a convention center can enhance hotel RevPAR in the proximate market area. A similar analysis was conducted for San Francisco's core convention market hotels in Section 4.

, COPYRIGHT OLIGNES LANGLASALLE IP, INC. 2012. AI Flohits Reserved

	70,46 r/a 54,23 55,82 r/e 77,39 77,58	77.16 n/a 55.88 and 25.68 and 25.73 at 40	74.11 rvta 55.06 67.36 n/a 79.26	68,88 68,15 51,34 54,12 n/3 68,42 79,03 67,42 1	60.30 64.52 1 10/157 62 78.12 55	66.55 Later 122,20 55.37 57.94 27.94 27.94 75.55 79.33	68,19 68,97 57,87 53,97 h/a 69,75 53,97 h/a 69,75	12100 1110 40.10 33.16 116 1231 06.57	78,32 86,95	76,65 09.43 66,55 73,77 n/a 76,99 105,05	78,26 83,72 68,16 81,35 n/a 80,10		83 Q5 74 A5 104 2040 2040 1/2/18 114 1/5/30 89,00		64.74 74.14 51.56 79.97 81.90 80.80 80.80	67.46 78,39 54,54 91,90 69,28 89,38 84,00	69,58 61,45 59,16 100,48 72,03 86,73 81,93	73.10 83.12 56.85 112.17 75.58 91.49 87.29	00.54 79.13 54.07 102.05 69.72 89.17 89.60	53,27 67,81 43,32 75,21 58,13 83,32 74,51	55,28 rst 57,05 49,71 84,73 60,29	5/44 72.00 47.72 96.51 53.73 87.32 84.85		8% -4.8% 8.7% 4.2% 4.2% 1/4 3.5% 7.8% 3.5% 8% -4.8% 8.7% 3.8% -1.5% 1/4 2.2% 3.5% 1.8%	3.7% r/a 4%	1.4% Na. 4.7% n/a 7.1% N/a N/a .	Erotator Economic Analysis Condition Experimentation Experimentation Sector Sector Sec
l Expansion Periods Los Angeles Chicago	69,18 70,08							CONTRACTOR OF	63.26			70 1E 7A 30				B6,39 77,54		and the			U/.24 68.45			5.3% 2.8%	Υ.		 Low Level 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
and Five-Year Pos San Diego			_				74.64 66.11		91,54 81,07	97.02 88.15				56/10	·						/b,10 06,65 ac 60 70 95		1.100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 1 100 - 10	7.0% 2.8%	- 9	5,1% n/a	e and a serie of the series wave as a series wave as a series wave as a series of the
Gorventlen Center Expansion Impact on Real Hotel RevPAR During Three San Vear Total U.S. Francisco	(9)(8)	19.61	22.47	10,27			32.08	33.10	59,65	Brie		11,00	12.05	30,20	01,70	33.43	34,95	18'SD -		IV RZ	2010 23,40. 1 2014 10 06 0	JU 20 Simeraili 21 v J connection	аталастата накачание и малание и праказа и праказа и праказа и праказа. 9. Voor Deel Evennelen Devid Ali 174 года			5-Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGR	(Jolid Roy Mr. Analysics Condition (Althornes) - Reserves and a second second second second second second Lange-Term CAGA - 3' Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGA - 3' Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGA - 5' Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGA - 3.7% - 5' Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGA - 100-1005 - Expansion RevPAR CAGA - 100-1005 - Expansion Completion Voar House - 100-1005 - Expansion Completion Completion Voar House - 100-1005 - Expansion Completion Compl

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis – Phase II Analysis

Source: Smith Travol Research for holei RevPAR; LVCVA for Las Vegas holei RevPAR; Bureau of Labor Stafastos for Consumer Price Index; U.S., Bureau of Economic Analysis for GDP/GMP COF

1083

3.2 Comparison Matrix of Competitive Facilities

JLLH evaluated 12 competitive convention markets to draw comparisons with the Moscone Center. The primary purpose of this analysis was to help identify gaps in the market nationally and discern what shape the proposed Moscone Center should take and how the Moscone Center can fill a market niche to benefit from a competitive advantage. The recommended competitive positioning of the Moscone Center is discussed further Section 3.3.

	Cily	Total Faciliya I,	Erhibil Space a (Heeting Space a.f.	Lurgest Betroom s.t.	Open Year	Erpande I Compie	in Espimalor la II Camplel	Expansion III Complete	Ratio of Meeting Space to Exhibit Space	Attendees (nobi recent year) per Exhibit Space	Pages Rent pr per D	hed Ir sl. Nolas on Published Rales sy
Der sonre Laboration offi-	Sen Francisco	412100	531,700	313,400	12 42.675	(4)着	1992	2003 97	rate of	100	20	1	$\ \mathbf{D}_{i}^{T}\ _{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\mathbf{T}} = \ \mathbf{f}_{i}^{T}\ _{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\mathbf{T}} + \ \mathbf{f}_{i}^{T}\ _{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{$
San Diego Convention. Center	San Diago	619,800	615,703	204,100	40,700	136\$	2001	ri2	nia	30	09	\$	1) 15 Additional costs for move-infour days
Los Angeles Convenilon Center	Los Angeles	857,000	720,000	147,000	11,200	1971	1993	1997	ດໃສ	49	NIA	\$.	0 32 N/A .
McConnick Piece	Chicago '	3,200,000	2,600,000	600,000	100,000	¥960	1996	2007	rio	43	\$5	\$	1.70 Includes move-mout days and discourts on meeting rooms
Orange County Convention Conter	Orlando	2,533,000	2,053,800	479,200	51,200	1583	1989	1936	2003	43	0.6		N/A N/A
Pennsylvanis Convention Center	Phładelphia	1,000,000	679,007	321,000	55,400	1983	2010	n/a	Na	21	NºA		N/A N/A
Georgia World Cozgress Center	Alantz	1,708,409	1,366,000	342,400	33,690	† \$76	1992	2002 •	n/a	40.	0,9	ş	1.70 Includes 5 move-mout days and a number of other discounts and included services
Walter E Washington Convention Center	Washington, D.C.	628,000	703,070	125,000	52,000	583	2003	n/a	n/a.	56	1.4	S , .	0.11 Addiional costs for move-inford days
Las Vegas Convention Conter	Las Vegas	2,225,800	1,984,900	241,000	16,900	1259	1995	2004	nia	8 Z	23	5	029 f complimentary move-in or move-out day pet paid show day for 250,000+SF show
Ernest N. Horial Convention Center	New Orleans	1,375,500	1,100,000	275,500	35,500	\$985	1991	1999	n'a	40	N/A .		N/A N/A
Boston Coavention and Exhibition Center	Bosha	576,000	516,000	160,000	40,020	2004	n/a	n/a	n/a	32	N/A		WA NA
Anaheim Convention Center	Asaheim	945,000	815,000	130,000	. 35,100	1967	1993	2000	n/a	53	1.4	\$	0.36 Complimentary move-in or move-out day is provided for each subfict event data
Stein Brick Convention Center	Mizorí Beach	627,309	502,600	124,500		1957	- 1969	n/a	n/a.	· 40	· 0.3	\$ -	0,70 For itsi 6 days, and \$0.08 per nel square lool for each set fit ional day
Arenagen	11. A.S.	9,558,930	1 251 531	动蛇	~ 49522		-9-21			13	12 m	5	

Convention Center Name	Cily			a Space s.1. per Jeom Wihin 1- Ma Radius		Based on Alipans		9 Urtro Product Chained 2005 So, Hillions	tisa Population, 2011	Covernment Par Diem Sept 2011 Det 2012 Average	Holei Raom Tax Rate
	Sin Francisco		1(M)	2	23,057,896	E-O-OAKS		(7) C 3(599)	438580	1	11074
San Diago Convestion Center	San Diego .	11,258	35	55	B.416,B37	SAN	\$	159,533	3,152,500	\$ 204	12 5%
Los Angeles Convention Center	Los Angeies	7,002	23	103	30,274,614	LAX, LGB	ş	689,349	f2,936,800	S 196	15 5%
McCormick Place	Chrago	1_082	2	2,403	40,651,565	ORD, MDW	s	484,337	9,522,400	\$ 230	16 4%
Orange County Convention Center	Orlando	14,440	33	142	16,940,010	MCO	ş	95,659	2,172,300	\$ 159	12 5%
Pennsylvania Convention Center	Phiadelphia	10,315	35	66	14.926,045	Phi	\$	317,000	5,997,200	\$ 205	15 2% .
Georgia World Congress Center	Alanta	12,336	-31	111	42,984,548	ATL	ş	250,554	5,369,500	\$ 189	16 0%
Walter E Washington Convention Center	Washington, D C	9,510	34	74	30,746,197	BM, IAD, DCA	s	391,323	5,723,700	\$ 273	14 5%
· Las Vegas Convention Center	Les Vegas	29,561	28	67	18,829,150	LAS	\$	82,543	1,993,300	\$ 170	12.0%
Emeat N. Morial Convention	New Orleans	19,158	70	ं ज्ञ	4,071,5B2	MSY	\$	68,492	1,185,500	\$ 196	13 4%
Boston Convention and Exhibition Center	Boston	2,664	5	, 194 ,	15,541,787	BOS	s	291,013	4,592,600	ş 254	14 4%
Analysica Convention Center	Anaheim	15,506	চা	52	5.723,549	SNA, LGB		มนัส	' n/a	\$ 196	17.6%
Histor Basch Convention Conter	Mass Each	7,758	53	65	15,748,218	MIA	ş	239,009	5,646,400		13.0%
Averages Note		TATIO	49 The dourst	- 262					Includes	doing and Food and h	S4.65

Source: Convention center websites, convention center managers, Smith Travel Research, Bureau of Transportetion Stellifs, IHS Global Insight, U.S. General Services Administration, Inclei websites

In summary, the Moscone Center is smaller than the other 12 convention centers analyzed, on average, especially with regard to exhibit space. In terms of meeting space, the Moscone Center is more on par with the average of the sample, and the Moscone Center's largest ballroom is largely consistent with the sample average.

Compared to the other convention centers in the analysis, the Moscone Center shows a considerable imbalance in its ratio of exhibit space to meeting space: the Moscone Center has 1.7 s.f. of exhibit space per square foot of meeting space, while the set's average is 4.3 s.f. of exhibit space per square foot of meeting space—supporting the case for an addition to exhibit space at the Moscone Center. In addition, JLLH evaluated the number of annual attendees accommodated, for the most recent year available, per s.f. of exhibit space. The Moscone Center accommodated roughly two attendees per square foot of

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

exhibit space in 2010/2011, exceeding the average of the set of competitive centers by a considerable amount: competitive convention centers accommodated on average 1.2 attendees per s.f. of exhibit space. This ratio analysis further underlines the high efficiency in space usage by the Moscone Center versus its competitive convention centers due to the high demand in exhibit space at the Moscone Center, as verified by the Moscone user groups' interviews.

While the average published rental rates vary from market to market, they must be considered in aggregate with the entire package offered by the city and JLLH as such did not assign much weight to the differences.

JLLH also counted the number of hotel rooms within a one-mile radius (deemed a walkable distance) for each of the convention centers. San Francisco ranks second after Las Vegas. The fact that the Moscone Center is located in downtown San Francisco is one of the driving factors for the high room stock proximate to the Center. Even though there are 25,300 hotel rooms within a one-mile radius of the Moscone Center, meeting planners of the Center's largest groups stated that their attendees in some cases have to stay as far away as Oakland and the San Francisco Airport submarket due to the generally high demand for San Francisco hotels from non-convention demand sources.

3.3 Evaluation of Additional Exhibit Space Warranted

Independently of the attendance projections from which the economic impact is calculated in section 5, JLLH attempted to demonstrate that a reasonable growth rate applied to the current level of attendees warrants the addition of exhibit space at the Moscone Center in the future. JLLH computed the average annual total attendance for the Moscone Center for the years since the opening of Moscone West and subsequently calculated the average attendees accommodated per square foot of available exhibit space to devise a utilization ratio.

JLLH then applied this exhibit space consumption per attendee to what it deemed a reasonable growth assumption (2.5% per year) in the number of annual attendees based on its research and interviews. The growth assumption is based on interviews with the convention center managers for the convention centers in two of the three largest cities, and the convention center manager of one of the three largest convention centers in the U.S. The annual growth rate projected by these professionals for the future averaged 3.0%, as is indicated in the table below.

Future y-o-y Overall Attendance Increase	Rança
Convention center manager top-three U.S. city	2% - 3%
Convention center manager top-three U.S. city	2% - 4%
Convention center manager top-three largest U.S. con	2% - 5%
Average of Interviews of the second states and the	2.3.0%
2012 Meetings Market Trends Survey	Flat
JLLH Weighted Average	2.5%

Source: Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, based on convention center manager interviews and 2012 Meetings Market Trends Survey

JLLH then layered in the results from the 2012 Meetings Market Trends Survey, where 47% of respondents expected flat performance for the next year. Based on this data point, JLLH adjusted the average of range garnered from the three interviews downward slightly, to what is considered to be a representative and reasonable attendance organic growth rate of 2.5% per year going forward. It should also be noted that although on a national basis, the number of conventions have remained relatively stable, San Francisco's uniqueness, with its city-center location, proven ability to break attendance records, and growth in existing and new sectors (ie. tech boom that created companies like Salesforce and Zynga) is expected to support positive growth in attendance figures at the minimal level of other top U.S. cities.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC, 2012, All Rights Reserved

To assess the reasonableness of this assumption, JLLH contrasted the figure with Moscone's historic attendance growth rate, computed from FY 1990/1991 through FY 2010/2011, which averaged 4.6%. As such, the future pace of growth is assumed to be more moderate than in the past twenty years; a notion which is consistent with information garnered from JLLH's interviews, along with other industry data sources.

In order to estimate the total exhibit space that may be needed with the growth in Total Attendees, we analyzed the historical Attendees per s.f. of Exhibit Space, which averaged 1.90 (long-term average) to 1.94 (recent fiveyear average). From our observation of Moscone's recent trends and interview results, there is an upward trend in attendees per s.f. of exhibit space; therefore, we have forecast a slight increase in efficiency of space of 2.0 for the projection period.

	Total Attendees	Available s.t. of Exhibit Space	Attendees per s.f. of Exhibit Space
1989/1990	606,425	260,560	2,3
1990/1991	572,395	260,560	2,2
1991/1992	611,381	260,560	2.3
1992/1993	765,202	442,000	1.7
1993/1994	835,762	442,000	1.9
1994/1995	798,824	442,000	1.8
1995/1996	787,276	442,000	1.8
1996/1997	877,627	442,000	2.0
1997/1998	834,243	442,000	1.9
1998/1999	894,818	442,000	2.0
199 9 /2000	684,266	. 442,000	1,5
2000/2001	839,390	442,000	· 1.9
2001/2002	. 744,746	442,000	1.7
2002/2003	747,832	442,000	1.7
2003/2004	. 937,440	538,660	1.7
2004/2005	819,843	538,660	1.5
2005/2006	1,046,272	538,660	1,9
2006/2007	974,676	538,660	. 1.8
2007/2008	1,279,000	538,660	2.4
2008/2009	968,664	538,660	1.8
2009/2010	919,811	538,660	1.7
2010/2011	1,092,975	538,660	2.0
2011/2D12F	1,025,377	512,689	2,0
2012/2013F	1,053,873	526,937	· 2.0
2013/2014F	1,085,885	542,942	2.0
2014/2015F	1,109,218	554,609	2.0
2015/2016F	1,141,980	570,990	2.0
2016/2017F	1,175,710	587,855	2.0
2017/2018F	1,199,709	599,855	2.0
018/2019F	1,229,935	614,967	2.0
2019/2020F	1,247,319	623,660	2.0
2020/2021F	1,279,493	639,745	2.0

Average Annual Growth in Attendees (JLLH Assumption) 2.5%

Additional Exhibit Space s.f. Needed by 2021/2022 320,468

Various Averages: Attendees per s.f. of Exhibit Space	•	
Average Moscone N/S		1.91
Average Moscone N/S/W		1.87
Long-Term Average		1,90
Recent 5-Year Average		1.94

30

Note: The light red rows pertain to historic expansion years Note: JLLH assumptions are in blue font Source: San Francisco Travel, Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

As displayed in the table above, applying this growth rate per the above methodology, JLLH demonstrated that by FY 2021/2022, the organic growth in attendance (assuming no expansion) would potentially warrant an additional 120,500 s.f. of exhibit space. The result shows that the City will be under supplied to support the attendance demand generated from the organic growth if there is no expansion at the Moscone Center. Having independently demonstrated that growth in attendees is indeed expected to warrant the addition of exhibit (and other supporting space), JLLH continued its analysis with regard to determining the optimal expansion scenario.

. . .

JLLH also assessed the capacity to retain and grow demand through non-expansionary measures such as property configuration or marketing. Based on its tour of the Moscone Center, JLLH did not find that permanent changes can be made to the existing space which would yield in a more efficient layout and/or flow of space. Based on its meetings with San Francisco Travel, JLLH did not identify any apparent changes that could be made to the bureau's marketing strategy which would result in a material increase in attendance assuming static facility layout.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

4 Analysis of San Francisco Lodging Market

4.1 San Francisco Lodging Market Overview – Historic Performance

Hotel benchmark includes three key terms: occupancy, average daily rate (ADR), revenue per available room (RevPAR). RevPAR is an indicator of both occupancy and ADR. Occupancy is the percentage of available rooms that were sold during a specified period of time, which is calculated by dividing total rooms sold by total rooms available. ADR is a measure of the average rate paid for rooms sold, which is calculated by dividing total rooms revenue by total rooms sold. RevPAR is the total room revenue divided by total rooms available, or the product of occupancy and ADR.

San Francisco posts higher overall occupancy rates than many other U.S. gateway markets. Though the market suffered more than the average of other major markets during the double-hit of the tech bust and the events of 9/11. San Francisco has consistently shown above-average growth in occupancy rates, especially since 2007, partly due to the minimal supply increases. By year-end 2011, not only did occupancy continue its trend, but the ADR has grown significantly; posting 2.1% growth in occupancy and 14.7% growth in ADR among the city's set of upper upscale and luxury hotels.

Despite the year-over-year growth in ADR, on an inflation-adjusted basis, ADRs remained below previous peak 2000 levels in 2008—an anomaly not witnessed in many other large U.S. markets. However, the spread of ADR between San Francisco and the average of the other top U.S. gateway markets has begun to lessen notably. The gains in occupancy and ADR have led to a jump in revenue per available room (RevPAR) of 17.2% for the city's upper upscale and luxury hotels, among the highest of any major U.S. market.



4.2 Existing Hotel Inventory

According to Smith Travel Research, there are currently 224 hotels in San Francisco with a total of 34,257 guest rooms, roughly 25,000 of which are within walking distance of the Moscone Center. No new supply has entered San Francisco since 2008, a stark contrast to other major U.S. gateway markets. The following table summarizes the number of hotels and total room count for San Francisco by chain scale.

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

Chain Scale	No. of Hotels	%	Room Count	%
ndependents	139	62%	10,624	31%
uxury Chains	. 14	6%	4,804	14%
Jpper Upscale Chains	37	17%	14,499	42%
Jpscale Chains	3	1%	887	3%
Jpper Midscale Chains	9	4%	2,363	7%
lidscale Chains	4	2%	266 🕔	1%
Economy Chains	· 18	8%	814	2%
lotal	224		34,257	

San Francisco has the highest number of independent/unbranded hotels as a proportion of total hotel stock among U.S. gateway markets. Historically, independent hotels' ADR performance has been more volatile, but San Francisco's strong occupancy levels, second only to New York, support the level of independent hotels that exist in the market.

4.3 New Supply Pipeline

The lack of recent supply openings affirms the exceedingly high barriers to entry in the San Francisco hotel market and explains investors' high interest in acquiring existing hotels, as seen from the abundant transactions over the past 18 months. Over the last ten years, the hotel room supply in San Francisco has grown on average by 1.0% annually, considerably below nationwide growth. The most recent hotel openings occurred in 2008, with the opening of the 550-key InterContinental in February and the 53-room Fairmont Heritage Place in August. The following table presents the total new supply inventory that entered the San Francisco market since 2000. The only hotel opening expected in 2012 is the 22-room Inn at the Presidio.

	New Supply to San	Francisco by Ye	ar
Year	No. of Hotels		% Chg
2000	1	104	0.3%
2001	4	1,023	3.3%
2002	1	362	1.1%
2003	2	698	2.2%
2004	0	0	0.0%
2005	2	460	1.4%
2006	1.	. 86	0.3%
2007	1	33	0.1%
2008	2	603	1. 8%
2009	1.	80	0.2%
- 2010	0	0	0.0%
2011	0	0	0.0%
2012	, 1 ,	22 -	0.1%
CAGR ('00	206)	1.4%	
CAGR (100	·12); • • •	24209%	被民黨相關

Source: Smith Travel Research

While the supply pipeline has shrunk greatly across the country, most gateway cities still experience a backlog of new rooms that are expected to open by 2013. As an example 2,900 rooms were introduced in New York in 2011 and an additional 1,050 rooms are expected to open in 2012. The complete lack of new supply in San Francisco in the near term will significantly strengthen the potential for growth in average daily rates in the city, as seen from the significant year-to-date growth in 2011.



Source: Smith Travel Research

4.4 Performance by Submarket

In the past ten years, supply growth has been concentrated around the Moscone Center. New large full service hotels have typically entered the market south of Market Street by the Moscone Center because this district had the highest amount of buildable space. As these new developments increased, the Nob Hill submarket, which was previously the center of development for luxury hotels, has become less attractive. As the Moscone Center becomes the center of development, room rates in this area grew at a greater pace than in some of the other submarkets. The Moscone area, within South of Market ("SoMA"), therefore accommodates more hotel demand and group business while the Nob Hill area has a greater share of leisure transient room nights.

The Financial District continues to lead with the highest ADR, followed by Union Square/Nob Hill/Moscone, Fisherman's Wharf, and Civic Center/Van Ness. From full-year 1998 to 2011, the Union Square/Nob Hill/Moscone submarket achieved the highest RevPAR growth on a compounded annual growth rate of 2.1%. The following table summarizes San Francisco historical performance by submarket as provided by PKF.

sion Cost Benefit Analysis – Phase II Analysis	
sion Cost Benefi	
Moscone Center Expan	

			-						·	e.					_		_				
		CAGR?	.0.2%	0.0%	-0.2%	0.0%	0.1%		ALCACR	1	1.2%	1.1%	1.6%	%6°L		SCAGRE	.	1,2%	%6'0.	1.5%	2.0%
		CAGR.	-1.3%	-1.8%	-1.8%	-2.3%	-1.6%		NGAGEN	0.7%	-0.4%	-2.4%	-0.8%	0.0%		(HOLDBA))	-0.6%	-2.2%	-4.1%	-3.1%	-1.6%
		2011	81.7%	84.2%	83.3%	79.4%	81.9%		107	\$196.10	\$224.14	\$164.29	\$120.77	\$187.90		2011	\$160.15	\$188.75	\$136.79	\$95,87	\$153:95
		10 000 2010	79.0%	80.1%	82.5%	78.8%	79.5%		10 010 01	2		\$141.31	\$106.62	\$161.99		2010	ŧ .	\$155.65	\$116.58	\$84,02	\$128.78
		2009	75.1%	75.9%	76.9%	73.3%	75:2%		1,1009) 1,2009)			\$136.57	\$106.08			, 12009. (A		\$143,33	\$105.02	\$77.76	\$120.62
		2008(43)	78.8%	77.8%	81.0%	80.1%	79.2%		11.008 P	\$200.81		\$166.61	\$114.36	\$190,28		12008 P	\$158.24	191.26	\$134.96	\$9:1.60	\$150,70
		2007	i .	80.2%			78.0%		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	191.91	238.75	161.60	\$107.59	\$183.42		2007 Oct	\$150.28	\$191.48	123.79 \$	\$84.03	\$143.07
Jbmarket				75.3%			78.4%		2006	184.62 \$	\$215.81 \$238.75 \$	145.44 \$	\$98.99. \$	\$167,63 \$	-	2006	\$139.76 \$	\$162.50 \$	\$115.19 \$	\$75,83 8	\$128.07
San Francisco Historical Performance by Submar		2005		75.9%	•	• •	76.7%		0.2005 ⁰ 1)	173.18. \$	\$198.99 \$	\$133.82 \$	\$91.73	\$156.55 \$.^	1:2005	\$129.54 \$	\$151.03 \$	\$107.59.\$	\$67.33 \$	\$118.51 \$
errioma	-	2004	• ·	•			73.2%		2004	\$160.30 \$		\$123.60 \$	\$94.45	\$147.23 \$	-	2004 AN	\$118.30 \$	\$141.26 \$	\$84,92 \$		\$ 22201\$
storical F		12003/142		70.9% 7		•	67:9% 7		2003	\$148.94 \$1	\$168.28 \$1	\$116.46 \$1	\$86.83	\$138.31 \$1		2003	\$99,64 \$1	\$112.22 \$1		\$59.91 \$	\$93.91 \$1
icisco M		金色。		66.8% 7			64.6% 6		2002	\$156.32 \$1	\$168,30 \$1	\$124,45 \$1	\$95,53 \$1	\$145.74 \$1		2002	\$98.33 \$9	\$112.42 \$1	\$90.35 \$1	\$60.95 \$1	\$94,15 \$6
San Frai		2001	_				67.7% 6		000011-12	\$168.21 \$1	\$214.51 \$1	\$153.94 \$1	\$117.93 \$6	\$162.51 \$1		2001		\$147.15 \$1	\$107.14 \$9	\$82.32 \$6	\$110.02 \$9
		ġ	%				81.7% 67		R a	26	õ	9,55	1,29	14		00	ġ	84		-	
		99) - 20	4% · 79.	84.2% 87.0%	6% 85 .	2% 83.			1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	0.80 \$17	\$191.03 \$209.50 \$222	1.6] \$169	\$104.15 \$122	5.11 \$169		99//22/20	7.68 \$13	\$176.40 \$193		.61 \$104	\$125.17 \$138.68
		8 119	°61 %				?% 80.7%		() () () () () () () () () () () () () (.66 \$16(.03 \$20			\$147.44 \$155.11		91, E, 19	77 \$12				
		661 (S	ne 79.9%	84.3%	85.6%	79.4%	80.7%		86) 17	ne \$153	\$191	\$142.65	\$98.87	\$147			ne \$122	\$161.04	\$122.11	\$78.50	\$118.98
			HIVMosco		÷	555	ərall	-		ğ			.55	srall			HilVMosco			55	erall
	y.		are/Nob I	listrict	's Wharf	er/Van Ne	cisco Ovi			are/Nob H	listrict	's Wharf	er/Van.Ne	cisco. Ovi			are/Nob }	listrict	's Wharf	er/Van Ne	cisco Ove F
	Occupancy	2008 - 11998 - 11998 - 11998 - 11998 - 11999 - 11999 - 1200 1990 - 11999 - 11999 - 11998 - 11999 - 11999 - 11999 - 1200	Jnion Square/Nob Hill/Moscone	Financial District	Fisherman's Wharf	Civic Center/Van Ness	San Francisco Overal	NDR		Union Square/Nob Hill/Moscone \$153.66 \$160.80 \$173	Financial District	Fisherman's Wharl	Civic Center/Van. Ness	San Francisco Overali	RevPAR	2. ************************************	Jnion Square/Nob Hill/Moscone \$122.77 \$127.68 \$138	Finaricial District	Fisherman's Whart	Clvic Center/Van Ness	San Francisco Overall source: PKF
	9	45 57×1 - 4	_			-	~ 1		1940 G (-	***		9	j	4154	and the s	_	<u> </u>		0	

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Flights Reserved

For comparison purposes, the following table summarizes the market-wide RevPAR growth for San Francisco and the competitive convention cities. With the lack of new supply and strong market fundamentals, San Francisco saw an extraordinary year-over-year RevPAR growth of 19.5%, the market's leader, at \$154.

RevPAR Growth for San Francisco	and Compe	etitive Conventio	n Cities -
<u> 1997년</u> 전신, 2017년 1997년 19 1997년 1997년	2010	2011	% Change
San Francisco	\$128.78	\$153.95	19.5%
Las Vegas	\$76.31	\$88.08	15.4%
Miami-Hialeah	\$101.36	\$115.65	14.1%
Los Angeles-Long Beach	\$79.01	\$88.33	11.8%
Orlando	\$57.98	\$63.51	9.5%
Philadelphia	\$69.16	\$75.72	9.5%
Anaheim	\$73.44	\$80.40	9.5%
Chicago	\$69.67	\$75.81	8.8%
Boston	\$97.18	\$105.11	8.2%
San Diego	\$81.02	\$86.83	7.2%
New Orleans	\$74.70	\$78.38	4.9%
Atlanta	\$47.59	\$48.91	2.8%
Washington, D.C.	\$96.16	\$97.60	1.5%
Source: Smith Travel Research, PKF, Las V	egas CVB		

4.5 Moscone Center Impact on Hotel Performance

San Francisco Travel provided JLLH with a list of "Level 4" hotels, which are considered as convention headquarters hotels due to their room size (200+ guest rooms) and meeting space (over 10,000 s.f.). JLLH filtered the Level 4 hotels further by extracting the hotels with fewer than 400 guest rooms. The filter resulted in the following convention hotels in the market:

San Franci	sco Core Con	vention Hot	els Facilitie	:	
	Affiliated	Open	Room	Total Meeting	Largest Meeting
Hotel	Date -	- Date	Count	Space	Space
Westin St. Francis	1/1998	3/1904	1,195	51,840	10,700
Fairmont San Francisco	4/1907	4/1907	591	55,000	11,362
Luxury Collection Palace Hotel	12/1909	12/1909	553	51,266	8,964
Hotel Whitcomb	8/2007	6/1919	459	14,467	6,300
Kimpton Sir Francis Drake Hotel	1/2009	6/1928	416	14,956	3,081
Hilton San Francisco Union Square	. 8/1964	B/1964	1,908	140,698	29,637
Hilton San Francisco Financial Dist	1/2006	11/1970	542	18,655	4,396
Grand Hyatt San Francisco	1/1973	1/1973	659	30,268	7,056
Hyatt Regency San Francisco	5/1973	5/1973	802	65,543	17,064
Holiday Inn San Francisco Golden Gateway	3/1974	3/1974	499	18,079	5,600
Westin San Francisco Market Street	4/2007	4/1983	676. ·	24,486	9,040
Parc 55 Wyndham San Francisco Union Square	5/2010	5/1984	1,013	30,859	5,670
Hotel Nikko San Francisco	1/1991	10/1987	532	23,250	6,658
Marriott San Francisco Marquis	10/1989	10/1989	1,499	168,506	39,621
W Hotel San Francisco	5/1999	5/1999	404	16,482	3,430
InterContinental San Francisco	2/2008	2/2008	550	36,731	6,800

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved





Due to the density of the San Francisco market, the hotels in the previous list are located in various submarkets, although the highest concentration is located in SoMa and Union Square. As the largest hotel closest to the Moscone Center, the Marriott San Francisco Marquis offers the highest amount of meeting space within the set, although the Hilton San Francisco Union Square has the highest room count. Despite its large size, the Marriott Marquis maintains an annual occupancy slightly above the market average and an average daily rate roughly 10% above the market average for core convention hotels in San Francisco. The following chart presents lodging market performance for the core convention hotels since 1987.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis



Source: Smith Travel Research

The Moscone Center underwent the following major expansions since the opening of Moscone South in 1981:

- 1992: Opening of Moscone North
- 2003: Opening of Moscone West

JLLH analyzed the impact to RevPAR three to five years after the year of expansion on an inflation-adjusted basis, computing a three-year and five-year real RevPAR CAGR following the years after the aforementioned expansions. The expansions' impact on real RevPAR is displayed in detail in the below table:

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis – Phase II Analysis

					ou senuon	HOLEIS LOOD	ing Market Per	tormance -			
						-9 ³ - 10		ADR %	RevPAR %	Real	Real RevPAR
Year	Supply	Demand	Revenue	Occupancy	ADR	RevPAR	Occ % Chg	Chg	Chg	RevPAR	% Chg
1987	3,464,789	2,413,169	\$245,567,855	69.6%	\$101.76	\$70.88				· · · · · ·	
1988	3,607,295	2,621,699	\$274,230,750	72.7%	\$104.60	\$76.02	4.3%	2.8%	7.3%	\$78.42	
1989	3,745,203	2,628,677	\$290,753,105	70.2%	\$110.6 1	\$77.63	-3.4%	5.7%	2.1%	\$75,56	-3,7%
1990	4,154,430	2,856,301	\$339,060,580	68.8%	\$118.71	\$81.61	-2,0%	7.3%	5.1%	\$81.38	7.7%
1991	4,154,430	2,649,926	\$315,684,290	63.B%	\$119.13	\$75.99	-7.2%	0.4%	-6.9%	\$67.54	-17,0%
1992	4,154,430	2,759,006	\$318,202,527	66.4%	\$115.33	\$76.59	4.1%	-3.2%	0.8%	\$74.87	10.9%
1993	4,154,430	2,920,487	\$339,453,208	70.3%	\$116.23	\$81.71	5.9%	0.8%	6.7%	\$84.74	13.2%
1994	4,154,430	2,991,375	\$361,031,188	72.0%	\$120.69	\$86.90	2.4%	3.8%	6.4%	\$90.17	6.4%
1995	4,154,430	3,093,408	\$380,710,412	74.5%	\$123.07	\$91.64	3.4%	2.0%	5.5%	\$94.06	4.3%
1996	4,154,430	3,239,570	\$433,829,335	78.0%	\$133.92	\$104.43	4.7%	8.8%	14.0%	\$115.93	23.2%
1997	4,154,430	3,316,084	\$495,870,497	79,8%	\$149,53	\$119,36	2.4%	11.7%	14.3%	\$133.64	15.3%
1998	4,154,430	3,294,486	\$535,061,572	79.3%	\$162.41	\$128,79	-0.7%	8.6%	7.9%	\$136,98	2.5%
1999	4,256,595	3,291,360	\$560,0B2,320	77.3%	\$170_17	\$131.58	-2.5%	4.8%	2.2%	\$131.54	-4.0%
2000	4,309,385	3,484,168	\$662,964,250	80,9%	\$190_28	\$153,84	4,6%	11.8%	16,9%	\$174.69	32.8%
2001	4,282,893	2,913,689	\$538,010,849	6B.Ó%	\$184.65	\$125.62	-15.9%	-3.0% .	-18.3%	\$99,03	-43.3%
2002	4,292,820	2,872,196	\$459,783,498	66,9% .	\$160.08	\$107.11	-1.7%	-13.3%	-14.7%	\$89,61	-9_5%
2003		2,965,829	\$453,752,788	68.8%	\$152.99	\$105.28	2.9%	-4.4%	-1.7%	\$101.07	12.3%
2004	4,309,920	3,192,677	\$491,479,972	74,1%	\$153.94	\$114,03	7,6%	0.6%	8.3%	\$120.47	19,2%
2005	4,184,668	3,201,890	\$516,171,754	76,5%	\$161.21	\$123,35	3,3%	4.7%	8.2%	\$129,27	7,3%
- 2006	4,297,510	3,279,237	\$576,629,299	76.3%	\$175.84	\$134.18	-0,3%	9,1%	8.8%	\$141.63	9.6%
2007	4,297,510	3,409,082	\$633,283,204	79.3%	\$185.76	\$147.36	4.0%	5.6%	9.8%	\$157,61	11,3%
2008	4,481,210	3,621,277	\$706,823,165	80.8%	\$195,19	\$157.73	1.9%	5.1%	7,0%	\$162,81	3,3%
2009	4,498,260	3,508,327	\$588,884,440	78.0%	\$167.85	\$130,91	-3.5%	-14.0%	-17.0%	\$109.08	-33.0%
2010	4,498,260	3,627,440	\$612,076,039	80.6%	\$168,73	\$136.07	3.4%	0.5%	3.9%	\$139,19	27,6%
2011	4,493,032	3,683,667	\$712,058,110	82,0%	\$193_30	\$158_48	1.7%	14.6%	16,5%	\$179.56	29.0%
ource: Smith	Travel Resea	arch, Bureau	Labor of Statistic	s.					·	•	

 Expansion I (Moscone North)

 3-Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGR
 5.4%

 5-Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGR
 12.1%

Long-Term Average (All Years) Real Rev PAR CAGR 1988 - 2011 6.6%

Expansion III (Moscone West)) 3 Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGR 8.4% 5 Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGR 7.8%

The three-year post expansion real RevPAR CAGR ranged from 5.4% to 8.4% and the five-year post expansion real RevPAR CAGR ranged from 7.8% to 12.1%. These growth rates generally exceed the 6.6% long-term real RevPAR CAGR that the city's core convention center hotels experienced, and as such support that significant convention space expansions in San Francisco have led to higher real RevPAR growth than is witnessed in non-expansion periods, on average. Despite this positive note, it should also be noted that the two expansions also coincided with a recovery period after an economic downturn from the Loma Prieta earthquake in 1989 and the Dot-Com Bubble and 9/11 in 2000 and 2001, which may enhance the growth rate.

4.6 Regression Analysis of Moscone Attendance on Hotel Performance and Local Economy

JLLH performed a regression analysis between convention attendance and hotel demand, RevPAR, retail sales revenues, wage and salary disbursements, gross metro product, air passenger traffic, leisure and hospitality employment and hotel tax revenues. The hotel demand and RevPAR data for the selected core convention hotel set was used along with air passenger traffic data at San Francisco International Airport and economic data specifically for San Francisco County.

In the analysis, we performed both a correlation test and a linear regression. Correlation quantifies the degree to which two variables are related, but does not fit a line through the data points. The correlation coefficient determines how much one variable tends to change when the other variable does. It ranges from -1 (inverse relationship) to +1 (positive relationship), and a 0 means there is no relationship. Linear regression finds the best line that predicts the outcome from the constant variable. The fit is quantified with R^2 , which is the square of the correlation coefficient. The value ranges from 0 to 1; a perfect fit would be equivalent to a value of 1.

The following tables present the data used for the regression analysis and the results of the correlation and linear regression tests.

Moscone Center Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis – Phase II Analysis

Passengers	n/a .	n/a	n/a [:]	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a .	n/a	40,514,461	39,994,532	40,984,461	39,481,008	31,606,059	29,780,463	31,628,256	33,200,760	33,564,798	34,346,413	37,121,365	36,733,910	38,448,243	39,980,029
Total, (Mil. S)	\$6,664	\$6,847	\$6,749	\$6,771	\$7,010	\$7,239	\$7,621	\$8,212	\$8,942	\$9,688	\$10,607	\$11,264	\$11,294	\$11,471	\$11,918	\$12,503	\$13,154	\$13,839	\$14,430	\$13,987	\$13,550	n/a.
disbursements (Mi). 5)	\$10,876	\$19,379	\$19,876	\$20,499	\$20,974	. \$21,819	\$23,169	\$25,147	\$27,589	\$30,529	\$34,835	\$37,702	\$36,076	\$33,861	\$34,236	\$36,249	\$39,089	\$42,629	· \$45,185	\$44,372	\$43,826	\$45,766
Product (Mil.S)	\$36,044	\$38,452	\$39,484	\$39,593	\$40,498	\$41,989	\$44,664	\$47,943	\$51,297	\$54,906	\$59,408	\$61,899	\$61,053	\$60,530	\$61,801	\$65,014	\$69,242	\$73,412	\$77 _, 391	\$78,225	\$78,217	\$80,003
Rate	₽/a	n/a	11.0%	11.0%	12.0%	12.0%	12.0%	14.0%	14.0%	14.0%	14,0%	14,0%	14.0%	14.0%	14.0%	14.0%	14.0%	14,0%	14.0%	14.0%	14,0%	14.0%
ent Revenues	n/a	n/a	\$72,030,000	\$76,250,000	\$86,480,000	\$94,100,000	\$102,960,000	\$137,650,000	\$150,160,000	\$161,520,000	\$182,100,000	\$188,380,000	\$132,230,000	\$128,590,000	\$148,230,000	\$157,950,000	\$179,470,000	\$199,770,000	\$210,340,000	\$219,800,000	\$210,000,000	\$212,500,000
Hospitality Employm	n/a	n/a	54,700	55,700	56,900	60,900	61,900	67,700	69,800	74,000	74,400	75,400	72,300	. 71,200	71,900	73,400	75,300	76,800	80,600	80,600	000'22	78,300
RevPAR	\$77.30	\$77,56	\$75.61	\$80,07	\$83.43	\$90,71	\$95.39	\$113.36	\$123.91	\$130,97	\$143,16	\$148.79	\$109.19	\$102.39	\$112.83	\$115,18	\$128.47	\$138,24	\$155.06	\$142.42	\$132.65	\$147.86
Demand	2,732,220	2,672,889	2,706,555	2,859,199	2,951,213	3,084,491	3,117,998	3,317,700	3,313,002	3,274,929	3,445,126	3,274,276	2,763,942	2,864,997	3,162,960	3,177,229	3,208,835	3,321,572	3,525,393	3,513,193	3,621,242	3,677,706
Altendance	606,425	572,395	611,381	765,202			787,276			894,818		839,390		747,832	937,440		1,046,272	974,676	1,279,000	968,664	919,811	1,092,975
Events	48	23	58	92	67	74	72	68	80	96	72	85	67	62	94	115	119	119	120	108	92	121
Fiscal Year	1989/1990	1990/1991	1991/1992	1992/1993	1993/1994	1994/1995	1995/1996	1996/1997	1997/1998	1998/1999	1999/2000	2000/2001	2001/2002	2002/2003	2003/2004	2004/2005	2005/2006	2006/2007 .	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	2010/2011 121

	nvention Attendance	Regression (R ²) - Conv	vention Altendance
SF County Gross Metro Product	0,76	SF County Gross Metro Product	0.5752
Hotel Demand-Core Convention Center Area	0,75	Hotel Demand-Core Convention Center Area	0.5647
SF County Wage & Salary Disbursements	0,74	SF County Wage & Salary Disbursements	0.5469
Real RevPAR-Core Convention Center Area	0.73	Real RevPAR-Core Convention Center Area	0.5341
SF County Retail Sales	. 0,72	SF County Retail Sales	0.5165
SF Hotel Tax Revenues	0,68	SF Hotel Tax Revenues	0.4625
SF County Leisure & Hospitality Employment	0,64	SF County Leisure & Hospitality Employment	0,4102
SFO Total Airport Passengers	0,11	SFO Total Airport Passengers	0.0120

The highest correlation was observed between convention center attendance and San Francisco County gross metro product, hotel demand for core convention area hotels and San Francisco County wage & salary disbursements all of which exhibited a correlation of 0.70 and above, exhibiting the relatively strong relationship between the convention attendance and hotel-related and economic factors in San Francisco.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

1097

5 Description of Three Expansion Schemes

JLLH reviewed Tom Eliot Fisch's preliminary design (dated November 30, 2011) for three expansion schemes. It is important to note that the analysis made in this report is based on Tom Eliot Fisch's preliminary design. In the Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis, JLLH analyzed various combinations of the following three schemes:

- Third Street Addition: 6-story building totaling 260,000 gross s.f.
- Howard Street Connection: Underground conversion of space, which will create 107,000 s.f. of exhibit space.
- Moscone East: 4-story building (1 below grade) totaling 264,000 gross s.f. with additional air rights for hotel or office space.

5.1 Third Street Addition

The Third Street Addition includes a six-story building adjacent to the existing Esplanade Ballroom in Moscone South. The expansion scenario includes one floor of retail, four floors of meeting rooms, and one floor of offices totaling nearly 260,000 gross square feet. The Third Street Addition will add 99,700 s.f. of meeting rooms and 37,800 s.f. of office space. The Third Street Addition will only exist when combined with the Howard Street Connection, since it will replace some of the meeting space loss from the conversion to exhibit space with the Howard Street Connection. In addition, it should be built prior to the Howard Street Connection.



Source: Tom Eliot Fisch

5.2 Howard Street Connection

Howard Street Connection expansion comprises of an underground conversion of space, which will repurpose Hall E (38,600 s.f.), Gateway Ballroom (27,500 s.f.), and café, storage, and circulation area (30,000 s.f.) in addition, the conversion will enable a net gain of 10,900 s.f. of unexcavated area. The expansion is expected to provide a total of 107,000 s.f. of exhibit space. Due to structural limitations, the connection will comprise of lower ceiling height at several segments of the tunnel, ranging from a low of 11 feet to a high of 23 feet. It should be noted that the Howard Street Connection expansion will only exist with a combined expansion of either the Third

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

AUSCINISTREET

Street Addition and/or Moscone East expansion, and should always be built after Third Street Addition and/or Moscone East in order to accommodate displaced demand from loss of meeting space.

Source: Tom Eliot Fisch

5.3 Moscone East

Moscone East expansion comprises of the demolition of the Third Street Garage to a building with one level of underground exhibit space (which will be contiguous to Moscone South's exhibit hall), three levels of meetings rooms, and a hotel or office space on top. Moscone East is expected to add 102,650 s.f. of exhibit space, 67,500 s.f. of meeting rooms, and at least 292,875 s.f. of hotel or office space. The connecting ramp from Moscone South's exhibit hall to Moscone East's exhibit hall will require a seven-foot decline. Moscone East can be considered as a separate expansion scenario or combined with either Howard Street Connection or both Howard Street Connection and Third Street Addition.



COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved



Source: Torn Eliot Fisch

5.4 Pros & Cons

JLLH weighted the pros and cons of each of the three individual expansion options on a high-level basis before more closely evaluating economic impact.

Expansion Scenario	Pros	Cons
	On City-owned property	
	One level of meeting rooms are connected to Esplanade Ballroom, which will provide a good flow	
	Adds meeting space with natural light	Does not add exhibit space, nor does it add any contiguous space
	Relatively overall lower Construction cost, compared to other expansion	Meeting rooms are long and narrow (linear meeting space vs. flexible,
Third Street Addition	scenarios "Stacked" meeting space is favored by meeting planners	general session space), and cannot be used for general session space, which needs a minimum of ~45,000 s.f.
	Existing User Group were very much in favor of additional meeting space being created	Construction expected to displace some groups
	Can potentially provide air rights for office space	

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone E

		. · ·
pansion Scenario	Pros	Cons
이 승규는 물건을 받는 것을 하는 것을 물건을 했다.		이 물건가, 영상 가지 않는 것을 갖춘다.
	On City-owned property	Segments of the connection will have
	Addresses lack of contiguous exhibit	lower ceiling height, which decreases
•	-	the marketability of the space
	space	The understand of the charte
ward Street Connection	Flexibility of space, which can be used	Underground, no natural light
	as an extension for both Moscone	-
	North or South	Construction expected to displace
		some groups, since it will close down
	Construction cost is lower than	Gateway Ballroom and Hall E
	Moscone East	
		.,
· ·	· · ·	Higher cost to construct compared to
	·	the other expansion scenarios
		City does not currently own all proper
		Ony does not canenity own as propor
		Will only be directly connected to
		Moscone South; therefore, there may
		be accessibility issues to Moscone
	•	North
•	Addresses lack of contiguous exhibit	
•	space	Meeting rooms are too long and nam
•		(linear meeting space vs. flexible,
	Little disruption of existing booked	general session space), and cannot l
	business	used for general session space, which
		needs a minimum of ~45,000 s.f.
	Could be used for self-contained	The connecting terms with the 7 deer
oscone East	events and marketed as a stand-alone	The connecting ramp with the 7' drop will decrease the marketability of the
	space like Moscone West	-
÷.,	Will provide air rights for hotel or office	space
•	space	The exhibit space that extends onto
		Folsom and Third (beyond Moscone
	Will increase the marketability of San	South) will be less desirable, becaus
	Francisco with a bigger expansion.	it is "out-of-sight" from Moscone Sou
•		•
•		Utilities on Clementine and Kaplan m
		need to be relocated

Traffic flow of loading docks may be impacted, since the existing loading docks will also be used for East

Loss of 506 existing parking spaces

5.5 Phasing

As we analyze all the possible combinations of the expansion scenarios, it is important to note that certain phasing is required for operational efficiencies. As mentioned previously, Third Street Addition and Howard Street Connection expansion cannot exist by itself. Third Street Addition and Howard Street Connection can either be combined as one scenario and/or built along with Moscone East in order to support the displaced demand during the construction period. Also, since the construction of the Howard Street Connection will impact the operations of both Hall E and the Gateway Ballroom, it needs to come after another aforementioned expansion.

5.6 Conclusions from Interviews with Moscone User Groups

JLLH conducted interviews with eleven Moscone Center user groups who may require more space in the future, in order to obtain comments from these groups on their current and future convention needs, suggestions on how to increase the competitiveness of the Moscone Center going forward and specific comments on the Tom Eliot Fisch's preliminary expansion plans. The interviews' salient points are summarized in the following:

- San Francisco
 - Walkability of San Francisco.
 - Strong airlift with regard to domestic and international destinations.
 - o San Francisco attracts more attendees, especially with regard to international attendees.
- Lodging Market
 - o Risk of not having sufficient number of quality hotel rooms to accommodate large groups.
 - Tend to need to contract room blocks with a higher number of hotels in San Francisco versus other cities.
- Competitive convention center markets in U.S include Chicago, Las Vegas, New Orleans, San Diego, Los Angeles, Boston, Orlando and Atlanta.
- Pros of Moscone Center
 - o Location: In San Francisco and within the city limits.
 - o Favorable partnership with San Francisco hotels.
 - o Moscone's proximity to the company's headquarters.
 - o Renovation with upgraded technology and meeting space.
 - Users stated that they favor the layout and finishes of Moscone West.
- Cons of Moscone Center
 - o Lack of connection between Moscone West to North and South.
 - Lack of contiguous space as exhibit halls are separated among the three buildings.
 - o Arches in the exhibit space add restriction to the viewing and usage of the space.
 - o Do not like 100-series meeting rooms due to the tight corridors and small size of the rooms.
- Desired Changes to the Moscone Center
 - o Add 100,000 to 150,000 s.f. of contiguous exhibit space.
 - o Add additional meeting space in North and South (flexible space).
 - o Add more natural light in hallways and around meeting space.
 - Connect existing exhibit halls in North and South.
 - o Connect buildings with either a sky bridge or underground passage.
 - Convention center expansion ideally would correspond with additional adjacent or connected hotel rooms.

Out of the eleven user groups, four groups prefer all three expansions, three groups prefer Third Street Addition and Howard Street Connection, two groups prefer Third Street Addition and Moscone East, and two groups prefer Moscone East. Of the four user groups that would like all three expansions, three of them mentioned that their secondary choice would be Third Street Addition and Moscone East, because the combination add the most

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

1102

Moscone Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

additional space, while one group would prefer Third Street Addition and Howard Street, because the connection between the existing buildings must be fluid prior to adding another building. The following highlights specific comments for each of the scenario:

- Third Street Addition
 - In general, the user groups like to see additional and new meeting space, especially when it is connected to the existing buildings. They would prefer them to be flexible, similar to Moscone West, with moving airwalls and high ceilings. A suggestion was to also have airwalls that separate pre function space from meeting space in order to have flexibility to decrease or increase pre function space.
 - There was a suggestion to maximize the area of the meeting space by building over the Esplanade Ballroom, since many suggested that the size of the Esplanade Ballroom works very well for a general session.
 - Three user groups interviewed expressed negative reviews of the existing 100 series meeting rooms for its lack of flexibility and small size.
 - o The majority of user groups mentioned that stacked meeting space is preferable over a large one-floor layout, because it increases the perception that the attendee's walking distance from one meeting room to the next is shorter. In addition, if the meeting rooms are concentrated in one area, it makes it easier for event planners to manage and monitor meetings. Stacked space also allows more natural light in, which is a plus for several user groups.
 - One user group felt that the meeting space looked long and narrow, and would prefer a similar meeting space to the Esplanade Ballroom.
 - 50% of user groups interviewed mentioned that it is definitely beneficial for one floor of meeting space to have a connection with the Esplanade Ballroom, because that will be a great transition from a general session to a breakout session.
 - One event planner suggested adding windows to the meeting space, because they feit that attendees are focused longer with natural light, which is why Moscone West is preferable.
 - Two of the user groups mentioned that it was important that the meeting space has minimal number of columns.
- Howard Street Connection
 - There is a strong sentiment of concern about the change in ceiling height, especially when it goes down to 11 foot. Typically, groups need a minimum of 25-foot high ceilings for exhibit space.
 - The concern with the decline in ceiling height is that it creates the perception that the exhibit hall has ended, rather than a continuous space, so an attraction needs to be added to move traffic pass the two sections with 11-foot ceilings.
 - In addition, one user group mentioned that the flow changes directions from east to west to north to south when going from Moscone North to Moscone South.
 - One user group also did not like the shape of the entire exhibit space from Moscone North to South as there are sections to both Moscone North and South that are not aligned with the width of the Howard Street Connection. The same user group also mentioned that the escalators entering the middle of the hall will also be an odd entrance.
 - One user group felt that the exhibit space in Howard Street Connection would be more valuable than Moscone East, because it is located all on one floor rather than separated by a declining ramp and change in sight line.
 - Three user groups mentioned that if all three expansions cannot be done, then Howard Street Connection needs to be done before Moscone East, because the connection between the existing buildings need to be completely fluid prior to adding an additional building.

47

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

- There was a suggestion to add an airwall to separate Moscone North from South when needed, because one of the groups normally have a keynote speaker in Moscone North and would like it separated from the rest of the exhibit space.
- Moscone East
 - Four groups felt that the ramp (connecting Moscone South to East) will diminish some sellable exhibit space, and also changes the sight line, which decreases the space's perception of contiguous space. One user group referred to the Georgia World Congress Center as it has a similar descending layout, which appeared difficult to draw attendees down, which makes the space less valuable. For this reason, one user group does not consider the exhibit space between Moscone South and Moscone East as contiguous space due to the change in sight line; the event planner emphasized the importance of perception. One event planner noted that the space around the ramp is still usable space, because the ceiling height is still high at the ramp.
 - One event planner mentioned that the exhibit space's flow is better with Moscone East compared to Howard Street Connection, because it is all one direction, versus the awkward shape going from Moscone North to South through the Howard Street Connection, which will require the flow to switch from east to west to north to south.
 - o Three groups were concerned about the rectangular section of Moscone East's exhibit space that went out towards Folsom Street since it does not align with Moscone South and may be less desirable. A suggestion was to add an attraction in that area, like a café or special exhibit, in order to move the crowd to that area. Two user groups also mentioned that the rectangular block is not a concern, because attendees can enter from the north side of Moscone East, where they will see the rectangular block, and it can also be used for ancillary services.
 - All of the user groups found the addition of the hotel beneficial, because it enhances the convention package and adds another hotel close in the area, which provides easy access for both attendees and exhibitors. A higher room count may alleviate the number of hotels in the room block.
 - Two groups felt that one of Moscone East's disadvantages is its lack of connection to Moscone North, and the addition of another standalone building to Moscone Center.
 - One user group noted that because Moscone East exhibit space is connected underground to Moscone South, it will provide the perception of one building instead of two separate buildings, which enhances the continuous perception.
 - O 20% of user groups emphasized the importance of adding loading docks for Moscone East, since the traffic is already crowded. A supplier of convention recommended that Moscone East should have 8-10 of its own laoding docks in order to prevent a reduction of utilization of the building with longer move-in/move-out days and increase in costs for exhibitors with a farther distance in loading dock.
 - In terms of phasing, two groups suggested adding Moscone East first, since there is more flexibility to add the Howard Street Connection and Third Street Addition later on as it is part of the existing buildings.

5.7 Filling Market Niche with Expansion

JLLH examined how the proposed expansion could fill a market niche which would lead to a competitive advantage. JLLH drew its analysis on interviews with senior-level staff from San Francisco Travel, Moscone Center executives, senior-level meeting planners who have used the Moscone Center and online research of competitive facilities.

The purpose of the detailed competitive analysis (in Section 3) was to determine how an expansion of the Moscone Center could offer facilities that will make the market more competitive among its peer set, to realize

Moscone Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis – Phase II Analysis

operational efficiencies and economies and to most effectively yield manage the facility, all with the purpose of distinguishing the complex from its competitive set to be able to retain and grow core clients.

Below is a broad assessment of high-impact points that should be considered in the proposed Moscone Center expansion:

San Francisco as a destination has significant draw and allure. The consensus among senior meeting planners was that their San Francisco rotation often garners the highest attendance of any city in the country. San Francisco ranks particularly favorably among international conventioneers due to the direct air linkages.

San Francisco is gateway to Asia, boding well for technology and medical meetings in particular, which are attracting a growing number of Asian attendees. As such, the Moscone Center benefits from being in a marquis location which in itself forms a significant competitive advantage in attracting conventions.

Many large convention centers, like the Moscone Center, were built in phases and, due to space constraints, often do not have the most ideal flow and layout. The senior-level meeting planners that JLLH interviewed spoke favorably of the layout and scale of the convention centers in Orlando, Boston and New Orleans, but aside from these three, the meeting planners cited few "must replicate" physical characteristics of other convention centers.

Favorable aspects of competitive convention centers to be considered in the Moscone Center expansion include:

- Allow for natural light where possible.
- The additional exhibit space should be contiguous with the Moscone Center's largest exhibit hall.
- Any additional buildings should be physically connected with Moscone North/South.
- A number of competitive convention centers have not had a substantial renovation in recent years; as such the buildings' technological outfitting is often below state-of-the art standards. Due to the Moscone Center's proximity to Silicon Valley, any expansion should be of the highest technology standard, and this should be marketed and promoted to meeting planners. The expansion should include technology elements such as Wi-Fi throughout that are not present at all other convention centers.
- Additionally, commensurate with San Francisco's positioning as an upscale international gateway
 market, JLLH deemed that the corporations and associations that hold conventions at the Moscone
 Center often have attendees of a higher demographic segment and education level than the average
 conventioneer in the country. As such, the level of finishes in the expanded facility should be at the
 upper level of what Moscone Center's competitive set currently offers.

Overall, meeting planners are requesting both additional exhibit space and meeting space, although it is important to have more exhibit space, because that is their source of revenues and the main determinant factor in choosing a convention center. Although there are limitations in the expansion designs, it is important to enhance the attendees' perception of the space with creative designs in order to maximize the flow of the conventions. All of the user groups we have interviewed supported the expansion, and most support all three expansions in order to maximize both exhibit and meeting space at the Moscone Center.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

1106

Moscone Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis – Phase П Analysis

6 Expansion Economic Impact Analysis

JLLH conducted a comprehensive economic impact analysis of various Moscone Center expansion scenarios to determine the optimal expansion of the current facilities. This takes into account the economic impact that is expected to generate from the incremental visitor spending and the Moscone Center's Net Operating Income from operations.

6.1 Evaluation of Various Expansion Scenarios

JLLH projected the growth in attendance for a variety of expansion scenarios as summarized below:

	Moscone Center Expansion Scenarios		
Scenario	Component(s)	Saleable Spa	ice (s.f.)
1	Moscone East Construction	•	170,150
2	Third Street Addition and Howard Street Connector Expansion		206,700
3	Third Street Addition and Moscone East Construction	·	269,850
4	Howard Street Connector Expansion and Moscone East Construction	•	277,150
5	All Three Expansions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	376,850

The table below outlines the assumed construction dates and duration of the various scenarios, along with the specifics of the expansions. The starting date for construction was given by San Francisco Travel as FY 2014/2015. In the plans provided by San Francisco Travel, the Howard Street Connector Expansion was deemed to be part of the Third Street Addition (in total, the Moscone North/South expansion) project. JLLH assumed that the Third Street addition would be constructed during the first two thirds of the overall expansion timeframe, and that the Howard Street Connector expansion would take place during the last third of the overall Moscone North/South expansion timeframe.

Assi	med Construct	ion Timeline	
Andrew Rowlins, and the Dawn	Howard Street	Third Street	Moscone East
-	Connector	Addition	Construction
Start Construction	4/30/16	7/1/2014	7/1/2014
Open for Use	3/30/17	4/30/2016	12/29/2017
	ummary of Con	struction	
The second and the second s	• •		
	Howard Street		Moscone East
	Connector	Addition	Construction
Location	Connection between Moscone North	Vertically stacked above	Separate building across from Moscone
:	and South	Moscone	South on Third
		South	Street
Exhibit Space s.f.	107,000	-	102,650
Meeting Space s.f.	·-	99,700	67,500
Total Saleable Space	107,000	99,700	170,150

6.2 Methodology of Attendance Projections based on Expansion Scenario

JLLH first calculated organic growth rates in Moscone Center attendance assuming no expansion in space. An assumed growth rate of 2.5% per annum was applied to the total attendance figures for FY 2010/2011.

Based on this methodology, JLLH calculated that attendance would rise to 1.434 million in FY 2021/2022. This attendance level yielded a ratio of 2.7 attendees per square foot of exhibit space, deemed as infeasible, since the ratio from FY 1989/1990 to FY 2011/2011 averaged 1.9.

JLLH as such added an attrition factor to the model, capping future attendance per square foot of exhibit space at a ratio of 2.2. When accounting for attrition, the organic growth scenario yielded annual attendance of 1.207 million in FY 2021/2022. For purposes of the 15-year net economic impact, JLLH took this attendance figure, deemed to be a stabilized figure, and applied it to all years from FY 2022/2023 through FY 2025/2026.

A space utilization ratio of 2.2 marks an increase on the historic ratio. JLLH deems the increase reasonable because meeting planners of the Moscone Center's largest groups unanimously stated that they can make the space work up to a certain point of growth in attendance. This implies that groups strive to keep making more efficient use of the space available.

Based on this analysis, JLLH concluded that it is unlikely that Moscone Center attendance will decline if the convention center is not expanded. While the absence of an expansion may result in the loss of several of the center's largest groups to other cities, JLLH expects that San Francisco Travel will be able to manage demand accordingly and accommodate another group, or multiple smaller groups in the time blocks made available by such lost groups. While the replaced business may have a lesser economic impact on the city, JLLH did not lower any projected attendance figures due to the presumed loss of any groups that are turned away due to space constraints.

JLLH subsequently calculated attendance projections for the three expansion scenarios detailed below, along with all possible combinations thereof. In its methodology, JLLH took the organic attendance growth figures (capped at a space utilization rate of 2.2 as described above), and calculated the induced demand, expressed as number of induced groups multiplied by average historic group size. JLLH also made assumptions as to the expected number of groups displaced during the construction of each of the expansion scenarios based on insight garnered during interviews with competitive convention center managers, among other factors.

For all expansion scenarios, JLLH computed average space utilization ratios and considered these when determining the reasonableness of assumed attendance growth rates. The attendance projection summary table (Appendix 7.3) highlights the average attendance per square foot of exhibit space for each expansion scenario.

JLLH also evaluated the potential for demand dilution for each of the expansion scenarios. Demand dilution refers to the risk of a group preferring a certain space over another space of the Moscone Center. JLLH believes that if a group is of the appropriate size to be self-contained in Moscone West, they will often favor this space, but larger groups that require the full facility will use it as needed to accommodate their exhibitors and attendees. As such, JLLH does not expect that demand dilution will become a material challenge, and did not consider this matter further when determining the recommended expansion scenario.

The final projected attendance figure for each of the expansion cases thus represents organic growth, plus induced demand, minus displaced demand. These projections were used as the basis of determining the economic impact of the incremental attendance figures of the various expansion scenarios.

6.3 Calculation of Economic Impact of Expansion Scenarios

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

JLLH calculated the economic impact that various expansion scenarios are expected to yield based on the increased attendance levels associated with the expansion. The IRR of the associated construction costs against the incremental economic impact was used in formulating JLLH's final recommendation.

In order to estimate economic impact, JLLH relied on the IMPLAN software and data package, which uses multipliers based on data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the U.S. Census, and other agencies to describe and quantify economic changes. IMPLAN is considered a comprehensive and reliable source by economists and makes use of multipliers to provide estimates of economic activity associated with some other economic activity or changes to an activity level. JLLH used 2010 IMPLAN data (which represents the latest year available) for San Francisco County in the economic impact analysis; therefore, the multipliers are specific to the market at hand.

IMPLAN's multipliers consist of three types of impact: direct, indirect, and induced effects. Direct effects are those related to the initial spending in the economy, and indirect effects measure the additional businesses needed to purchase goods and services to produce the product purchased by the direct effect. Induced effects are the response by an economy to the initial change causing further local economic activity. Each of these effects is categorized into employment, labor income, value-added, or output as defined below:

- Employment: Annual average full-time and part-time jobs throughout the economy that are needed, directly and indirectly, to deliver \$1 million of output.
- Labor Income: All forms of employment income, including Employee Compensation (wages and benefits) and Proprietary Income. Proprietary Income encompasses payments received by selfemployed individuals as well as income.
- Value-Added: Represents the sum of Labor Income, Other Property Type Income, and Indirect Business Taxes. Other Property Type Income consists of payments from rents, royalties and dividends, and Indirect Business Taxes consist primarily of excise and sales taxes paid by individuals to businesses. These taxes occur during the normal operations of these businesses, but do not include taxes on profit or income.
- Output: The total value of the industry production; intermediate purchases plus value-added. Output
 incorporates all of the components in Labor Income and Value-Added.

In computing the full economic impact per the above-referenced methodology, JLLH computed the impact of incremental Moscone Center Net Operating Income and incremental visitor spending as described below.

Moscone Center Facility Impact

JLLH analyzed trends in Moscone Center facility revenues, expenses and operating income to incorporate the impact of attendance on the financial performance of the convention center under various expansion scenarios. In order to estimate a 15-year economic impact from visitor spending, JLLH also added in the Convention Center Net Income attributable to incremental attendance resulting from the expansion.

A profit margin ranging from -13.2% (similar to FY 2010/2011) to -4.0% was applied to the forecast Adjusted Gross Income (AGI) for the convention center operations to obtain a forecast for Convention Center Net Income throughout the forecast horizon for the seven scenarios. JLLH determined that there is not an attendance level that will result in breakeven profitability. Moscone Center operations are expected to continue to yield a slight loss as they have in the past, but a positive trend will be seen as fixed costs are distributed among a larger area of operations.

Visitor Spending Impact

In order to estimate the incremental revenues from visitor spending, JLLH calculated the net difference in attendance between each of the five scenarios and the base case of no expansion. The 2010/2011 Moscone Annual Report (latest data available) aggregated three attendee origin categories: National/International, State/Regional, and Local. In order to estimate the percent of total out-of-town attendees, we have assumed that 100% of National/International and State/Regional attendees are from out of town, while assuming that all Local attendees are from within the San Francisco area. This results in a total out-of-town percentage of 99%.

	FY 2010/2011 Figures	JLLH Assumed	Total Out-of Town %
National/International	78%	100%	78%
State/Regional	22%	100%	22%
.ocal	1%	0%	0%
Fotal Source: Moscene Annual Report	· ·		99%

JLLH relied on San Francisco Travel's 2010 statistics (latest year available) on the visitor spending by segment and average length of stay in order to derive the revenue generated per visitor for various categories, indicated in the below table. The detailed calculation based on expansion Scenario 5 is contained in Appendix 7.4.

Spending by Visito	Segment (SF Hotel/Motel V	isitor): 2010 –
Category	S/Day/Person Sper	Person at 3.5 Days
Lodging	\$86.41	\$302.44
Restaurants in Hotels	\$19.25	\$67.38
All Other Restaurants	\$40.91	\$143.19
Retail	\$37.20	\$130.20
Entertainment & Sightseeing	\$24.17	\$84.60
Local Transportation	\$8,95	\$31.33
Gas/Auto Services	\$13.09	\$45.82
Car Rental	\$4.53	\$15.86
Exhibitor/Assoc. Expends	\$36.91	\$129.19
Total Spending	\$271.43	\$950.01
Length of Stay	3.5	4000101
Source: San Francisco Travel /	ssociation	

The increase (or loss) in attendance for all seven scenarios compared to the base (no expansion) scenario were converted to incremental revenues according to the average spending per category data accumulated by San Francisco Travel. Because the "Exhibitor/Assoc. Expends" sector included anything an exhibitor/association would spend during their time in San Francisco (i.e. lodging, restaurants, etc.), JLLH assumed that this sector has been accounted for in the economic impact through the allocation for the remaining sectors.
Moscone Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis – Phase II Analysis

		IMPLAN Sectors
Category	IMPLAN Sector	IMPLAN Description
Lodging	411	Hotels and motels, including casino hotels
Restaurants in Hotels	411	Hotels and motels, including casino hotels
All Other Restaurants	413	Food services and drinking places
Retail	329	Retail - General Merchandise
Entertainment & Sightseeing	. 338	Scenic and sightseeing transportation and support activities for transportation
Local Transportation	336	Transit and ground passenger transportation
Gas/Auto Services	326	Retail - Gasoline stations
Car Rental	362	Automotive equipment rental and leasing
Construction	34	Construction of new nonresidential commercial and health care structures
Source: JLLH, IMPLAN		

Spend pertaining to the Lodging and Restaurants in the Hotels sector was applied only the net *out-of-town* attendees, while the remaining sectors were attributed to *all* net attendees.

The average spend per person at 3.5 days (from 2010) was inflated to the specific years in which the expanded space opened (which started earliest from 2014/2015 depending on the construction schedule for the scenario). The calculation for expansion Scenario 5 is detailed in Appendix 7.5. This calculation was repeated for all five scenarios.

6.4 Economic Impact Summary

The following table presents the net economic impact (Moscone Center Net Operating Income and Visitor Spending Impact) and the change in employment for all five scenarios based on the projection period through FY 2025/2026. The detailed calculations for all five scenarios are displayed in Appendix 7.6.

		Economic Impact - Visitor Spending & Moscone Center	Facility —	
Ranking	Scenario	Components-	Net Economic Impact	Change in Employment
1	5	All Three Expansions	\$1,434,098,880	6,878
2	4	Howard Street Connector Expansion and Moscone East Construction	\$1,331,026,465	6,616
3	3	Third Street Addition and Moscone East Construction	\$802,700,493	3,682
4	. 2	Third Street Addition and Howard Street Connector Expansion	\$734,402,886	3,480
5	1	Moscone East Construction	\$699,631,255	3,412

Based on the economic impact analysis from visitor spending and taking into account the Net Operating Income from the Moscone Center operations, Scenario 5 with all three expansions yielded the highest net economic impact with the highest change in employment.

Impact on Hotel Market Occupancy

JLLH projected hotel demand starting in 2011/2012 over a future 10-year period, assuming no supply increases to core convention center lodging area, to demonstrate how undergoing the expansion (assuming Scenario 5) likely warrants the addition of new hotel supply in the future.

As presented in Section 4 of this report, the correlation of Moscone Center convention attendance to hotel demand among the set of convention center hotels equals 0.75. JLLH as such calculated the projected hotel demand level annual percent change from 2011/2012 onward by adding the convention attendance percent change multiplied by 75% with the long-term average demand percent change multiplied by 25%. Note that hotel demand and hotel supply are expressed on total room night (annual) basis.

This calculation yields a CAGR in hotel demand of 2.6% for the years in the forecast horizon, notably above the historic 1.4%, suggesting that the increased exhibit space square footage built in the Howard Street Connector and Moscone East will yield higher hotel demand.

	San Francisco Core C	onventi	on Hotels - Future Occ		on Based on F	lecommended E	xpansion Sc	enario
Fiscal Year	Convention Attendance (Scenario 5)	% Change	Hotel Supply	Projected Hotel Total Room Night	% Hotel Room Night Change	Accomodated Room Night Demand	Actual Projected Occupancy	Unaccommodated Room Night Demand
1989/1990	. ' EDE 405		1 010 500	Demand		-		•
1990/1991	606,425		4,016,522	2,732,220		2,732,220	68.0%	1
1991/1992	572,395 611,381	-5.6% 6.8%		2,672,889	-2.2%	2,672,889	64.3%	1
1992/1993	765,202	25.2%		2,706,555	1.3%	2,706,555	65.1%	
1993/1994	835,762	9.2%		2,859,199 2,951,213	5.6% 3.2%	2,859,199	68.8%	
1994/1995	798,824	-4.49		2,951,213	3.2% 4.5%	2,951,213 3,084,491	71.0%	· · ·
1995/1996	787,276	-1.49		3,117,998	4.0%	3,117,998	· 75.1%	
1996/1997	877,627	11.5%		3,317,700	6.4%	3,317,700	79.9%	
1997/1998	834,243	-4.9%		3,313,002	-0.1%	3,313,002	79.7%	•
1998/1999	894,818	7.3%		3,274,929	-1.1%	3,274,929	78.4%	•
1999/2000	684,266	-23.5%		3,445,126	5.2%	3,445,126	80.0%	
2000/2001	839,390	22.7%		3,274,276	-5.0%	3,274,276	76.0%	
2001/2002	744,746	-11.3%	• • •	2,753,942	-15.9%	2,753,942	64.5%	
2002/2003	747,832	0.4%		2,864,997	4.0%	2,864,997	66.5%	
2003/2004	937,440	25.4%		3,162,960	10.4%	3,162,960	73.4%	
2004/2005	819,843	-12.5%		3,177,229	0.5%	3,177,229	74.0%	
2005/2006	1,046,272	27.6%		3,208,835	1.0%	3,208,835	` 76.4%	
2006/2007	974,676	-6.8%		3,321,572	3.5%	3,321,572	77.3%	
2007/2008 .	1,279,000	31.2%		3,525,393	6,1%	3,525,393	80.5%	,51
2008/2009	968,664	-24.3%	4,498,260	3,513,193	-0.3%	3,513,193	78.1%	
2009/2010	919,811	-5.0%	4,498,260	3,621,242	3.1%	3,621,242	80,5%	
2010/2011	1,092,975	18.8%	4,497,632	3,677,706	1,6%	3,677,706	81.8%	
2011/2012F	1,115,319	2.0%	4,497,632	3,747,232 .	1.9%	3,747,232	83,3%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2012/2013F	, 1,146,315	2.8%	4,497,632	3,838,762	2.4%	3,838,762	85.4%	
2013/2014F	1,181,134	(3.0%	4,497,632	3,939,982	2.6%	3,838,762	87.6%	101,221
2014/2015F	1,165,344	-1.3%	4,497,632	3,914,355	-0.7%	3,838,762	87.6%	75,593
2015/2016F	1,172,290	0.6%	4,497,632	3,945,753	0.8%	3,838,762	87.6%	106,991
2016/2017F	1,216,891	3.8%	4,497,632	4,072,540	3.2%	3,838,762	87.6%	233,779
2017/2018F	1,376,424	13.1%	4,497,632	4,488,186	10.2%	3,838,762	87,6%	649,424
2018/2019F	1,453,618	. 5.6%	4,497,632	4,693,238	4.6%	3,838,762	87.6%	854,476
2019/2020F	1,484,495	2.1%	4,497,632	4,784,778	2.0%	3,838,762	87.6%	946,016
2020/2021F	1,505,080	1.4%	4,497,632	4,851,584	1.4%	3,838,762	87,6%	1,012,823
2021/2022F	1,525,665	1.4%	4,497,632	4,918,633	1.4%	3,838,762	87.6%	1,079,871
					(-11		
	Correlation 1989/199 2010/2011	0-	Total Hotel Room	Night Demand (Change			
	Convention Attendance, Demand	Hotel	CAGR 1989/1990 - 2010/2011		1.4%	- -		
	Fravel Recearch Jones La	0.75	CAGR 2011/2012 - 2021/2022	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.8%	•	· ·	

Source: Smith Travel Research, Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels

Based on the projection methodology detailed in the body of the report, the rise in hotel demand amid steady supply will yield a projected occupancy rate of 87.6% in FY 2013/2014. An analysis of long-term trends in San Francisco and other lodging markets evidences that annual hotel occupancy rarely exceeds mid 80s occupancy levels given the periods of lower demand such as holidays. As such, it is considered unlikely that occupancy would grow above this level, resulting in a considerable amount of unaccommodated hotel room night demand as displayed in the table. If no new room supply is introduced to the market, JLLH estimates a potential loss in economic benefit (from visitor spending) of

approximately \$15 million for FY-2013/2014 and increasing each additional year with the loss in unaccommodated demand for the market as a whole.

JLLH believes that, based on the incremental convention center attendance resulting from the recommended expansion, there is strong evidence to suggest that the market be able to support the addition of new hotel stock over the medium term. The addition of notel rooms, whether part of an official convention center headquarters hotel, or another hotel in the local area, will have an additional positive impact on área employment and tax revenues beyond what is quantified in this report.

It should be noted that the above analysis only pertains to the Core Convention Hotels, which are the preferred hotels for meeting planners' room block, but there is an additional 22,000 hotel rooms which can be used during the compression period. From our Moscone User Group interviews, the complaint in the San Francisco hotel supply was not due to the lack of supply, but it was specifically for the number of quality supply and the high number of hotels in the room versus other cities, like Las Vegas, due to the great supply of smaller, boutique hotels in the City.

Moscone Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis

7 Appendices

7.1 Glossary

- Average Daily Rate (ADR): A measure of the average rate paid for rooms sold, which is calculated by dividing total room revenue by total rooms sold.
- Chain Scales: Seven segments defined by Smith Travel Research based on actual average room rates. Independent hotels, regardless of their room rates are included as a separate chain scale category. The chain scale segments are: Luxury Chains, Upper Upscale Chains, Upscale Chains, Upper Midscale Chains, Midscale Chains, Economy Chains, and Independents.
- Compounded Annual Growth Rate (CAGR): The year-over-year growth rate of a measure over a period of time.
- Internal Rate of Return (IRR): The rate of return used in capital budgeting to measure and compare the profitability of investments by making the net present value of all cash flows from a project equal to zero.
- Net Present Value (NPV): The sum of the present value of all cash flows, both incoming and outgoing.
- Occupancy: The percentage of available rooms that were sold during a specified period of time, which
 is calculated by dividing total rooms sold by total rooms available.
- Revenue per Available Room (RevPAR): The total room revenue divided by total rooms available.
 Occupancy multiplied by ADR is equal to RevPAR.
- Smith Travel Research (STR): STR tracks supply and demand data for the hotel industry within the U.S. and globally.

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

7.2 Moscone Center Existing Facility SWOT Analysis

Moscone Center Strength, Weakness, Opportunity and Threat Analysis

Strengths

- Draw of San Francisco as a destination, strong airlift
- Proximity to high-quality hotel inventory
- Proximity to significant number of country's hightech companies
- Professional and dedicated convention sales team

Opportunities

 Addition of contiguous exhibit space to better accommodate groups that are outgrowing the current facility

Weaknesses

- Constraints on physical expansion: limited ability to expand vertically and create more venues with natural lighting
- Some parts of convention center are in need of renovation
- Lack of adjoining or adjacent headquarters hotel
- Limited staging area for trucks delivering exhibitors' equipment

Threats

- Loss of convention rotations to other cities
- Expansion of convention centers in San Diego and Los Angeles

59

 Increases to cost structure with regard to union labor, hotel rates, air travel

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

7.3 Summary Attendance Projection Pro-Forma

The table below shows JLLH's detailed attendance projections for each expansion scenario. It should be noted that two scenarios, Third Street Addition on its own and Howard Street Connector on its own, presented below were removed from the Economic Impact Analysis, since they will not be considered on their own.

| | a Proprieta Bra

 | | | ALC: NO DESCRIPTION | the second s | 1559 (15)
 | | |
 | 1.29.57# | | | | P463 0 @
 | |

--
--|--
---|--|--|--
---|--|---
---|--|--|---
---|--|
| Fixed Year | 3 Bayeria

 | | diste | | ALC: MIL | · · · ·
 | Apert | | l≃netCetra
 | antigene (| | | - fie list
 | 52.6 | 1.002 |
| 1945/(970 | 5155 #25

 | 4 Change | B | | Atlentinos
E25425 |
 | це- | r i |
 | 4.Chinga | Elicienty | Finant from | Allandance
205 425
 | - Change | Ellena |
| 1990/1990 | 57Z 385

 | -56% | 2 | | 572 555 |
 | 2 | | 971 425
572 385
 | 45% | 22 | | 186 425
172 395
 | | 24
22 |
| 1551/1982 | ā i 1361

 | 6 8 X | 2 | | B(58) |
 | 2 | 3 1991/1992 |
 | 585 | 21 | 1991/1952 | 51,381
 | 68% | 23 |
| (952/1952
(512/1994 | 755 252
835 762

 | 25 25 | 1
1 | | 765 242
235 767 |
 | 1 | | 765 202
 | - 227
92% | ()
15 | | 765 202
535 762
 | 252% | 1.7
19 |
| 1574/1935 | 798 524

 | -445 | 1 | | 776 824 | 415
 | 1 | |
 | | 16 | 1694/1595 | 795 E24
 | -445 | 13 |
| 3995/1996
1956/1997 | 787 276
577 627

 | -Lea
1155- | 11 | | 787 216
877 527 | 1.05
 | I
Z | | 787 276
677 627
 | -145
1155 | 1,2
20 | | 187 276
577 627
 | 144 | 18
20 |
| 1967/1528 | 534 243

 | 4 5 % | · 1 | 1997/1995 | 83(243 | -4 5%
 | 1 | 9 15571999 | 834 243
 | -195 | 15 | 15577/1998 | 234 243
 | 495 | 19 |
| 1955/1939 | 294,816
634,266

 | 735 | * | 1591/1501 | 634 818
534 285 | 731
 | 2 | | 654 518
584 786
 | 73% | 20 | | 294,612
524,767
 | 735 | 50 |
| 2000/2011 | E39 350

 | 22.7% | 11 | | 239 350 | 22 1%
 | 1 | | 211 그래
 | ZZ 75 | 19 | | 20 X4
 | 72 7 | 15 |
| 2001/2002 | 744,748

 | -11 3% | | | 744,748 | -1135
 | T. | | 744 745
 | -11.35 | 1.7 | 2001/2002 | 744 745
 | -11 35 | 17 |
| 2002/2003 | 747 532
937,440

 | 25 454 | 1 | | 747 832 | 2545
 | 12 | | 747 932
937,440
 | 045-
2545 | (.7
(7 | 2007/2001 | 747 452
957,440
 | 045
2545 | 17 |
| 2094/2005 | B 19 543

 | -12.5% | - 13 | 2004/2005 | 819 843 | 12.55
 | 19 | | EIS 543
 | -12.55 | i.
Li | 2004/2005 | 312 843
 | -12 55 | 15 |
| 2005/2006 | 10/5522
974,578

 | 27,5% | 0
9 | | 1 046 272
174,676 | 27 FT
 | 11 | | 1 046 272
 | 27 6%
-6 %5 | 19
18 | 20057005
2009/2007 | 1 045 272
974 675
 | 27 65 | 15 |
| 2007/2008 | 1279 000

 | 31 25 | 24 | | 1 279 000 | 51 23
 | 24 | | 1 279 000
 | 31 25 | 24 | 200172003 | 1 275 000
 | 31 23 | 24 |
| 2003/2010 | 989 654

 | -24 35 | 1 | | 569 854 | -24 2%
 | 16 | | 968 gizł
 | -24.05 | 18 | 2006/2003 | SS 64
 | 24.3 | 15 |
| 20092010
\$H\$Q33{ | 9 19 311
1017:105

 | -50%
161% | 11 | | 919611
[242,774 | -60%
188%
 | 11 | | 98911
E00975
 | -565
1725 | 1.7 | 2025/2010
3115/2010 | 919 811
1092 575
 | 5,0%
18,4% | 17
70 [°] |
| 201120125 | 116.111

 | 215 | 22 | MINTER OF | 11525 | 753.
 | 7.1 | 2011/20126 | 1 115319
 | 205 | 21 | 2011/2012F | L115 319
 | 2.0 % | 21 |
| 211:31:03F | 1141215

 | 75% | 21 | 2012/2012 | 1,142,315 | 745
 | 2.5 | | 1 146 315
 | 285 | 21 | 2012/2012 F | 1 448 315
 | 2 6 4 | 21 |
| 3842015 | 1.1.0 1.1.24

 | 203 | 12
23 | 20127711#
2014/22112 | 1,101.130
1.115.304 | 205
 | . 23
24 | | 1 181 134
(206 514
 | 3.0%
2.1% | _22
22 | 2015/2014F
2014/2015F | 1,397,534
1,205,514
 | 30%
2.1% | 22
22 |
| MONHF | 1755118

 | siz. | 35 | HISHNE. | ເມລະອ | 4 15
 | 22 | 2015/2015F | 205 588
 | 0.0% | 22 | 2012[2016F | 1,205 557
 | 0.055 | 27 |
| DESCRIPTION - | 12355

 | * 65
26% | 22 | ana ang
Tangang | 1716171 | 214
 | 22 | | 1 206 598
1,309 620
 | 0.0% | 21 | 2016/2017F | 1 263 588
 | 0.05 | 21 |
| ALL STREET | 1206 74

 | 692 | 33 | 26142131 | 1,227,107 | 1000.
1000.
 | 33 | | 1,309 523
 | 55%
00% | 20
20 | 2016/2014F | 1 262 207
1 300, 165
 | 47%
53% | 21 |
| 2612202 | 1214115

 | ECS. | 23 | THE REAL OF | 1211.04 | 0,55
 | 73 | 2019/2020 | 1,219 816
 | 0 8% | 20 | 2019/2076F | 1.540 400
 | 054 | 21 |
| भाष्यदेशेः
संपद्मपर्द | 1295-201-
1295-201-

 | 66%
66% | 22
23 | 302842235F | 1357,14
1717,615 | 605.
81%
 | 34
33 | | 1 303 JUL
1 360 (20)
 | 63%
15% | 21 | 2020/2021F | 1,269 593
1,360 595
 | 0.65.
284 | 2 F
2 I |
| 1. |

 | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
 | | |
| Harles Atlanta |

 | | | Lange Ba | reast Charge |
 | | | mirror Liller
 | 97-1. <u>3.</u> 47 | | | dana L Dana
 | | |
| Have |

 | <u>د ند</u> | • | has no. | | 41-
 | | HAR | |
 | 4.1% | | History |
 | 4.1% | |
| ÷лл |

 | 92,7 | | Føre | | 115
 | | Fries | |
 | 13 | | Frénze |
 | 2.0% | |
| Eliniever of Spa | ica: Aliandies ve

 | r Echald Sou | L so | Etforement of 5 | Брэси; Айсявыя р | er Exhibit Sou
 | an r f | Efficience | tSpace Annia
 | a per Fabric | Science of | Ettizioner of | Space: Allendered
 | MI Erbars | DICEA |
| l-static |

 | | 15 | Heba | ····· |
 | 19 | Hster to |
 | | 24 | Kacat | o parat resultance
 | hi maaa | 19 |
| Filme |

 | | 22 | Future | |
 | 22 | Filue |
 | • | 23 | Fine |
 | | 21 |
| Section 1 |

 | 1000 | 17-7 Apr | (CARENDING) | Daniel & Malandarian | ales de l
 | 10.00 | De la compañía | 1017 3.0
 | | P | <u>Bie in a</u> s |
 | a sanar | जन्म कर |
| Construction Con
2011/2012F | 4

 | | | Construction
2011/2012F | Co -227,906,396
25,874,333 |
 | | | -244,503,614
25,674 333
 | | | | 574,000,000
 | | |
| 2012/2015F |

 | | | 2012/2012F | 25,874,233 |
 | | 2011/2012F
2012/2012F | 25,614,333
26,550,389
 | | | 2811/2012F | 25 874 333
21 122 305
 | | |
| 2013/2014F |

 | | | 201.1/2014F | 27 401 171 |
 | | 2013/2014F | 27,401,171
 | | | 2013/2014F | 27,491 171
 | | |
| 2014/23 (SF
2013/2815F |

 | | | 2014/2015F
2015/2016F | 27,634,855
27 166 808 |
 | | 2014/2015F | 27 59 1925
 | | | 2014/2015 | 27 929 957
27 59 1 925
 | | |
| 2011/2017F |

 | | | 2015/2017F | 26 230 702 |
 | | 2016/2017F | 27 101 525
 | | | 2015/2016 | 27 981 926
 | | |
| |

 | | | 2017/2018F | |
 | | 2017/2016F | 30 379 550
 | | | 2017/2016F | 23 305, 151
 | | |
| 2017/2018F |

 | | | | 22 200 742 |
 | | |
 | | | |
 | | |
| 2013/2018F
2018/2019F |

 | | | 2018/2011F | 28 469 477 | •
 | | 2011/2015F | 20 379,620
 | | · | 2010/2015F | 35 637 231
 | | |
| 2013/2018F
2019/2019F
2019/2020F
2029/2020F
2029/2020F |

 | | | 2018/2011F
2018/2021F
2020/2021F | 26 462 477
26 708 252
26 708 252 | •
 | | 2011/2015F
2011/2020F
2021/2021F | 20 378,623
30 518 455
30 357 23 1
 | | • | 2918/2419F
2010/2020F
2020/2421F | 31 156 006
31 334 781
 | | · |
| 2013/2018F
2018/2019F
2018/2020F |

 | alat ua | ન્વરત્ | 2018/2018F
2018/2029F
2020/2027F
2020/2027F
2021/2027F | 26 469 477
26 708 252 | <u></u>
 | टाश्च | 2015/2015F
2019/2020F
2029/2021F
2025/2021F
2025/2022F | 20 378,630
30 518 455
30 257 23
31 056 036
 | | T AR | 2010/2020F
2020/2021F
2021/2022F | 31 056 055
 | <u>Care</u> | eren
Veren |
| 2013/2014F
2013/2019F
2019/2029F
2029/2029F
2029/2022F
2029/2022F | nd ⁽ 143 àr va J

 | eicas ed | | 2018/2019F
2018/2029F
2020/2020F
2020/2020F
2021/2022F | 26 414 477
26 709 252
26 709 252
28 705 252
28 705 252 | ur 641-74
 | | 2015/2015F
2019/2020F
2029/2021F
2029/2021F
2021/2032F
PMH 5 200 | 30 778 537
30 518 455
30 257 23 1
31 056 006
 | ard high free | -2.5 | 2010/2020F
2020/2021F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F | 31 166 066
31 334 781
31 523,557
 | | |
| 2013/2014F
2018/2019F
2018/2029F
2728/2029F
2728/2029F
2728/2029F | nd Shaji ƙran J
U ƙasartar Gu

 | | e and
First | 2018/2019F
2018/2029F
2020/2020F
2020/2020F
2021/2022F | 26 489 477
26 709 252
26 709 252
26 709 252
26 709 252
26 705 252
27 705 252
26 705 252
27 705 257
27 705 252
27 705 255
27 705 255 | an ant an
∙at an
 | | 2011/2015F
2019/2020F
2021/2021F
2021/2021F
2021/2027F
2021/2027F | 20 379,530
30 518 455
30 357 23
31 056 036 | nd Stead Lev
Levi Cotaria
 | ang na
Tanàn
E Jaona | 2010/2020F
2020/2021F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F | 31 DEE OOF
31 334 721
31 534 721
31 533 537 | and the second
 | an a |
| 2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2019F
2017/2020F
2020/221F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
2723/2022F
273/2027F
2723/2027F
2723/2027F
2723/2027F
2723/2027F
2723/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2027F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2737/2077F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F
2757F | nd Stat Arrent
of constant fro
Allendance 2.1
605 (25

 | | | 2018/2019F
2018/2029F
2020/2020F
2020/2020F
2021/2022F
1847 2022/2027F | 26 489 477
26 709 252
26 709 252
26 709 252
26 709 252
26 705 252
27 705 252
26 705 252
27 705 257
27 705 252
27 705 255
27 705 255 | en 4411-55
1942,≈8
 | | 2011/2015F
2019/2020F
2021/2021F
2021/2021F
2021/2027F
2021/2027F | 30 378 550
30 518455
30 257 23 1
31 656 006 | nd Stead Lev
Levi Cotaria
 | | 2319/2020F
2020/2021F
2021/2022F
)409 | 31 DEE OOF
31 334 721
31 534 721
31 533 537 | Chape E
 | |
| 2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2027/2021F
2027/2021F
2027/2021F
2027/2021F
2027/2021F
2027/2021F
2027/2021F
2027/2018F
2027/2018F
2027/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2017/2018F
2017/2017/2018F
2017/2017/2017/2018F
2017/2017/2017/2017/2017/2017/2017/2017/ | 10 51-43 51 740 J
0 5 5-5-5 - 5 5
Allendance 2 5
505 (25
572 395

 | -Lange - | | 2018/2011
2018/2025
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2020/ | 28 412 477
28 708722
28 708722
28 708722
28 708729
24 709729
24 709720000000000000000000000000000000000 | Change E |
tara
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Internet
Interne | 2011/2015F
2011/2020F
2021/2020F
2021/2027F
2021/2027F
2021/2027F
2021/2027F
2021/2027F
2021/2027F
2021/2027F
2011/2027F
2011/2015F
2011/2015F
2011/2015F
2011/2015F
2011/2015F
2011/2015F
2011/2015F
2011/2015F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/2020F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
2011/200F
200 | 20 779,550
30 578,455
31 655 006
31 655 006
455 57 231
51 655 006
455 57 205 |
 | Zajia
Ejent
Hanny
23
22 | 2010/2020F
2020/2021F
2020/2021F
2021/2022F
Han 2020F
Han 2020F
Ha | 21 156 055
31 254 781
31 53 535
757 52 537
54 54 55 54 77
54 54 55 54 77
56 425
572 335 | Chape E | 22
22 |
| 2017/2018F
2017/2018F
2017/2019F
2017/2019F
2022/2017
2022/2017
Fired Your
1918/1650
(2009/1851
1991/1652
1991/1652 | nd Stat Arrent
of constant fro
Allendance 2.1
605 (25

 | change (1 | 200
200
200
200
200
200
200
200
200
200 | 2018/2011
2018/2025
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2020
2020/2020/ | 28 412 477
28 700722
28 700722
28 705722
28 705722
29 49 414
29 44 414
29 44 414
29 44 414
29 44 414
29 44 414
29 44 414
20 410
20 414
20 410
20 4100 | S 5%,
5 74 | inter
1
1
1
1
1
2
2
3
 | 2011/2015F
2011/2020F
2021/2021F
2021/2022F
PRE 5 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | 20 378,530
30 578,455
31 556,006
31 556,006
31 556,006
31 556,006
31 557,006
31 557,006
31 557,006
31 557,005
31 557,005,005
31 557,005,005
31 557,005,005,005,005,00 | n nes in
Las texts
Chaip
1965
575 | 74510
224
23
23
23 | 2010/2020F
2020/2021F
2021/202F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F
Field Yan
1550/1561
1550/1561
1550/1561
 | 21 Ince Cosp
31 524 781
31 524 781
31 523 523
51 73 525
51 735
51 755
51 755
51 755
5 | 56% | 22
22
23 |
| 2017/03/14F
2017/2018F
2117/2018F
2128/201F
2128/201F
2128/201F
104 1128/14
104/1451
1040/1451
1040/1451
1040/1451
1040/1451
1040/1451
1040/1451 | 4 5 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

 | -5 ETa
5 25 25
9 27 | 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | 2018/2019
2018/2019
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2 | 28 484 477
28 700722
28 708722
28 708722
28 708722
29 708722
29 708722
29 708722
29 708722
20 70722
20 70722
20 70722
20 70720 | Change E
5 6%,
5 7%
25 7%
9 7%
 | (2000)
(2000)
22
23
13
13 | 2011/2019F
2019/2020F
2021/2021F
2021/2021F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
1991/1992
1991/1992
1992/1994 | 20 378,630
30 578,650
31 557,251
31 556 506
46 577,251
31 556 506
47 578 507
47 578 507
47 578 507
48 529
517 295
517 295
517 295
517 295
517 295
517 295
517 295 |
 | 22312
224
23
22
23
1,7
19 | 2010/2020F
2020/2021F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F
2021/2027
2021/2027
2021/2027
2021/2027
2022/2027 | 21 Taple Code
31 524,781
31 523,781
31 523,781
31 523,81
31 524
31 524 | 502 (14) 000
SE2
582
884
2521
925 | 22
22
23
17 |
| 2017/03/14F
2017/2015F
2117/2024F
2224/2024F
2224/2024F
IMA 51254F
IMA 51254F
IMA 51254F
IMA 51254
IMA 512 | 4) 544 51700 J
6 505 425
572 325
511 381
785 212
215 762
795 624

 | 5 E5
25 25
9 27
4 41
 | | 2018/2019
2018/2025
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2026
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2 | 28 419 177
28 109 252
28 109 26 100
28 100 26 100
20 100 26 100
20 100 20 100
20 10000000000 | 5 6%,
5 6%,
5 7%
25 2%
9 7%
4 4% | ()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
(
 | 2011/2015F
2019/2017/0
2029/2017/0
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/2017
2021/200 | 50 378,623
30 518,455
31 557,231
31 656 006
451 557 1245
451 557 1245
451 557 1245
451 557 1245
511 221
765 202
803,1457
775 524 | | 24515
244 1
25
23
23
23
23
1,7
19
1,9
 | 2710/2020F
1920/2021F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F
2021/2022F
1920/1941
1930/1942
1930/1941
1930/1942
1930/1945 | 21 155 055
31 254 751
31 252 751
31 252 751
31 252 751
31 254 751
31 254 751
31 254 751
31 254 751
31 254 751
31 254 751
31 255 752
31 254 751
31 255 752
31 254 751
31 255 7557
31 255 75577557557575757575757575757575757 | -5 62
-5 62
- 5 63
- 5 73
- 9 75
- 4 65 | 22
22
23
17
18
18 |
| 2017/03/14F
2018/2018F
2118/2018F
2728/2028F
2728/2028F
184 51000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 4 5 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

 | -5 E%
25 Z5
9 Z7 | | 2018/2019
2018/2019
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2021
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2020
2020/2 | 28 4E9 4T7
28 108 22
28 108 24
28 108 24
20 100 20 100 200 200 200 200 200 200 20 | 47 444
1947
Change (1)
5 6%
6 75
9 75
4 45
4 45
 | ()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
(| 2011/2015F
2019/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/01
2020/02/02/02
2020/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02 | 20 378 ED
30 518 455
21 257 231
31 056 006
45 256 006
45 256 006
45 257 295
61 1221
765 202
205 765
775 524
767 275 | - 19 100 1 (m
Lan Court
Change 1

 | 22315
Ejenn
224
23
1,7
19
19
19 | 2710/2020
19202/2015
2021/02/15
2021/02/5
2021/02/5
2021/02/5
1920/150
1920/150
1920/150
1920/150
1920/150
1920/150
1920/150 | 31 156 502
31 254 751
31 254 751
31 53537
51 53537
51 55 51
51 55
51 55
51 55
51 55
51 55
51 55
755 552
755 552
755 755
755 | -5 EL
6 64
25 21
9 25
-4 45
-1 45 | 22
23
17
18
18
18
 |
| 2017/2014/F
2017/2014/F
22119/2024/F
2722/2024/F
2722/2022/F
Fixed Tool
Fixed Tool
1924/1925
1924/1925
1925/1925
1925/1925
1925/1925 | 41 51 43 21700 8
10 5 425
507 295
517 295
511 381
785 212
785 522
785 522
785 522
785 522
517 225
517 225
517 522
517 522
513 24

 | -1654 mai
-585
645
2525
925
-445
1155
-455 | | 2012/2019
2012/2025
2020/2025
2020/2025
2021/2025
INIT Sectors
Find Tue
Initial Sectors
Find Tue
Initial Sectors
Initial Sectors
Initial Sectors
Initial Sectors
Initial Sectors
Initial Sectors
Initial Sectors
Initial Sectors
Initial Sectors
Initial Sectors | 28 449 477
28 70822
28 70822
28 70822
28 70822
28 70822
28 70822
29 70822
29 70822
20 7082
20 7072
20 7072
2 | 5 6%,
5 6%,
5 6%,
5 7%
9 7%
4 4%
1 4%
1 4%
1 4%
1 4%
1 4%
1 4% | 22
23
17
18
18
20
19
 | 2011/2015F
2019/07/07
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
First First
First First
1990/1992
1992/1991
1992/1995
1992/1995 | 30 074 million
30 518 455
30 518 455
31 656 005
31 205
31 205
31 205
31 205
31 205
357 295
31 205
357 295
357 295
35 | | 72)15
Tjenn
23
23
23
23
1,7
19
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9 | 2710/2020
1272/2015
2021/2025
2021/2025
Final Yan
Final
Yan
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Userina
Us | 21 Disc Gase
31 234 781
31 53557
51 53557
51 52 557
51 52 57
51 52 57
51 52 57
51 52
51 52 | - 562
- 562
- 563
- 563
- 563
- 563
- 165
- 165
- 165
- 165
- 1951 | 22
22
23
17
18
18
18
18
18
18
18 |
| 2017/03/14F
2018/2018F
2118/2018F
2728/2028F
2728/2028F
184 51000000000000000000000000000000000000 | an 5,42 kiron a
0 C 5000000 Kiron a
100 C 200 C 200
572 395
511 381
785 282
935 762
785 624
785 625
577 275
577 523

 | -5 E5
E 25
9 25
1 45
1 155 | | 2010/2019F
2010/202F
2000/202F
2021/202F
2021/202F
2021/202F
2021/202F
2021/202F
2021/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/202F
2020/ | 28 48 47
28 707 52
28 707 52
29 707 52
20 705 52
2 | Change 1
5 6%, 5 57, 5 5
 | 20
20
21
22
23
17
19
18
18
20
19
20 | 2011/2015F
2019/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F | 20 378/53
20 578/53
20 557/24
21 555 006
21 55 006
210 50 000000000000000000000000000000000 | - 1 1000 ir
Lautor
- 56%
- 56%
- 25%
- 25%
- 25%
- 25%
- 14%
- 14% | 2511
221
221
222
23
22
23
12
22
23
12
22
23
13
19
13
19
13
19
20
20 | 2770/22005
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
Fixed Var
Var
Var
Var
Var
Var
Var
Var
 | 31 155 005
31 234 781
31 534 5781
31 534 5781
31 534 5781
31 534 5781
31 534 578
31 578 578
31 578 578
31 578 578
32 78 578
33 78 578
32 78 578
33 78 578
33 78 578
34 78 578
35 78 578
37 78 57 | 565,
565,
685,
145,
145,
145,
145,
145,
145,
145,
14 | 22
22
23
17
18
18
18
18
18
18
20 |
| | 4) 574 1 1770 1
57 1770 1
505 125
572 355
571 381
785 282
515 782
785 824
785 824
787 521
533 241
544 518
844 255
829 388

 | | | 2312/20195
22112/2025
2012/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
Final Your
1928
1929
1929
1929
1929
1929
1927
1930
1927
1930
1927
1930
1927
1935
1937
1937
1937
1937
1937
1937
1937 | 25 412 477
25 708 522
26 708 522
26 708 522
26 708 522
26 708 522
26 708 528
27 708 50
27 708 50
20 708 50 | 47 441
20 43
Change E
5 5%
5 7%
5 7%
1 4%
1 9%
1 9%
1 9%
2 7% |
22
23
17
18
18
20
19
20
19 | 2011/2015F
2011/2015F
2011/2017F
2011/2017F
2011/2017F
2011/2017F
2011/2017F
2011/2017
2011/2017
2011/2017
2011/2017
2011/2017
2011/2017
2011/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017 | 20 274 (cm
20 574 (cm
20 574 (cm
21 587 (cm
21 586 (cm)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) | - Alcolor

 | 22312
224 1
224 1
22
23
23
23
22
23
1,7
19
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9
1,9 | 2010/2020
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
2025
20 | 1 1556 005 131
1 1547 771
1 | | 23
22
23
17
18
18
18
18
18
18
18
10
19
20
19
20
5
10 |
| 2012/01/F
2012/01/F
2012/02/F
212/02/02/F
212/02/02/F
212/02/02/F
212/02/02/F
212/02/02/F
Ff-ot 1/or
1/02/02/F
Ff-ot 1/or
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F
1/02/F | A 524 11201
C 205 225
572 295
572 295
571 381
785 242
785 624
785 625
775 62
785 72
533 24
844 255
829 788
744 745

 | -5 E5
5 25
5 25
5 25
5 25
9 25
4 4%
1 475
7 95
2 3 5%
2 3 5%
2 3 5%
- 4 5%
7 95
- 5 25
- 5 25 | | 2012/2019
2012/2017
2012/2017
2022/2017
2022/2017
2022/2017
2022/2017
2022/2017
2022/2017
2022/2017
2022/2017
2017/2005
2006/2011
2007/2001 | 2444 077
26 70 272
27 70 70 272
27 70 27
27 70 272
27 70 272 | 10 Ange
5 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | 22
23
17
19
18
20
19
20
15
19
19
19
19
 | 2011/2015F
2014/2015F
2014/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F
2021/2017F | 50 274 (m)
30 514 (m)
30 514 (m)
31 65 (m | - A local boo
Jane Local
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Social
Socia | 23,12
2,23
2,23
1,7
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19 | 2010/2020F
2020/2020F
2020/2020F
2020/2020F
Part 2020/2020F
Part 2020F
1920/1920
1920/1921
1920/1921
1920/1921
1920/1921
1920/1921
1920/1921
1920/2020
2020/2021
 | 1 (DE OLE OLE OLE OLE OLE OLE OLE OLE OLE OL | | 22
22
23
17
18
18
18
18
18
18
19
20
15
19
20
15
19 |
| | 4) 574 1 1770 1
57 1770 1
505 125
572 355
571 381
785 282
515 782
785 824
785 824
787 521
533 241
544 518
844 255
829 388

 | | | 2313/23/35
2213/23/25
2303/23/25
2303/23/25
2322/23/25
222/23/25
222/23/25
222/23/25
222/23/25
222/23/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
222/25
22/25
22/25
22/25
22/25
22/25
22/25
22/25
22/25
22/ | 25 412 477
25 708 522
26 708 522
26 708 522
26 708 522
26 708 522
26 708 528
27 708 50
27 708 50
20 708 50 | 47 441
20 43
Change E
5 5%
5 7%
5 7%
1 4%
1 9%
1 9%
1 9%
2 7% |
22
23
19
19
18
18
18
20
15
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19 | 2011/2015F
2011/2015F
2011/2017F
2011/2017F
2011/2017F
2011/2017F
2011/2017F
2011/2017
2011/2017
2011/2017
2011/2017
2011/2017
2011/2017
2011/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017
2017/2017 | 20 274 (cm
20 574 (cm
20 574 (cm
21 587 (cm
21 586 (cm)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) | - Alcolor
- Sex
- | 23,12
223
1
1
1
1
2
2
2
2
3
2
2
2
3
1
9
1
8
1
8
1
8
1
8
1
8
1
8
1
8
1
8
1
8 | 2010/2020/
2020/2021/
2020/2021/
2020/2021/
2020/2021/
2020/2021/
2020/2021/
2020/2021
2020/2021
 | 1 (156 005
11 (237 / 147
11 (237 / 147
11 (23 5)7
11 (23 5)7 | | 22
22
23
17
18
18
20
19
20
15
10
17
37 |
| 2012/01/4F
2012/01/4F
2012/01/26
2012/01/27
2113/22/F
2113/22/F
2113/22/F
2113/22/F
2113/22/F
2113/22/F
2113/22/F
2113/22/F
1913/152
1913/152
1913/152
1913/152
1913/152
1913/152
1913/152
1913/155
1913/155
1913/155
1913/155
1913/155
1913/155
1913/155
1913/155
1913/155
1913/155
1913/155
1913/155 | 4, 5, 2, 4, 1, 2, 2, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,

 | | | 2011/2025
2011/2025
2011/2025
2021/2016
2021/2016
2021/2026
2021/2026
2021/2016
2021/2016
2021/2016
2021/2016
2021/2016
2021/2026
2022/2026 | 24 445 477
26 707 27
27 707 27 | 47 041
11 - 14
Change
5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -
 | 223
223
223
1.7
19
18
18
20
20
19
20
19
19
17
17
17
15 | 2012/20155
263/2020/2
262/20216
202/20216
202/20216
202/2021
202/2021
202/2021
203/2021
203/2021
203/2021
203/2021
203/2021
203/2021
203/2021
203/2021 | 50 274 450
50 514 450
50 514 450
51 64 506
51 64 506
51 64 506
51 64 506
51 64 506
51 64 506
51 64 50
51 64 50
51 72 85
51 7 | - 1 100 1 (* 1
- 100 - | 73,1-
72,2
7,2
7,2
7,2
7,2
7,2
7,2
7, | 2010/2020/
2020/2021
2020/2021
Final Ter
Final Ter
10/01/2021
10/01/2021
10/01/2021
10/01/2021
10/01/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/2021
2001/
 | 1 (156 00)
1 (21) 741
1 (22) 757
2 (23) 723 42 (23)
2 (24) 723 42 (23)
2 (25) 723 42 (23) 723 42 (23)
2 (25) 723 42 (23) 723 42 (23) 723 42 (23) 723 42 (23) 723 | 560
560
560
560
572
560
572
572
572
572
572
572
572
572 | 22
22
23
17
18
18
18
18
18
18
18
18
18
18
18
18
19
20
15
17
17
17
17
15 |
| 2012/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2 | 43 54 4 11 10 1
10 1 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10

 | | | 2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
Find Tury
Find T | 24 444 477
36 708 272
26 708 272
27 708 272
28 708 272
28 708 272
29 708 272
29 708 272
49 708 272
49 708 272
708 774
708 774
708 774
708 774
708 774
704 774
80 744 774
19 80 74
704 774
19 80 74
19 80 74
10 74 | 4.44
 | 23
23
23
17
18
20
18
20
15
20
15
17
17
17
17
17
15
 | 201120157
20112217
20112217
2021217
202120217
202120217
202120217
202120217
20012002
20012002
20012002
20012002
20012002
20012002
20012002
20012002
20012002 | 50 2794,533
30 5214,53
30 521,231
51 654,064
51 654,064
51 654,064
51 654,064
51 654,064
51 705
51 70 | - 1 (53) (- 1
- 1 (53) (- 1
- 1 (5) (- 1) (| 20,15
2,28
2,38
2,37
2,37
2,37
2,37
2,37
2,37
2,37
2,37 | 271022026
29702201F
2021/2025
2021/2025
2021/2025
2021/2025
2021/2025
2021/2025
2021/2025
2021/2025
2021/2021
2022/2021
2022/2021
2022/2021
2022/2021 | 1 (55 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0
 | 5525
5525
5525
5525
5525
5525
5525
552 | ************************************** |
| 2012/2014
2012/2014
2019/2015
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2025
2019/2 | 43 54 4 170 4
Undfance 1
100 500 100 100
100 100 100
572 335
571 341
715 200
235 712
719 224
245 725
513 241
719 224
245 725
513 241
844 225
837 244
844 225
847 245
847 245

 |
 | | 2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
Find Ture
Find T | 28 448-077
28 707-28
28 707-28 | 1994年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995
1995
1995
1995
1995
1995 | 22
23
17
18
18
18
19
20
15
19
19
19
17
17
17
15
15
15
12
12
24 |
2011220157
2011220157
202110217
202110217
202110217
202110217
202110217
202110217
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211000
2021100000000 | 50 274,533
30 514,653
30 514,654
30 517,261
31 654 006
31 654 006
31 654 006
31 654 006
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 857
35 854
35 8556
35 85566
35 855666
35 855666
35 8556666
35 8556666666666666666666666666666666666 | - 1 100 1 (* 1
- 100 - | 22,15
22,2
2,2
2,2
2,2
2,2
2,2
2,2
2,2
2,2
2 | 271022026
20122026
20120221F
202120221F
202120227
Final Yar
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921200
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
15 | 1 (1965 00)
1 (21,74)
1 (21,74)
1 (23,547)
1 (23,5 | 560
560
560
560
572
560
572
572
572
572
572
572
572
572
 | 22
22
22
17
18
18
18
18
18
19
20
19
20
19
17
17
17
17
17
17
15
18
18
18
18 |
| 2012/01/4F
2012/01/4F
2012/02/4F
2012/02/4F
2012/02/4F
2012/02/4F
2012/02/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10/4F
10 | 43 5.42 8 17.07 2
01 6 202022 4
01 6 202022 4
10 20202 4
10 20200 4
10 2020 4
10 2020 4
10 2020

 |
 | | 2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2 | 28 445.777
28 707.272
28 707.272
28 707.272
29 707.272
29 707.272
20 707.272 | | ()
()
22
23
19
78
19
78
19
78
19
70
15
19
17
17
17
17
15
13
14
14
15
19
15
19
17
15
15
15
15
15
15
15
15
15
15
 | 201120165
201120107
201120216
201120216
20120216
20120216
201202
2012026
2012026
2002000
2002000
2002000
2002000
2002000
200200 | 50 734,533
50 514,653
20 557,261
51 655 005
51 655 005
51 655 005
51 655 005
51 655 005
51 655 005
51 52 002
51 | 21104 for
240 core
56%
57%
25%
44%
11%
25%
25%
27%
45%
22%
45%
22%
45%
22%
45%
22%
45%
22%
45%
22% | 7511-
7231-
724
724
725
725
725
725
725
727
737
15
10
15
10
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17 |
2710/27205
2021/2/1226
2021/2/1226
2021/2/1226
2021/2/1226
2021/2/1226
2021/2/1226
2021/2/1226
2021/2/1226
2021/2/1226
2021/2/202
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/2/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204
2021/204 | 1 105 00 - 31 02 / 31 13 33 31 02 / 31 13 33 53 7
3 15 3 53 7 25 7 7 25 7 7 7 15 25 7 7 15 7 15 7 1 | 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | 22
22
23
17
18
18
18
18
18
20
15
15
17
17
17
17
17
17
15
18
24
18
 |
| 2011/2014F
2011/2014F
2011/2014F
2011/2014F
2011/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014/2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
2014F
201 | 4.0 5/4 2 1170 5 2
(5/42) 1170 5 2
(5/42) 12/5 2
(5/42) 12/5 2
(5/72) 12/5 2

 |
 | | 2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
Find Ture
Find T | 28 448-077
28 707-28
28 707-28 | 1994年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995年
1995
1995
1995
1995
1995
1995 | 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
2011220157
2011220157
202110217
202110217
202110217
202110217
202110217
202110217
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211021
20211000
2021100000000 | 50 274,533
30 514,653
30 514,654
30 517,261
31 654 006
31 654 006
31 654 006
31 654 006
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 855
31 857
35 854
35 8556
35 85566
35 855666
35 855666
35 8556666
35 8556666666666666666666666666666666666 | * 110-17
* 56%
57%
57%
57%
57%
57%
57%
57%
57 | 2315
23
23
23
23
13
22
23
13
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19 | 271022026
20122026
20120221F
202120221F
202120227
Final Yar
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921192
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921193
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921200
15921293
15921293
15921293
15921293
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
1592129
15
 | 1 (1965 00)
1 (21,74)
1 (21,74)
1 (23,547)
1 (23,5 | | 22
22
22
17
18
18
18
18
18
19
20
19
20
19
17
17
17
17
17
17
15
18
18
18
18 |
| 2012/D14F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2017/2014F
2 | 43 54 4 10 00 1
50 5 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202) 2 (202

 | | | 2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
Find Tue
1010/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/2016
2017/20 | 28 448.777
28 708722
28 708722
24 70722
24 7072 | | 21 22
22 22
23 23
24 22
24 23
29 24
19 19
19 19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
1
 | 2011220157
2011220157
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017
201122017 | 50 701,450
50 514,450
50 514,450
51 651,060
51 651,060
51 651,060
51 651,060
51 651,060
51 651,070
51 651,070
51 651,070
51 705
51 | - 1100 Ac
- 1100 Ac
- 56%
- 56%
- 57%
- 56%
- 57%
- | 2312
232
23
23
17
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19 | 27102/2006
2012/2026
2012/2026
2012/2026
2012/2026
2012/2026
2012/2026
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016 | 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
 | 17 10 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 22
22
23
17
18
18
18
19
20
19
20
15
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
15
18
18
24
18
24
17 |
| 2012/2014
2017/2014
2219/2024
2219/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2024
2129/2 | 4.0 5 4.2 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1

 | 2(27) 21
5 25
5 25
9 25
1 4 5
2 2 2
1 4 5
2 2 2
2 4
1 4 5
2 2
1 2
2 4
1 4 5
2 2
1 2
2 4
1 4 5
2 5
2 5
2 5
2 5
2 5
2 5
2 5
2 | | 2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
End Lue
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/150
100/100/100
100/100/100
100/100/100
100/100/ | 28 445,777
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
29 70722
20 70722
2 | Change (上
Change) (上
S = 233代代に、1922に、1000年の第二日の1000年の1000年の1000年の1000年の1000年の1000年の1000年の100日の100日 | California
(1997)
22
23
24
24
15
16
19
19
19
20
15
15
15
15
15
15
24
15
21
21
 | 2011220157
20111220157
20111220157
20111220157
20111220157
20111220157
20111220157
20111220157
20111220157
20111220157
20111220157
20111220157
20111220157 | 50 2014,550
20 527,251
31 656 006
40 517 201
31 656 006
40 517 201
31 656 006
40 517 201
31 527 520 500
50 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 | | 231-2
222
233
222
233
1,7
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
19 |
27102/2006
2012/2017
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2022/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/ | 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | 199444
Sala 29944
Sala 29944
Sala 29944
Sala 29944
Sala 2994
Sala 29955
Sala | 22
22
23
17
18
18
20
19
19
10
15
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17 |
| 2012/2014
2012/2014
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2024
2019/2 | S) 5/4 1 (1976) 5
(642) 5 (242) 5
(642) 5 (242) 5
(242) 5
(

 |
 | | 2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2015
2012/2 | 28 448,477
28 70722
27 70722
27 70722
27 70722
27 70722
27 70722
27 70722
27 70722
27 70722
27 7072
27 7072 | | 200
200
200
200
200
200
200
200
 | 201122015F
20112201F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F
201122021F | 50 701,450
50 514,450
50 514,450
51 651,060
51 651,060
51 651,060
51 651,060
51 651,060
51 651,070
51 651,070
51 651,070
51 705
51 | - 1100 Ac
- 1100 Ac
- 56%
- 56%
- 57%
- 56%
- 57%
- | 121 12 22 23 23 23 12 22 23 17 19 18 20 20 15 15 16 20 17 15 18 24 13 24 14 24 21 21 21 21 22 21 | 27102/2006
2012/2026
2012/2026
2012/2026
2012/2026
2012/2026
2012/2026
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2012/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016/2016
2016 | 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
 | 17 10 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 222
23
17
18
18
20
17
18
18
20
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
15
18
24
18
24
18
20
21 |
| 2012/2014
2017/2014
2017/2014
2017/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2014
1713/2 | 20 5/2 1 1 1 1 5 7 6 2 5 7 2 1 1 1 5 7 6 2 5 7 2 1 5 7 2 5 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 5 1 3 6 1 7 7 5 2 2 5 5 7 1 3 6 1 7 7 5 2 2 5 5 7 1 3 6 1 7 7 5 2 2 5 7 7 5 2 7 7 5 2 3 4 6 1 2 5 7 7 5 2 7 7 5 2 3 4 6 1 2 5 7 7 6 2 7 7 5 2 5 7 6 1 7 5 2 5 7 6 1 7 5 2 5 7 7 6 2 7 7 5 2 5 7 7 6 1 7 5 5 7 6 2 7 7 5 2 5 7 7 6 1 7 5 7 5 2 5 7 7 6 1 7 5 5 5 1 6 1 7 6 5 6 1 6 5 5 5 6 1 1 7 7 6 7 7 5 7 6 1 7 1 1 5 7 5 6 1 1 1 5 7 5 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 7 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

 | - 1000 11 12
- 5 125
5 25 25
5 25 | | 2013/2015
2013/2015
2013/2015
2021/2025
Find Tue
Ing Type Tue
Ing T | 28 445.777
28 70722
27 70722
2 | | 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 | 201120157
201120217
2021/20217
2021/20217
2021/20217
2012/2021
2021/20217
2012/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
20 | 50 734,530
20
514,530
20 514,557
21 51 654,656
21 654,657
21 654,657
21 654,657
21 654,657
21 654,657
21 727
22 727
22 727
23 727
24 727 | ************************************** | 2212
2232
222
23
17
19
19
19
19
19
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | 271022026
2012/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2000/025
2000/025 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 5654
5654
5654
5654
5654
5654
5654
5654
 | 22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
23
24
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17 |
| 2012/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2013/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2014
2014/2 | 5 5 5 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

 | | 1 1 | 2011/2015
2011/2025
2011/2025
2011/2025
2012/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2022/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2025
2025/2 | 28 445.777
28 70722
27 70722
27 70722
27 70722
27 70722
27 70722
27 70722
28 70722
28 70722
29 70722
29 70722
20 70722
2 | | 202
202
202
202
202
202
203
203
 | 20102007
20102007
20102007
PM 5-452
Fee The Fee The Fe | 50 734,530
20 527,231
31 695 005
31 695 005 | - 1 Co. 1 Co | 22122
22221
22322
233
22
23
13
22
23
13
18
18
18
18
19
19
19
19
19
19
19
10
10
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17 | 2010/2006
2010/2017
2021/2026
Fiscal Terr
Fiscal Terr
(1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997)
1997) | 1 105 00 - 31 02 350
31 02 350
31 02 350

 | 17 11 (14)
18 11 11 11 17 11 12 12 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | Frank 22 23 22 23 17 18 18 18 19 19 19 19 17 17 17 17 18 19 10 17 17 18 19 12 20 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 |
| 2012/2014
2013/2014
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2 | 20 5/2 1 1 1 1 5 7 6 2 5 7 2 1 1 1 5 7 6 2 5 7 2 1 5 7 2 5 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 5 1 3 6 1 7 7 5 2 2 5 5 7 1 3 6 1 7 7 5 2 2 5 5 7 1 3 6 1 7 7 5 2 2 5 7 7 5 2 7 7 5 2 3 4 6 1 2 5 7 7 5 2 7 7 5 2 3 4 6 1 2 5 7 7 6 2 7 7 5 2 5 7 6 1 7 5 2 5 7 6 1 7 5 2 5 7 7 6 2 7 7 5 2 5 7 7 6 1 7 5 5 7 6 2 7 7 5 2 5 7 7 6 1 7 5 7 5 2 5 7 7 6 1 7 5 5 5 1 6 1 7 6 5 6 1 6 5 5 5 6 1 1 7 7 6 7 7 5 7 6 1 7 1 1 5 7 5 6 1 1 1 5 7 5 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 7 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 1 5 7 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

 | - 1000 11 12
- 5 125
5 25 25
5 25 | | 2013/2015
2013/2015
2013/2015
2021/2025
Find Tue
Ing Type Tue
Ing T | 28 445.777
28 70722
27 70722
2 | | 1 | 201120157
201120217
2021/20217
2021/20217
2021/20217
2012/2021
2021/20217
2012/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
2002/2021
20 | 50 734,530
20
514,530
20 514,557
21 51 654,656
21 654,657
21 654,657
21 654,657
21 654,657
21 654,657
21 727
22 727
22 727
23 727
24 727 | ************************************** | 2412
Frant 1
History 2
22
23
23
23
24
24
24
24
20
15
15
15
15
15
15
15
15
15
15 | 271022026
2012/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/0226
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2022/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2020/025
2000/025
2000/025 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 5654
5654
5654
5654
5654
5654
5654
5654
 | 22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
23
24
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17
17 |
| 2012/2014
2013/2014
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2 | 4 1 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

 | ユスター 3 4
「Annya 4
ちまた。
おおり イイイト
2 2 3 1 1 4 4
7 2 3 2 1 1 4 4
7 2 3 2 1 1 1 4 7 2 3 5 1 2 4 1 2 2 1 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 3 5 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 4 1 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 4 1 | 1 1 | 2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2015
2015/2 | 28 445.777
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
29 70722
20 7072
20 7072
20 7072
20 70722
20 70722
20 70722
20 70722
20 70722
20 7 |
 | 1 2 | 2012b015
312402b7
312402b7
7
5
5
7
5
7
5
7
5
7
5
7
5
7
5
7
5
7
5 | 50 274,575
20 527,261
31 656 005
477 261
31 656 005
477 261
477 266 272
31 656 005
31 65 | 2 1121 A 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 2 512
F yen
H idrogr
22
23
23
23
23
23
23
23
23
23 |
201422021
201422021
2014222
2014222
2014222
2014222
2014222
2014222
2014222
2014222
2014222
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
20142
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
201422
20142
201422
201422
201422
201422
201 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2014-23
Charper B
5 4 25 9 4 4 11 4 7 72 2 11 9 25 72 5 72 5 72 5 72 5 72 5 72 5 72 5 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2
 |
| DotUDDIF
201K2016F
201K2016F
201K2016F
201K2016F
201K2016F
201K2016F
201K2016F
201K201F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20F
201K20 | 5 5 4 4 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

 | 1444 144
555
554
141
141
141
141
141 | 1 1 | 2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
Find Lar
Find La | 28 445.077
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
24 70722
24 70722
24 70722
24 70722
24 70722
24 70722
24 70722
24 70722
24 70722
25 70722
25 70722
26 70722
26 70722
26 70722
26 70722
26 70722
26 70722
26 70722
27 70722
26 70722
26 70722
27 70722
26 70722
27 70722
26 70722
27 70722
2 | Charge
5.55
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5.75
5. | (7887)
222
237
24
24
24
29
24
19
24
19
24
19
24
17
17
15
15
15
24
21
21
21
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
 | | 30 379 (20)
30 37 (20)
30 51 4/5
30 527 21
31 65 (00)
41 4 5 (00)
41 4 5 (00)
41 4 5 (00)
41 4 5 (00)
41 5 (00) | 2100000
200000
200000
200000
200000
200000
200000
200000
200000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
2000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000
20000 | 2512
374
374
374
374
374
374
374
375
375
375
375
375
375
375
375 | 27102/2026
2027/2021
2027/2021
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/2027
2027/
 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1771年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月1日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年1月11日
1781年11111
1781年11111
1781年11111
1781
178 | 47
77
77
77
77
77
77
77
77
77 |
| 2012/2014
2017/2014
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2024
2219/2 | 5 5 4 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

 | 144 14
144 14
5 88
144 14
144 14 | 1 1 | 2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
2011/2015
Ing 2011/2015
Find Tue
Ing 2 | 28 445.777
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
29 70722
29 70722
29 70722
29 70722
29 70722
20 7072
20 | | (1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997)
(1997) | 201120167
201120167
201120167
Part State
Free Trace
Free Trace
Fra | 50 2794,530
20 573 425
20 573 425
21 654 605
21 654 605
21 654 605
21 654 605
21 654 605
21 654 605
21 72 72 72
21 72 72
72 72 72
72 72 72
72 72 72 72
72 72 72 72 72
72 72 72 72 72 72
72 72 | 2.1111000000000000000000000000000000000
 | 7312
7412
7413
7414
742
742
742
742
742
742
743
742
743
743
743
743
743
743
743
743
743
743 | 2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012
2011/2012 | 1 105 005
1 121 231 232 237
21 232 537
21 232 537
21 232 537
21 23 2517
21 23 2517
21 23 2517
21 23 2517
21 23 2517
21 23 251
21 251
251
251
251
251
251
251
251 | 124(5.4)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1)
54(1) | 47
77
77
77
77
77
77
77
77
77
 |
2012/D14- 2012/014- 2119/024- 2119/0	5 5 4 4 1 1 2 4 1 1 2 4 1 1 1 2 4 1 1 1 1	1244 13 Annual 15 583 2844 11 124 20 124 2	12 12 12 12 12 13 14 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 19 111 111 12 121 121	2011/2015 2011/2	28 445.777 28 70722 28 70722 28 70722 29 70722 29 70722 29 70722 29 70722 29 70722 20 7072 20	Comparison	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	201120167 201020167 201020167 Fuel Sciences Fuel Sciences	50 278,120 20 577,261 31 651 605 31 121 31 121 3	110 K.	2112 Family 22 Family 22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 26 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	2011/2012 2011/2012	1 105 005 1 121 231 232 237 21 232 537 21 232 537 21 232 537 21 23 2517 21 23 2517 21 23 2517 21 23 2517 21 23 2517 21 23 251 21 251 251 251 251 251 251 251 251	CLUST 5 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	First First <th< td=""></th<>
2012/D14- 2012/014- 2119/024- 2119/0	5 5 4 4 1 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 2 4	144 14 144 14 5 85 15 85 144 14 144 14 1	12 12 12 12 12 13 14 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 19 111 111 12 121 121	2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 Ing 2011/2015 Find Tue Ing 2	28 445.777 28 70722 28 70722 28 70722 29 70722 29 70722 29 70722 29 70722 29 70722 20 7072 20		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	201120167 201120167 201120167 Part State Free Trace Free Trace Fra	50 278,120 20 577,261 31 651 605 31 121 31 121 3	- 112 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	2112 Family 22 Family 22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 26 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25		1 105 005 1 121 231 232 237 21 232 537 21 232 537 21 232 537 21 23 2517 21 23 2517 21 23 2517 21 23 2517 21 23 2517 21 23 251 21 251 251 251 251 251 251 251 251	「日本」 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「	First First <th< td=""></th<>
2012/DIA 2012/DIA 2013/2014 2013/2014 2013/2014 2013/2014 2013/2014 2013/2014 2014/201	5 5 4 1 1 1 - 5 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 - 5 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 - 5 1 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 1	144 14 144 14		2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 Find fue Find fu	28 445.777 28 70722 28 70722 28 70722 29 70722 29 70722 29 70722 29 70722 29 70722 20 7072 20	Change Change Statistic Stati	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		50 279.450 20 573.455 20 557.261 21 656 066 21 656 067 21 656 067 21 656 067 21 656 067 21 656 067 21 656 067 21 72 556 21 72 556 21 72 557 21 72 557 21 657 21	- 1107 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1107 次 110 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	2112 2212 Remer 22 23 23 13 10 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	2011/2012 2011/2012	1 105 005 1 121 231 232 237 21 232 537 21 232 537 21 232 537 21 23 2357 21 23 235 21 23 23 21 2	CLUMP 2 Select 2	Friday 22 23 17 18 18 18 19 10 17 17 17 17 17 18 19 20 21 21 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 23 24 25 26 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
2012/2014 2012/2014 2019/2014 2019/2014 1719/2	5 5 4 1 1 1 - 5 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 - 5 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 - 5 1 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 1	144 14 144 14	12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 16	2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 Find fue Institute for the first institute for the f	28 448,777 28 709722 28 709722 28 709722 24 709725 24 709725 24 709726 25 70972 26 70972 26 70972 27 7097 28 70972 28 70072 28 70072 29 70072 20 70	Change Change Statistic Stati	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 1 4 1		50 278,120 20 577,261 31 651 605 31 121 31 121 3	- 1107 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1107 次 110 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	2212 France France 2222 2232 233 13 12 223 23 23 23 23 13 13 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 22 22 22 23 23 23 24 21 21 21 21 21 21 22 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	2012/2012 2012/2012	1 105 005 1 101 21 20 1 20 2507 2 20 2007 2 20 2007	CLUMP 2 Select 2	Friday 12
2012/2014 2012/2014 2019/2014 2019/2014 1719/2	5 5 4 1 1 1 - 5 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 - 5 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 - 5 1 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 1	144 14 144 14	12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 16	2011/2021 2011/2025 2011/2	28 448,777 28 709722 28 709722 28 709722 24 709725 24 709725 24 709726 25 70972 26 70972 26 70972 27 7097 28 70972 28 70072 28 70072 29 70072 20 70	Change Change Statistic Stati	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 1 4 1		50 279.450 20 573.455 20 557.261 21 656 066 21 656 067 21 656 067 21 656 067 21 656 067 21 656 067 21 656 067 21 72 556 21 72 556 21 72 557 21 72 557 21 657 21	- 1107 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1106 次 1107 次 110 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	2212 France France 2222 2232 233 13 12 223 23 23 23 23 13 13 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 22 22 22 23 23 23 24 21 21 21 21 21 21 22 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	2012/2012 2012/201 2012/	1 105 005 1 101 21 20 1 20 2507 2 20 2007 2 20 2007	CLUMP 2 Select 2	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
2012/2014 2017/2014 2219/2024 2219/2	2024 1990 1 600 205 512 205 513 201 713 202 257 70 225 717 202 257 70 225 257 70 257 257 70 257	144 14 144 14	12 12	2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 Find Tue Institute Find Tue Find Tue	28 445.077 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.277 28 707.277 29 707.277 20 707	Change 上 Compare L	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 1 4 4	201120167 201120167 20112017 Fuel Scale Factors Factor	30 378,420 30 578,420 30 578,420 31 666,000 31 666,0000 31 666,0000 31 666,0000 31 666,0000 31 666,0000 3	1107 K. 100 K. 1	2212 Frame Frame 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	Tanakana Tanakanakana Tanakana Tanakana Tanakana Tanakana Tanakana	al IDES COM al ID	Charge E Selection P Selection	Fried Control of Contr
2012/2014 2012/2014 2019/2	Store Store Store Store Store Store <td< td=""><td>100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11</td><td></td><td>2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 Find Tue Ing Zel / 2015 Find Tue Ing Zel / 2015 Find</td><td>28 46 577 28 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20</td><td>Change 上 Compare L Compare L</td><td>21 22 22 23 13 14 18 19 19 19 19 11 17 17 15 15 14 15 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 13 14 14 15 15 17 17 17 17 15 15 16 17 17 17 17</td><td>201120167 201120</td><td>50 278,425 20 527,231 31 654 662 31 725 31 725 3</td><td>1107 K. 100 K. 1</td><td>2212 Frame Frame 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 23 23</td><td>annacana Braccana Braccana Frankistor Frankistor Brackana</td><td>al IDES COF al IDES COF al IDES COF al IDES COF al IDES COF IDES COF I</td><td>にしのす と に に に に に に に</td><td>Fried Control of Contr</td></td<>	100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 Find Tue Ing Zel / 2015 Find	28 46 577 28 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20	Change 上 Compare L	21 22 22 23 13 14 18 19 19 19 19 11 17 17 15 15 14 15 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 13 14 14 15 15 17 17 17 17 15 15 16 17 17 17 17	201120167 201120	50 278,425 20 527,231 31 654 662 31 725 31 725 3	1107 K. 100 K. 1	2212 Frame Frame 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 23 23	annacana Braccana Braccana Frankistor Frankistor Brackana	al IDES COF al IDES COF al IDES COF al IDES COF al IDES COF IDES COF I	にしのす と に に に に に に に	Fried Control of Contr
2012/DIAF 2013/2014 2013/2	2 5 2 4 1 1 2 7 7 6 6 2 2 5 2 4 1 2 7 7 6 6 2 2 5 5 7 7 8 2 2 2 2 2 5 7 7 8 2 2 2 2 2 5 7 7 8 2 2 2 2 2 7 7 8 2 4 1 2 5 7 8 2 4 2 5 7 8 2 5 7 1 1 5 3 1 2 7 1 1 5 3 1 2 7 1 1 5 3 1 2 1 1 2 7 6 2 5 7 1 1 5 3 1 2 1 1 2 7 6 2 5 7 1 1 5 3 1 2 1 1 2 7 6 2 5 7 1 3 8 2 1 2 7 5 7 7 1 2 7 5 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 5 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7 1 2 7	100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	10 10 10 10 11 11 12 11 13 11 14 11 15 11 16 11 17 14 18 15 19 12 11 14 10 14 10 14 10 14 11 12 12 14 14 14 15 15 16 14 17 14 18 14 19 14 10 14 11 14 12 14 14 14 15 14 16 14 17 14 18 14 19 14 10 14 10 14 10 <	2013/2019 2013/2019 2013/2019 2013/2019 Find the Institution Find the In	28 448.777 28 709722 28 70972 29 70972 20 70972	Change 上 Compare L	21 22 23 24 19 16 19 24 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 24 21 22 23 24 21 22 23 24 21 22 23 24 21 21 22 23 24 21 22 22 22 23 24 35 36 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37		50 0704,500 50 0704,500 20 573 241 31 064 060 31 0	1107 K. 100 K. 1	2413 France 1500 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	2014/2014 2014/2	a) Insteiner a) Insteiner b)	にしのす と に に に に に に に	Fried Control of Contr
2012/2014 2013/2	5 5 4 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	1 1	2013/2015 2013/2015 2013/2015 2021/2015 Find fue Instruction Find fue Find fue Instruction Find fue Find fue	28 46 577 28 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 27 707 22 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20 20 707 20	Change 上 Compare L	21 22 22 23 13 14 18 19 19 11 15 16 17 17 17 15 15 15 15 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 12	201120167 201120167 201120167 Field Text Field Text Fie	50 278,425 20 527,231 31 654 662 31 725 31 725 3	1107 K. 100 K. 1	2212 Farm 222 233 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2	annacana Braccana Braccana Frankistor Frankistor Brackana	al IDES COF al IDES COF al IDES COF al IDES COF al IDES COF IDES COF I	にしのす と に に に に に に に	Fried Control of Contr
2012/2014 2013/2014 2219/2024 2219/2	5 5 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 Find Lar Find La	28 445.07 28 497.27 28 797.27 28 797.27 28 797.27 28 797.27 29 797.27 29 797.27 20 797.27	Change 上 Compare L	1 1 1 1		50 379 425 30 527 241 31 651 600 31	1107 K. 100 K. 1	24112 France 222 233 232 233 23 23 23 23 23	analogo and analog	al DES COF al DES COF al 23, 23, 24 al 23, 25, 25 al 23, 25 al 24 al 2	にしのす と に に に に に に に	Fried Control of Contr
2012/2014 2012/2014 2013/2	5 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		2013/2015 2013/2015 2013/2015 2021/2015 2015/2	28 445.777 28 707272 28 707272 28 707272 28 707272 28 707272 28 707272 28 707272 28 707272 28 707272 29 70727 20 707172 20 707	Change 上 Compare L	1 1 1 1	201120167 201120167 201120167 Fuel States Fred Year Fred	50 279.450 20 579.455 20 557.261 21 656.065 21 656.065 21 656.05 21 656	1107 K. 100 K. 1	2212 Frame Frame 23 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	2012/2012 2012/201 2012/	al IDES COF al IDES COF al IDES COF al IDES COF IDES	にしのす と に に に に に に に	Fried Control of Contr
2012/2014 2013/2014 2213/2024 2213/2	Store Store Store Store Store Store <td< td=""><td>100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11</td><td></td><td>2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 Find Tue Ing Zelf and Tu</td><td>28 445.777 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 29 707.272 29 707.272 20 707.274 20 707</td><td>Change 上 Compare L Compare L</td><td>1 1 1 1</td><td>201120167 201120167 201120167 Fuel Sciences Fuel Sciences</td><td>50 379 425 30 527 241 31 651 600 31 600 31</td><td>1107 K. 100 K. 1</td><td>2413 France 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23</td><td>analogo and analogo and analog</td><td>al DES COF al DES COF al 23, 23, 24 al 23, 25, 25 al 23, 25 al 24 al 2</td><td>にしのす と に に に に に に に</td><td>Fried Control of Contr</td></td<>	100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 2011/2015 Find Tue Ing Zelf and Tu	28 445.777 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 28 707.272 29 707.272 29 707.272 20 707.274 20 707	Change 上 Compare L	1 1 1 1	201120167 201120167 201120167 Fuel Sciences Fuel Sciences	50 379 425 30 527 241 31 651 600 31	1107 K. 100 K. 1	2413 France 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	analogo and analog	al DES COF al DES COF al 23, 23, 24 al 23, 25, 25 al 23, 25 al 24 al 2	にしのす と に に に に に に に	Fried Control of Contr
2012/2014 2012/2014 2219/2024 2219/2	2 5 2 4 1 1 2 7 7 6 6 2 2 5 5 7 6 6 2 2 5 5 7 5 7 7 8 2 5 5 7 7 7 8 2 5 7 7 7 8 2 7 5 7 7 8 2 7 7 8 1 8 2 7 7 8 1 8 1 7 7 8 2 7 7 8 1 8 1 7 7 8 2 7 7 8 1 8 1 7 7 8 1 8 1 7 8 1 8 1 8 1 7 8 1 8 1 8	100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		2013/2015 2013/2015 2013/2015 2013/2016 2013/2	28 448.077 28 70722 28 70722 28 70722 28 70722 24 7	Change 上 Compare L	1 1 1 1		30 0704,500 30 0514,500 30 557 261 31 065 000 31 060 0000 31 060 0000 31 060 0000 31 060 0000 31 060 0000 31 060 0000	1107 K. 100 K. 1	2413 France 1500 232 233 233 233 233 233 233 233 233 2	2012/2012 2012/2	al IDE CONTRACTOR CONT	にしのす と に に に に に に に	Fried Control of Contr
2012/2014 2012/2014 2019/2	Store Store Store Store Store Store <td< td=""><td>100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11</td><td></td><td>2013/2015 2013/2015 2013/2015 2013/2015 2013/2015 Find Tue Ing Zel / 2015 Find Zel / 2</td><td>28 46 477 28 707 22 28 707 22 28 707 22 29 707 22 29 707 22 29 707 22 20 707 20 20 707 20</td><td>Change 上 Compare L Compare L</td><td>1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2</td><td>201120167 201120167 20112017 201120007 20112007 20112007 20112007 20112007</td><td>50 278,450 20 527,261 21 656 666 21 656 667 21 657 657 21 657 677 21 677 677 21 677</td><td>1107 K. 100 K. 1</td><td>2212 Farm 222 233 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2</td><td></td><td>al IDE CONTRACTOR DE CONTRACTO</td><td>にしのす と に に に に に に に</td><td>Fried Control of Contr</td></td<>	100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		2013/2015 2013/2015 2013/2015 2013/2015 2013/2015 Find Tue Ing Zel / 2015 Find Zel / 2	28 46 477 28 707 22 28 707 22 28 707 22 29 707 22 29 707 22 29 707 22 20 707 20 20 707 20	Change 上 Compare L	1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	201120167 201120167 20112017 201120007 20112007 20112007 20112007 20112007	50 278,450 20 527,261 21 656 666 21 656 667 21 657 657 21 657 677 21 677	1107 K. 100 K. 1	2212 Farm 222 233 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2		al IDE CONTRACTOR DE CONTRACTO	にしのす と に に に に に に に	Fried Control of Contr
2012/2014 2012/2014 2019/2	2 5 2 4 1 1 2 7 7 6 6 2 2 5 5 7 6 6 2 2 5 5 7 5 7 7 8 2 5 5 7 7 7 8 2 5 7 7 7 8 2 7 5 7 7 8 2 7 7 8 1 8 2 7 7 8 1 8 1 7 7 8 2 7 7 8 1 8 1 7 7 8 2 7 7 8 1 8 1 7 7 8 1 8 1 7 8 1 8 1 8 1 7 8 1 8 1 8														

 | 100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
 | | 2013/2015
2013/2015
2013/2015
2013/2015
Find Var
Institution
Find Var
Institution
Find Var
Find Var
Institution
Find Var
Find Var
Institution
Find Var
Insti | 28 448.077
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
28 70722
29 70722
29 70722
20 70722
20 70722
20 7072
20 7072
20 7072
20 7072
20 70722
20 70 | 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 1 |
 | 30 0704,500
30 0514,500
30 557 261
31 065 000
31 060 0000
31 060 0000
31 060 0000
31 060 0000
31 060 0000
31 060 0000 | | 24112
Frame
Frame
22
23
23
23
23
23
23
23
23
23 | 2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2014
2012/2 | al IDE CONTRACTOR CONT |
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0-1
1010-0 | 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 27 28 29 21 21 21 21 21 22 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 24 25 26 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 |

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

7.4 Visitor Spend Impact based on Incremental Attendance

The below table details the visitor spending impact resulting from the incremental attendance projected in Scenario 5, which pertains to All Three Expansions. For each fiscal year, the incremental attendance figures are multiplied by the average per person spend figures for each of the categories as provided by San Francisco Travel. The tables for the other six expansion scenarios are saved in JLLH's project files.

Etenano 5 Missi	one NISAV and Al 2014/2015	l Thite Erpans	ors
Category		(Allendres	Not Difference
Lodging .	\$350.61	-10.906	-514,352,252
Researcants in Hotels	\$78,11	-40,935	-\$3,197,325
Al Oher Restaurants	\$165,99	-41,170	-\$5,833,814
Rebi Enterlainment & Sightsong	\$150,94	-41,170	-\$6,214,076
Local Transportation	\$98,67 \$36 31	-41,170 -41,170	-\$4,037,479 -\$1,495,053
Bas/Auto Services	553.11	-41,170	-52,186,620
Car Renki	\$16,38	-41,170	-\$756,714
	2015/2016		
Langers Lodging	5361.12	ndin du s	Hist Deflatester
* Resturants in Hotels	5361,12 \$80,45	-34,113 -34,113	-SI2,319,017 -52,744,371
Ali Other Restaurants	\$170.97	-34,308	-\$5,865,690
Rebi	\$155.47	-34,308	-\$5,333,749
Entertainment & Sightseeing	\$161.01	-34,308	-\$3,465,503
Local Transportation	\$37.40	-34,308	-\$1,283,254
Gas/Auto Services Car Rental	\$54.71 \$18.93	-34,308 -34,308	-51,876,849 -5649,513
	2015/2017	-04,000	-3649,513
Category	Silemon N	AMADDECS.	Vet Gitterenze
Lodging	53/1.96	10,234	53,606,576
Hestaurants in Holeis	\$82,66	10,234	\$848,011
Ali Ofner Restaurants Retail	\$176 70 \$160,13	10,292	\$1,812,498
Enterinment & Sightseeing	5160,33	10,292 10,292	\$1,648,128 \$1,070,840
Local Transportation	\$38 53	10,292	\$396,526
Gas/Aub Services	\$56 35	10,202	\$579,945
Car Reniel	\$19,50	10,292	S200,700
	2017/2018		
Calegory	\$383.12		ist difference
Restaurants in Hotels	\$363.12 \$85.35	168,850	564,682,762 \$14,411,939
All Other Restaurants	\$181 38	169,828	\$30,803,407
Real	\$164,03	169,826	\$28,009,942
Entertainment & Sightseeing	\$107 16	169,826	\$18,198,933
Local Transportation Gas/Auto Services	\$39.68	169,826	\$6,738,951
Car Rental	\$58,04 \$20,05	169,825 169.826	\$9,855,187 \$3,410,886
	2018/2019	103,020	33,4 M,000
	Siraian Ha	Altendesu 📑	al Difference
Lodging	\$394,61	245,514	\$95,921,519
Restaurants in Hotels Al Oher Restaurants	\$87.91 \$185.82	245,614	\$21,591,705
Relation of the second se	5186,62 5169,68	247,018 247,019	\$46,149,104 \$41,963,985
Entertainment & Sightseeing	\$110.38	247,019	\$41,963,985 \$27,265,310
Local Transportation	\$40 87	247,019	\$10,095,174
Bas/Aulo Services	\$59.76	247,019	\$14,765,360
Car Renkl	\$20.69	247,019	\$5,110,131
Colesson	2019/2020 2/24/650		a a constant
Cricy217 Lodging	5406 45	276,316	\$112,307,810
Restaurants in Holels	\$90.55	276,316	\$25,019,388
Al Oher Restaurants	\$192,43	277,897	\$53,475,275
Retail	\$174,98	277,897	\$48,625,769
Energinment & Sighisoung Local Transportation	\$113,69 \$42,10	277,897	\$31,593,678
Gas/Auto Services	\$61.57	277,697 277,697	\$11,698,942 \$17,110,519
Car Rental	\$21,31	277,897	\$5,921,364
	2020/2021		
Calegory	SiPerson Hel	Altrades - R	al Orference
Lociping	\$418,64	256,764	\$124,245,715
Reslaurants in Holais Al Other Reslaurants	593 25 5 198 20	295,784 298,482	\$27,678,857 \$59,159,498
Rebl	5180.23	298,482	\$53,794,508 \$53,794,508
Enterlainment & Signiseeing	\$117.10	298,482	\$34,951,989
Local Transportation	\$43,36	298,482	\$12,942,495
Gas/Auto Services	\$53,42	296,482	\$18,929,304
Car Rental	\$21.95 2021/2022	298,482	\$6,550,783
Calegory		Allandica iii	A STORES
Lodging	5431,20	317,251	S135,798,816
Restaurants in Hotels	\$96.06	317,251	\$30,475,376
Al Other Assaurants	\$204.15	319,066	\$65,136,647
Rebl	S185.63	319,066	\$59,229,609
Entertainment & Sightweing Local Transportation	\$120.61 \$44.66	319,066 319.066	538,483,324 514,250,134
Gas/Aub Services	565,32	319,066	S14,250,134 S20,841,617
Car Rental	\$22.61	318,055	\$7,212,638

Source: Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, based on IMPLAN data

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

7.5 Total Visitor Spend Economic Impact based on IMPLAN Multipliers

The below table details the full economic impact from visitor spending resulting from the incremental additional attendance levels as projected in Scenario 5, which pertains to All Three Expansions. The tables for the other four scenarios are saved in JLLH's project files.

	Scenario 5 Vi	sitor Spending	g Impact (in 2012	S)	
2014/201	5 Impact Type	Employmen			Output
	Direct Effect	-203.10	-\$8,488,756	- \$1 1,651,099	
	Indirect Effect	-22.6	-\$1,770,518	-\$2,640,316	-\$3,842,543
	Induced Effect	-36.9	-\$2,418,823	-\$4,089,016	-\$5,881,637
	Total Effect	-262.70	-\$12,678,096	-\$18,380,430	
2015/2016		Employment	Labor Income	Value Added	Output
	Direct Effect	-170.50	-\$7,140,742	-\$9,799,862	-\$11,519,712
	Indirect Effect	-19	-\$1,482,731.	-\$2,212,076	-\$3,219,069
	Induced Effect	-31	-\$2,032,776	-\$3,436,398	-\$4,942,914
	Total Effect	-220.50	-\$10,656,249	-\$15,448,336	-\$19,681,696
2016/2017		Employment	Labor Income	Value Added	Output
	Direct Effect	42.70	\$1,605,876	\$2,225,405	\$3,476,073
	Indirect Effect	5.7	\$447,042	\$667,221	\$970,883
	Induced Effect	7.4	\$485,106	\$820,091	\$1,179,615
	Total Effect	55.80	\$2,538,024	\$3,712,717	\$5,626,571
2017/2018		Employment	Labor Income	Value Added	Output
	Direct Effect	707.60	\$26,642,427	\$36,921,340	\$57,693,989
	Indirect Effect	94.8	\$7,413,434	\$11,069,417	\$16,106,060
	Induced Effect	122.9	\$8,045,893	\$13,601,876	\$19,564,865
	Total Effect	925.20	\$42,101,753	\$61,592,633	\$93,364,914
2018/2019	Impact Type	Employment	Labor Income	Value Added	Output
	Direct Effect	1,038.60	\$39,108,824	\$54,197,156	\$84,839,314
	Indirect Effect	139.3	\$10,893,834	\$16,267,854	\$23,669,212
	Induced Effect	180.4	\$11,813,419	\$19,971,016	\$28,726,202
	Total Effect	1,358.20	\$61,816,077	\$90,436,026	\$137,234,728
2019/2020	Impact Type	Employment	Labor Income	Value Added	Output
	Direct Effect	1,179.50	\$44,414,839	\$61,550,252	\$96,524,662
	Indirect Effect	158.3	\$12,385,026	\$18,497,091	\$26,911,909
	Induced Effect	204.9	\$13,419,248	\$22,685,728	\$32,631,029
	Total Effect	1,542.70	\$70,219,113	\$102,733,070	\$156,067,600
2020/2021		Employment.	Labor Income	Value Added	Output
	Direct Effect	1,278.90	\$48,157,411	\$66,736,722	\$104,851,747
	Indirect Effect	171.9	\$13,443,233	\$20,080,209	\$29,214,376
	Induced Effect	222.2	\$14,553,399	\$24,603,050	\$35,388,895
and the second	Total Effect	1,673.00	\$76,154,043	\$111,419,981	\$169,455,019
	Impact Type	Employment	Labor Income	Value Added	Output
	Direct Effect	1,380.00	\$51,967,000	\$72,016,064	\$113,359,339
and the second	Indirect Effect	185.7	\$14,522,757	\$21,695,646	\$31,563,713
	Induced Effect	239.9	\$15,708,409	\$26,555,636	\$38,197,484
	Total Effect	1,805.60	_\$82,198,166	\$120,267,346	\$183,120,536

Source: Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, based on IMPLAN data

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

7.6 Annual Incremental Economic Impact by Expansion Scenario

The two tables below depict the annual incremental economic impact for each of the five expansion scenarios.

	Convention NG Si	Sciencia 5 1 15 Filoto Margin 1925	fotal Economic Derivention Hot Incomo	Impact (in 2010 S) Visito: Spending Impact 80	Tolul Economic Virginal 20	Mit 1
2012/2015	50	132%	\$0	50 ·	\$0	50
2013/2014F	su SD	-132%	50	50 50	\$0 \$0	50
	50	-132%	50	50 50	50	50
នាខេងតេ សូវវិជាឆ្នាំ	\$0 \$0	132%	50 STJ	50	50	50
201220175	5D	-132%	50 SD	50	50	50
2017/2018	\$1,313,265	-11.0%	-56,227	531,121,698	\$31,115,411	\$31,115,411
PUL 201SF	\$2,865,304	-9.0%	-\$11,116	568,617,369	\$68,506,247	\$58,606,247
25492025	\$3,104,080	7.0%	-\$9,358	\$75,143,658	\$75,134,292	\$75,134,292
2020 2021F	\$3,342,855	-7.0%	-\$10,087	\$81,805,872	\$81,795,765	\$81,795,785
2021/20227	\$3,581,631	-7.0%	-\$10,807	\$88,605,711	\$88,595,904	\$88,595,904
2022/2022						\$88,595,904
2020/2024					•	\$88,595,304
1014/2025					•	\$88,595,904
2025/2020F						\$88,595,904
Hill Economic Inge		-				\$451,521,255
[일종 22] 알려	Linarst Enh	anana Filipina	Ctel Sconartic Gentantion	(nc.sc) (in 2012.5)	1014112535-0000	
	12	Virgin	Nollingerse	Ingasi	Incard	Hel
2011/20125	-54	-1325	\$9	R)	50	22
2012/2013	\$0 ·	-13:2%	60	\$0	\$0	19 H
2013/2014	\$0	-132%	\$0	SO	\$0	50
2014/2015	-\$955,101	-13 2%	55,434	-523,458,660	-\$23,463,226	\$23,442,5
201520167	-\$795,918	-13 2%	\$4,529	-\$19,681,696	-\$19,677,167	\$10,577,157
2016/2017	\$238,775	-11.0% -8.0%	-\$1,132 -\$9,057	\$5,626,571 \$62,243,276	\$5,825,439 \$62,234,218	55 (25-13) 552 (24-21)
2017/2016	52,626,529 \$2,865,304	-8.0% -7.0%	-\$9,057 -\$8,646	\$68,617,363	568,608,717	558,658,717
2011/20205	\$3,342,855	-6.0%	-\$8,646	\$80,923,940	580,915,294	\$10,115,294
2023 Sauch	53,591,631	`-6,0%	-59,263	\$57,649,147	\$87,639,684	\$47,619,844
2021/20225	\$3,820,406	-6.0%	-\$9,681	\$94,513,825	594,503,945	\$94,503,945
1012/2023						\$14,503,545
2023-2024		•				\$24,503,845
21242025						\$94,503,945
2025/2026						594, 502,945
Not Economic Type	đ(; , "					\$734,402,215
	Connetian	LOT TO S	ole Essence	terpast in 2018 5) Visitor Sponfing	Total Economic	
1. Bristo († 1	Schrieden_	s Prote Slorgin	NetIncome	arring showing	Incat	Net
2011/2012	56	-13.2%	50	\$0.	50	50
2018/2017	50	13 23	50	50	. 50	\$
20(325014F	50	-13.2%	50	ŝ	02	50
2014/2015	-5955,101	-13.2%	\$5,434	-\$23,468,660	-\$23,459,226	\$23,453,235
2015/2016	-\$795,918	-13.2%	\$4,529	-\$19,681,695	\$19,577,167	- ५१२.चर, ध्वर
201920175	\$238,775	-11,0%	\$1,132	\$5,628,571	55,625,439	\$5,525,639
2017/2016	\$1,552,040	-8,0%	-\$5,352	\$36,760,117	\$36,774,765	\$36,774,765
2016/2018	\$3,342,855	-7_0%	-\$10,067	\$80,053,592	\$80,043,505	\$20,043,505
2019/2020	\$3,820,405	-5.0%	-58,234	\$92,484,503	\$92,476,269	\$12,476,259
2026-20215	\$4,059,181	-5,0%	-\$8,749	\$99,335,702	\$99,326,953	399,726,853 5406,918,781
502.020025 2023.82025	\$4,297,957	-5.0%	-\$9,253	\$106,328,054	\$106,918,791	\$105,318,791
2023/2024E						\$106318781
202-2202-						\$106318,791
202512025						£106,318,751
Het Economic Impe	at ,			· · ·		\$102,710,493
13-13-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-	م بيني در م	icenaria 4 S Piela	eta: Ecosome	Visitor Sponding	a statisticae	
	CONTRIPOD	S Rold	Convention		Total Economic	
	<u>}2</u>	Ungin .	NET INCOME	Impact	15(245)	Rel
2011/2018 2012/2013F	50- 50	-1325	50 50	\$0 23	. \$4 S0	\$? 59
2012/2013F	50	-13.2%	ېن 50	\$0 50	- \$0	50 ·
2013/2014F	50	-13.2%	\$0	50	50	\$ 5
2015/2018F	50	-13.2%	50	50	80	- 5 9
2016/2017F	50	-11.0%	50	\$0	50	50
2017/2018F	\$3,701,01A	8.0%	-512,763	\$87,705,435	\$87,693,672	\$52,693,572
2018/2019F	\$5,253,058	-7 0%	-\$15,850	\$125,798,501	£125,782,651	5125742,551
2019/2020F	\$5,730,509	-5.0%	-\$12,351	\$138,726,755	\$138,714,404	5131,714,304
2020/2021F	\$6,208,160	-E 0%	-\$13,380	\$151,925,190	\$151,911,810	5151,911,810
2021/2022F 2022/2023F	\$5,685,710	-5.0%	-\$14,409	5165,389,195	\$165,384,786	5165,384,786 5165,354,788
2022/2023F 2023/2024F						6155,334,786
2023/2024F 2024/2025F						\$155,351,785
2025/2025F					•	\$145,354,788
						\$1,331,025,485
Net Economic tenta	er '			10pm1(* 10125)		
Net Economic Impi		50-210 L				
Net Economic (up)		1 7/5/0	Convention	Wallor Spending	Total Economic	
	Consenues AGI	A 715fd	Convention Nation	Viella: Spending Impact	Total Economia Impact	Rot
2011/2012F	Constitution AGI FØ	13:2%	Convention National S0	Visitor Spending Impact 50	Total Economia Impact Se	50
2011/2012F 2012/2012F	Convenuen AGI \$0 69	1325 -1325	Ecovention National S0 S0	Vettor Spending Incact 50 50	Total Economia Imparat SQ \$0	50 \$0
2011/2012F 2012/2012F -2012/2012F -2012/2014F	Consentian Alài S0 S0 S0	1325 -1325 -1325	Convention Nationa S0 S0 S0	Viella: Spending Incact S0 S0 S0	Total Economia Imparat SQ \$0 \$0	50 \$0 \$0
2011/2012F 2012/2012F 2012/2012F 2012/2014F 2014/2015F	Consenuen Alti 10 59 50 -5455,101	- 725 fil Margin - 132% - 132% - 132% - 132%	Convention Nationscom S0 S0 S0 S0 S5,434	1751102 Spending 200 30 30 50 -523,468,660	Total Economia Sig \$0 \$1 -\$23,463,228	50 50 50 - 522,463,226
2011/2012F 2012/2012F 2012/2014F 2014/2015F 2015/2016F	Convenue# ACI 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	• 72510 122011 • 1322 • 1322 • 1322 • 1322 • 1322 • 1322	Convention Nationa S0 S0 S0 S0 S5,434 \$4,529	1711/02 Spending 50 50 50 50 -523,468,660 -\$19,611,775	Total Economic 50 50 50 50 -523,463,225 -512,677,167	50 50 50 - 523,463,226 - 519,677,167
2011/2012F 2012/2012F 2012/2012F 2012/2014F 2012/2014F 2012/2014F 2012/2014F 2015/2017F	Convenuen ACI 10 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	1325 -1325 -1325 -1325 -1325 -1325 -1325 -1325 -1325	Convention History 50 50 50 50 55,434 \$4,529 -\$1,132	411102 Spanding 200 30 50 -523,468,660 -\$12,681,074 55,626,571	Total Economic 50 50 50 50 -523,459,228 -512,517,167 55,825,439	50 50 50 -\$22,463,226 -\$19,677,167 \$5,625,439
2011/2012F 2012/2012F 2012/2014F 2014/2015F 2015/2016F	Convention Add 50 50 -5555,101 -5755,218 5252,775 53,929,254	132% -132% -132% -132% -132% -132% -132% -132% -132% -132% -132%	Convention 343 Income 50 50 50 55,434 \$4,529 -\$1,132 -\$11,888	Vinitar Spansing 50 50 50 -522,468,650 -\$12,468,650 -\$12,468,650 -\$12,468,650 -\$12,521,571 553,552,571	Total Economic Imperi 50 50 50 525,453,226 512,477,167 512,222,377	30 \$0 \$0 -\$22,463,226 -\$19,677,167 \$5,625,439 \$33,553,026 \$337,227,377
2011/2012F 2012/2014F 2012/2014F 2014/2014F 2014/2014F 2014/2014F 2014/2014F 2014/2014F	Convenuen ACI 10 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	1325 -1355 -	Convention History 50 50 50 50 55,434 \$4,529 -\$1,132	Vition Spanding 30 30 50 523,468,660 -\$12,661,675 53,525,521 533,552,521 533,552,521 533,552,511 533,552,511 533,552,512	Total Economic 4772511 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	50 50 50 -523,463,226 -519,677,167 55,52,439 593,753,026 533,727,377 \$165,056,484
2011/2012F 2012/2012F 2012/2012F 2012/2014F 2012/2014F 2012/2014F 2012/2014F 2012/2014F	Conservation Add 50 50 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	- 7268 -1325 -1355	Convention 34 Income 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 51,525 51,525 511,588 512,251 511,116 511,239	11102 Spanding 20 50 50 50 50 50 50 523,468,650 512,468,650 512,468,650 512,524,571 513,545,571 513,454,914 5137,274,728 5155,656,500 5155,455,019	Total Economic Second Su Su Su Su Sizer, 187 Sizer, 225 Sizer, 225	50 50 52453,226 -519,677,167 55,625,439 5337,522,5377 5157,527,434 5165,444 5165,443,640
2011/20137 2012/20137 2012/20137 2012/20137 2015/20146 2016/20146 2016/20146 2016/20146 2016/20146 2016/20146 2016/20146 2016/20146 2016/20146	Convention Act 50 50 -5455,101 -5755,01 533,775 5333,755 5333,755 5333,755 5333,755 5333,755 5333,755 5333,755 5333,755 5333,755 5334,755 5334,755 53440,255	-132% -132%	Convention NAL Income S0 S0 S5,434 S4,529 -511,822 -511,888 -512,351 -511,116	Vition Spanding 30 30 50 523,468,660 -\$12,661,675 53,525,571 533,552,571 533,552,571 533,552,571 533,552,571 533,552,570 533,552,570	Total Economic 4772511 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	50 50 52,453,226 -519,677,167 55,525,439 593,533,026 \$137,222,377 \$165,635,644 \$163,443,060 \$163,107,773
2011/2015 2012/2015 2012/2015 2012/2015 2012/2016 2012/2016 2012/2016 2012/2016 2012/2016 2012/2016 2012/2016 2012/2016 2012/2026	Conservation Add 50 50 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	- 7268 -1325 -1355	Convention 34 Income 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 51,525 511,888 512,251 511,116 511,116 511,239	11102 Spanding 20 50 50 50 50 50 50 523,468,650 512,468,650 512,468,650 512,524,571 513,545,571 513,454,914 5137,274,728 5155,656,500 5155,455,019	Total Economic Second Su Su Su Su Sizer, 187 Sizer, 225 Sizer, 225	50 50 50 -522,433,226 -519,677,167 55,525,439 593,533,026 533,727,377 5455,026,434 5165,434 5165,434 5165,434 5165,434 5165,434 5165,437,773
2011/2012 2012/2012 2012/2014 2012/2	Conservation Add 50 50 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	- 7268 -1325 -1355	Convention 34 Income 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 51,525 511,888 512,251 511,116 511,116 511,239	11102 Spanding 20 50 50 50 50 50 50 523,468,650 512,468,650 512,468,650 512,524,571 513,545,571 513,454,914 5137,274,728 5155,656,500 5155,455,019	Total Economic Second Su Su Su Su Sizer, 187 Sizer, 225 Sizer, 225	50 50 50 -522,463,226 -519,677,167 55,652,439 533,353,026 533,353,026 533,353,026 533,353,026 533,353,026 533,353,026 5453,007,773 5165,107,773 5165,107,773
2011/2013 2012/2013 2012/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2012/2014 2014/2015 2014/2015	Conservation Add 50 50 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	- 7268 -1325 -1355	Convention 34 Income 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 51,525 511,888 512,251 511,116 511,116 511,239	11102 Spanding 20 50 50 50 50 50 50 523,468,650 512,468,650 512,468,650 512,524,571 513,545,571 513,454,914 5137,274,728 5155,656,500 5155,455,019	1011 Econors: 100211 50 50 51 522,453,228 512,527,167 512,527,167 512,527,167 512,527,028 512,222,577 515,655,484 5167,421,053 512,101,773	30 50 50 524,453,226 -519,677,167 55,625,439 533,532,025 \$337,222,377 \$455,056,84 \$163,107,773 \$165,107,773 \$165,107,773
2011/2012 2012/2013 2012/2014 2012/2014 2012/2014 2012/2014 2014/2015 2014/2	Convention Alt 90 93 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	-728 1325 -1328 -1	Convention %81 latence 50 50 55 55	Vinitor Spinding 190213 50 50 50 522,468,560 -5123,468,560 -5123,468,560 55,575,571 550,554,914 8157,254,714 8157,254,714 8157,556,559 8155,455,019 8155,455,019 8155,455,019 8155,455,019	1011 Economic 1001 Economic 50 50 50 51 523,453,225 515,453,453 515,555,454 5159,555,484 5159,555,484 5159,743,063 5161,107,775	50 50 50 524,463,226 55,525,489 55,525,489 533,722,377 5453,722,377 5453,722,377 5453,707,773 5453,707,773 5452,107,773 5152,107,773 5152,107,773
2011/2013 2012/2013 2012/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2014/2014 2012/2014 2014/2015 2014/2015	Convention Alt 90 93 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	-728 1325 -1328 -1	Convention %81 latence 50 50 55 55	Vinitor Spinding 190213 50 50 50 522,468,560 -5123,468,560 -5123,468,560 55,575,571 550,554,914 8157,254,714 8157,254,714 8157,556,559 8155,455,019 8155,455,019 8155,455,019 8155,455,019	1011 Economic 1001 Economic 50 50 50 51 523,453,225 515,453,453 515,555,454 5159,555,484 5159,555,484 5159,743,063 5161,107,775	50 50 50 524,463,226 55,525,489 55,525,489 533,722,377 5453,722,377 5453,722,377 5453,707,773 5453,707,773 5452,107,773 5152,107,773 5152,107,773

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Change in Employment by Expansion Scenario 7.7

The below table details the change in employment based on each of the five expansion scenarios.

					1 110 1	iro orp	unoion	30
-								
		Scenar	no 1 Employ	meni			1.1.5%	
•	Visi	or Spending			T.	olal		
	Direct Effect	Indirect Effect	Induced	Direct		Induced		
	- Divit Elico	THOMEST SHEET	Elfect	Effeci	Elleci	Ellect	Total	
2011/2012F	•			• _ ·				
2012/2013F				-	÷	-	-	
2013/2014F	-		-		•	*	•	
2014/2015F	•				-			
2015/2016F	···· •		-		-	•	•	
2016/2017F	_ `					۰.		
2017/2018F	236	32	41	. •	-	•		
2018/2019F	519	70	90	236	32	41	309	
2019/2020F	568	75	90 99	519 [.]	70	90	579	
2020/2021F	817	63		568	76	99	743	
2021/2022F	668	90	107 116	617	83	107	BOS	
	000	50	110	668	<u>ş</u> 0:	116	874 57755	
		Seanau	2 Employm		****		3,912	
	Vitio	Spending	1	बना				
		opending	Induced	Distant	Tol			1
	Direct Ellect 1	idirect Effect	Elizet	Direct Ettaci	Indirest Elfect			
2011/2012F	-		Cueta	-0.00	Enters	Effect	Tolsi	
2012/2013F	-	-		-	-	-		
2013/2014F				•	-	•	-	
2014/2015F	(203)	(23)	1000	(0001	-		•	
2015/2016F	(171)		(37)	(203)	(23)	(37)	(263)	
2016/2017F	43	(19) 6	(31)	(171)	{19}	(31)	(221)	
2017/2018F	472	63	7	43	6	7	56	
2018/2019F	519		82	472	63	82	617	
2019/2020F	612	70 82	90	519	70	90	679	
2020/2021F	. 662		106	612	82	106	800	
2021/2022F	727	B9	115	562	89	115	865	
	121	96	124	727	96	124	946	
		Sec. 1			• •		3,480.	
·····	Visitor	Spending	Employme:	п л				
	YIEU27				Total			
	Direct Effect Inc					nducez		
2011/2012F		lirect Eilect	Effect	Elfect	lieci	Ellect	Iolal	
2012/2013F	•	. •	•	-	-	•	:	•
2013/2014F	•	•.	-	•	. •		-	
2014/2015F		-			-	-	-	
2015/2016F	(203)	(23)	(37)	(203)	(23)	(37)	(263)	
2016/2017F	. (171)	(19)	(31)	(171)	(19)	(31)	(221)	
2017/2018F	43	6	7	43	6	7 ·	56	
2018/2018F	279	37	48	279	37	48	364	
2019/2020F	606	81	105	606	81	105	792	
2020/2021F	699	94	121	699	94	121	914 ·	
	750	101	130	750 _	101	130	961	
2021/2022F	810	108	139	810	108	139	1.057	
		2 AM 1 May 199 1		-	- 		3,682	
	Vinita -	Scener D 4	Employment	-				
	Visitor S			Cellon,	Tolai			
n:	reci Effect Indi				irect Inc		- 2	
2011/2012F	icel cuser - indi	ect Ellect 6	illect El	fect Ef	eci – E	llect T	lsta	
2012/2013F	-	-	-	•	- `,	-	•	
2013/2014F	•	•	•	-	•	-	-	
2014/2015F	-	•	•	•	•	-	•	
2015/2015F		•	• ·	•	•	-	-	
2016/2017F		-	÷	÷	•	•	•	
2017/2018F	- 665	-	•	*	•	-	•	
2018/2019F		89	115	665	89	115	869	
2019/2020F	952	128	165	952	128	165 1	,245	
2020/2021F	1,048	141		,048	141	182 1	,371	•
2021/2022F	1,147	154		,147	154	199 1	,500	
LUZNZUŻZĘ	1,247	169	217 1	,247	168	217 1	,\$ 3 1	
		Canada	_	_	<u></u>		\$16	
	Vicilas Be	Scenario 5 Er	uployment					
	Visilor Spi				Total		1927 - C	
Div	et Ellect _Indire	N Films E	uced Dire		eci Indu			
2011/2012F	are enter a fitalifé		iecl Effe	ee stie	ci Elli	eci Tol	al 👘	
2012/2013F	-	-	•	*	•	-	•	
2013/2014F	-	•	•	•	-	• .	•	
2014/2015F	(onal	-	•	-	.	• •	•	
2015/2016F	(203)	(23)					263)	
	(171)	(19)			(19)	(31) (221)	
2016/2017F	43	6	7	43	6	7	56	
2017/2016	708	95			95	123 - J	925	
2018/2019F	1,039	139			39		158	
2019/2020F	1,180	158					543	
2020/2021F	1,279				72 ;	222 1.6	73	
2021/20225	1,380	186	240 1.3	380 1	BG 2		26	
FRENCHARGE	Na banno stanova					6,8	78	
Source Long Ling Ling	em Hours, MPLAN	Acres 10	i na na seria Geografia		9	بينهنون فالميتي	<u>0</u> 25	

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Moscone Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis - Phase II Analysis



Real value in a changing world

Harry Schoening Managing Director +1 415 354 6907

harry schoening @am.jll.com Greg Hartmann

Executive Vice President +1 303 390 5249 greg.hartmann@am.jil.com

Amelia Lim Executive Vice Président +1 212 812 5834 amelia.lim@am.jll.com

Andrea Grigg Senior Vice President +1 415 395 4977 andrea.grigg@am.jll.com

Lauro Ferroni Vice President +1 312 228 2566 lauro ferroni@am.jil.com

Tu-Uyen Do Associate +1 415 395 4955 tuuyen.do@am.jll.com

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012.

This publication is the sole property of Jones Lang LaSalle IP, Inc. and must not be copied, reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, either in whole or in part, without the prior written consent of Jones Lang LaSalle IP, Inc.

The information contained in this publication has been obtained from sources generally regarded to be reliable. However, no representation is made, or warranty given, in respect of the accuracy of this information. We would like to be informed of any inaccuracies so that we may correct them.

Jones Lang LaSalle does not accept any liability in negligence or otherwise for any loss or damage suffered by any party resulting from reliance on this publication.

COPYRIGHT & JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012, All Rights Reserved

Appendix E

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Lodging Market Forecast"



Real value in a changing world

MOSCONE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION IMPACT

Draft San Francisco Lodging Market Forecasting Study Prepared for San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation

June 21, 2012



June 21, 2012

Ms. Lynn Farzaroli Senior Manager TID/Foundation San Francisco Travel 201 Third Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: San Francisco Lodging Market – Forecasting Study

Dear Ms. Farzaroli:

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels ("JLLH"), a division of Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc, is pleased to submit herewith our comprehensive preliminary draft in connection with performing a Lodging Market Forecasting Study for the San Francisco market as it relates to the proposed expansion of the Moscone Center. The information gleaned from the review process of San Francisco's existing hotel inventory and historical performance, impact of previous and other comparable convention center expansions, along with JLLH's experience in the hotel, convention and real estate sector collectively form the basis of the conclusions, recommendations and 32-year lodging forecast presented in this report.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions regarding the report.

Respectfully submitted,

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, a division of Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Contents

1	Executive Summary	2
1.1	Executive Summary	2
1.2	Definitions	
1.3	Overall Conclusion	3
2	San Francisco Lodging Market	5
2.1	Market Overview	5
2.2	Existing Hotel Inventory	5
2.3	New Supply Pipeline	5
2.4	San Francisco Historical Hotel Performance	7
3	Moscone Center Expansions	8
3.1	Moscone Center Overview	8
3.2	Markating	a
3.3	Markenry Moscone Center Expansion Impact on Hotel Performance Moscone Center Proposed Expansion Plans	9
3.4	Moscone Center Proposed Expansion Plans	. 16
4		
4.1	Comparable Convention Center Overview	17
4.2	Comparison Matrix of Competitive Facilities	17
4.3	Impact of Other Convention Center Expansions on Lodging Market	19
5	Lodging Market Forecast	21
5.1	Lodging Market Forecast Lodging Revenues vs. Ancillary Revenues	21
5.2	Lodging Forecast	24
6	Appendices	29
6.1	Glossary	29

1 Executive Summary

1.1 Scope of Work

Jones Lang LaSaile Hotels ("JLLH") has been engaged by TID/Foundation ("Client") to perform a lodging market forecasting study in connection with the proposed expansion of the Moscone Center located in San Francisco, California. Pursuant to our engagement, JLLH has completed the following tasks and scope of work:

Market Research

- We have conducted an analysis of the San Francisco existing hotel inventory, lodging supply and development trends over the past 25 years.
- We have analyzed the market's historical hotel performance over the past 25 years, which highlights
 market cycles and events which may have impacted lodging performance during the analyzed period.
- We have reviewed the correlation that Moscone Center's past expansions, events and activities have had on lodging performance for the overall City of San Francisco and, specifically, for Zone 1 and 2 Hotels.

Comparable Convention Center Research

 We researched and studied the relationship that other convention center expansions had on their respective lodging markets.

Forecast

 We have prepared a forecast of Revenue per Available Room ("RevPAR") for 32 years following the Moscone Ceriter's expansion, assuming a completion of future expansions such as: expansions to Moscone East, Third Street Addition, and Howard Street Connector.

1.2 Definitions

For the lodging market forecast, we have separated the hotels in the City of San Francisco into two groups, as defined by the Client below:

- Zone 1 Tourist Hotels ("Zone 1"): All tourist hotels with addresses on or east of Van Ness Avenue, on or east of South Van Ness Avenue, and on or north of 16th Street from South Van Ness to the Bay, including all tourist hotels east of Van Ness Avenue as if it continued north to the Bay, and north of 16th Street as if it continued east to the Bay.
- Zone 2 Tourist Hotels ("Zone 2"): All tourist hotels with addresses west of the Van Ness Avenue and South Van Ness Avenue, and all tourist hotels south of 16th Street.



Source: SF Tourism Improvement District

1.3 Overall Conclusion

From our analysis of the last two major expansions that occurred at the Moscone Center in 1992 and 2003, we have observed the following:

- There is a strong correlation between Convention Attendance and Zone 1 Supply, Convention Attendance and Zone 1 Demand, Convention Space and Zone 1 Supply, and Convention Space and Zone 1 Demand. This shows that Moscone Center does impact hotel supply and demand for hotels in Zone 1, while Zone 2 is not as directly correlated to convention activity due to its locations and less reliance on groups from its smaller room stock.
- Zone 1 and Zone 2 Hotels mirror a similar trend throughout the years, although Zone 1 has a higher RevPAR than both Zone 2 and Total U.S. Urban.
- In terms of demand, both Zone 1 and Zone 2's CAGR surpassed Total U.S. Urban's average during the post expansion years. During Expansion I, Zone 1 saw a higher 3-year CAGR than Zone 2, and during Expansion II, Zone 2 saw a higher CAGR. The first expansion brought a new higher rated business to the immediate hotels around the Moscone Center (Zone 1), but since those hotels were saturated by the time of the second expansion, Zone 2 had a greater incremental increase as the benefit is spread further out with more meeting capacity for the city.
- Beyond demand and room rates (ADR) and RevPAR, hotels can capture additional revenues from food and beverage, convention services, spa and other ancillary facilities. As discussed, the types of hotel existing and likely to be developed in Zone 1 are significantly different from those located in Zone 2. As displayed in the above table, there is a much higher concentration of Upscale & Above hotels in Zone 1 (in terms of room count), and a much higher ratio of Midscale, Economy, & Independent hotels in Zone 2

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

(in terms of room count). Zone 1 comprises of predominantly Upscale & Above hotels (70.5%), as Zone 2 comprises of primarily Midscale, Economy, and Independent hotels (78.4%).

- Based on our analysis of lodging types in San Francisco, we have concluded that Upscale and Above chain hotels, the majority representative of the inventory of hotels located in Zone 1, achieve RevPAR premiums that are 50% to 60% greater than midscale, economy, and independent hotels in San Francisco representative of those located in Zone 2. However, our in-depth analysis of hotel operating statements for over 50 hotels in San Francisco indicates Upscale and Above chain hotels in San Francisco achieve 50% to 80% greater profit per available room premiums than the midscale, economy and independent hotels in San Francisco.
- From JLLH's experience, sales and marketing, and in particular sales and marketing of expanded convention facilities, is necessary in maximizing lodging performance.

From the aforementioned analyses, we have established the following conclusions:

- Historic trends clearly indicate that future expansions of the Moscone Center should have significant
 positive impact on the Revenue per Available Room (RevPAR) of hotels in Zone 1 and Zone 2; however,
 Zone 1 is expected to achieve three times RevPAR benefit as Zone 2.
- We have concluded that both zones are expected to gain incremental benefit from the proposed Moscone expansion, but Zone 1 is expected to achieve four times the Profit per available room benefit of Zone 2.
- Based on our analysis, the lodging sector is expected to be the greatest beneficiary in increased revenue dollars when compared to the other sectors on an individual basis as a result of the proposed Moscone expansions.

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

2 San Francisco Lodging Market

2.1 Market Overview

San Francisco is a major gateway to Europe, Asia, and Australia, and the San Francisco International Airport ("SFO") is the tenth busiest airport in the U.S. The San Francisco lodging market posts higher overall occupancy rates than many other U.S. gateway markets. The city is home to numerous international renowned tourist attractions, including Fisherman's Wharf, the Golden Gate Bridge, Alcatraz, wine country, among many others. In addition, the economy and commercial real estate market is thriving with the influx of start-up companies and the technology boom, including companies like Zynga and Salesforce. According to latest data provided by San Francisco Travel, the city hosted 15.9 million visitors in 2010 and these visitors spent \$8.3 billion in local businesses.

2.2 Existing Hotel Inventory

According to Smith Travel Research, there are currently 224 hotels in San Francisco with a total of 34,257 guest rooms, roughly 25,000 of which are within walking distance of the Moscone Center. No new supply has entered San Francisco since 2008, a stark contrast to other major U.S. gateway markets. The following table summarizes the number of hotels and total room count for San Francisco by chain scale.

	co Current Invento				
Chain Scale	No. of Hotels	%	Room Count	-%-	
Independents	139	62%	10,624	31%	
Luxury Chains	· 14	6%	4,804	14%	
Upper Upscale Chains	37	17%	14,499	42%	
Upscale Chains	3	1%	887	3%	
Upper Midscale Chains	9	4%	2,363	7%	
Midscale Chains	4	2%	266	1%	
Economy Chains	18	8%	814	· 2%	
Total	224		34,257		

San Francisco has the highest number of independent/unbranded hotels as a proportion of total hotel stock among U.S. gateway markets. Historically, independent hotels' ADR performance has been more volatile, but San Francisco's strong occupancy levels, second only to New York, support the level of independent hotels that exist in the market.

2.3 New Supply Pipeline

The lack of recent supply openings affirms the exceedingly high barriers to entry in the San Francisco hotel market and explains investors' high interest in acquiring existing hotels, as seen from the abundant transactions over the past 18 months. Over the last ten years, the hotel room supply in San Francisco has grown on average by 1.0% annually (CAGR or compound annual growth rate), considerably below nationwide growth. The most recent hotel openings occurred in 2008, with the opening of the 550-key InterContinental in February and the 53-room Fairmont Heritage Place in August. The following table presents the total new supply inventory that entered the San Francisco market since 2000. The only hotel opening expected in 2012 is the 22-room Inn at the Presidio, which debut in April 2012.

The following tables display the potential hotels projects in the pipeline in the early planning stage and the historical new supply growth trends.

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

	San Franci	sco New Sup	ply Pipeline	ning staat in teatrain eksiggen Celegen je	
			Projected		
Hotel Name	Address	Count	Opening Date	Chain Scale	Project Phase
Unamed Hotel & Transbay	Mission St & 1st St	N/A	N/A	Independent	Planning
Unnamed Hotel	942 Mission St	172	N/A	Independent	Planning
Hotel SoMa	690 5th St	75	N/A	Independent	Planning
Unnamed Hotel	Yerba Buena Island	50	N/A	Independent	Pre-Planning
Source: Smith Travel Research	h				

Ne	w Supply to Sar	r Francisco by Ye	ar
Year	No. of Hotels	Room Count	% Chg
2000	1	104	0.3%
2001	4	1,023	3.3%
2002	1	362	1.1%
2003	2	6 9 8	2.2%
2004	0	0	0.0%
2005	2 .	460	1.4%
2006	1 ·	86	0.3%
2007	1	33	0.1%
2008	2	603	1.8%
2009	1	80	0.2%
2010	0	0	0.0%
2011	0	0	0.0%
2012	1.	22	0.1%
CAGR (/00-0	6) (2 2 2 2 2 2	1.4%	
CAGR ('00-11	2)	0.9%	
Source: Smith	Travel Research		

While the supply pipeline has shrunk greatly across the country, most gateway cities still experience a backlog of new rooms that are expected to open by 2013. As an example 2,900 rooms were introduced in New York in 2011 and an additional 1,050 rooms are expected to open in 2012. The complete lack of new supply in San Francisco in the near term will significantly strengthen the potential for growth in average daily rates in the city, as seen from the significant year-to-date growth in 2011.



Source: Smith Travel Research

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

2.4 San Francisco Historical Hotel Performance

Hotel benchmark includes three key terms: occupancy, average daily rate (ADR), revenue per available room (RevPAR). RevPAR is an indicator of both occupancy and ADR. Occupancy is the percentage of available rooms that were sold during a specified period of time, which is calculated by dividing total rooms sold by total rooms available. ADR is a measure of the average rate paid for rooms sold, which is calculated by dividing total room revenue by total rooms sold. RevPAR is the total room revenue divided by total rooms available, or the product of occupancy and ADR.

The following table presents the market's lodging performance since 1987:



San Francisco Lodging Market Performance, 1987-2011

Source: Smith Travel Research

San Francisco posts higher overall occupancy rates than many other U.S. gateway markets. Though the market suffered more than the average of other major markets during the double-hit of the tech bust and the events of 9/11, San Francisco has consistently shown above-average growth in occupancy rates partly due to the minimal supply increases. By year-end 2011, not only did occupancy peak at 80%, but the ADR has grown significantly; posting 15.6% growth in ADR among the market.

Despite the year-over-year growth in ADR, on an inflation-adjusted basis, ADRs remained below previous peak 2000 levels in 2008—an anomaly not witnessed in many other large U.S. markets. However, the spread of ADR between San Francisco and the average of the other top U.S. gateway markets has begun to lessen notably. The gains in occupancy and ADR have led to a jump in revenue per available room (RevPAR) of 19.7% for the market, among the highest of any major U.S. market.

3 Moscone Center Expansions

3.1 Moscone Center Overview

i -

The Moscone Center is located in San Francisco's SOMA / Yerba Buena district. The convention center is comprised of three main buildings, Moscone North and Moscone South, which are connected underground, and Moscone West, a free-standing building. The three buildings comprise of approximately two million square feet of building area. The center is named after George R. Moscone, a former mayor of San Francisco. There are approximately 25,000 hotel rooms within walking distance of the convention center.

Moscone South opened in 1981, and consists of 260,600 s.f. of exhibit space in Halls A, B and C. Moscone North opened in 1992, adding 181,400 s.f. of exhibit space in Halls D and E. This addition is connected to Moscone South via underground corridors and meeting space. The latest addition to the center is Moscone West, a standalong building located one-half block to the west of the other two buildings. Moscone West features 96,700 s.f. of exhibit space on the first level.



Source: Moscone Center website

The Moscone Center is owned by the City and County of San Francisco. The Moscone Center is privately managed by SMG, an entertainment and convention center venue manager. Convention business for the center is booked by San Francisco Travel which serves as the city's conventions and visitors' bureau.

3.2 Marketing

We were provided with the historical convention marketing expenses used to promote the city of San Francisco, as summarized in the following table.

San Francisco C	onvention Marketing	Expenses
Fiscal Year	Total	% Change
1992/1993	\$1,329,000	-
1993/1994	\$1,307,000	-1.7%
1994/1995	\$1,483,000	13.5%
1995/1996	\$1,650,000	11.3%
1996/1997	\$1,866,000	13.1%
1997/1998	\$2,005,000	7.4%
1998/1999	\$2,087,000	4.1%
1999/2000	\$2,515,000	20.5%
2000/2001	\$2,388,000	-5.0%
2001/2002	\$2,390,000	0.1%
2002/2003	[′] \$2,620,000	9.6%
2003/2004	\$2,776,000	6.0%
2004/2005	\$2,705,000	-2.6%
2005/2006	\$2,695,000	-0.4%
2006/2007	\$2,662,000	-1.2%
2007/2008	\$3,270,000	22.8%
2008/2009	\$3,995,000	22.2%
2009/2010	\$4,085,000	2.3%
2010/2011	\$4,883,000	19.5%
2011/2012	\$5,646,000	15.6%

Source: Client

From JLLH's experience, sales and marketing, and in particular sales and marketing of expanded convention facilities, is necessary in maximizing lodging performance.

3.3 Moscone Center Expansion Impact on Hotel Performance

The Moscone Center underwent the following major expansions since the opening of Moscone South in 1981:

- May 1992: Opening of Moscone North, which added 53,410 sq.ft. of meeting space and 181,400 sq.ft. of exhibit space
- June 2003: Opening of Moscone West, which added 199,432 sq.ft. of meeting space and 99,660 sq.ft. of exhibit space

The following tables summarize San Francisco's lodging performance (grouped by Zone 1 and Zone 2) compared to Total U.S. Urban cities during the years prior and post expansions.

	Oronatina Cuid	1 NN90 X1310N00 10
	$Market - F_{i}$	
	Lodeinp	5
1	San Francisco	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
251112 25	THE OLD Y MUSIC
eel Rouzy as Franking and Sec. 78 563.79 563.79 563.79 563.44 560.49 566.46 557.25 557.25 557.29 566.44 560.49 560.49 566.46 558.45 566.46 558.45 566.49 566.40 566.50 566.50 567.20 566.50 566.50 567.20 566.50 567.20 566.50 567.20 566.50 567.20 566.50 567.20 566.50 567.20 566.50 567.20 566.50 567.20 566.50 566.50 566.50 567.20 566.50 567.20 566.50 566.50 567.20 566.50 566.50 566.50 567.20 566.50 557.20 566.50 557.20 556.50 557.50 556.50 556.50 556.50 556.50 557.50 556.50 556.50 556.50 557.50 556.50 557.50 556.50 556.50 557.50 556.50 557.50 557.50 556.50 557.50 556.50 557.50 5	NUMBER OF
10000000000000000000000000000000000000	
440.00 440.00 5646.56 5646.56 5646.56 5646.56 564.67 564.67 564.67 564.67 564.67 564.67 564.67 564.67 564.67 564.67 564.67 566.68 566.68 566.68 566.68 566.68 566.68 566.69 567.000 567.000 567.00000000000000000000	
101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101	
0016100 565.44 565.44 565.44 565.33 565.23 562.23 562.23 562.23 562.21 561.23 562.21 562.21 562.21 574.47 570.30 576.71 570.30 576.71 570.30 576.71 570.30 576.71 571.00 577.1000 577.1000 577.1000 577.1000 577.1000 577.1000 577.1000 577.1000 577.1000 577.1000 577.1000 577.1000 577.10000 577.10000 577.100000000000000000000000000000000000	
76.3 76.3 76.3 76.3 76.4 76.6 66.9 80.6 80.6 80.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7 77.7	
0.00 8.11 7.3.0 7.3.1 7.3.0 6.1.6 6.1.6 6.1.6 6.1.6 7.1.7 7.1.5 7.5 7.1.5	
64.8 64.8 64.8 64.9 64.9 64.9 64.5 64.4 64.4 64.4 66.4 66.4 66.3 66.0 66.0 66.3 66.0 66.0 66.3 66.0 66.0	
41000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Real Revenue 10.011 K.1011301 F 500100 20.00115 20.00	
1,00,200,200,000 909,568 909,568 891,063 891,063 891,063 891,063 907,324 1,024,865 1,0	
Derrend 2010,50 2,200,50 5,653,357 6,653,357 6,653,357 6,653,357 6,653,357 6,653,357 6,653,357 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,054,402 7,057,105 8,343,477 6,872,582 6,877,564 6,877,564 6,877,564 6,877,564 6,877,105 8,343,477 6,877,564 6,877,564 6,877,564 6,877,105 8,343,477 6,877,564 6,877,564 6,877,564 6,877,105 8,343,477 6,877,564 6,877,564 6,877,105 8,343,477 6,877,564 6,877,105 8,463,779 8,463,779 8,463,779 8,463,779 8,463,779 8,463,779 8,463,796 8,463,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,464,966 8,466	
5,740 0 5,740 0 5,740 0 5,740 0 5,740 0 5,740 0 5,740 0 5,740 0 5,750 0 5,750 0 5,750 0 7,790 0 7,7900	50
Supply Supply 8,117,798 1,4 8,175,81 1,17,81 1,4 8,175,81 1,107,12 10,151,807 1,2 10,151,807 1,2 10,255,704 1,2 10,201,757 1,2 10,201,577 1,2 10,201,577 1,2 10,203,577 1,2 10,203,577 1,2 10,203,577 1,2 10,203,577 1,2 10,203,577 1,2 10,203,577 1,2 10,203,577 1,2 10,203,577 1,2 10,004,257 1,2 11,10,065,257 1,2 11,11,10,065,257 1,2 11,11,10,065,100 1,2 11,11,10,065,100 1,2 11,11,10,065,100 1,2 11,11,10,065,100 1,2 11,11,10,065,100 1,2 11,11,10,065,100 1,2 11,11,10,065,100 1,2 11,11,10,065,100 1,2 11,11,10,005,100 1,2 11,11,10,005,100 1,2 11,11,100 1,2 11,	aSalle Hote)
Supply Supply Supply	ines Lang L
2001 205,116,226,116,226,116,226,116,226,161,16,226,161,16,226,162,161,16,226,162,161,162,162	lasearch, Jo
1947 1947 1947 1948 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949	source: Smith Travel Research, Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels
Lundes Environment	source: sm

ĉ

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. AII Rights Reserved

Year % Change)

												•															
Sah Trancisulo Zoria Z		- 10	0' a' b	%q'/-	-1.8%		0.2%	-2.8%	3.1%	14.0%	12,8%	4.0%	4.5%	7.2%	-23.1%	-26.2%	- 15,9%	7.3%	. 9.5%	6.4%	9.6%	11.2%	-21.5%	1.1%	21.9%		
al RevPAR Sun Innelsko Zone/L		. 00	20.0-	-1.0%	-8.3%	1.2%	4.1%	2.0%	3.6%	11.2%	11.1%	5.4%	1.9%	12.7%	-24.7%	-18.1%	1.61,8%	6.2%	7.4%	7.3%	7.6%	1.2%	-18.4%	5.7%	16.2%		
Re otal U.S. Urbah	•		- 20'n-	-2.2%	-6.7%	-1.0%	1.9%	5.0%	3.8%	5.9% :	5.4%;	5.1%	2.1%	4.8%	-14.4%	-4.5%	-2,9%	- %6 L	%6.8	7.1%	5.7%	-3.3%	-17.6%	7.0%	5.0%		
San Mihelsoo Zone 2.1	ŕ		%0'7-	-5.4%	-2.3%	-3.7%	-3,4%	-2.5%	0.7%	6,6%	8.3%	5.8%	2.3%	. 5.1%	-7.3%	-15.7%	10496	0.2%	1.8%	2.5%	5.3%	6.2%	-13,9%	-0.3%	17.9%		
Real ADR San ranolsco Zone A	•		-0.3%	3.4%	-3.6%	-4.2% I	-0.2%	1.1%	-0.6%	4.1%	8.5%	7.4%	3.5%	7.9%	-7.1%	-14.7%		-0.6%	2.8%	6.8%	3.9%	1.3%	-15,5%	0.4%	12.1%		
rai U.S. Fr Urban	••		-0.8%	-1.7%	3.9%	-1.6%	0.0%	1.6%	2.7%	4.5%	5.4%	5.8%	3.0%	3.3%	-6.8%	3.8%	218% F	3.1%	3.9%	6.6%	5.6%	-0.2%	-11.6%	0.8%	1.8%		
San San Incisco One 2	,	, 1	-0.2%	-2.1%	0.6%	+0'3%	3.8%	-0.3%	2,4%	6.7%	4.0%	-1.7%	2.3%	1.8%	-16.5%	19.3%	ALC: NO.	6.9%	7.2%	3.8%	4.0%	4.5%	-8.8%	1.5%	3.2%	-	•
OCC Sain Invisio Fi Ann Z						U.S.											CONTRACTOR OF	•									
al U.S. Fra						n an the state of						•															
an neiseb neiseb					1	18 - C																					
nue n Isoo Ffar 1 20		-	•		-	de televit																	•				
Real Revel Real Revel An Franci	•	•	-0.7	3.9	-1.2	ALC: NO. 1 ACCOUNTS	3.4		0.4	11 4		45		. 141	È R	11	n - 1 -	2.7	6.0	9.0	11		Ŧ	5	5 F	5	
atanus: Urb	·		0.9%	-0.3%	-6.7%	100 U 10 10/	1.9%	4.6%	4 1%	7 14	7007	8 0%	705 1	2011	11 8%	194 G	- 3, 17a -	8.3%	7.1%	6.1%	6.8%	-1 4%	45.4%	A 0%	9,0,0		
San San Andsog Zotte 2	1	-2.1%	-5.3%	-0.7%	0.7%	RULLING STREET	3 7%	1 0%	760 6	2 49/	201	10/ 10	e/1-1-	7.5 10	1,070	JUP UF -	-13.1%	A 20%	. %6 9	3.7%	1 1%	7657	70 8 6	740 0	740 6	2 2 2 7	
smand San Incisbo F	•	3.1%	0.4%	0.4%	3.6%	21410/ miles	3 6%	1.0%			0/0/0	0/.0.7	0/ 1/7-	-0.176	07. H.C	0/071	-0.8%	7 R%	2 0%	2.0%	766 6	1010	2/10/	- 21/2 L		0,0,0	
l U.S. ban						States -				·							0.7%										•
an cisco disco 0						and training											-0.9% (
ndy an S cispo Fran ne (h. Zol						WINGSON											3.2% -0	1-24	•							_	
Sup U.S. ⁵ an Etan Zoi						10 II	a la collecte										1.5% 3.			•							
Year Total																	2002 1.										
			-			A DESCRIPTION OF A	の見たいない							•													
							States and the states of											A STATE OF CALLS									
	-1																	-									

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Righls Reserved

÷

In order to analyze the relationship between lodging performance for the two hotel zones and Moscone convention space and convention attendance, we have calculated the correlation between these variables, as presented in the subsequent table.

				Correla	tion with C	onvention	Space				ter in the state	5.4
		$(-, -)_{i \in I}$	Zon	61								THE AT SHIT
			1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -									
	Small		Real			Real			Real			Rosi
			Revenue		- Real AUR		SUPPLY	Demand	Povoniro	000	Real ADR	
Meeting Space	0.86	0.74	0.33	0.33	-0.18	0.05	-0.53	-0.06	-0.39	0.10	0.60	-0.32
Exhibit Space	0.87	0.77	0.46	0.37	0.00	0.20	-0.13	0.11	-0.23	0.16	-0.51	
Total Space	0.90	0.79	0.41	0.37	-0.10	0.13						-0.21
							-0.35	0.03	-0.32	0.14	-0.58	-0.28
	Contraction of the	a later and		Correlatio	n with Con	vention At	tendance					
									Zin			
			- Real			Roale			- Do-1	er V i si		
			Revenue	20)6(t) 	Real ADR		Supply	Demand 🤞		C OPC	Real ADR	
	0.73			A CARGE COM		EU-12-DE			Revenue:			新印刷
	0.75	0.80	0.45	0.54	-0.01	0.26	-0.57 ·	0.24	-0.08	0.41	-0.34	-0.01

In addition, historical RevPAR was converted into real values in order to analyze trends without the fluctuations of inflation, as shown in the following chart.



.

Source: Smith Travel Research

From the above analyses, we have observed the following trends:

- There is a strong correlation between Convention Attendance and Zone 1 Supply, Convention Attendance and Zone 1 Demand, Convention Space and Zone 1 Supply, and Convention Space and Zone 1 Demand. Moscone Center previous expansions has increased convention attendance, at the very least contributing to and at the very most driving demand for hotels in Zone 1, while Zone 2 is not as directly correlated to convention activity due to its locations and less reliance on groups from its smaller room stock.
- Throughout the historic period, the long-term CAGR for Zone 1 was a positive 0.8% as Zone 2 experienced a negative 0.1% with a declining trend in supply. The decrease in hotel supply in Zone 2 results primarily from existing hotels being converted to other uses such as condominiums and multi-family units. When this type of gentrification takes place, it is typically the older properties that

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

underperform their peer group and thus when they are removed from inventory, impact the aggregate performance numbers of the market overall.

- As availability of space decreases in the urban city, the annual average growth rate in supply for both zones decrease throughout the latter historical years.
- Zone 1 and Zone 2 Hotels mirror a similar trend throughout the past 25 years, although Zone 1 has a higher RevPAR than both Zone 2 and Total U.S. Urban.
- In terms of demand, both Zone 1 and Zone 2's CAGR surpassed Total U.S. Urban's average during the post expansion years. During Expansion I, Zone 1 saw a higher 3-year CAGR than Zone 2, and during Expansion II, Zone 2 saw a higher CAGR. What we observed is that as Zone 2 decreased inventory and as occupancy exceeds 70% and even approaches 80%, the impact of increased convention attendance is greater on ADR than it is on occupancy. By way of example, an unoccupied room that is filled with a new visitor (even one paying only \$100 in room rate) has a greater impact than a previously occupied room which is able to increase room rate by increasing the premium earned on the room. The first expansion brought a new higher rated business to the immediate hotels around the Moscone Center (Zone 1), but since those hotels were largely occupied by the time of the second expansion, Zone 2 had a greater incremental increase as the benefit is spread further out with more meeting capacity for the city. However, although both zones should benefit either directly or by compression from future expansions, since both zones are currently achieving strong occupancy and Zone 1's hotels are in better position to increase rates to a larger extent than Zone 2 properties, we anticipate the impact of the future expansions to be greater for Zone 1 than Zone 2.

JLLH also analyzed historical operating performance by chain scale (as defined by Smith Travel Research) and composition of hotels in the two zones in order to compare the difference between potential Profit PAR.

The following table summarizes San Francisco's historical performance, which are categorized into two groups for two different years.

	Midscale, E Independe Perform	nts (Peak	Midscale, E Independe Perform	nts (Low	Upscale & A Perform	•	Upscale & A Perform	•
	PAR	POR	PAR	POR	PAR	POR	PAR	POR
REVENUES								
Rooms	\$42,665	\$151.24	\$33,057	\$128.39	\$64,587	\$224.67	\$53,342	\$192.4Q
Food & Beverage	\$5,291	\$18.76	\$5,265	\$20.45	- \$24,560	\$85.44	\$22,419	\$80.86
Telephone .	\$240	\$0.85	\$190	\$0.74	\$751	\$2.61	· \$672	\$2.42
Rentals and Other Income	\$2,313	\$8.20	\$1,523	\$5.92	\$1,766	\$6.14	\$2,038	\$7.35
Other Income	\$1,614	\$5.72	\$1,656	\$6.43	\$2,619	\$9.11	\$2,239	\$8.08
Total Revenues	\$52,124	\$184.77	\$41,691	\$161.93	\$94,283	\$327.97	\$80,710	\$291.11
DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES								
Rooms Expense	\$15,058	\$53.38	\$14,296	\$55.52	\$20,628	\$71.76	\$19,559	\$70.55
Food & Beverage Expense	\$5,314	\$18.84	\$5,097	\$19.80	\$21,604	\$75.15	\$20,646	\$74.47
	\$633	\$2.24	\$716	\$2.78	\$841	\$2.93	\$858	\$3.10
Other Income Expense	\$376	\$1.33	\$408	\$1.58	\$1,705	\$5.93	\$1,404	\$5.07
Total Departmental Expenses	\$21,382	\$75.79	\$20,517	\$79.69	\$44,778	\$155.77	\$42,468	\$153.17
Fotal Departmental Income	\$30,742	\$108.97	\$21,174	\$82.24	\$49,505	\$172.21	\$38,242	\$137.93
INDISTRIBUTED OPERATING EXPEN	SES	•						•
Total Revenues \$52,124 \$184.77 \$41,691 \$161.93 \$22,519 \$31.11 \$22,33 Total Revenues \$52,124 \$184.77 \$41,691 \$161.93 \$94,263 \$327.97 \$80,710 DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES Rooms Expense \$15,058 \$53.38 \$14,296 \$55.52 \$20,628 \$71,76 \$19,559 Food & Beverage Expense \$5,314 \$18.84 \$5,097 \$19.80 \$21,604 \$75.15 \$20,646 Telephone Expense \$633 \$2.24 \$716 \$2.78 \$841 \$2.93 \$858 Other Income Expense \$3076 \$1.33 \$408 \$1.58 \$1,705 \$5.93 \$1,404 Total Departmental Expenses \$21,382 \$75.79 \$20,517 \$79.69 \$44,778 \$155.77 \$42,468 Total Departmental Income \$30,742 \$108.97 \$21,174 \$82.24 \$49,505 \$17.21 \$38.242 UNDISTRIBUTED OPERATING EXPENSES Administrative & General \$5,371 \$19.04 \$4,928 \$19.14	\$27.00							
Sales & Marketing	\$3,757	\$13.32	\$3,209			-		
UNDISTRIBUTED OPERATING EXPENSES Administrative & General \$5,371 \$19.04 \$4,928 \$19.14 \$8,150 \$28.35 \$7,484 \$27.00 Sales & Marketing \$3,757 \$13.32 \$3,209 \$12.46 \$5,648 \$19.65 \$5,131 \$18.51 Franchise Fee \$569 \$2.02 \$596 \$2.31 \$242 \$0.84 \$270 \$0.97 Property Operations and Maintenance \$2,731 \$9.68 \$2,606 \$10.12 \$4,340 \$15.10 \$4,170 \$15.04 Utilities \$1,850 \$6.56 \$1,690 \$6.56 \$2,829 \$9.84 \$2,713 \$9.78 Total Undistributed Expenses \$14,279 \$50.62 \$13,028 \$50.60 \$21,209 \$73.78 \$19,767 \$71.30 Gross Operating Profit \$16,463 \$58.36 \$8,146 \$31.64 \$28,296 \$98.43 \$18,475 \$66.64 Management Fee \$1,950 \$6.91 \$1,592 \$6.18 \$2,987 \$10.39 \$2,208 \$7.96 Income Before Fixed Charges \$14,513 \$51.44 \$6,554 \$								
Total Departmental Income \$30,742 \$108.97 \$21,174 \$82.24 \$49,505 \$172.21 \$38,242 \$13,793 UNDISTRIBUTED OPERATING EXPENSES Administrative & General \$5,371 \$19.04 \$4,928 \$19.14 \$8,150 \$28.35 \$7,484 \$27.00 Sales & Marketing \$3,757 \$13.32 \$3,209 \$12.46 \$5,648 \$19.65 \$5,131 \$18.51 Franchise Fee \$569 \$2.02 \$5396 \$2.31 \$242 \$0.84 \$270 \$0.97 Property Operations and Maintenance \$2,731 \$9.68 \$2,606 \$10.12 \$4,440 \$15.10 \$4,170 \$15.04 Utilities \$1,850 \$6.56 \$1,090 \$6.56 \$2,829 \$9.84 \$2,713 \$9.78 Total Undistributed Expenses \$14,279 \$50.62 \$13,028 \$50.60 \$21,209 \$73.78 \$19,767 \$71.30 Gross Operating Profit \$16,463 \$58.36 \$8,146 \$31.64 \$28,296 \$98.43 \$18,475 \$66.64 Management Fae \$1,950 \$6.91 \$1,592 \$6.18								
lilities	\$1,850	\$6.56	\$1,690	\$6.56	\$2,829	\$9.84	\$2,713	\$9.78
otal Undistributed Expenses	\$14,279	\$50.62	\$13,028	\$50.60	\$21,209	\$73.78	\$19,767	\$71.30
ross Operating Profit	\$16,463	\$58.36	\$8,146	\$31.64	\$28,296	\$98.43	\$18,475	\$66,64
lanagement Fee	\$1,950	\$6.91	\$1,592	\$6.18	\$2,987	\$10.39	\$2,208	\$7.96
	\$14,513	\$51.44	\$6,554	\$25.46	\$25,310	\$88.04	\$16,267	\$58.67
eal Estate Taxes	. \$1,274	\$4.52	\$1,396	\$5.42	\$2,809	\$9.77	\$3,419	\$12.33
surance .	\$951	\$3.37	\$954	\$3.70			/	•
ent	\$1,238	\$4.39	\$247	\$0.96				· · ·
ther Fixed Charges	\$3,096	\$10.98	\$1,100	\$4.27		\$2.20		
	\$6,559	\$23.25	\$3,696	\$14.36	\$7,331	\$25.50	\$7,821	\$28.21
BITDA*	\$7,954	\$28.19	\$2,858	\$11.10	\$17,979	\$62.54	\$8,446 ⁻	\$30.46
						,	4 0, 0	400.10
ss: Replacement Reserves (FF&E)	\$743	\$2.63	\$370	\$1.44	\$1,783	\$6.20	\$1,738	\$6.27

"USALI 10th Edition refers to "EBITDA" as "NOI" "USALI 10th Edition refers to 'NOI" as "Adjusted NOI"

Source: Smith Travel Research

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

Zone 1 Hotels Composit	ìon
Chain Scale	% Ratio
Luxury Chains	15.1%
Upper Upscale Chains	45.2%
Upper Midscale Chains	6.9%
Upscale Chains	3.2%
Midscale Chains	0.3%
Economy Chains	1.6%
Independents	27.5%
Upscale & Above - 54 - 54	32 70.5%
Midscale, Economy, & Independents 200	29.5%
Zone 2 Hotels Composit	ion
Chain Scale	% Ratio
Luxury Chains	0.0%
Upper Upscale Chains	16.5%
Upper Midscale Chains	5.1%
Upscale Chains	0.0%
Midscale Chains	1.4%
Economy Chains	11.4%
Independents	65.6%
Upscale & Above The Above	aret 21.6% +
Midscale, Economy, & Independents	· 量 → 78-4% - 4-1
Source: Smith Travel Research, Jones Lan	g LaSalle Hotels

The following table summarizes the composition of hotels in the two designated zones.

From the two previous tables, we have observed the following trends:

Beyond demand and room rates (ADR) and RevPAR, hotels can capture additional revenues from food and beverage, convention services, spa and other ancillary facilities. As discussed, the types of hotel existing and likely to be developed in Zone 1 are significantly different from those located in Zone 2. As displayed in the above table, there is a much higher concentration of Upscale & Above hotels in Zone 1 (in terms of room count), and a much higher ratio of Midscale, Economy, & Independent hotels in Zone 2 (in terms of room count). Zone 1 comprises of predominantly Upscale & Above hotels (70.5%), as Zone 2 comprises of primarily Midscale, Economy, and Independent hotels (78.4%).

Based on our analysis of lodging types in San Francisco, we have concluded that Upscale and Above chain hotels, the majority representative of the inventory of hotels located in Zone 1, achieve RevPAR premiums that are 50% to 60% greater than midscale, economy, and independent hotels in San Francisco representative of those located in Zone 2. However, our in-depth analysis of hotel operating statements for over 50 hotels in San Francisco indicates Upscale and Above chain hotels in San Francisco achieve 50% to 80% greater profit per available room premiums than the midscale, economy and independent hotels in San Francisco.

3.4 Moscone Center Proposed Expansion Plans

According to Tom Eliot Fisch's preliminary design (dated November 30, 2011), the Moscone Center proposed expansion includes three expansion schemes. The three schemes are listed below:

- Third Street Addition: 6-story building totaling 260,000 gross s.f.
- Howard Street Connection: Underground conversion of space, which will create 107,000 s.f. of exhibit space.
- Moscone East: 4-story building (1 below grade) totaling 264,000 gross \$.f. with additional air rights for hotel or office space.

The table below outlines the assumed construction dates and duration of the various scenarios, along with the specifics of the expansions. The starting date for construction was given by San Francisco Travel as FY 2014/2015. In the plans provided by San Francisco Travel, the Howard Street Connector Expansion was deemed to be part of the Third Street Addition (in total, the Moscone North/South expansion) project. JLLH assumed that the Third Street addition would be constructed during the first two thirds of the overall expansion timeframe, and that the Howard Street Connector expansion would take place during the last third of the overall Moscone North/South expansion timeframe. It should be noted that these are only preliminary plans, and specific programming may change with the recently chosen project architect, although there is little capacity for changes in total square footage, which is what our analysis is based on.

				1. A.
	Act	tik.		1. 8 .
	AS	umed Construct		
		Howard Street		
	L'ONGRETT STREET		and the second se	Construction
• •	Start Construction	4/30/16	7/1/2014	7/1/2014
	Open for Use	3/30/17	4/30/2016	12/29/2017
		Summary of Cons	struction	
		Howard Street	Third Street	Moscone East
· .				Construction
··· *· / •				Construction
	3	Connection	Vertically	Separate
	· • .	between	stacked	building across
<i>*</i> :.	Location	Moscone North	above	from Moscone
•		and South	Moscone	South on Third
	·	AUG OUUT	South	Street
	Exhibit Space s.f.	107,000	-	102,650
	Meeting Space s.t.		99,700	67,500
,	Total Saleable Space	107,000	99,700	170,150
· . /.;	· · ·	· .		

1140

4 Comparable Convention Center Expansions

4.1 Comparable Convention Center Overview

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels based on convention centers' websites JLLH conducted a detailed comparison and analysis of competitive convention centers in the U.S. Throughout this section, JLLH will continuously refer to 12 convention centers deemed primarily competitive to the Moscone Center. This list of competitive convention centers was compiled based on feedback from discussions and interviews with San Francisco Travel senior staff, Moscone Center executives, senior meeting planners of past and current Moscone Center groups and general managers of a number of convention centers across the country. In addition JLLH reviewed the cities which frequently came up on the Moscone Center's lost business report.

Convention Center Name (Alphabetical Convention Center Name (Alphabetical Convention)	Order) City	Total Facility s.f.	Exhibit Space s.f.	Meeting Space s.f.
Anaheim Convention Center	Anaheim	945,000	815,000	130,000
Boston Convention and Exhibition Center	Boston	676,000	516,000	160,000
Ernest N. Morial Convention Center	New Orleans	1,375,500	1,100,000	275,500
Georgia World Congress Center	Atlanta	1,708,400	1,366,000	342,400
Las Vegas Convention Center	Las Vegas	2,225,800	1,984,800	241,000
Los Angeles Convention Center	Los Angeles	867,000	720,000	147,000
McCormick Place	Chicago	3,200,000	2,600,000	600,000
Miami Beach Convention Center	Miami Beach	627,300	502,800	124,500
Orange County Convention Center	Ortando	2,533,000	2,053,800	479,200
Pennsylvania Convention Center	Philadelphia	1,000,000	679,000	321,000
San Diego Convention Center	San Diego	819,800	615,700	204,100
Walter E Washington Convention Center	Washington, D.C.	828,000	703,000	125,000
Moscone Convention Center	San Francisco 🦾	852,100	538,700	J 7-313 400

Source: Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels based on convention centers' websites

4.2 Comparison Matrix of Competitive Facilities

JLLH evaluated 12 competitive convention markets in order to analyze similarities and differences between San Francisco and the competitive convention markets and their respective expansions.

Other convention centers with similar size expansions as the proposed Moscone Center's expansions, ranging from approximately 150,000 to 250,000 in additional exhibit space, include the following:

- San Diego Convention Center (2001)
- Los Angeles Convention Center (1997)
- Pennsylvania Convention Center (2010)
- Anaheim Convention Center (1991, 2001)
- Miami Beach Convention Center (1989)

Gonvention Center Name	Gily	Total Facility s.f.	Exhibit Spare s.f.	Meeling Space s.f.	Largest Bellroom	Upen Ex Year I C	panslon Exi omolele II C	panslon ^{Ex} l brolete	panslon. VI	Hotel Rooms Wiltin 1-Mile	Number of Hot Willia 1-Mile	latels To Ille Pas	otał Air sserger	Based on	Grass Metra Product 2011: Chalned 2005 Se	Product A 2005 Se	MSA	
Monconé/Donvention 25/34	and the second of the	Poly 12, 12, 14 and 14	- KT158178-	A DECK CASE TO DECK	5.1. Anter evel 14 m			5	Complete	Radius	Radlus ¹		raffic (2011)	Arpents	Millons	15, 00, 51	2011	
Children Control and Control	Sentifiences	1008 Jones	7.41. 6587992	apply of the second	1.42.675	6191-1196	2,625,07200	eru Li antre i Coo		101 21-226 D	1814-241	Test line	0.312,0010	SFO. OAK	All and a set	2015,991 ¹	XXX4.386.800.2	
Center	San Diego	819,800	615,700	615,700 Z04,100 - 40,708 4989	- 40,706	989	d'un and	Tula Contraction			11,258 "Pure and a second s	1. 36 Store	No. of B80.727	SAN C			PAL YOU AND	
Los Angeles Convention Center	Los Angeles	000'290	720,000	147,000	11,200 11	1971 1993	8	nekatiksutu 17 nAB		7,002	17 D.S.2025	3	NTELEVERY (201) 84.977.485	B4.977.485 I AX 1 GB		anaratan ang anaratan san	1008/2012 Secondaria Secondaria	
McComick Place	Chicago	3,200,000	2,600,000	2,600,000 600,000	000 00	1860 11986	36 2007	1 1 15 19	NEW C			1948 (M.1)	Sterline State	Sundan Sunday		operations,	Distantion	-
Orange Counfy Convention Center	Orlando	2,533,000	2,053,800	479,200	61,200 16	1963 1989		G 2003	autolitati su G	c Alta 165 166 166 167 15 14,440	LI ROFILLUNGSKRIED	an a	5.476 006	REPAIRING AND THE REAL			100F222400F	
Pennsylvania Convention Center	Philadelphia	1,000,000	000'629	321,000	55,400, 1993	993 2010	B/U 0	. n/a		10,335	5		30,039,176,212	- 173		Nationalists	4000 2017 2019	
Georgia World Congress Center	Afanta	1,708,400	1,366,000	342,400 33,000		1976 1992	2002	2 n/a		12,336		:]	92,389,023	ALL ALL OF A		TRUE TO AND	2003 1997 1997 1999	
Waller'E Washingfon Convention Center	Washington, D.C.	828,000	000'601	126,000 SZ,000 1863 2003	52,000 1	963 200	13 n/a	1) E	1	019,9,0,0		34 8	4,426,735 ⁶ B	64,428,735 % BWA, 1AD) DCA		- 201.323	noiaorio	
Las Yegas Convention Center	Las Veges	2,225,800	1,984,800	241,000	16,800 11	1959 1997	7 2003	3 n/a	in the second	29,561	- 	ي عوالية عوالية	41.470.81Å	149			In the second seco	
Ernest N. Morial Convention New Orleans	New Orleans	1,376,500	1,100,000	276,600		36,500 1886 - 22 1891	ri - , 1988	B/U		Set of Land 19, 13			Bi546.890 Seco			62,043 2366/266/2782	1002/2001	
Boston Convention and Exhibition Center	Bostan	676,000	516,000	160,000	40,020 20	2004 Izla	a na		332.92	2,664	athairt	5 0	28.907.938	Salation Ros		(201019 201019	Support	
Anaheim Convention Center. Anaheim	r. Anaholm	B45,000	815,000	130,000	36,100,41	36,100, 1967	1 2001 - 1	εŅ,		15,606		<u></u>	11 COL A 47 COLORADO	Centre aver	i este d'horne a	cipies Distanting	hostonets	
Mlami Beach Convention Center Nevember Statements		627,300	502,600	124,500		1957 1989	e/u 6	nfa Bla		7,758	9 9 8	1 10	10,417,513	MA		239.009	A RAR ADD	
Note: ¹ Based on hotels with 50+ rooms	with \$0+ raoma	1-	805/1501	266,392	1.40,592	102920-1020-1020-1020-1020-1020-1020-102		Constant of the		AVAN STRAND	19 - S. A. S. A. P.	(0) (0)	1910	1000				
Souree: Convention cantar websile, websile research, Smith Travel Ressarch, Buresa of Transportation Stateter, HIS Global Insight, Moody's aconomy.com	illo, websile resear	reh, Smlth Traval	f Resparch, Bure.	au of Transport	silon Slatistics	HS Glabal	Insight, Mood	у'я всопошу.с	ᄠ									
				·:	•		. •		3									
									e						-			

Espandon ()) Espandon ()) Ver Aladoa Addoa Ver Hushig Usada (, anno 6) Complete Stators (1, anno 6)													
Eggarafonti Badad Rovits Decent Treation Decent Treation	адаа ана аланан алан алан алан алан алан	(12) 000	~	750,800		arouns 418,500 4.8%		17.0			19.420 250.133 R.44.		
Vear. addeu Moeine Complet Spaces	2001 : t001	1997 1997	2007 250,600	1996 245,098	REFERENCES	格拉拉拉拉。 2002 2B meeting rooms		2003	1899		54345760 54345760 2001	140000	
Epontan I Epontan I Roybig I Gravit (3.Y	00 ' 3.4% Mascone Narh	276.3630.5% 5216M arpanahun 347,000 - 4.2%	00 2.9%	30 -1.3%	00 · B.4%	-%2%00	3.6%	11,200 rouns broka ground in Q1 2011, 77 nifs: sudifon of North Halls	08 3.5% ¹ -doubled building in size	A series of the	74 Ma.Cask SB millen	00 · 4.2% Doubled In size via \$92 milion	ranovalon
Voat Voat Gampia, Added Menilog, Added Gampia, Spece A, Spece A,	1992 53,410 101,400	2001 100,353 276,363 1993 47,000	1988 160,000 040,000	1869 . 73,179 344,790	2010 157,000 238,000	1892 👾 47 moseing room 👌 🕫 600	2003 125,000 -703,000	1997 - 1997	1991. 648,127 340,208		1981 143,474	1969 82,250 251,400	
great Grant Alexy Alexy	12,676 1981	10,200 1989	100,000 1860	61, ZOD 1963	66,400 1993	9261 000'00	52,000 1963	16,900 1959	36,500 1905	10,020 2004	30,100 1987 :	1967	_ ·
Nadding Ba	179,500	204,100	600,000	479,200	321,000	342,400	125,000	241,000	275,500	150,000	130,000	124,500	
		000 720,000	3,200,000 2,600,000	2,533,000 2,053,800	1,000,000 679,000	1,708,400 1,366,000	000'601 000	2,226,600 1,984,600	1,375,500 1,100,000	00 616,000	XID 815,000	100 502,000	anter Staff, CVB
iv Facility I	8	yu city,ouu pelas 867,000				1,708,4				876,000	1 046,030	each 627,300	tarch, Convenijon C
	morecome convention, centor - San France San Diedo Convention Certer - San Diana	Los Angeles Convention Center Los Angeles	McCormlek Place Chicago	Orange County Convention Orlando Centor	Pennsylvanta Convention Center Phiadolphia	Goorgia World Congress Center Afanta		Las Vegas Convention Center Las Vegas	Ernest N. Moriel Convention New Orleans Conter	Boston Convention and Boston Exhibition Center	Anahelm Convention Contor Anahelm	Mlami Beach Convention Contor "Mlant Beach	Source: Convention center wobsile, website research, Cenvention Center Staff, CVB

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012: All Rights Reserved

. 1142

4.3 Impact of Other Convention Center Expansions on Lodging Market

JLLH studied the impact that substantial expansions of competitive convention centers have had on their respective lodging markets. JLLH conducted this analysis for the 12 convention centers deemed most competitive to the Moscone Center. All convention centers in the study had at least 500,000 s.f. of saleable exhibit space and have undergone one or more substantial expansions—in most cases an addition of 200,000 or more square feet over the past 20 years.

For the 12 markets where these convention centers are located, along with San Francisco, JLLH computed the historic CAGR of hotel RevPAR for each of the cities. In most cases, JLLH had access to historic RevPAR data going back to 1987. JLLH used hotel revenue per available room as a metric to quantify hotel revenues. The selected RevPAR data largely pertains to hotel brands that typically serve a significant amount of group-related demand, such as Marriott, Hilton and Westin hotels and the sample is thus deemed representative. The properties in the sample are, in most cases, located in the downtown and thus highest-rated submarkets of the metropolitan areas.

JLLH then computed the RevPAR CAGR for two time periods. The three-year period beginning in the year after a substantial convention center expansion was completed, and the five-year period starting in the year after the substantial convention center expansion. JLLH conducted this analysis on an inflation-adjusted basis. JLLH then compared the long-term RevPAR CAGR for the market and with the RevPAR CAGR for the three and five years following the convention center expansion as defined above.

For the markets in the analysis, real hotel RevPAR increased by an average of 0.5% per year over the historic time period reviewed. The analysis yielded a measurable impact that the various convention center expansions had: in the three years after an expansion was completed, real RevPAR increased on average by 3.2% per annum; in the five years after an expansion, real RevPAR increased on average by 0.7% per annum. When real hotel RevPAR for just the five convention centers listed in Section 4.2 with similar expansion size as the proposed Moscone Center expansions, there was a three-year CAGR of 4.7%.

This represents a RevPAR growth fremium (compared to if no expansion took place) of 2.7 percentage points per year in the three-year timeframe (or 4.2 percentage points for just the five selected convention centers) and 0.2 percentage points in the five-year timeframe. This analysis shows that an expansion of a convention center can enhance hotel RevPAR in the proximate market area.

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

			Year Total U.S.	San Fraholsco	San Diugo	Los Angeles	Chloago	Orlando ¹ Pł	ring inree- and Nadelphla ²	Five-Year Pos Atlanta	t Expansion F Miami-Miami I	erlods Seach Anah	elm Wasi	ilngton,	Boston Ne	w Orleans	las Vertas
	•	•	1900 2020 2020 2021	76.95	68.75	69.19	70.08	70.46	n/a	54.23		59.82		77 10			
			1900 13 13 1900	12.58	68.11	70.88	68.73	77.16	n/a	55.88	では日本市にない	2010C		80'11	99,11	n/a	n/a
				14.17	63,93	70.04	66.72	74.11	n/a	55.06		57 36		18.13	51.4Z	, n/a	n/a
	•		1001 2001 2002	51 67.07	62,38	61.93	61.67	68,96	66.15	51,34		54.12 Water and		0771	87,36 70.00 0000	n/a	n/a
			12.0		60.48 	57.84	58,25	69,30	64.52 過間	an solab.		62.27		74'00	19.U3 10.18		n/a
				70 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40		10000000000000000000000000000000000000	61.42	66,55	10/20	55.37		67.94	n/a	75.63	21.07	50.92 EA 65	ц/а -/-
			and Son	24 BA BA	11,03	04.90 22 23	66.65	68.19	68.97	57.87		53.97	n/a	69.76	83. BU	50 DE	
			1096 354 53310		73.18	03.3/ 70.06 199	68.38 #######72138	69.86	71.15	60,18		59,19	n/a .	72.31	86.57	61.06	- 1/a
			1997 10 2 3 3 3 3 3 3	91,54	81.07 圖	A STATE OF A	strangeneautopletange	20 40 70 40	80.99	68,04		63.45	n/a .	71,38	92.72	60.13	р/н 1/4
			1998 12 10 22 34 48	97,02	86.15	79.44	07'00 BE 48	, 10,34 78.65	06.90 11	64.33		69.71	n/a	75.65	99.25	61.74 國際	の記述の時間
			1999 120 20 234 64	3 97.83	88,88	85.87	88.23	76.26	03.43 83 77	56,55 58,45		73.77	n/a	76.93	105.86	63,53	n/a
			2000	109.92 ·	90.46	90.27	91.77	77 87	78.48	08.10 , 86.60		81.85	n/a	80.10	106.18		n/a
	•		2001 10 10 10 10 22 11	age 194.08 24	90,007-57-1	70.15	74.39	64.87	66.88	68.45		83.03 77 70 16000000	n/a	85,02	116.21	66.77	n/a
		. • •	2002 2444 30074		79.73	69.95	70,43	63.95	74.85 1999					75,35	89.88	58,88	n/a
	•		N NO	- AVEN	80.95	66,99	73.44	16974 di	67.86	49.70		72.00	04./3 Eano Rileitade	76.29	83.32	54.78	63,40
			2004 50 2017 81		78.81	80.03	71.30	64.74	74.14	51.56		20 02			73.45	50.68	- 67 55
			EFER SMARLES CONZ		84.34	86.39	77.54	67.46	78,39	54.54			01,90	80.80	AND A CANADA	51.37	74.84
					88,88	94.74	69.36	69.58	81.45	59.16			07.60	95'S9	84,00	63.96	B4.02
			A COMPANY AND A DOC	87.70	87,08	103.65	1216	73.10	83.12	59.65	- •		75 59	00./3	91,93	49.75	95.33
				58.41 5	82.16	104.86	85,15	68,54	79,13	54.07	- •	102.05	10.00	A4'1A	97.29	43.51	103.33
			2009 Page 102 102 204	71.91	65.61	79.83	65.80	53.27	67.81	43.32			21.50	10,00	89,60 2 : 5 :	46,65	. 84.75
			2010 Perfection 29/40	2 75.10	66.65	87.24	66.42	66.28	维加加加加 加加加	49.73			50.00	63.92	74.51	41.44	62.90
		DATION IN CONTRACTOR	2011 [11.10	85.62	70.35	66'96	71.49	57.44	72.08	CL 17		04.73	67.09	86.31	81.88	46,72	62.34
75	THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE			att and Low standing of 5% 38	ALL DE LE	资源20115×19	No. ALABARA	N. 10 . 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	NAME AND ADDRESS OF	1910 TO MARK	Distant and a state	ALCHICKNESS	0.0.0 8.11-10	87.32 Matterannistan	84.65 01043052455355555	47.40	71.04
圖								和世界和主要的			Service and services	A CHARGE STREET	NEW CLASSIC AND	A CONTRACTOR	STREAM STREAM	and the lot of the lot	Well's and the second
5.	3-1981 POSt EXPANSION REVPAR CAGR	PAR CAGR		3.4%	-0.6%	4.2%	76B C	70 C +	NIN BIN STREET IN STREET	第二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十	State of the state	「「「「「「「「」」」」」	「「「「「「「「」」」」	「日本の大学の	に見ていた。		
	5-Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGR	PAR CAGR		7.0%	2,8%	5.3%	-2.8%	-4.6%	0.4% 6.7%	4.2%	:	4.2%	n/a	3.6%	7.6%	3.5%	n/a
174		COMPLEX ST		and the second state of th									"D/a	2.2%	-3.0%	1.8%	n/a)
1		国家の法律権が必	的語言が読みたい。			「ある」の構造という	Constant Supervision	にいたののないので	原目が自然に読	AND A DESCRIPTION OF A	Carlos - Start - Start		The first state of the second				
	3-Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGR	PAR CAGR	-	6.3%	n/a	6.6%	-10-4%	cityskundowsky havenga 3. 7 %	anusatai wananganga	aratestar	「「「「「「「「」」」	THUR DEPART			記録を見たい	and the second	副語の言語
<u>,</u>	o-Year Post Expansion RevPAR CAGR	PAR CAGR		6.1%	n/a	-3.1%	-5.7%	1.4%	nta D/a	0.0.4 7%		n/a	6.4%	. B/U	n/a	-9.4%	12.9%
E			and the second	a a substanting a substanti					1			. e/u	٩.L.	n/a'.	· · n/a	-6.3%	-7.0%3
32	riotel Reveat Analysis: Conclusion	anclusian				All a strange of the	1.11日本 11日	•									
1	14.1111日1日1日1日1日1日1日1日1日1日1日1日1日1日1日1日1日	STATE AND STATES		山の生まえてのい	日本に通知	には東京語言語											
32			0.5%														
 	3-YBBI POSI EXPANSION REVPAR CAGR	AR CAGR	3.2%			-				-							
	0-1841 POSIEXPANSION KEVPAH CAGR	AN CAGR	0.7%			.,											
Ē			•			-			-								
24	Jact of Expansion on H	EVPAR		والمتعاولة ومارا ومعتمانا ومعاوما والمعارية			1.000										
					COUNTRACTOR												
באמר					MUNULIKATAALU												
	dano da Expansion don domo al da Yaar 💷 🖓 🖓 🖓 🖓	ICI Un Year											•				•
				-							·						
Not	Vote: Hotel RevPAR data displayed above is expressed in real terms (adlusted for infation)	played above is	expressed in real lern	ns (adjushod for inflati	. (uo												
Not	Nde: For all markets with exception of Las Vegas, Anahelin and New Ordeans, FavPDA1is based on Unserale Tuxnur and Inverse merice and the ordeans of the	aption of Las Ve	gas, Anahelm and Nev	w Orleans, RevPAR	s is based on Upp	er Uoscale. Luxu	v and Indenande	volt voiton I ol elo	the desidence of the								
Ę.	The Orange County Convention Center in Orlando also marked a substantial expan-	lion Center in O	rlando also marked a ;	subslanlial expansior	n in 1989, but the	sion in 1988, but he analysis considers only the kind accest avaander in uwillawith access for cas vegas, Anaheim and N 	y any investored	et exhansion wh	deb virses seconded	a; rur Las Vega	s, Anaheim and	New Orleans dat	a is based on e	ul reporting pro	perlies in MSA		
² Pei	² Pennisylvanla Convention Center opened in 1993; ils opening was realed the same	enter opened in	1993; ils opening was	heated the same wa	ly as expansions.	Way as expansions. The center was expanded in 2010 but three and fue to the features.	x banded in 2010	but brock and for	aca were complet	ad in 1996 and .	2003, respectiv	A:					-
Ę.	The Watter E. Washington Convention Center in Washington, D.C., the center was a	onvention Cente	r in Washingion, D.C.,		w build in 2003 as	new build in 2003 as opposed to an expansion	chansion a solid		o-yeal UIIIC Kalfio	I arres un ligitappiy to this recent addition	o enis recent ado	lion				•	:
⁴ Re	RevPAR CAGR for Expansion III was presented for Anahelm Convention	ion III was pre	esented for Anahelr		ter, since no da	enter, since no data was available for the previous two expansions	le for the previc	ous two exnand	200								
2																	
Inc	source: Simm I ravel Kesearch for hole! RevPAR; LVCVA for Las Vegas hole! RevP	ch for hotel Keyl	PAR; LVCVA for Las V		; Bureau of Labor	AR; Bureau of Labor Statatics for Consumer Price Index; U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis for GDP/GMP	umer Price Index	: U.S. Bureau of E	Economic Analysis	thr GDP/GMP							
									from a shirten por		•						•

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

1144

San Francisco Lodging Market - Forecasting Study

5 Lodging Market Forecast

5.1 Lodging Revenues vs. Ancillary Revenues

In order to estimate the incremental revenues from visitor spending to the lodging sector versus other sectors in the market, JLLH calculated the net difference in attendance between the scenario of having all three expansions and the base case of no expansion as part of JLLH's "Moscone Expansion Cost Benefit Analysis Draft." The 2010/2011 Moscone Annual Report (latest data available) aggregated three attendee origin categories: National/International, State/Regional, and Local. In order to estimate the percent of total out-of-town attendees, we have assumed that 100% of National/International and State/Regional attendees are from out of town, while assuming that all Local attendees are from within the San Francisco area. This results in a total out-of-town percentage of 99%.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Moscone Attendance Regions: FY 2010/2011								
	FY 2010/2011 Figures							
National/International	78%	100%	78%					
State/Regional	. 22%	100%	22%	14 f.g				
Local	1%	0%	0%	1				
Total		机用于运行	99%	1. A.				
Spurce-Moscone Annual Reports								

JLLH relied on San Francisco Travel's 2010 statistics (latest year available) on the visitor spending by segment and average length of stay in order to derive the revenue generated per visitor for various categories, indicated in the below table.

Sponding by Vicitor Son	ment (SF Hotel/Motel Visi	tor): 2010
Spending by visitor Seg	ment (SF Hotenwoter visi	1017. 2010
Category	\$/Day/Person \$ per Pe	rson at 3.5 Days
Lodging	\$86.41	\$302.44
Restaurants in Hotels	\$19.25	\$67.38
All Other Restaurants	\$40.91	\$143.19 ⁻
Retail	\$37.20	\$130.20
Entertainment & Sightseeing	\$24.17	\$84.60
Local Transportation	\$8.95	\$31.33
Gas/Auto Services	\$13.09	\$45.82
Car Rental	\$4.53	\$15.86
Exhibitor/Assoc. Expends	\$36.91	\$129.19
Total Spending	\$271.43	\$950.01
Length of Stay	3.5	•
Source: San Francisco Travel Asso	ciation, JLLER, Configuration, JLLER, Configuration, JLLER, Configuration, Configuration, Configuration, Config	

The increase (or loss) in attendance for the expansion scenario compared to the base (no expansion) scenario was converted to incremental revenues according to the average spending per category data accumulated by San Francisco Travel. Because the "Exhibitor/Assoc. Expends" sector included anything an exhibitor/association would spend during their time in San Francisco (i.e. lodging, restaurants, etc.), JLLH assumed that this sector has been accounted for in the economic impact through the allocation for the remaining sectors.

Spend perfaining to the Lodging and Restaurants in the Hotels sector was applied only the net out-of-town attendees, while the remaining sectors were attributed to all net attendees. The following table summarizes JLL's attendance forecast for the expansion and no expansion scenarios.

Moscone N/S/W and All Three Expansions							
Fiscal Year	No Expansion Scenario		Net Difference	Out-of- Town (99%)			
2011/2012F	1,115,319	1,115,319	0)	0			
2012/2013F	1,146,315	1,146,315	Õ.	~ 0			
2013/2014F	1,181,134	1,181,134	0	. 0			
2014/2015F	1,206,514	1,165,344	-41,170	-40,936			
2015/2016F	1,206,598	1,172,290	-34,308	-34,113			
2016/2017F	1,206,598	1,216,891	10,292	10,234			
2017/2018F	1,206,598	1,376,424	169,826	168,860			
2018/2019F	1,206,598	1,453,618	247,019	245,614			
2019/2020F	1,206,598	1,484,495	277,897	276,316			
2020/2021F	1,206,598	1,505,080	298,482	296,784			
2021/2022F	1,206,598	1,525,665	319,066	317,251			
				-			

The forecast attendance figures were applied to 2010's average visitor spending per sector in order to estimate the revenues for various sectors in the market. The result is presented in the subsequent table, which depicts how the lodging sector is expected to continuously surpass the other sectors in revenues.

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved
Moscone N/S/W and All Three Expansions (in 2012\$) 2016/2017 Variance Lodging \$320.85 \$383,269,657 \$386,539,006 \$3,269,348 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$86,111,282 \$772,330 All Other Restaurants \$1151.90 \$1133,288,290 \$1184,851,766 \$1,563,477 Retail \$133.13 \$166,666,448 \$168,088,138 \$1,421,690 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89.75 \$100,288,388 \$109,212,104 \$923,716 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,099,514 \$40,440,560 \$342,046 Gas/Auto Services \$48.61 \$568,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$502,267 Category \$/Person No Expansion Expansion Variance
2016/2017 Lodging \$JPerson No Expansion Expansion Variance Lodging \$320.85 \$383,269,657 \$386,539,006 \$3,269,348 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$86,111,282 \$726,330 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$184,851,766 \$1,563,477 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$168,088,138 \$1,421,690 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89.75 \$108,288,388 \$109,212,104 \$923,716 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$40,440,560 \$342,046 Gas/Auto Services \$48.61 \$58,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$500,267 'Car Rental \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,79% \$113,125
Category \$//Person No Expansion Expansion Variance Lodging \$320.85 \$383,269,657 \$386,539,006 \$3,269,348 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$86,111,282 \$728,330 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,228,290 \$184,851,766 \$1,563,477 Retail \$138.13 \$166,666,448 \$168,088,138 \$1,421,690 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89.75 \$108,288,388 \$109,212,104 \$923,716 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$40,440,560 \$342,046 Gas/Auto Services \$48.61 \$58,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$500,267 Yanation \$316.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,737 \$173,125
Category \$//Person No Expansion Expansion Variance Lodging \$320.85 \$383,269,657 \$386,539,006 \$3,269,348 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$86,111,282 \$728,330 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,228,290 \$184,851,766 \$1,563,477 Retail \$138.13 \$166,666,448 \$168,088,138 \$1,421,690 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89.75 \$108,288,388 \$109,212,104 \$923,716 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$40,440,560 \$342,046 Gas/Auto Services \$48.61 \$58,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$500,267 Yanation \$316.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,737 \$173,125
Lodging \$320.85 \$383,269,657 \$386,539,006 \$3,269,348 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$66,111,282 \$728,330 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,228,290 \$184,851,766 \$1,553,477 Retail \$138.13 \$166,666,448 \$168,088,138 \$1,421,690 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89.75 \$108,288,388 \$109,212,104 \$923,716 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$40,440,560 \$342,046 Gas/Auto Services \$48.61 \$58,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$500,267 ICar Rental \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,797 \$173,125
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$86,111,282 \$728,330 All Other Restaurants \$1151.90 \$183,288,290 \$184,851,766 \$1,563,477 Retail \$138.13 \$166,666,448 \$168,088,138 \$1,421,690 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89.75 \$108,288,388 \$109,212,104 \$923,716 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$40,440,560 \$342,046 Gas/Auto Services \$48.61 \$568,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$500,267 Car Rental \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,797 \$173,125
All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$184,851,766 \$1,563,477 Retail \$138.13 \$166,666,448 \$168,088,138 \$1,421,690 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89.75 \$108,288,388 \$109,212,104 \$923,716 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$40,440,560 \$342,046 Gas/Auto Services \$48.61 \$58,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$500,267 ICar Rental \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,797 \$173,125
Retail \$138.13 \$166,666,448 \$168,088,138 \$1,421,690 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89.75 \$108,288,388 \$109,212,104 \$923,716 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$40,440,560 \$342,046 Gas/Auto Services \$48.61 \$58,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$500,267 ICar Rental \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,737 \$173,125
Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89.75 \$108,288,388 \$109,212,104 \$923,716 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$40,440,560 \$342,046 Gas/Auto Services \$48.61 \$58,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$500,267 ICar Rental \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,797 \$173,125
Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$40,440,560 \$342,046 Gas/Aub Services \$48.61 \$58,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$500,267 ICar Rental \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,797 \$173,125 2017/2018 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000
Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$40,440,560 \$342,046 Gas/Auto Services \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$500,267 ICar Rental \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,797 \$173,125
Gas/Auto Services \$48.61 \$58,646,876 \$59,147,143 \$500,267 [Car Renta] \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,797 \$173,125 2017/2018 \$20,772018 \$20,772018 \$20,772018 \$20,772018
Car Rental \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$20,468,79% \$173,125
2017/2018
Lodging \$320.85 \$383,269,657 \$437,213,903 \$53,944,246
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$97,400,389 \$12,017,437
All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$209,085,658 \$25,797,368
Retail \$138.13 \$166,666,448 \$190,124,333 \$23,457,886
Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89.75 \$106,288,388 \$123,529,708 \$15,241,320
Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$45,742,279 \$5,643,766 Gas/Auto Services \$48,61, \$58,646,876 \$66,901,277 \$8,254,401
2018/2019 Category \$/Person No Expansion Expansion Variance
Lodging \$320.85 \$383,269,657 \$461,734,015 \$78,464,358
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151,90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138.13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138.13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$\$40,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33,23 \$\$40,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto, Setvices \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33,23 \$40,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto, Setwices \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Car Rental \$16,82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto, Setwices \$16,82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 'Car Rental \$16,82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$40,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto Services' \$48.61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Car Rentai \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Category \$/Person No Expansion Expansion Variance
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$88,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$440,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto Services' \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Car Rentai \$16,82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320,85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$88,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$440,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto Setvices' \$48.61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Car Rentai \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320.85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$88,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$440,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto, Setvices \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Car Rentai \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$41,155,004 Lodging \$320.85 \$333,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$88,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$440,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto, Services \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Car Rentai \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320,85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$33,385,631
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$88,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$440,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto Setvices' \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$41,55,004 Lodging \$320.85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,052,079 \$33,385,631 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$133,228,730 \$24,940,342
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$88,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$44,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto, Setvices \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320,85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$33,385,631 \$133,228,730 \$24,940,342 Lodging \$33,23 \$40,088,514 \$49,333,766 \$9,235,252 \$105,047
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$88,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$440,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auti, Setvices \$48,61 \$558,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$41,155,004 Lodging \$320.85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,052,079 \$33,385,531 \$33,228,730 \$24,940,342 Lodging \$33,23 \$40,098,514 \$49,333,766 \$9,235,253 \$33,22
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$88,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$440,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto Services \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320,85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$38,385,631 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$133,228,730 \$24,940,342 Local Transportation
Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$88,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$44,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auti, Setvices \$48,61 \$558,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$41,155,004 Lodging \$320.85 \$333,259,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$33,385,531 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 <t< td=""></t<>
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$88,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$44,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto, Setvices \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$41,155,004 Lodging \$320.85 \$333,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$33,385,631 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$44,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto, Services \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320,85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,2890 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$38,385,631 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$133,228,730 \$24,940,342 Lodging <td< td=""></td<>
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,851 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$44,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto, Services \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320,85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,2890 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$33,385,631 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$133,228,730 \$24,940,342 Local Transportation
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,851 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$44,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto, Setvices \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320,85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,2890 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$33,385,631 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$133,228,730 \$24,940,342 Local Transportation
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,851 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$44,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto, Setvices \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320,85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,2890 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$33,885,631 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$133,228,730 \$24,940,342 Lodging <td< td=""></td<>
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$44,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto Services \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320,85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$33,385,631 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$133,228,730 \$24,940,342 Category
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$440,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 Gas/Auto Services \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320,85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$33,33,766 \$92,235,253 Gas/Auto Services \$446,61 \$58,646,876 \$72,154,078 \$13,507,202 Category<
Resaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$102,862,861 \$17,479,908 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$220,811,734 \$37,523,445 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$200,787,009 \$34,120,561 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$130,457,581 \$22,169,192 Local Transportation \$33.23 \$44,098,514 \$48,307,627 \$8,209,113 'Gas/Auto Services \$48,61 \$58,646,876 \$70,653,278 \$12,006,402 Category \$16.82 \$20,295,672 \$24,450,676 \$4,155,004 Lodging \$320,85 \$383,269,657 \$471,542,060 \$88,272,402 Restaurants in Hotels \$71.48 \$85,382,952 \$105,047,849 \$19,664,897 All Other Restaurants \$151.90 \$183,288,290 \$225,502,165 \$42,213,876 Retail \$138,13 \$166,666,448 \$205,052,079 \$33,385,631 Entertainment & Sightseeing \$89,75 \$108,288,388 \$133,228,730 \$24,940,342 Category

Based on our analysis, the lodging sector is expected to be the greatest beneficiary in increased revenue dollars when compared to the other sectors on an individual basis as a result of the proposed Moscone expansions.

5.2 Lodging Forecast

Based on our analysis of the impact on Moscone Center's past expansions to the lodging market, the RevPAR growth seen with other competitive convention centers' expansions, the historical lodging trends from the San Francisco market, and our forecast of the market's future performance, JLLH has projected the lodging forecast for Zone 1 and Zone 2 hotels for the 32 years post expansion.

Our forecast is based on the following assumptions:

- Using STR Pipeline for San Francisco, we have assumed that the identified hotel developments (listed in Section 2.3) will progress in the next 3 to 5 years.
- With the proposed Moscone expansion, we have assumed that a 500-room hotel will be built on top of Moscone East (part of the current expansion plan) by 2018.
- For supply forecast post 2018, JLLH has assumed that supply trend will be similar to the average annual growth rate in the previous five years (since land becomes more limited throughout the period) for Zone 1. For Zone 2, because there is more availability of land, we have built in cycles of peaks and troughs in supply growth, which is expected to result in a similar historical average growth rate if no expansion occurs.
- We have utilized historical growth rate trends from Moscone's historical expansions on Zone 1 and Zone
 2's RevPAR in order to forecast the potential premiums from the proposed Moscone expansion.
- From analyzing historical real RevPAR trends, we have assumed downward trends occurring every 6 to 8 years following the growth from the proposed expansion in order to show cyclical nature of the market.

The subsequent tables provide the details of our analysis.

		•				• `			
		್ಷ ಕ್ರಮಿಸಿದ್ದ ಕ್ರಮಿಸಿದ ಕ್ರಮಿಸಿಗಳ ಕ್ರಮಕ್ರಿ ಕ್ರಮಿಸಿ	-	San Francisco	Lodging	Forecast	Zone 1 - É:	mancian	
			Zone 1-No I				Chinte	Real RevPAR	Change
			Change .	Real RevPAR 45 %	hange /			ALL	
	1987 1988	9,117,798	- 2.9%	\$63.79	-	9,117,798 9,386,407	2.9%	\$63.79	-
	1989	9,677,813	3.1%	\$61.34	-3.8%	9,677,813	3.1%	\$61.34	-3.8%
	1990	10,131,807	4.7%	\$60.73	-1.0%	10,131,807	4.7% 1.2%	\$60.73 \$55.66	-1.0% · -8.3%
	1991	10,255,202 24,10,263,177	1.2% 0.1%	\$55.66 \$54.98	a sector se	10,253,202	A LT CALL FRANK STREET,	11 1 1 54 98 A	
	1993	10,189,271	-0.7%	\$57.25	4.1%	6 2 10,189,271	-0.7%	\$57.25	4.1%
	1994	10,201,767	0.1%	\$58.41 \$60.49	2.0%	10,201,767	0.1% ˈ 0.4%	\$58.41 \$60.49	2.0% 3.6%
	1995 1995	10,246,443	0.4% 0.1%	\$67.29	11.2%	10,257,504	0.1%	\$67.29	11.2%
	1997	10,255,770	0.0%	\$74.75	11.1%	10,255,770	0.0%	\$74.75	11.1%
	1998	10,170,015	-0.8% 0.8%	\$78.77 \$80.28	5.4% 1.9%	10,170,015 10,251,044	-0.8% 0.8%	\$78.77 \$80.28	5.4% 1.9%
	1999 2000	10,251,044	1.5%	\$90.51	12.7%	10,408,410	1.5%	\$90.51	12_7%
	2001	10,503,577	0.9%	- \$68.19	-24.7%	10,503,577	D.9%	\$68.19 \$55.88	-24.7% -18.1%
	2002 2003	10,640,063	3.2%	\$55.88 \$54.85	-18.1%	10,840,063 10,900,893	3.2%	\$54.85	-18.17
	2003 2004	11,011,017	1.0%	\$58.25	6.2%	11,011,017	1.0%	\$58.25	6.2%
	2005	10,870,462	-1.3%	\$62.58	7.4%	10,870,462	-1.3%	\$62.58	7.4%
	2006	11,045,257	1.6%	\$67.12 \$72.21	7.3%	11,045,257	1.6% -0.2%	\$67.12 \$72.21	7.3% 7.6%
	2007 2008	11,026,393 11,086,329	-0.2% 0.5%	\$73.09	1.2%	11,086,329	0.5%	\$73.09	1.2%
	2009	11,120,905	0.3%	\$59.61	-18.4%	11,120,905	0.3%	\$59.61	-18.4%
	2010	11,142,028	0.2%	\$53.01	5.7%	11,142,028 11,113,442	0.2% -0.3%	\$63.01 \$73.23	5.7% 16.2%
	2011 2012F	11,113,442	-0.3%	\$73.23 \$80.56	16.2%	11,113,442	0.0%	\$80.56	10.0%
	2012F	11,113,442	0.0%	\$87.00	8.0%	11,113,442	0.0%	\$87.00	8.0%
	2014F	11,113,442	D.0%	\$92.22	6.0%	11,113,442	0.0%	\$92.22 \$94.99	6.0% 3.0%
	2015F	11,186,442 11,259,442	0.7%	\$94.99 56-\$95.94	3.0% 1:0%	11,186,442 (2,5, 11,259,442)	0.7%	\$95.94	3.0%
	2016F	5 5 11,277,692	1.0.2%	\$94.02	-2.0%	11,277,692	0.2%	\$94.02	-2.0%
	2018F	71 11,300,247	<u>\$10.2%</u>	Sec. 1 \$93.08	-1.0%	3 11,460,192	<u>16%</u>	1. 1 593.08	5-1.0%
	2019F	11,311,548	0.1%	\$94.94 \$97.79	2.0% 3.0%	11,483,112	0.2% 0.2%	\$98.66 \$105.57	6.0% 7.0%
	2020F 2021F	11,322,859 11,334,182	0.1% 0.1%	\$101.70	4.0%	11,529,091	0.2%	\$112.96	7.0%
	2022F	11,345,516	D.1%	\$105.26	3.5%	11,540,620	0.1%	\$121.43	7.5%
	2023F	11,356,862	0.1%	\$105.26 \$105.89	0.0% 0.6%	11,552,160	0.1% D.1%	\$123.86 \$124.60	2.0% 0.6%
	2024F 2025F	11,368,219 11,379,587	0.1% 0.1%	\$106.53	0.6%	11,575,276	0.1%	\$125.35	0.6%
	2026F	11,390,966	0.1%	\$107.17	0.6%	11,586,852	0.1%	\$126.10	0.6%
	2027F	11,402,357	0.1%	\$102.88	-4.0%	11,598,438	0.1% 0.1%	\$121.06 \$117.43	-4.0% -3.0%
	2028F 2029F	11,413,760 11,425,173	0.1% 0.1%	\$99.79 \$97.80	-3.0% -2.0%	11,621,647	0.1%	\$115.08	-2.0%
	· 2030F	11,436,599	0.1%	\$99.75	2.0%	11,633,269	0.1%	\$117.38	2.0%
÷	2031F	11,448,035	0.1%	\$100.75	1.0%	11,644,902	0,1%	\$118.56 \$119.27	1.0% 0.6%
	2032F	11,459,483 11,470,943	0.1% 0.1%	\$101.36 \$101.96	0.6% 0.6%	11,656,547	0.1% 0.1%	\$119.27	0.6%
	2033F 2034F	11,482,414	0.1%	\$102.58	0.6%	11,679,872	0.1%	\$120.70	0.6%
	2035F	11,493,896	0.1%	\$103,19	0.6%	11,691,551	0,1%	\$121.43	0.6%
	2036F	11,505,390	0.1%	\$103.81 \$104.43	D.6% D.6%	11,703,243	0.1% 0.1%	\$122.16 \$122.89	0.6% 0.6%
	2037F 2038F	11,516,895 11,528,412	0.1% 0.1%	\$104.43	-5.0%	11,726,661	0.1%	\$116.74	-5.0%
	2039F	11,539,941	0.1%	\$95.24	-4.0%	11,738,388	0.1%	\$112.07	-4.0%
	2040F	11,551,481	0.1%	\$93.34	-2.0%	11,750,126	0.1% 0.1%	\$109.83 \$112.D3	-2.0% 2.0%
	2041F 2042F	11,563,032	0.1%	\$95.20 \$96.16	2.0% 1.0%	11,773,638	0.1%	\$112.03 \$113.15	1.0%
	20421 2043F	11,586,170	0.1%	\$96.73	0.6%	11,785,412	. 0.1%	\$113.83	0.6%
	2044F	11,597,756	0.1%	\$97.31	0.6%	11,797,197	0.1%		0.6%
	2045F 2046F		0.1% - 0.1%	\$97.90 \$98.49	0.6% 0.6%	11,808,994 11,820,803	0.1% 0.1%	\$115.20 \$115.89	0.6% 0.6%
	2046F 2047F		0.1%	\$99.08	0.6%	11,832,624	0.1%	\$116.58	. 0.6%
	2048F	11,644,217	0.1%	\$99.67	0.6%	11,844,457	0.1%	\$117.28	0.6% D.6%
	2049F	1 1 1 1 1	0.1% 0.1%	\$100.27 \$100.87	0.6% 0.6%	11,856,301 11,868,158	0.1% 0.1%	\$117.99 \$118.70	0.6% 0.6%
	2050F	11,667,517	U.1%			i incention		4110.10	
			Supply 1	Pier R	eyPAR	Ser and	Supply,		RevPAR
		2.0.14.044.05.0				CAGR 1987 -			
		CAGR 1987 - 2011	0.8%	CAGR 1987 - 2011	0.6%	2011	0.8%	CAGR 1987 - 2011	0.6%
		. CAGR 2012 -		CAGR 2012 - 2050		CAGR 2012 -		CAGR 2012 - 2050	
		2050	0.1%	<u> </u>	0.6%	2050	0.2%		1.0%
	Source: Sm	ith Travel Research,	JULICS LOUG	בפטמווה הוטבוא					

5

25

Source: Smith Travel Research, Jones Lang LaSalie Hotels

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

1149

-				San Fran	risco I ndo	ing Forecast			
	125000		Zone 2 - No Ex	pansion		91010031	Zone 2-	Expansion	
	Year	Annual Supply	Constant of the second s	teal RevPAR	% Change	Annual Suppl	y∑ % Change	Real RevPAR 3	% Change
		987 988 1,192,569 988 1,195,740	÷	- \$49.55		- 1,192,50 - 1,195,74	3-2	\$49.55	-
•		989 1-206,440 1-224,088	2	\$45.15	-8.9%	1,206,44	0.9%	\$45.15	-8.9%
	19	91 1,226,035	1	\$41.74 \$40.97	-7.5% -1.8%	Fig. 1, 199 (1999)	734 C	\$41.74) \$40.97;	-7.5% -1.8%
•,		192 3 4 1,226,035 193 1,226,035		\$39.35 \$39.43	4.0%	Sandar Contractor Contractor		3 1 \$39 35	4.0%
	19	1,241,048	1.2%	\$38.34	0.2% -2.8%	and the second	25	\$39.43 \$38.34	0.2% -2.8%
		95 1,248,380 1,244,285		\$39.55 \$45.07	3.1% 14.0%	Land Contract of the Contract of the	54	\$39.55	3.1%
	19	97	0.9%	\$50.83	12,8%	1,256,05		\$45.07 \$50.83	14.0% 12.8%
	19 19	98 99 1,264,360		\$52.84) \$55.23	4.0% 4.5%	1,264,36		\$52 <u>.8</u> 4 \$55.23	4.0%
	20	00 1,259,866	-0.4%	\$5 <u>9.19</u>	7.2%	1,259,860	-0.4%	\$59.19	4.5% 7.2%
	20 20	1. S.	-1.6% -0.9%	\$45.54 \$33.60	-23.1% -26.2%	1,240,21	(a)	\$45.54 \$33.60	-23.1% -26.2%
	20	03	0.0%	\$31 62	neke5.9%	1,228,590		at a second s	-20.2%
	20 20		-0.5% -0.4%	\$33.92 \$37.13	7.3% 9.5%	1,222,170	30	\$33.92) \$37.13	7.3% 9.5%
	20	06 1,218,510	0.1%	\$39.49	6.4%	1,218,510	0.1%	\$39.49)	6.4%
	20) 20)	A State State State State State	-2.8% 0.0%	\$43.30 \$48.17	9.6% 11.2%	1,184,790		\$43.30 \$48.17	9.6% 11.2%
	200	F 77-49-540-7	0.0%	\$37.82	-21.5%	1,184,790	0.0%	\$37.82	-21.5%
	201 201	A STATE AND A STATE OF A	-0.5% -0.4%	\$38.25 \$46.62	1.1% 21.9%	1,178,706		\$38.25 \$46.62	1.1% 21.9%
	2012	ST 17 18 18 18 2 1 16	0.7%	\$50.35	8.0%	1,182,235	0.7%	\$50.35	8.0%
	. 2013 2014	and the second second second	0.0% 0.0%	\$53.37 \$55.51	6.0% 4.0%	1,182,235 1,182,235	•	\$53.37 \$55.51	· 6.0% 4.0%
	2015	and the second	0.0%	\$56.06	1.0%	1,182,235	0.0%	\$56.06	1.0%
	2016 2017		0.0%, 5 1.6% iz	\$56.62 \$55:49	10% 20%	1,182,235 1,201,151	0.0%	1, 1, 556.62 \$55.49	2.0%
	2018 2019	and the second data was a first the second data and the	0.0%	\$54.94		1,201,151	0.0% c	- \$54.94	10%
	2019	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT	0.0%	\$57-13 \$59,99	4.0% 5.0%	1,201,151 1,201,151	0.0% 0.0%	\$58,23) \$62,31	6.0% 7.0%
	2021i 2022i	1	0.0%	\$62.99	5.0%	1,201,151	0.0%	\$66.98	7.5%
	2023		0.3% 0:0%	\$66.77 \$68.77	6.0% 3.0%	1,207,157 1,207,169	0.5% 0.0%	\$72.34 \$75.23	8.0% 4.0%
	2024) 2025)	a second s	0.0%	\$68.57	-0.3%		0.0%	\$75.08	-0.2%
	2026	the second s	0.3%	\$68.36 \$68.15	-0.3% -0.3%	1;207;193 1;210,814	0.0% . 0.3%	\$74.93 \$74.78	-0.2% -0.2%
	2027F 2028F	En al Martin and Annes	0.0% -1.5%	\$64.75 \$62.16	-5.0%	1,210,814	0.0%	\$71,04	-5.0%
	2029F	1,178,376	-1.0%	\$60.29	-4.0% -3.0%	1,204,760 1,201,146	-0.5% -0.3%	\$68.20 \$66.16	-4.0% -3.0%
5	2030F 2031F	STATE + 200	0.0% 0.0%	\$60.89 ¹ \$60.71	1.0% -0.3%	1,201,146	0.0%	\$56.82	1.0%
	2032F	1,178,376	0.0%	\$60.53	-0.3%	1,201,146 1,201,146	0.0% 0.0%	\$66.68 \$66.55	-0.2% -0.2%
	2033F 2034F	1,180,733 1,180,733	0.2% 0.0%	\$60.35 \$60.17	-0.3% -0.3%	1,203,548	0.2%	\$66.42	-0.2%
~	2035F	1,180,733	0.0%	\$59,99	-0.3%	1,203,548	0.0% 0.0%	\$66.28 \$66,15	-0.2% -0:2%
C1.22	2036F 2037F	1,180,733 1,180,733	0.0% 0.0%	\$59.61 \$59.63	-0.3%	1,203,548 1,203,548	0.0% 0.0%	\$66.02	-0.2%
	2038F	1,180,733	0.0% 🔹	\$56.05	-6.0%	1,203,548	0.0%	\$65.89 \$61.93	-0.2% -6.0%
	2039F 2040F	1,163,022 1,151,391	-1.5% -1.0%	\$53.25 \$51.65	-5.0% -3.0%	1,185,495	-1.5% -1.0%	\$58.64 \$58.72	-5.0% -0.2%
	2041F	1,151,391	0.0%	\$52.17	1.0%	1,173,640	0.0%	\$59,31	-0.2% 1.0%
	2042F 2043F	1,151,391 1,151,391	0.0% 0.0%	\$52.01 \$51.85	-0.3%	1,173,640 1,173,640	0.0% 0.0%	\$59.19 \$59.07	-0.2% -0.2%
	2044F	1,152,543	0.1%	\$51.70	-0.3%	1,174,814	0.1%	\$58.95	-0.2%
	2045F 2046F	1,152,543 1,152,543	0.0% 0.0%	\$51.54 \$51.39	-0.3% -0.3%	1,174,814	0.0% 0.0%	\$58.83 \$58.72	-0.2% -0.2%
	2047F	1,152,543	0.0%	\$51.23	-0.3%	1,174,814	0.0%	\$58.60	-0.2%
	2048F 2049F	1,152,543 1,152,543	0.0% 0.0%	\$51.08 \$50.93	-0.3% -0.3%	1,174,814 1,174,814	0.0% 0.0%	\$58.48 \$58.36	-0.2% -0.2%
	2050F	1,152,543	0.0%	\$50.77	-0.3%	1,174,814	0.0%	\$58.25	-0.2%
	Γ								時や数
	ļ.	CACE 1097		17952 ST		S States S	upply S	Re	PAR
		CAGR 1987 - 2011		R 1987 - 011	-0.3%	CAGR 1987 - 2011	-0.1% CA	GR 1987 - 2011	-0.3%
		CAGR 2012 - 2050	CAGE	R 2012 -		CAGR 2012 -	CA	GR 2012 - 2050	
Sc	L urce: Smith	Travel Research, Jone		lotels	0.0%	2050	0.0%		0.4%

Source: Smith Travel Research, Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

į.

1150

Although different types of hotels may achieve similar levels of RevPAR (which is an acronym for Rooms Revenue Per Available Room) their ancillary facilities such as restaurants, bars, meeting space, spas etc. can generate substantially different revenue and thereby profit for the hotels. In order to assess the true impact of the potential expansions on the local hotels we must focus on the bottom line benefit that the hotels are likely to garner as a result of the increased ancillary revenues beyond the rooms business they are expected to drive. Our research indicates that the profit differential generated by hotels in San Francisco during both high and low cycles in the economy is largely driven by their ancillary facilities. For analytical purposes we have divided the various chain scales as set forth by STR Inc, into two groups. The first group contains the (typically) larger branded hotels comprised of upscale, upper upscale and luxury branded hotels. Roughly 70% of the rooms in Zone 1 fall into this category and roughly 20% of the rooms in Zone 2. The second group contains independent properties along with midscale and economy properties. Roughly 30 % of Zone 1 and nearly 80% of Zone 2 are comprised of these types of hotels. It is important to note that independent hotels can be luxury, economy or anywhere in between but like most midscale hotels, do not typically contain an abundance of meeting space and F&B facilities relative to the larger chain hotels. Similarly, some upscale (select setvices) hotels do not offer much in the way of meeting space and F&B facilities. However, we believe that these two groups most accurately reflect the general differences in the additional facilities in each category and thereby are most useful in terms of application to each zone.

We then utilized our findings from historical lodging performance by chain scale and the composition of Zone 1 and Zone 2 hotels in order to estimate the anticipated Profit PAR (ProPAR) relative to the forecasted RevPAR previously presented in order to analyze the incremental difference in profit PAR between the two zones. The ProPAR (in real dollars) is estimated by applying the weighted average profit per available room (inclusive of FF&E Reserve) for each zone based on chain scale composition and its average ProPAR (as shown in the table below) as a percentage of the projected RevPAR.

	and the second second	
Net Op	erating Income ProPAR/RevPAR (incl. of FF&	E Reserve)
	Upscale & Above	22%
<i>7</i> .	Midscale, Economy, & Independents	14%
1.1.11		

		San Fra Profit P	ncisco Lodgir AR	ng Forecast	Profit PAR Incrementa	I Difforma
- Contraction	Zone 1 No 👋	Zone 1 Zone 1.		Zone 2	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF THE	Carl Sec. 11. Lat. 14
a state of the		xpansion - E		xpansion	Zone 1	Zone 2 👘
2012F	\$15.82	\$15.82	\$7.92	\$7.92	\$0.00	\$0.00
2013F	\$17.09	\$17.09	\$8.39	\$8.39	\$0.00	\$0.00
2014F	\$18.11	\$18.11	\$8.73	. \$8.73	\$0.00	\$0.00
2015F	\$18.66	\$18.66	\$8.82	\$8.82	\$0.00	\$0.00
2016F	\$18.84	\$18.84	\$8.91		\$0.00	\$0.00
2017F	\$18.47	\$18.47	\$8.73	\$8 73	\$0.00	\$0.00
2018F	\$18.28	\$18.28	\$8.64	\$8.64	\$0.00	\$0.00
2019F	\$18.65	\$19.38	\$8.99	\$9.16	\$0.73	\$0.17
2020F	\$19.21	\$20.73	\$9.43	\$9.80	\$1.53	\$0.36
2021F	\$19.97	\$22.19	\$9.91	\$10.53	\$2.21	\$0.63
2022F	\$20.67	\$23.85	\$10.50	\$11.38	\$3.18	\$0.88
2023F	\$20.67	\$24.33	\$10.82	\$11.83	\$3.65	- \$1.02
2024F	\$20.80	\$24.47	\$10.78	\$11.81	\$3.68	\$1.03
2025F	\$20.92	\$24.62	\$10.75	\$11.79	\$3.70	\$1.03
2026F	\$21.05	\$24.77	\$10.72	\$11.76	\$3.72	\$1.04
2027F	\$20.21	\$23.78	\$10.18	\$11.17	[*] \$3.57	\$0.99
2028F	\$19.60	\$23.06	\$9.78	\$10.73	\$3.46	\$0.95
2029F	\$19.21	\$22.60	\$9.48	\$10.40	\$3.39	\$0.92
2030F	\$19.59	\$23.05	\$9.58	\$10.51	\$3.46	\$0.93
2031F	\$19.79	\$23.28	\$9.55	\$10.49	\$3.50	\$0.94
2032F	\$19.91	\$23.42	\$9.52	\$10.47	\$3.52	\$0.95
2033F	\$20.03	\$23.56	\$9.49	\$10.45	\$3.54	\$0.95
2034F	\$20.15	\$23.71	\$9.46	\$10.42	\$3.56	\$0.96
2035F	\$20.27	\$23.85	\$9.43	\$10.40	\$3.58	\$0.97
2036F	\$20.39	\$23.99	\$9.41	\$10.38	\$3.60	\$0.98
2037F	\$20.51	\$24.14	\$9.38	\$10.36	\$3.62	\$0.98
2038F	\$19.49	\$22.93	\$8.82	\$9.74	\$3.44	\$0.93
2039F	\$18.71	\$22.01	\$8.37	\$9.25	\$3.31	\$0.88
2040F	\$18.33	\$21.57	\$8.12	\$9.24	\$3.24	\$1.11
2041F	\$18.70	\$22.00	\$8.20	\$9.33	\$ 3. 30	\$1.12
2042F	\$18.89	\$22.22	\$8.18	\$9.31	\$3.34	\$1.13
2043F	\$19.00	\$22,36	\$8.16	\$9.29	\$3.36	\$1.13
2044F	\$19.11	\$22.49	\$8.13	\$9.27	\$3.38	\$1.14
2045F	\$19.23	\$22.62	\$8.11	\$9.25	\$3.40	\$1.15
2046F	\$19.34	\$22.76	\$8.08	\$9.23	\$3.42	\$1.15
. 2047F	\$19.46	\$22.90	\$8.06	\$9.22	\$3.44	\$1.16
2048F	\$19.58	\$23.03	\$8.03	\$9.20	\$3.46	\$1.16
2049F	\$19.69	\$23.17	\$8.01	\$9.18	\$3.48	\$1.17
2050F	\$19.81	\$23.31	\$7.99	\$9.16	\$3.50	\$1.18
ource: Smith Tra	vel Research, Jo	ones Lang LaSa		1		÷9

Based on the previous forecast, we have concluded that both zones are expected to gain incremental benefit from the proposed Moscone expansion, but Zone 1 is expected to achieve three times the RevPAR benefit of Zone 2; however, Zone 1 is estimated to achieve four times the Profit per available room benefit of Zone 2.

1152

6 Appendices

6.1 Glossary

- Average Daily Rate (ADR): A measure of the average rate paid for rooms sold, which is calculated by dividing total room revenue by total rooms sold.
- Compounded Annual Growth Rate (CAGR): The year-over-year growth rate of a measure over a period of time.
- Occupancy: The percentage of available rooms that were sold during a specified period of time, which
 is calculated by dividing total rooms sold by total rooms available.
- Revenue per Available Room (RevPAR): The total from revenue divided by total rooms available.
 Occupancy multiplied by ADR is equal to RevPAR

• Smith Travel Research (STR): STR tracks supply and demand data for the hotel industry within the U.S. and globally.

• Per Available Room (PAR): Total fooms available.

<u>2</u>9

ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

This report is made with the following general assumptions and limiting conditions:

- As in all studies of this type, the estimated results are based upon competent and efficient management and presume no significant changes in the economic environment from that as set forth in this report. Since our forecasts are based on estimates and assumptions which are subject to uncertainty and variation, we do not represent them as results which will actually be achieved.
- 2. Responsible ownership and competent property management are assumed.
- 3. The information furnished by others is believed to be reliable, but no warranty is given for its accuracy.
- 4. It is assumed that there are no hidden or unapparent conditions of the property, subsoil or structures.
- 5. It is assumed that the property will be in full compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local environmental regulations and laws unless the lack of compliance is stated, described, and considered in the report.
- 6. It is assumed that the property will conform to all applicable zoning and use regulations and restrictions.
- 8. Possession of this report, or a copy thereof, does not carry with it the right of publication.
- The consultant, by reason of this report, is not required to give further consultation or testimony or to be in attendance in court with reference to the property in question unless arrangements have been previously made.
- 10. Neither all nor any part of the contents of this report (especially any conclusions as to value, the identity of the consultant, or the firm with which the consultant is connected) shall be disseminated to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media without the prior written consent and approval of the consultant.

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

San Francisco Lodging Market - Forecasting Study



Real value in a changing world

Greg Hartmann

Executive Vice President +1 303 390 5249 greg.hartmann@am.jll.com

Andrea Grigg

Senior Vice President +1 415 395 4977 andrea.grigg@am.jll.com

Tu-Uyen Do Associate +1 415 395 4955 tuuyen.do@am.jll.com

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012.

This publication is the sole property of Jones Lang LaSalle IP, Inc. and must not be copied, reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, either in whole or in part, without the prior written consent of Jones Lang LaSalle IP, Inc.

The information contained in this publication has been obtained from sources generally regarded to be reliable. However, no representation is made, or warranty given, in respect of the accuracy of this information. We would like to be informed of any inaccuracies so that we may correct them.

Jones Lang LaSalle does not accept any liability in negligence or otherwise for any loss or damage suffered by any party resulting from reliance on this publication.

Appendix F

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels "San Francisco Hotel Value Forecast"



Real value in a changing world

MOSCONE CONVENTION CENTER EXPANSION IMPACT DRAFT

Forecast of Potential Changes in Per Room Value Averages

Prepared for: San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation

1.144.25全部

July 11, 2012

July 11, 2012

Ms. Lynn Farzaroli Senior Manager San Francisco Tourism Improvement District Management Corporation 201 Third Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Moscone Center Expansion Forecast Potential Changes in Per Room Value Averages

Dear Ms. Farzaroli:

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, a division of Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc, ("JLLH") is pleased to submit herewith our preliminary draft in connection with performing a Forecast of Potential Changes in Per Room Value Averages for Tourist hotels located within the City of San Francisco (specifically, hotels located within the boundaries of Zone 1 and Zone 2) as it relates to the proposed expansion of the Moscone Center.

The information collected from the review process of San Francisco's existing hotel inventory and their historical performance, San Francisco's lodging real estate trading history and Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels' forecast of Revenue per Available Room ("RevPAR") and Profit per Available Room ("ProPAR") presented in JLLH's report of June 21, 2012, along with JLLH's experience in the hotel, convention and real estate sector, collectively, form the basis of the conclusions, recommendations and lodging forecast presented in this report.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions regarding the report.

Respectfully submitted,

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, a division of Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc.

Contents

. •

1	Executive Summary	2
1.1	Scope of Work	2
1.2	Zones	2
1.3	Overall Conclusion	3
2	Lodging Real Estate Market	4
2.1	U.S. Hospitality Transaction Trends	4
2.2	San Francisco Hotel Investment Market Overview	5
3	Valuation Methodologies and Parameters	7
3.1	What makes a hotel different from other types of real estate?	7
3.2	Income Capitalization Approach	7
3.3	Valuation Parameters Hotel Investor Sentiment	7
4	Lodging Market Forecast	9
4.1	Forecast of Revenue per Available Room ("RevPAR") and Profit per Available Room ("ProPAR")	9
5	Forecast Changes in Value Per Room Averages	12
5.1	Introduction and Key Assumptions	12
5.2	Change in Value Per Room Value	13
5.3	Room Revenue Multiplier Approach	14
6	Appendices	15
61	Glossary	15

1 Executive Summary

1.1 Scope of Work

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels ("JLLH") has been engaged by TID ("Client") to perform a forecasting study in connection with the proposed expansion of the Moscone Center located in San Francisco, California. Pursuant to our engagement, JLLH has completed the following tasks and scope of work:

Market Research

- JLLH analyzed overall Hotel transaction volume in the Americas and state of the lodging investment market.
- We have analyzed San Francisco's lodging real estate trading history, hotel value changes and key transaction metrics.
- Evaluated most reliable methodologies to value hotel real estate.

Forecast

 Based on the forecast of Revenue per Available Room ("RevPAR") and Profit per Available Room ("ProPAR") presented in JLLH's report of June 21, 2012, JELH estimated the impact of the proposed Moscone Center expansion on the values per room averages for Zone 1 and Zone 2 utilizing the Income Capitalization Approach and the Room Revenue Multiplier Approach.

1.2 Zones

This forecasting study concentrates its analysis on Tourist hotels located within the City of San Francisco – Zone. 1 and Zone 2 as defined by Client below:

- Zone 1 Tourist Hotels ("Zone 1"): All tourist hotels with addresses on or east of Van Ness Avenue, on or east of South Van Ness Avenue, and on or north of 16th Street from South Van Ness to the Bay, including all tourist hotels east of Van Ness Avenue as if it continued north to the Bay, and north of 16th Street as if it continued east to the Bay.
- Zone 2 Tourist Hotels ("Zone 2"): All tourist hotels with addresses west of the Van Ness Avenue and South Van Ness Avenue, and all tourist hotels south of 16th Street.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved



Source: SF Tourism Improvement District

1.3 Overall Conclusion

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels has performed extensive calculations regarding hotel supply, demand, revenue and profitability in San Francisco overall, and Zone 1 and 2 in particular, for our report on the Moscone Convention Center Expansion. In this report JJLH augments that research with a study of hotel values in the U.S. and San Francisco concluding that hotel values are likely to be directly enhanced or increased by the completion of the Moscone Convention Center proposed expansions in the magnitude of \$15,250 per room in Zone 1 and \$3,860 per room in Zone 2. This is quantified as a total estimated percentage increase (enhancement) in values per room averages of approximately 14.8% for Zone 1 and 8.0% in Zone 2 overall. These estimated increases in prospective hotel value per room are incremental over what would normally be expected based on a continuation of historical increases in the absence of an expansion of Moscone Center.

2 Lodging Real Estate Market

2.1 U.S. Hospitality Transaction Trends

Hotel transaction volume in the Americas averaged only approximately \$500 million per quarter in 2009, but the first half of 2010 marked a distinct turnaround. Transactions increased as the year progressed, and by the end of 2010, transaction volume had increased to \$11.9 billion, which included Blackstone's \$3.9 billion purchase of Extended Stay Hotels.

In 2011, Americas hotel transaction volume reached a four-year high with aritual volumes in the Americas reaching \$15.9 billion, a 30% increase on 2010 hotel investment volumes, as investors unleashed pent-up demand for hotel assets. In the first half of 2011, REITs' acquisitions of hotel assets totaled \$3.4 billion, accounting for 45% of hotel transaction volume. This was followed by private equity investors, which accounted for 39% of purchases in the Americas in the first half of the year. In the latter half of the year, private equity buyers acquired 46% of hotels and the share of REITs' purchases softened to 21% due to the declines in their share prices. Despite the increased market volatility since late summer 2011, hotel transaction volumes remain robust and exceeded \$1 billion each month in the second half of 2011 when analyzed on a three-month moving average basis.

According to HVS' annual Hotel Valuation Index (HVI), the value per room average for a typical U.S. Hotel increased from 2009 to 2010 by 16% (\$56,000 to \$65,000) and forecasts value per room average for a typical U.S. Hotel to increase at a double digit rates (taking into account inflation) over the next five (5) years. The following table summarizes the historical and forecast (by FIVS) value per room for a typical U.S. hotel:

·			
	Valu	e Trend for Typical	U.S. Hotel
	Year	Value per Room	% Change
	2000	\$67,000	13.0%
	2001	\$51,000	-23.9%
	2002	\$51,000	0.0%
. ' A.	2003	\$51,000	0.0%
<u>_</u> {	2004	\$65,000	27.5%
, j	2005	\$82,000	26.2%
	2006	\$99,000 ⁻	20.7%
	2007	\$95,000	-4.0%
•	2008	\$81,000	-14.7%
	2009	\$56,000	-30.9%
	2010	\$65,000	16.1%
	2011F	\$84,000	29.2%
	2012F	\$104,000	23.8%
	2013F	\$123,000	18.3%
•	2014F	\$136,000	10.6%
	2015F	\$151,000	11.0%
5	Source: HVS		•

As a result of the recession and overbuilding during the 1980s, nationwide hotel values declined by approximately 29% during the early 1990s. From 1992 to 1994, values started to recover with growth rates from 10% to 12% as excess supply was slowly being absorbed.

As the economy peaked in early 2000, the result of the terrorist attacks of 9/11 caused a nationwide recession, which decreased hotel values by approximately 24% in 2001. Recovery occurred between the period from 2004

to 2006 with robust growth rates ranging from 21% to 28%. The combination of a strong economy, lack of overbuilding, and available financing heightened the growth period, which nearly doubled hotel values.

The economic downtum that occurred in 2007 led to the collapse of the real estate lending market, which attributed to the declines in hotel values for three consecutive years. As RevPAR begins to recover in various major markets, hotel values are expected to increase with the potential growth in RevPAR, available acquisition capital by REITs and private equifies, and the pent-up desire of sellers to put their properties on the market.

According to Smith Travel Research, deal volume is expected to maintain its current pace and they forecast another \$20 billion in hotel transactions in 2012 as asset values are expected to increase with the forecast growth in cash flows and cap rates expected to remain steady.

2.2 San Francisco Hotel Investment Market Overview

San Francisco experienced all the aforementioned economic impact seen nationwide with the additional Dot Com Bubble that occurred right before 9/11, which exacerbated the impact to the local market in the early 2000s.

In 2007, the annual deal volume was at the second-highest level in the city's history, with sales in excess of \$450 million. Consistent with national trends, hotel transactions slowed during much of 2008 and 2009, but San Francisco was at the forefront of the recovery in volumes in 2010 when the city recorded hotel transactions totaling \$410 million, making San Francisco the second most liquid hotel investment market in the country. The activity was driven by REITs, which accounted for 70% of purchases by volume.

Transaction activity was robust during the first half of 2011 as investors saw rebounding profits in the aftermath of the economic downturn. During the summer and fall of 2011, the combination of the U.S. debt ceiling debacle, the bond rating downgrade, and the European financial crisis alleviated transaction momentum nationwide, yet the San Francisco market surpassed 2007's record with sales in excess of \$486 million.

Year-to-date 2012 has shown seven transactions with several full-service transactions, including the sale of the Fairmont San Francisco for \$200 million or \$338,409 per key. It is to note that the transaction activity has almost been exclusively limited to San Francisco high-quality assets located primarily within or nearby San Francisco Downform which justifies the high value per room averages.

With a large proportion of independent and smaller hotel properties, San Francisco has often not recorded the lofty transaction volumes achieved by other gateway cities such as New York and Washington, D.C. with the exception of the year 2006 when some 7,000 hotel rooms changed hands.

A useful comparison to the income capitalization approach in hotel valuation is the presence of comparable sales. The following table presents San Francisco's historical hotel sales since 1998:

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

	San Fra	neisea Nis	tarias) L	lotel Sales		-	
		Contra				Reporter	
	Property Name	Date				Yield	
	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	14			Hoom-		-
	Grosvestor Suites	May-1				n/a	20
	Abigal Holel	May-1	2 🗄 60), \$5,550,000	\$92,500	n/a	Š.
	Hotel Miano San Francisco	Apr-12	2 10	8 \$30,000,000	\$277,778	, n/a	÷.
	Wyndham Parc 55	Mar-12	2 1,0	13 \$176,300,00	0 \$174,038	n/a	1
	Fairmont San Francisco	Mar-1		\$200,000,00			ģ.
	Renoir Hotel San Francisco	1 .					율
		Feb-12	54) S 3 - 5			n/a	9
	Hotel Abri San Francisco	Jan-12	! șt	\$9,623,811	\$105,756	nia	
	Huntington Hotel	Nov-1	138	5° \$54,000,000	\$397,059	Negative	
	Galleria Park Hotel San Francisco	Nov-11	177	\$25,000,000	\$141,243	n/a	
	Villa Florence	Oct-11	182	\$67,200,000	\$369,231	6.3%	
	Renaissance Stanford Court Hotel	Jul-11	393			n/a	
	Hotel Adagio						
		Jul-11				n/a j	
	Mandarin Oriental San Francisco	May-11	158	\$63,500,000	\$401,899	n/a	
	Westin San Francisco Market Street	Mar-11	667	\$170,000,000	\$254,873	. n/a	
	ArgonautHotel	Feb-11	252	\$84,000,000	\$333,333	5.5%	
	Best Western Tuscan Inn @ Fishermans What					575%	
	JW Marrioti San Francisco	Feb-11				3.7%	
					\$284,024	2	
	Le Meridien San Francisco	Dec-10				mid 4%	
	Personality Hotels Three-Property Portfolio	Dec-10	355	\$40,500,000	\$114,085	, n/a	
	Heritage Marina Hotel	Sep-10	135	\$11,5DD,000	\$84,559	n/a	
	Hofel Monaco San Francisco	Sep-10	201	\$68,500,000	\$340,796	3.6%	
	Sir Francis Drake	Jun-10	416	\$90,000,000	\$216,346	2.9%	
	Nob Hill Hotel			\$4,900,000			÷.,
		May-10			\$94,231	n/a	
	Fitzgerald Holel San Francisco	May-10			\$100,000	n/a	
	San Francisco/SOMA Portfolio	Apr-10	308	\$20,000,000	\$64,935	n/a	
	Kimpton Tuscan Inn	Jan-10	221	\$36,500,000	\$165,158	6.6%	
	W Holel San Francisco	Jul-09	404	\$90,000,000	\$222,772	5%	
	Gariand Hotel	Aug-08	1.5	\$5,500,000	\$78,571	n/a ·	
	Hotel Palomar San Francisco	•	195				
		Aug-07		\$34,800,000	\$178,462	5.90%	
	Heritage Marina Hotel	Ju⊢07	136	\$25,000,000	\$183,824	n/a	
	Hotel Campion Place	. Jun-07	110	\$58,000,000	\$527,273	n/a	
	York Holel and Maxwell Holel	, Apr-07	250	\$35,000,000	\$140,000	n/a	
•	Villa Florence Hotel	Feb 07	. 182	\$68,500,000	\$376,374	n/a	
	Hyatt Regency San Francisco	Jan-07	802	\$210,000,000	\$261,845	2.20%	
	Commodore	Dec-06	110				
				\$16,000,000	\$145,455	n/a	
	Monficelo Inn	Dec-06	91.	\$20,000,000	\$219,780	⊳ n/a	
	Parc 55 and Oakland Marriot	Nov-06	1,651	\$250,000,600	\$151,423	n/a	
	Carlwright Hotel	Oct-06	114	\$16,800,000	\$147,368	n/a	
	Hotel Britton	Oct-05	79	\$8,336,001	\$105,519	n/a	
	CiffHo刨	Oct-06	363	\$100,000,000	\$275,482	n/a	
	Four-properly hotel portfolio in San Francisco	•					
		Jul-05	308	\$53,014,000	\$172,123	n/a	
	Renaissance Stanford Court	Jul-06	393	\$60,500,000	\$153,944	· n/a	
•	Courtyard San Francisco Downlown	Jun-06	. 405	\$79,500,000	\$196,296	n/a	
	Canterbury Hotel	Jun-06	244	\$35,000,000	\$143,443	n/a	
	Argenthole	May-06	667	\$178,000,000	\$256,867	2.4%	
	Park Hyat	May-06	360	\$126,000,000	\$350,000	3.1%	
	Hotel Griffon						
·. ·		Apr-06	65	\$15,300,000	\$235,385	4,50%	
	Westin St Francis Union Square	Apr-06	1,195	\$440,000,000	\$368,201	6.2%	
- 1	Pan Pacific San Francisco Holel	Feb-06	338	\$95,000,000	\$281,065	3.90%	
1	SIMonitzhoel	Feb-06	75	\$10,500,000	\$140,000	n/a ·	
1	Four Seasons San Francisco	Jan-05	277	\$130,000,000	\$469,314	2.10%	
	Camplon Place Hotel	Nov-05	110	\$44,000,000	\$400,000	n/a	
	Sir Francis Brake						
	7.2_95	Jul-05	417	\$65,500,000	\$157,074	5.50%	
	Marina Heritage	May-05	136	\$15,000,000	\$110,294	· n/a	
	filon Concord	Feb-05	329	\$29,200,000	\$88,754	n/a	
· (Clift Hole And	Sep-04	374	\$71,000,000	\$189,840	2.3%	
F	ickwick Hotel	Feb-04	188	\$14,000,000	\$74,468	n/a	
7	he Cliff	Dec-D3	363	\$57,000,000	\$157,025.	n/a	
	agabond inn Midiown	Sep-03	132		\$91,667		
				\$12,100,000		n/a	
	an Pacific Hotel	Aug-03	330	\$45,000,000	\$136,364	n/a	
Ŷ	fork Hotel	Dec-02	96	\$10,100,000	\$105,208	n/a	-
۸	landarin Oriental	Jun-01	158	\$41,500,000	\$262,658	n/a	
S	hannon Court	Feb-01	172	\$30,000,000	\$174,419	n/a	
	Vestin St Francis Union Square	Apr-00	1,192	\$243,000,000	\$203,859	n/a	
	Rt Hotel						
		Jun-99	326	\$3B,D00,D00	\$116,564	n/a	
	ionablo Holei	Mar-99	94	\$13,500,000	\$143,617	n/a	•
R	itz-Carlon San Francisco	Sep-98	336	\$151,000,000	\$479,167	n/a	
Н	olel Richalieu	Jun-98	157	\$19,250,000	\$122,611	n/a	
R	amada Plaza Fisherman's Wharf	Jun-98	232	\$14,500,000	\$62,500	n/a	
	ark Hyatt San Francisco	Apr-98	360	\$113,000,000	\$313,889	n/a	
	heralon Fishermans Wharf	Apr-98	525	\$84,000,000	\$160,000	n/a	
		Mar-98	.243	\$17,130,000	\$70,494	n/a	
		Feb-98	605	\$177,600,000	\$293,554	· n/a	
Н	otel Rex	Jan-98	94	\$15,000,000	\$159,574	n/a	
A	verage -				\$223,578		
с.	ource: Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, Real Capital Ar						

6

Source: Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels, Real Capital Analytics

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

3 Valuation Methodologies and Parameters

3.1 What makes a hotel different from other types of real estate?

One of the key differences is that in a Hotel a "Lease" length is only one day versus the multi-year leases for office, warehouse and retail space or the yearly leases for residential spaces.

Another important difference is that the real estate value is derived from more than just the land and building of the property – the Hotel is also valued as an ongoing operating business.

There are three commonly known approaches to hotel valuation – the Income Capitalization Approach, the Sales Comparison Approach and the Cost Approach. However, given the operating nature of hotel assets, investors typically give more weight to the Income Capitalization Approach. As such, as Revenue per Available Room ("RevPAR") and Profit per Available Room ("ProPAR") increases, hotel values are also expected to increase.

3.2 Income Capitalization Approach

The Income Capitalization Approach recognizes that the quantity, quality, and durability of a hotel's earning power are critical elements affecting value. An investor purchasing income-producing real estate is essentially trading a sum of present dollars in order to receive future cash flow. Using direct capitalization analysis, an estimate of stabilized earnings is divided by an overall capitalization rate to yield a value estimate. Using yield capitalization, annual cash flows for a typical holding period and reversionary proceeds are discounted to present value by an overall discount rate.

The Income Capitalization Approach provides the most pertinent indication of value for the subject property. This technique simulates the investment objectives and parameters of participants in the marketplace. The discount and capitalization rates were applied to this analysis based on current investor criteria and observed trends and risks associated with hotel properties.

3.3 Valuation Parameters – Hotel Investor Sentiment

Capitalization Rates

1

In May 2012 (latest available report), Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels completed a Hotel Investment Sentiment Survey for full-service properties. According to HISS, investors' leveraged Internal Rate of Return ("IRR") requirements remained largely unchanged at 19.1% while investors' targeted capitalization rates softened by 60 basis points to 7.9%, which is on par with the level recorded in April 2011, in the Americas. With the steady or increasing rate of growth of most major markets across the Americas over the past several months, hotel investors have a more positive outlook than they did six months ago. Similar to the previous survey, investor sentiment is highest for major gateway markets, such as San Francisco, New York, Boston, and Miami, generally driven by rising group demand and high levels of international visitation.

The 1st Quarter 2012 edition of PwC's Real Estate Investors Survey shows residual capitalization rates for full service hotels averaged 8.7%, approximately 111 basis points below results of the survey conducted one year ago and 45 basis points below survey results from 3rd Quarter 2011. The range in the 1st Quarter of 2012 for capitalization rates was from 6.0% to 12.0%. For limited-service hotels, Q1 2012 saw a residual capitalization rate of 9.95%, 5 basis points from a year ago, and ranging from 8.5% to 12.0%.

In selecting an appropriate terminal capitalization rates applicable to the San Francisco Tourist Hotels, we have considered the following:

- San Francisco strong and diversified demand pillars particularly when assuming the completion of the Moscone Center expansions.
- Strong recovery in the market with growth in lodging fundamentals.
- The current lending climate, while cautious, is improving particularly as it relates to gateway markets as well as existing hotel properties with cash flow in place.
- High barrier to entry market. No new supply is expected in the near future with a gradual growth in new supply entering the market in the long run.
- The current lending climate remains cautious, thereby limiting loan amounts, making major transactions more difficult to finance. Thus, recent transactions have been all cash deals, seller financed or buyer has assumed in place debt.
- National transaction volume has ramped up significantly since 20/0.

Yield Rate (Internal Rate of Return)

The yield rate is selected on the basis of yields anticipated by investors. Yield rates are also affected by current conditions in capital and real estate markets. In order to gain a current perspective on yield rates, we have relied on the PwC Real Estate Investor Survey.

As reflected in the 1st Quarter 2012 publication, investor expectations of yield rates for full service properties ranged from 8.5% to 12.0% with an average of 10.9%. This represents a growth of seven basis points over survey results from one year ago. The average for limited-service properties is slightly higher at 11.1% with a range of 9.5% to 13.0%.

4 Lodging Market Forecast

4.1 Forecast of Revenue per Available Room ("RevPAR") and Profit per Available Room ("ProPAR")

JLLH analyzed historical operating performance by chain scale (as defined by Smith Travel Research) and composition of hotels in the two zones in order to forecast potential Profit PAR for the two hotel zones.

The following table summarizes San Francisco's historical performance, which are categorized into two groups for two different years.

	SAN FRAN	ICISCO O	perating Perform	nance by C	hain Scale			
	Midscale, Economy & Independents (Peak Performance)		MidScale, Economy & Independents (Low Performance)		Upscale & Above (Peak Performance)		Upscale & Above (Low Performance)	
n edi (1897 - 2019), de la constante (1817 - 1817 - 1817 - 1 817 - 1817	PAR	POR	PAR	POR	PAR	POR	PAR	POR
REVENUES			•					•
Rooms	\$42,665	\$151.24	\$33,057	\$128.39	\$64,587	\$224.67	\$53,342	\$192.40
Food & Beverage	\$5,291	\$18.76	\$5,265 [,]	\$20.45	\$24,560	\$85.44	\$22,419	\$80.86
Telephone	\$240	\$0.85	\$190	\$0.74	\$751	\$2.61	\$672	\$2.42
Rentals and Other Income	\$2,313	\$8.20	\$1,523	\$5.92	\$1,766	\$6.14	\$2,038	\$7.35
Other Income	\$1,614	\$5.72	\$1,656	\$6.43	\$2,619	\$9.11	\$2,23 9	\$8.08
Total Revenues	\$52,124	\$184.77	\$41,691	\$161.93	\$94,283	\$327.97	\$80,710	\$291.11
DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES	,							
Rooms Expense	\$15,058	\$53.38	\$14,296	\$55.52	\$20,628	\$71.76	\$19,559	\$70.55
Food & Beverage Expense	\$5,314	\$18.84	\$5,097	\$19.80	\$21,604	\$75.15	\$20,646	\$74.47
Telephone Expense	\$633	\$2.24	\$716	\$2.78	\$841	\$2.93	\$858	\$3.10
Other Income Expense	\$376	\$1.33	\$408	\$1.58	\$1,705	\$5.93	\$1,404	\$5.07
Total Departmental Expenses	\$21,382	\$75.79	\$20,517	\$79.69	\$44,778	\$155.77	\$42,468	\$153.17
Total Departmental Income	\$30,742	\$108.97	\$21,174	\$82.24	\$49,505	\$172.21	\$38,242	\$137.93
UNDISTRIBUTED OPERATING EXPE	NSES			-		•		
Administrative & General	\$5,371	\$19.04	\$4,928	\$19.14	\$8,150	\$28.35	\$7,484	\$27.00
Sales & Marketing	\$3,757	\$13.32	\$3,209	\$12.46	\$5,648	\$19.65	\$5,131	\$18.51
Franchise Fee	\$569	\$2.02	\$596	\$2.31	\$242	\$0.84	\$2/0	\$0.97
Property Operations and Maintenance	\$2,731	\$9.68 ,	\$2,606	\$10.12	\$4,340	\$15.10	\$4,170	\$15.04
Utilifies	\$1,850	\$6.56	. \$1,690	\$6.56	\$2,829	\$9.84	\$2,713	\$9.78
Total Undistributed Expenses	\$14,279	\$50.62	\$13,028	\$50.60	\$21,209	\$73.78	\$19,767	\$71.30
Gross Operating Profit	\$16,463	\$58.36	\$8,146	\$31.64	\$28,296	\$98.43	\$18,475	\$66.64
Management Fee	\$1,950	\$6.91	\$1,592	\$6.18	\$2,987	\$10.39	\$2,208	\$7.96
Income Before Fixed Charges	\$14,513	\$51.44	\$6,554	\$25.46	\$25,310	\$88.04	\$16,267	\$58.67
Real Estate Taxes	\$1,274	\$4.52	\$1,396	\$5.42	\$2,809	\$9.77	\$3,419	\$12.3
Insurance	\$951	\$3.37	\$954	\$3.70	\$1,981	\$6:8 9	\$2,137	\$7.7
Rent .	\$1,238	\$4.39	\$247	\$0.96	\$1,909	\$6.64	\$1,090	\$3.9
Other Fixed Charges	\$3,096	\$10.98	\$1,100	\$4.27	\$631	\$2.20	\$1,175	\$4.2
Total Fixed Charges	\$6,559	\$23.25	\$3,696	\$14.36	\$7,331	\$25.50	\$7,821	\$28.2
EBITDA*	\$7,954	\$28.19	\$2,858	\$11,10	\$17,979	\$62.54	\$8,446	\$30.4
Less: Replacement Reserves (FF&E)	\$743	\$2.63	\$370	\$1.44	\$1,783	· \$6.20	\$1,738	\$6.2
Net Operating Income**	\$7,211	\$25.56	\$2,488	\$9.66	\$16,196	\$56.34	\$6,708	\$24.1

*USALI 10th Edition refers to "EBITDA" as "NOI" **USALI 10th Edition refers to "NOI" as "Adjusted NOI"

Source: Smith Travel Research

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

The following table s	summarizes the corr	position of hotels	in the two	designated zones.
mo ronoming table t				abbigilatou colloo.

Zone 1 Hotels Compositi Chain Scale	on % Ratio
Luxury Chains	15.1%
Upper Upscale Chains	45.2%
Upper Midscale Chains	6.9%
Upscale Chains	3.2%
Midscale Chains	0.3%
Economy Chains	1.6%
Independents	27.5%
Upscale & Above	70·5%
Midscale, Economy & Independents	4 29 5%
Zone 2 Hotels Compositi	on
Chain Scale	% Ratio
Luxury Chains	0.0%
Upper Upscale Chains	16.5%
Upper Midscale Chains	5.1%
Upscale Chains	0.0%
Midscale Chains	1.4%
Economy Chains	11.4%
Independents	65.6%
Upscale & Above	21.6%
Midscale, Economy, & Independents	78.4%
Source: Smith Travel Research, Jones Lang I	aSalle Hotels

We then utilized our findings from historical lodging performance by chain scale and the composition of Zone 1 and Zone 2 hotels in order to estimate the anticipated Profit PAR (ProPAR) relative to the forecasted RevPAR in order to analyze the incremental difference in profit PAR between the two zones. The ProPAR (in real dollars) is estimated by applying the weighted average profit per available room (inclusive of FF&E Reserve) for each zone based on chain scale composition and its average ProPAR (as shown in the table below) as a percentage of the projected RevPAR. This was presented in JLLH's previous report as of June 21, 2012.

Net Operating Income ProPAR/RevPAR (incl. of FF&E I	Reserve)
Upscale & Above	22%
Midscale, Economy, & Independents	14%

JLLH has converted Profit PAR, which is essentially profit per available room nights into profit per room in order to use the per-key profit to estimate the potential value per key using the income capitalization approach. It should be noted that all values are in real values (as inflation was removed).

. 10

•								in the second	
			Sa Profit PA	n Francisco Lo R			Profit Per I	Room	
Ye	at se	Zone 1No 🔍 🕅 Expansion 🕾 Ex	Zone 1 👬 🛪 Zo	ne 2 No 🔭 Z		Zone 1 No So Expansion • E	Zone 1 😅 Zonansion E	one 2 No 🤸	Cone 2, pansion
90 C.	1987		Pallalon - CV	-	-	-	-		-
	1988	\$12.53	\$12.53	\$7.79 \$7.40	\$7.79	. \$4,586 £4,207	\$4,586 \$4,397	\$2,852 \$2,592	\$2,852 \$2,592
	1989	\$12.05	\$12.05 \$11.93	\$7.10 \$6.57	\$7.10 \$6.57	\$4,397 \$4,353	\$4,397 \$4,35 3	\$2,395 \$2,396	\$2,392
• .	1990 1991	\$11.93 \$10.93	\$10.93 \$10.93	\$6.44	\$6.44	\$3,990	\$3,990	\$2,352	\$2,352
竹酒市	1992			\$6.19	\$6.19	\$3,952	3,53,952	\$2,265	\$2,265
4 (4.4%), MA	1993	\$11.24	\$11.24	\$6.20	\$6.20	\$4,104	\$4,104	\$2,264	\$2,264
	1994	\$11.47	\$11.47	\$6.03	\$6.03	\$4,187	\$4,187	\$2,201	\$2,201
	1995	\$11.88	\$11.88	\$6.22	\$6.22	\$4,337	\$4,337 \$4,837	\$2,270 \$2,595	\$2,270 \$2,595
	1996	\$13.22	\$13.22	\$7.09 \$7.09	\$7.09 \$7.99	\$4,837 \$5,358	\$5,358	\$2,918	\$2,918
	1997	\$14.68 \$15.47	\$14.68 \$15.47	\$7.99 \$8.31	\$8.31	\$5,646	\$5,646	\$3,033	\$3,033
	1998 1999	\$15.47 \$15.77	\$15.77	\$8.69	\$8.69	\$5,755	\$5,755	\$3,171	\$3,171
	2000	\$17.78	\$17.78	\$9.31	\$9.31	\$6,506	\$6,506	\$3,407	\$3,407
	2001	\$13.39	\$13.39	\$7.16	\$7.16	\$4,888	\$4,888	\$2,615	\$2,615
	2002	\$10.97	\$10.97	\$5.28	\$5.28	\$4,005	\$4,005	\$1,929	\$1,929
	2003	\$10.74	\$10.775-11	\$4.97		\$3,932	\$3,932 \$4,187	\$1,815 \$1,953	\$1, <u>815</u> \$1,953
	2004	\$11.44	\$11.44 \$13.20	\$5.33 \$5.84	\$5.33 \$5.84	\$4,187 \$4,486	\$4,187 \$4,486	\$1,953 \$2,131	\$2,131
	2005	\$12:29	\$12.29 \$13.18	\$5.64 \$6.21	\$5.84	\$4,812	\$4,812	\$2,267	\$2,267
	2006 2007	\$13.18 \$14.18	\$13.18 \$14.18	\$6.81	\$6.81	\$5,177	\$5,17 7	\$2,486	\$2,486
	2008	\$14.36	\$14.36	\$7.58	\$7.58	\$5,254	\$5,254	\$2,773	\$2,773
	2009	\$11.71	\$11.71	\$5.95	\$5.95	\$4,273	\$4,273	\$2,171	\$2,171
	2010	\$12.37	\$12.37	\$6.02	\$6.02	\$4,517	\$4,517	\$2,196	\$2,196
	2011	\$14.38	\$14.38	\$7.33	\$7.33	\$5,250	\$5,250	\$2,676	\$2,676
	2012F	\$15.82	\$15.82	\$7.92	\$7.92	\$5,791	\$5,791 \$6,237	\$2,898 \$3,064	\$2,898 \$3,064
	2013F	\$17.09	\$17.09	\$8.39 \$8.73	\$8.39 \$8.73	\$6,237 \$6,611	\$6,611	\$3,186	\$3,186
	2014F 2015F	\$18.11 \$18.66	\$18.11 \$18.66	\$8.73 \$8.82	\$8.82	\$6,809	\$6,809	\$3,218	\$3,218
	2015F	\$18.84	\$18.84	\$8.91	\$8.91	56,896	5 \$6,896	53,259	\$3,259
- 12 Cores	2017F	\$18.47	\$18.47	\$8.73	\$8.73	\$6,740	\$6,740	\$3,185	\$3,185
	2018F	\$18:28	\$18.28	\$8.64	\$8.64	\$6,673	\$6,673	\$3,154	\$3,154
	00405								00 040
	2019F	\$18.65	\$19.38	\$8.99	\$9.16	\$6,806	\$7,073	\$3,280	\$3,343
	2020F	\$19.21	\$20.73	\$9.43	\$9.80	\$7,029	\$7,589	\$3,453	\$3,587
	2020F 2021F	\$19.21 \$19.97	\$20.73 \$22.19	\$9.43 \$9.91	\$9.80 \$10.53	\$7,029 \$7,291	\$7,589 \$8,098	\$3,453 \$3,616	\$3,587 \$3,845
	2020F 2021F 2022F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833	\$3,587
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82	\$9.80 \$10.53	\$7,029 \$7,291	\$7,589 \$8,098	\$3,453 \$3,616	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2023F 2024F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.78 \$10.75 \$10.72	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.79	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,637 \$7,682	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2023F 2024F 2025F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.78 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$11.17	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,637 \$7,682 \$7,375	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,078
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2025F 2025F 2026F 2027F 2027F 2028F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.78 \$10.75 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$11.77 \$10.73	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,637 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,926
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2025F 2025F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2029F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.78 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$11.17 \$10.73 \$10.40	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,637 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,926 \$3,798
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2025F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2029F 2030F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.58	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$11.77 \$10.73 \$10.40 \$10.51	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,646 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,773 \$7,011 \$7,011 \$7,151	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,926 \$3,798 \$3,836
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2025F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2029F 2030F 2030F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.78 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$11.17 \$10.73 \$10.40	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,646 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,713 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,926 \$3,798 \$3,836 \$3,828 \$3,831
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2024F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2029F 2030F 2031F 2032F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.91	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.58 \$9.55	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$11.77 \$10.73 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496 \$3,485	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,968 \$3,788 \$3,836 \$3,828 \$3,828 \$3,831 \$3,813
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2025F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2029F 2030F 2030F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.78 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.58 \$9.55 \$9.52 \$9.49 \$9.46	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$11.17 \$10.73 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.45 \$10.45	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,309 \$7,353	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,451 \$3,485 \$3,484 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,454	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,828 \$3,831 \$3,813 \$3,805
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2025F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2029F 2030F 2031F 2032F 2033F 2033F 2034F 2035F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.62 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.78 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.58 \$9.58 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.52 \$9.49 \$9.46 \$9.43	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$11.17 \$10.73 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.42	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,547 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,397	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,675	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,485 \$3,484 \$3,484 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,926 \$3,798 \$3,828 \$3,828 \$3,828 \$3,821 \$3,813 \$3,813 \$3,805 \$3,798
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2025F 2026F 2027F 2030F 2030F 2030F 2033F 2033F 2033F 2033F 2033F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.62 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.75 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.58 \$9.55 \$9.52 \$9.49 \$9.49 \$9.43 \$9.41	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.76 \$11.77 \$10.73 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.42 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.40 \$10.38	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,637 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,773 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,226 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,397 \$7,462	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,781	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,486 \$3,485 \$3,484 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,444 \$3,443	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,223 \$4,223 \$4,078 \$3,926 \$3,798 \$3,856 \$3,813 \$3,813 \$3,813 \$3,813 \$3,813 \$3,813
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2025F 2026F 2027F 2030F 2030F 2030F 2033F 2033F 2033F 2033F 2033F 2033F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.78 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.58 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.52 \$9.49 \$9.46 \$9.43 \$9.41 \$9.38	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.46 \$10.38	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,637 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,397 \$7,462 \$7,486	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,781 \$8,809	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496 \$3,485 \$3,485 \$3,484 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,223 \$4,223 \$4,078 \$3,826 \$3,828 \$3,828 \$3,831 \$3,813 \$3,800 \$3,782
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2024F 2024F 2027F 2028F 2029F 2030F 2031F 2033F 2033F 2034F 2035F 2035F 2036F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.25 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14 \$22.93	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.78 \$9.48 \$9.58 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.49 \$9.49 \$9.44 \$9.43 \$9.41 \$9.38 \$8.82	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$11.77 \$10.73 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.45	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,397 \$7,462 \$7,486 \$7,112	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,781 \$8,809 \$8,809 \$8,369	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496 \$3,485 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,443 \$3,423 \$3,218	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,293 \$4,293 \$4,293 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,928 \$3,836 \$3,828 \$3,831 \$3,813 \$3,805 \$3,809 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800\$\$3,800 \$3
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2027F 2030F 2031F 2032F 2033F 2033F 2034F 2035F 2035F 2036F 2038F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49 \$18.71	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14 \$22.93 \$22.01	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.48 \$9.58 \$9.55 \$9.52 \$9.49 \$9.46 \$9.43 \$9.41 \$9.38 \$8.82 \$8.37	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.46 \$10.38	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,397 \$7,462 \$7,486 \$7,112 \$6,828	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,781 \$8,809	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496 \$3,485 \$3,485 \$3,484 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,293 \$4,293 \$4,293 \$3,826 \$3,826 \$3,828 \$3,826 \$3,828 \$3,805 \$3,798 \$3,805 \$3,788 \$3,805 \$3,788 \$3,805 \$3,788 \$3,805 \$3,788 \$3,855 \$3,3782
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2026F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2030F 2031F 2033F 2034F 2033F 2034F 2034F 2036F 2037F 2038F 2039F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49 \$18.71 \$18.33	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.05 \$23.05 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14 \$22.93 \$22.01 \$21.57	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.78 \$9.48 \$9.58 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.49 \$9.49 \$9.44 \$9.43 \$9.41 \$9.38 \$8.82	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$11.77 \$10.73 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.48 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.42 \$10	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,397 \$7,462 \$7,486 \$7,112 \$6,828 \$6,709	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,705 \$8,781 \$8,809 \$8,369 \$8,369 \$8,034	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496 \$3,485 \$3,484 \$3,484 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,443 \$3,443 \$3,443 \$3,443 \$3,423 \$3,218 \$3,057 \$2,973 \$2,995	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,836 \$3,836 \$3,836 \$3,838 \$3,805 \$3,798 \$3,800 \$3,798 \$3,800 \$3,798 \$3,800 \$3
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2027F 2030F 2031F 2032F 2033F 2033F 2034F 2035F 2035F 2036F 2038F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49 \$18.71	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14 \$22.93 \$22.01	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.52 \$9.49 \$9.43 \$9.41 \$9.38 \$8.82 \$8.37 \$8.12	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.40 \$10.38 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36 \$10.36 \$10.46 \$10.36\$\$10.36\$\$10	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,546 \$7,122 \$6,828 \$6,709 \$6,825 \$6,893	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,678 \$8,678 \$8,411 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,703 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,781 \$8,809 \$8,809 \$8,803 \$8,034 \$7,895 \$8,031 \$8,111	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496 \$3,485 \$3,484 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,443 \$3,443 \$3,443 \$3,218 \$3,057 \$2,973 \$2,995 \$2,986	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,788 \$3,836 \$3,828 \$3,831 \$3,813 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,378 \$3,380 \$3,3782 \$3,378 \$3,380 \$3,3782 \$3,3822 \$3,3782 \$3,3782 \$3,3822 \$3,3
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2029F 2030F 2031F 2032F 2034F 2033F 2034F 2035F 2035F 2036F 2037F 2038F 2039F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49 \$18.71 \$18.33 \$18.70	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14 \$22.93 \$22.01 \$21.57 \$22.00 \$22.22 \$22.36	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.52 \$9.49 \$9.46 \$9.43 \$9.44 \$9.38 \$9.41 \$9.38 \$8.82 \$8.37 \$8.12 \$8.20 \$8.18 \$8.16	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.40 \$10.38 \$10.36 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.38 \$10.36 \$9.74 \$9.25 \$9.24	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,547 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,773 \$7,011 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,397 \$7,462 \$7,486 \$7,317 \$7,462 \$7,486 \$7,112 \$6,828 \$6,825 \$6,893 \$6,934	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,678 \$8,411 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,705 \$8,705 \$8,705 \$8,809 \$8,809 \$8,809 \$8,809 \$8,8034 \$7,895 \$8,031 \$8,111 \$8,160	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496 \$3,485 \$3,484 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,455 \$3,258\$\$3,258\$\$3	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,293 \$4,293 \$4,293 \$4,293 \$3,778 \$3,836 \$3,828 \$3,831 \$3,813 \$3,805 \$3,788 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,585 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3,378 \$3,386 \$3
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2026F 2026F 2028F 2029F 2030F 2031F 2032F 2033F 2034F 2035F 2036F 2035F 2036F 2037F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49 \$18.71 \$18.33 \$18.70 \$18.89	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14 \$22.99 \$22.01 \$21.57 \$22.00 \$22.20	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.58 \$9.52 \$9.52 \$9.49 \$9.49 \$9.49 \$9.49 \$9.43 \$9.41 \$9.38 \$8.82 \$8.37 \$8.12 \$8.12 \$8.18 \$8.16 \$8.13	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.42 \$10.40 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.40 \$10.38 \$10.36 \$9.24 \$9.23 \$9.23 \$9.22 \$9.27	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,773 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,307 \$7,462 \$7,486 \$7,112 \$6,828 \$6,825 \$6,823 \$6,834 \$6,995	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,781 \$8,609 \$8,2653 \$8,034 \$8,039 \$8,034 \$8,031 \$8,111 \$8,160 \$8,231	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,485 \$3,485 \$3,484 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,444 \$3,443 \$3,454 \$3,444 \$3,443 \$3,454 \$3,455 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,223 \$4,223 \$4,223 \$4,078 \$3,926 \$3,798 \$3,856 \$3,813 \$3,813 \$3,813 \$3,813 \$3,813 \$3,813 \$3,813 \$3,782 \$3,782 \$3,782 \$3,782 \$3,355 \$3,3782 \$3,360 \$3,405 \$3,391 \$3,391 \$3,395 \$3,391 \$3,395 \$3,391 \$3,395 \$
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2025F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2030F 2031F 2033F 2034F 2033F 2034F 2035F 2034F 2035F 2034F 2035F 2034F 2034F 2034F 2034F 2034F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49 \$18.71 \$18.89 \$19.00 \$19.21 \$21.05 \$21.05 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49 \$18.71 \$18.89 \$19.00 \$19.11 \$19.23	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.62 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14 \$22.93 \$22.01 \$21.57. \$22.00 \$22.20 \$22.20 \$22.20 \$22.36 \$22.49 \$22.49 \$22.62	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.52 \$9.49 \$9.49 \$9.46 \$9.43 \$9.41 \$9.38 \$8.82 \$8.37 \$8.12 \$8.20 \$8.18 \$8.16 \$8.13 \$8.11	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.49 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.40 \$10.38 \$10.36 \$9.74 \$9.25 \$9.24 \$9.33 \$9.31 \$9.25	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,637 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,397 \$7,462 \$7,486 \$7,112 \$6,828 \$6,709 \$6,825 \$6,893 \$6,893 \$6,995 \$7,018	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,781 \$8,809 \$8,369 \$8,031 \$8,803 \$8,031 \$8,111 \$8,160 \$8,231 \$8,258	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496 \$3,485 \$3,485 \$3,484 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,455 \$2,977 \$2,975 \$2,995 \$2,995	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,798 \$3,836 \$3,828 \$3,811 \$3,813 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,855 \$3,378 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,385 \$3,378 \$3,385 \$3,392 \$3,393 \$3,393 \$3,393 \$3,393 \$3,395
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2024F 2024F 2027F 2028F 2030F 2031F 2033F 2033F 2034F 2035F 2034F 2035F 2036F 2037F 2038F 2040F 2041F 2042F 2044F 2044F 2044F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49 \$18.71 \$18.33 \$18.70 \$18.89 \$19.00 \$19.11 \$19.23 \$19.34	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.62 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14 \$22.93 \$22.01 \$21.57 \$22.00 \$22.20 \$22.22 \$22.36 \$22.49 \$22.49 \$22.62 \$22.36	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.58 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.49 \$9.46 \$9.43 \$9.44 \$9.38 \$8.82 \$8.37 \$8.12 \$8.20 \$8.18 \$8.13 \$8.11 \$8.08	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.83 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$10.73 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.40 \$10.38 \$10.36 \$9.74 \$9.9.25 \$9.24 \$9.25 \$9.27 \$9.25	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,071 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,309 \$7,462 \$7,486 \$7,112 \$6,828 \$6,893 \$6,893 \$6,893 \$6,893 \$6,995 \$7,018 \$7,018	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,781 \$8,603 \$8,705 \$8,781 \$8,809 \$8,369 \$8,369 \$8,034 \$7,895 \$8,031 \$8,111 \$8,160 \$8,231 \$8,258 \$8,308	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,912 \$3,454 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,455 \$3,484 \$3,455 \$3,485 \$3,285 \$2,975 \$2,986 \$2,986 \$2,977 \$2,976 \$2,976 \$2,976 \$2,976 \$2,976	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,928 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,386 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,386 \$3
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2024F 2026F 2027F 2030F 2031F 2032F 2033F 2034F 2033F 2034F 2034F 2035F 2038F 2038F 2039F 2040F 2044F 2044F 2044F 2044F 2044F 2044F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49 \$18.71 \$18.33 \$18.70 \$18.89 \$19.00 \$19.11 \$19.23 \$19.34 \$19.46	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14 \$22.93 \$22.01 \$21.57 \$22.00 \$22.22 \$22.49 \$22.49 \$22.62 \$22.76 \$22.90	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.78 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.49 \$9.49 \$9.46 \$9.43 \$9.43 \$9.43 \$9.441 \$9.38 \$8.82 \$8.37 \$8.12 \$8.20 \$8.18 \$8.18 \$8.16 \$8.11 \$8.08 \$8.06	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$10.73 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.45 \$10.40 \$10.45 \$10.40 \$10.38 \$10.42 \$10.40 \$10.38 \$10.36 \$9.74 \$9.25 \$9.24 \$9.33 \$9.31 \$9.25 \$9.22 \$9.22 \$9.22 \$9.25 \$9.22 \$9.22	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,682 \$7,773 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,397 \$7,452 \$7,486 \$7,112 \$6,828 \$6,834 \$6,834 \$6,895 \$7,018 \$7,018	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,601 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,705 \$8,415 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,705 \$8,001 \$8,603 \$8,001 \$8,603 \$8,001 \$8,603 \$8,001 \$8,003 \$8,001 \$8,003 \$8,001 \$8,003 \$8,003 \$8,001 \$8,003	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496 \$3,485 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,444 \$3,443 \$3,218 \$3,057 \$2,973 \$2,995 \$2,985 \$2,995 \$2,995 \$2,950 \$2,950 \$2,950	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,293 \$4,293 \$4,293 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,836 \$3,828 \$3,805 \$3
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2026F 2027F 2028F 2027F 2030F 2031F 2032F 2033F 2034F 2034F 2034F 2034F 2038F 2034F 2038F 2039F 2040F, 2044F 2044F 2044F 2044F 2044F 2044F 2044F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49 \$18.71 \$18.33 \$18.70 \$18.89 \$19.00 \$19.11 \$19.23 \$19.34 \$19.46 \$19.58	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14 \$22.93 \$22.01 \$21.57 \$22.00 \$22.22 \$22.36 \$22.20 \$22.26 \$22.36 \$22.20 \$22.26 \$22.36 \$22.20 \$22.26 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.26 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.20 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.20 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.30 \$22.30 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.30 \$22.20 \$22.30 \$22.90 \$22.30	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.18 \$9.48 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.52 \$9.49 \$9.46 \$9.43 \$9.44 \$9.38 \$8.82 \$8.37 \$8.12 \$8.20 \$8.18 \$8.13 \$8.11 \$8.08 \$8.06 \$8.03	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.81 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$11.77 \$10.73 \$10.40 \$10.37 \$10.40 \$10.47 \$10.45 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.04 \$10.36 \$10.42 \$10.04 \$10.36 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.43 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.43 \$10.42 \$10.42 \$10.43 \$10.42\$10.42\$10.42\$10.42\$10.42\$10.42\$10.42\$10.42\$10.	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,286 \$7,307 \$7,462 \$7,397 \$7,462 \$7,486 \$7,112 \$6,828 \$6,934 \$6,995 \$7,018 \$7,018 \$7,010 \$7,010 \$7,102 \$7,165	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,957 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,001 \$8,009 \$8,001\$8,001 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,0000\$8,0000\$8,0000\$8,0000\$8,0000\$8,0000\$8,0000\$8,0000\$8,0000\$8,	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496 \$3,485 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,444 \$3,443 \$3,218 \$3,057 \$2,973 \$2,995 \$2,955 \$2,950 \$2,950 \$2,950 \$2,950 \$2,950	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,293 \$4,078 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,836 \$3,800 \$3,800 \$3,801 \$3,803 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,380 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,380 \$3,380 \$3,390 \$3,391 \$3,393 \$3,371 \$3,364 \$3,366
	2020F 2021F 2022F 2023F 2024F 2024F 2026F 2027F 2030F 2031F 2032F 2033F 2034F 2033F 2034F 2034F 2035F 2038F 2038F 2039F 2040F 2044F 2044F 2044F 2044F 2044F 2044F	\$19.21 \$19.97 \$20.67 \$20.67 \$20.80 \$20.92 \$21.05 \$20.21 \$19.60 \$19.21 \$19.59 \$19.79 \$19.91 \$20.03 \$20.15 \$20.27 \$20.39 \$20.51 \$19.49 \$18.71 \$18.33 \$18.70 \$18.89 \$19.00 \$19.11 \$19.23 \$19.34 \$19.46	\$20.73 \$22.19 \$23.85 \$24.33 \$24.47 \$24.62 \$24.77 \$23.78 \$23.06 \$22.60 \$23.05 \$23.28 \$23.42 \$23.56 \$23.71 \$23.85 \$23.99 \$24.14 \$22.93 \$22.01 \$21.57 \$22.00 \$22.22 \$22.49 \$22.49 \$22.62 \$22.76 \$22.90	\$9.43 \$9.91 \$10.50 \$10.82 \$10.75 \$10.72 \$10.78 \$9.78 \$9.48 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.55 \$9.49 \$9.49 \$9.46 \$9.43 \$9.43 \$9.43 \$9.441 \$9.38 \$8.82 \$8.37 \$8.12 \$8.20 \$8.18 \$8.18 \$8.16 \$8.11 \$8.08 \$8.06	\$9.80 \$10.53 \$11.38 \$11.81 \$11.79 \$11.76 \$10.73 \$10.40 \$10.51 \$10.49 \$10.47 \$10.45 \$10.40 \$10.45 \$10.40 \$10.38 \$10.42 \$10.40 \$10.38 \$10.36 \$9.74 \$9.25 \$9.24 \$9.33 \$9.31 \$9.25 \$9.22 \$9.22 \$9.22 \$9.25 \$9.22 \$9.22	\$7,029 \$7,291 \$7,546 \$7,546 \$7,612 \$7,682 \$7,375 \$7,173 \$7,011 \$7,151 \$7,222 \$7,266 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,375 \$7,375 \$7,462 \$7,309 \$7,353 \$7,397 \$7,462 \$7,486 \$7,112 \$6,828 \$6,833 \$6,834 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,934 \$6,935 \$7,018	\$7,589 \$8,098 \$8,705 \$8,879 \$8,986 \$9,040 \$8,678 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,441 \$8,250 \$8,415 \$8,499 \$8,573 \$8,601 \$8,653 \$8,705 \$8,601 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,705 \$8,415 \$8,603 \$8,603 \$8,705 \$8,001 \$8,603 \$8,001 \$8,603 \$8,001 \$8,603 \$8,001 \$8,003 \$8,001 \$8,003 \$8,001 \$8,003 \$8,003 \$8,001 \$8,003	\$3,453 \$3,616 \$3,833 \$3,948 \$3,947 \$3,924 \$3,912 \$3,717 \$3,578 \$3,461 \$3,496 \$3,485 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,464 \$3,454 \$3,454 \$3,444 \$3,443 \$3,218 \$3,057 \$2,973 \$2,995 \$2,985 \$2,995 \$2,995 \$2,950 \$2,950 \$2,950	\$3,587 \$3,845 \$4,153 \$4,319 \$4,322 \$4,302 \$4,302 \$4,078 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,926 \$3,800 \$3,828 \$3,800 \$3,805 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,800 \$3,782 \$3,378 \$3,380 \$3,398 \$3,398 \$3,391 \$3,391 \$3,371 \$3,364

Source; Smith Travel Research, Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

1169

5 Forecast Changes in Value Per Room Averages

5.1 Introduction and Key Assumptions

Forecast Profit per Room for each scenario, as presented in Section 4, provides the basis for estimating a property's present value (in this case the San Francisco Tourist Hotels' present value). In the direct capitalization technique, the stabilized income estimate is divided by an overall capitalization rate to estimate the property's value. The yield capitalization, or discounted cash flow, technique involves two steps:

- First, the residual proceeds are estimated by capitalizing the last year's income, and
- Second, the annual income and the residual proceeds are discounted at an appropriate rate (IRR) to provide an estimate of present value.

JLLH value estimates take into consideration the following key assumptions:

- With the objective of keeping our methodology consistent with JLLH's RevPAR and ProPAR forecast methodology, JLLH's change of value forecast is presented in "feal values", meaning the estimate of value not accounting for inflation.
- 2. The Moscone Center Expansion scenario assumes the expansions will be completed as of year-end 2017. A delay in the completion of the Moscone Center expansion would delay the forecast increases in Profit Per Room. However, upon completion, the expansion of the Moscone Center is expected to yield similar hotel value per room average increases.
- 3. It should be noted that current expansion plans (as detailed in JLLH's June 21 report) are only preliminary plans. Specific programming may change with the recently chosen project architect.
- 4. JLLH's Prospective Value estimates the prospective value per room average differential of San Francisco Tourist Hotels as of January 2018 under two scenarios (1) No Moscone Center expansion and, (2) assuming the completion of the Moscone Center expansions.
 - Based on the valuation parameters discussed in Section 3, coupled with our discussions with hotel investors and considering the cap rates of comparable sales, it is our opinion that the San Francisco Tourist Hotels would trade at the lower end of the range indicated by the PwC nationwide survey and slightly above HISS results for full-service properties in US National markets. We have therefore utilized an 8,00% terminal capitalization rate for Zone 1 and an 8,50% terminal capitalization rate for Zone 2.
- 6. Based on the valuation parameters discussed in Section 3 and our understanding of the hotel investment markets, we have elected to utilize a discount rate of 7.0% for Zone 1 (equivalent to a discount rate of 10% minus 3.0% inflation rate due to the fact that this report presents data in "real" values) and a discount rate of 7.5% (equivalent to a discount rate of 10.5% minus 3.0% inflation rate due to the fact that this report presents data in "real" values) and a discount rate of 7.5% (equivalent to a discount rate of 10.5% minus 3.0% inflation rate due to the fact that this report presents data in "real" values) for Zone 2, which reflects the positive attributes of the hotels in the market, the relative risk of hotels as an asset class, the overall economic and lodging market conditions, and increased cash flow risk leading towards the outer years of the projection period.

5.2 Change in Value Per Room Value

The calculation of the hypothetical sale at the end of a ten-year holding period ("Reversion") and the discounting procedure used to estimate Zone 1 No Expansion, Zone 1 Expansion, Zone 2 No Expansion, and Zone 2 Expansion scenarios is presented in the subsequent tables. Specifically, the following calculation utilizes the Profit Per Room Differential between Zone 1 No Expansion <u>versus</u> Zone 1 Expansion and Zone 2 No Expansion <u>versus</u> Zone 2 Expansion to calculate the Value Per Key Differential.

Calculation of Pro	spective Hotel Value Per Ke	y Differential
	Zone 1 No Expansion VS. Zone 1 Expansion	Zone 2 No Expansion VS. Zone 2 Expansion
Year	Differentia	Profit Per Room
2018F	\$ 0	\$0
2019F	\$267	\$63
2020F	\$559	\$133
2021F	\$807	\$229
2022F	\$1,159	\$320
2023F	\$1,333	\$371
2024F	\$1,345	\$375
2025F	\$1,349	\$377
2026F	\$1,358	\$380
2027F + Reversion ⁽¹⁾	\$19,412	\$5,001
Discount Rate	7.0% 8.0%	Control 77.5% • • • • • • • • 8.5%
Value per Key Variance	\$15,250	\$3,859

Note: Reversion = hypothetical sale at the end of a hypothetical ten-year holding period

Value Matrix - Prospective Hotel Value Zone 1 No Expansion: Value per Key					alue Pe	e Per Key Differential (2018F-2027F) Zone 2 No Expansion: Value per Key						
		Ter	minal Cap R	ate					Tei	minal Cap R	ate	
	MOG -	715%	80%	. 85%	- 910%			1/5%	8.0%	8.5%	9.0%	9.5%
- T-072	\$16,538	\$16,538	\$16,538	\$16,538	\$16,538		6.5%	\$4,181	\$4,181	\$4,181	\$4,181	\$4,181
65%	\$15,879	\$15,879	\$15,879	\$15,879	\$15,879	tate	740%	\$4,016 [;]	\$4,016	\$4,016	\$4,016	\$4,016
	\$15,250	\$15,250	\$15,250	\$15,250	\$15,250	unt F	-77.5%	\$3,859	\$3,859	\$3,859	\$3,85 9	\$3,859
74035	\$14,652	\$14,652	\$14,652	\$14,652	\$14,652	Disco	8.0%	\$3,709	\$3,709	\$3,709	\$3,709	\$3;709
THE REAL	\$14,080	\$14,080	\$14,080	\$14,080	\$14,080		1157	\$3,566	\$3,566	\$3,566	\$3,566	\$3,566

Jones Lang LaSalle Hotels has performed extensive calculations regarding hotel supply, demand, revenue and profitability in San Francisco overall and Zone 1 and 2 in particular, for our report on the Moscone Convention Center Expansion. In this report JJLH augments that research with a study of hotel value in the U.S. and San Francisco concluding that hotel values are likely to be directly enhanced or increased by the completion of the Moscone Convention Center proposed expansions in the magnitude of \$15,250 per room in Zone 1 and \$3,860 per room in Zone 2. This is quantified as a total estimated percentage increase (enhancement) in values per room averages of approximately 14.8% for Zone 1 and 8.0% in Zone 2 overall. These estimated increases in prospective hotel value per room are incremental over what would normally be expected based on a continuation of historical increases in the absence of an expansion of Moscone Center.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved

5.3 Room Revenue Multiplier Approach

Many hotel investors consider hotel room revenues in order to estimate the potential hotel value using a Room Revenue Multiplier ("RRM"). The RRM is often multiplied by the previous 12-months' room revenues to arrive at an estimate value of a hotel, similar to a capitalization rate.

The RRM approach also highlights the importance of Revenue per Available Room ("RevPAR") and Profit per Available Room ("ProPAR") as a critical metric to determine hotel values.

From Smith Travel Research's 2012 Hotel Almanac, San Francisco has a RRM of 4.73. For comparison purposes, JLLH has applied this method and the same multiplier to the estimated <u>room revenue differential</u> assuming a reversion year in <u>2023</u> (5 years post completion of the proposed Moscone Center Expansions) in order to estimate the incremental value difference between the Expansion and No Expansion scenarios for the two zones using the RRM Approach.

We have applied this multiplier to our forecast Real Room Revenue differential (based on the Real Room Revenue forecast presented in JLLH's June 21, 2012 report). The following table summarizes the result of the RRM Approach:

Room Reve	enue Multiplier Ápproach	i on 2023
	Zone 1 No Expansion VS. Zone 1 Expansion	Zone 2 No Expansion VS. Zone 2 Expansion
Real Revenue Differential	\$1,333	\$371
Room Revenue Multiplier	4.73	4.73
Real Value Differential	\$6,307	\$1,755
	×.	the second s

Via the RRM Approach, JLLH concludes that hotel values are likely to be directly enhanced by the completion of the Moscone Convention Center proposed expansions and likely achieve a total increase (enhancement) in value of \$6,300 for Zone 1 and \$1,750 in Zone 2 overall.

6 Appendices

6.1 Glossary

- Average Daily Rate (ADR): A measure of the average rate paid for rooms sold, which is calculated by dividing total room revenue by total rooms sold.
- Capitalization Rate (Cap Rate): A rate used to convert a property's net operating income to value. The cap rate equals the net operating income divided by the property's purchase price.
- Compounded Annual Growth Rate (CAGR): The year-over special growth rate of a measure over a period of time.
- Occupancy: The percentage of available rooms that were sold during a specified period of time, which
 is calculated by dividing total rooms sold by total rooms available.
- Per Available Room (PAR): Total rooms available.
- Profit Per Available Room (ProPAR): A hotel's EBITDA per available room nights.
- Revenue per Available Room (RevPAR) The total room revenue divided by total rooms available.
 Occupancy multiplied by ADR is equal to RevPAR.
- Room Revenue Multiplier (RRM): A rate used to convert a property's room revenue to value. The room
 revenue multiplier equals the purchase price divided by the property's room revenue.
- Smith Travel Research (STR): STR tracks supply and demand data for the hotel industry within the
 U.S. and globally.

ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

This report is made with the following general assumptions and limiting conditions:

- As in all studies of this type, the estimated results are based upon competent and efficient management and presume no significant changes in the economic environment from that as set forth in this report. Since our forecasts are based on estimates and assumptions which are subject to uncertainty and variation, we do not represent them as results which will actually be achieved.
- 2. Responsible ownership and competent property management are assumed.
- 3. The information furnished by others is believed to be reliable, but no warranty is given for its accuracy.
- 4. It is assumed that there are no hidden or unapparent conditions of the property, subsoil or structures.
- 5. It is assumed that the property will be in full compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local environmental regulations and laws unless the lack of compliance is stated, described, and considered in the report.
- 6. It is assumed that the property will conform to all applicable zoning and use regulations and restrictions.
- 8. Possession of this report, or a copy thereof, does not carry with it the right of publication.
- The consultant, by reason of this report, is not required to give further consultation or testimony or to be in attendance in court with reference to the property in question unless arrangements have been previously made.
- 10. Neither all nor any part of the contents of this report (especially any conclusions as to value, the identity of the consultant, or the firm with which the consultant is connected) shall be disseminated to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media without the prior written consent and approval of the consultant.

COPYRIGHT @ JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012. All Rights Reserved



Real value in a changing world

Greg Hartmann Executive Vice President +1 303 390 5249 greg.hartmann@am.jll.com

Andrea Grigg Senior Vice President +1 415 395 4977 andrea.grigg@am.jll.com

Tu-Uyen Do Associate +1 415 395 4955

tuuyen.do@am.jll.com

COPYRIGHT © JONES LANG LASALLE IP, INC. 2012.

This publication is the sole property of Jones Lang LaSalle IP, Inc. and must not be copied, reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, either in whole or in part, without the prior written consent of Jones Lang LaSalle IP, Inc.

The information contained in this publication has been obtained from sources generally regarded to be reliable. However, no representation is made, or warranty given, in respect of the accuracy of this information. We would like to be informed of any inaccuracies so that we may correct them.

Jones Lang LaSalle does not accept any liability in negligence or otherwise for any loss or damage suffered by any party resulting from reliance on this publication.

SFTID SAN FRANCISCO TOURISM IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

Moscone Expansion District Petition Submittal Report November 8, 2012

Total Weighted Support* Total Weighted Opposition*

53.97% 2.15%

*Weighted Petition Support and Opposition totals were analyzed by the Treasures and Tax Collectors Office

201 third street | suite 900 | san francisco | ca 94103 p 415 974.6900 | f 415 227.2602 OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE MAYOR

TO:	Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM:	Mayor Edwin M. Lee
RE:	Substitute Resolution File No. 130043 - Resolution to Establish Moscone Expansion Business Improvement District
DATE:	January 29, 2013

Attached for substituteion to the Board of Supervisors is the resolution of Formation: establishing a business-based business improvement district to be known as the "Moscone Expansion District;" levying assessments against defined hotel businesses located in that district for thirty-two (32) years; providing for the determination, imposition, collection and enforcement of the assessments; and making environmental findings.

Please note this item is cosponsored by Supervisor Kim.

I request that this item be calendared at the Board of Supervisors meeting on February 5, 2013.

Should you have any questions, please contact Jason Elliott (415) 554-5105.



cc. Supervisor Jane Kim

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



BOARDON MAY PRESONS SAN MAY PRESONS

211-2

TO:	Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	
FROM:	Wayor Edwin M. Lee テレ	
RE:	Resolution to Establish Moscone Expansion Business Improvement District	
DATE:	January 15, 2013	

Attached for introduction to the Board of Supervisors is the resolution of Formation: establishing a business-based business improvement district to be known as the "Moscone Expansion District;" levying assessments against defined hotel businesses located in that district for thirty-two (32) years; providing for the determination, imposition, collection and enforcement of the assessments; and making environmental findings.

Please note this item is cosponsored by Supervisor Kim.

I request that this item be calendared in Budget and Finance Committee on January 23, 2013.

Should you have any questions, please contact Jason Elliott (415) 554-5105.

cc. Supervisor Jane Kim