2

Committee Item No. <u>4</u> Board Item No.

# COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee PUBLIC SAFETY

Date 2/17/11

**Board of Supervisors Meeting** 

Date\_3/1/11

# Cmte Board Motion Resolution Ordinance **Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report** Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report MOU Grant Information Form **Grant Budget** Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application **Public Correspondence** (Use back side if additional space is needed) OTHER Form 126

Completed by:Gail JohnsonDateJ/14/11Completed by:JgDate2/72/11

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

\_\_\_\_\_



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

#### **RESOLUTION NO.**

[Accept and Expend Grant - Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Continuation Project - \$141,586]

Resolution authorizing the Department of Public Health to retroactively accept and expend a grant from the Department of Justice in the amount of \$141,586 to fund the project entitled "Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Continuation Project" for the period of October 1, 2010, through September 30, 2011.

WHEREAS, The DOJ has agreed to fund DPH in the amount of \$141,586 for the period of October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011; and,

WHEREAS, The full project period of the grant starts on October 1, 2010 and ends on September 15, 2013, with years two and three subject to availability of funds and satisfactory progress of the project; and,

WHEREAS, The Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Continuation Project is to expand supervised visitation services and safe exchanges to families in which domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault or stalking is present; and,

WHEREAS, As a condition of receiving the grant funds, DOJ requires the City to enter into an agreement (the "Agreement"), a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. <u>110159</u>; which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and,

WHEREAS, DPH will subcontract with Rally Family Visitation Services of Saint Frances Memorial Hospital and La Casa de las Madres in the total amount of \$120,356; for the period of October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011; and,

> Supervisor Cohen BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1

WHEREAS, An ASO amendment is not required as the grant partially reimburses DPH for one existing positions, one Assistant Health Educator (Job Class #2819) at .15 FTE, for the period of October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011; and,

WHEREAS, The grant budget includes a provision for indirect costs in the amount of \$14,132; and,

RESOLVED, That DPH is hereby authorized to retroactively accept and expend a grant in the amount of \$141,586 from the DOJ; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That DPH is hereby authorized to retroactively accept and expend the grant funds pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code section 10.170-1; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Director of Health is authorized to enter into the agreement on behalf of the City.

**RECOMMENDED:** 

Barbara Garcia, MPA. Director of Health APPROVED:

Office of the Mayor

Office of the Controller

# City and County of San Francisco



То:	OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER, GRANTS DIVISIO ROOM 300, CITY HALL	)N
То:	Office of the Mayor Room 291B City Hall	o S
FROM:	Ann Santos, Office of Policy and Planni DPH Grants Manager; 255-3546	NG
DATE:	January 14, 2010	
SUBJECT:	ACCEPT & EXPEND RESOLUTION PACKETS	

Attached please find eight accept & expend grant resolution packets from the Department of Public Health for your review and signature.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me at 255-3546 or <u>ann.santos@sfdph.org</u>

Thank you.

# City and County of San Francisco

**Department of Public Health** 



Edwin Lee Mayor

#### Barbara Garcia, MPA Director of Health

TO:	Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM:	Barbara Garcia, MPA Director of Health
DATE:	January 12, 2011
SUBJECT:	Grant Accept and Expend
ODANT TITLE.	

GRANT TITLE: Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Continuation Project - \$141,586

Attached please find the original and 4 copies of each of the following:

- Proposed grant resolution, original signed by Department
- Grant information form, including disability checklist -
- Budget and Budget Justification
- Grant application
- Award Letter / Agreement
  - Other (Explain):

**Special Timeline Requirements:** 

Departmental representative to receive a copy of the adopted resolution:

Name: Ann	Santos
-----------	--------

Phone: 255-3546

Interoffice Mail Address: Dept. of Public Health, Community Behavioral Health, 1380 Howard St.

Certified copy required Yes

No

(415) 554-2600

170 101 Grove Street

San Francisco, CA 94102-4593

#### File Number:

(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

#### **Grant Information Form**

(Effective March 2005)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. Grant Title: Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Continuation Project

2. Department: Department of Public Health, Community Behavioral Health Services

3. Contact Person: Alice Gleghorn, Ph.D.

Telephone: 415 255 3722

4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

[ x] Approved by funding agency

Not yet approved

5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$424,757 (Year 1=\$141,586, Year 2=\$141,586, Year3=\$141,586)

6a. Matching Funds Required: \$N/A

b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):

7a. Grant Source Agency: Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women

b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable):

8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: The purpose of this grant is to expand supervised visitation services and safe exchanges to families in which domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault or stalking is present in San Francisco's underserved and isolated communities by Rally Family Visitation Services of St Francis Memorial Hospital. This project will insure the full implementation of two satellites centers in the Mission and Bay View Hunter's Point neighborhoods where many clients who need supervised visitation live.

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Approved Year One Project: Start-Date: 10/1/2010 End-Date: 9/30/2011 Full Project Period: Start-Date: 10/1/2010 End-Date: 9/30/2013

10a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$361,068 (Year 1=\$120,356, Year 2=\$120,356, Year3=\$120,356)

b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? No

Rally Family Visitation Services= \$247,463 (Year1=\$82,488, Year2==\$82,488, Year3= =\$82,488) La Casa de las Madres= \$41,947 (Year1=\$13,982, Year2=\$13,982, Year3=\$13,982) Other Consultants= \$64,158 (Year1=\$21,386, Year2=\$21,386, Year3=\$21,386) Travel for Consultants= \$7,500 (Year1=\$2,500, Year2=\$2,500, Year3=\$2,500)

c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the department's MBE/WBE requirements? N/A d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One-time 11a. Does the budget include indirect costs? [x] Yes [] No b1. If yes, how much? \$ 14,132 b2. How was the amount calculated? Fringe at 42% DPH rate c. If no, why are indirect costs not included? [] Not allowed by granting agency [] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services [] Other (please explain): c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? 12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: We respectfully request for approval to accept and expend these funds retroactive to October 1, 2010. The Department received the original notice of award on 9/23/2010, but did not receive notification of an approved budget until 12/2/10. The grant code is: HCSAO411. \*\*Disability Access Checklist\*\*\* 13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply): [x] Existing Site(s) [] Existing Structure(s) [x] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Site(s) [] New Structure(s) 14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator and/or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section: Comments: Departmental or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer Jason Hashimoto Date Reviewed: Department Approval: Barbara Gareia, MPA Director of Health

2. .

# Safe Havens Grant Budget: 10/01/2010 through 9/30/2013

	Year 1	ar 1	Year 2	Year 3	
Budget Item	ŧ	15 077 8	15 927 \$	15,927	
	47.780   5	A 176'01		000	
Total Personnel (K. Wilnioza) 3 years 4	2 KOD 8	833 \$	833 \$	833	
Total Personnel Travel 3 years \$	2,000 ×	117 856 \$	117,856 \$	117,856	
Total Consultant Pavroll, Fringe & Other 3 years \$	* 000°C	2 200 4	2 500 \$	2,500	
Total Convittant Travel 3 vears 5	1,500 \$	2,000	e 017	V 470	
	13 409 \$	4,470 \$	4,4/0 9	4,4,0	
Kent &		141 586 \$	141.586   \$	141,586	
Total Rudget 3 Years: \$	¢ 101,424	+ 00- ···	411 585 C	141 586	
Total Award 2 years: \$	424,757 \$	141,580 \$	* 000'1 11		
I Utal Awalu & Jeurst +					
	-			•	
1) Personnel	•				

	2
-	-
ne	
~	
rsol	
പ്പ	
Ξ	
-	

Year 3	11,215.93 4,710.69 15,927	
Year 2	11,215.93 \$ 4,710.69 \$ 15,927 \$	
Year 1	11,215.93 \$ 4,710.69 \$ 15,927 \$	
	47,780 \$	
Calant		
linator	Computation \$72,592 × 0.15 FTE × 3  \$ Fringe @ 42% (DPH Fringe) \$	
Project Coordinator		

# 2) Travel:

Year 3	\$400	\$233	\$150	. \$50	0004	0000
Year 2	\$400	\$233	\$150	\$EO	000	\$833
Year 1	\$400	\$233	\$150	o cue	000	\$833
		+   	1 people x 2 nights x 2 trips	1 people x 3 days x 2 trips	\$150 Strins	Total Personnel Travel: \$2,500
<li>z) Itavel. Purpose of Travel: OVW Training and Travel</li>	Item	Airfare	Hotel \$175/night x	Meals \$75/dav x		Ground Transportation

L Evided through other sources Funded through other sources

Supplies
 Funded through other sources
 Subotal Supplies \$

5) Construction Funded through other sources Subotal Construction \$

y & FB Year 1 68 133 \$ 22,711	0 400 0 03 143	9	54,988 \$ 18,329		64 404	192,557 \$ 04,104	 50 419 5 16.806		Ą	247 463 48 82.488	1047 0 13 087		41,947 \$ 13,982		00 440 ¢ 06 470	201-100 + 01+100
Fringe Benefits* Total Salary & FB ه معمع الله 68 133	+	13,179 \$ 0	10 438 \$ 5			36,551 \$ 15	4 00v		4.992   \$	ų	÷.		7 297 \$		÷	48,840 \$ 4
	¢ 007.60	56.250 \$	AA KKO R	44,000 €		156,000 \$		49,920 \$	\$ 020.07		205,920 \$	34,650 \$	JA CEO C	34,030 4		240,570 \$
	\$115.000 x 0.16 FTE x 3  \$	#76 000 V 0 25 FTF X3 \$		\$45,000 × 0.33 FIE × 3 \$	Subtotal Fully Benefited	Rally \$	22U/DOUL XO X Z X 22	weeks x 3  \$		Subtotal Houriy Hally	Total Rally \$	#35 000 × 0 33 × 3 \$		Total La Casa \$	Total Base Consultant	Payroll & FB: \$
Service Provided	ive Director	- 1	Program Manager	Case Coordinator				Direct auponyleion	nilen ander viani				Family Auvucate			-
6) Consultants	Name of Personnen/Consumant (Namy)	Sonia Melara	David Duffey		Moliidae Ivodaeiia				Visitation Specialists				Econity Advocate (through 1 a Casa)			

\* Fringe Percentage: Rally Fully-Benefited - 23.43%, Rally Hourly - 10%, La Casa - 21.06%

•	4	
1		
		·.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· · ·	
		•
	· .	
		• •
•		
•		
·		
\$		·
(		
	• • • • •	
•		
· · · ·	н ж	
•	ear 3 22,711 18,329 14,329 14,329 14,329 14,329 14,329 14,329 13,982 13,982 13,982	96,470
	Year 3 22,7 22,7 18,3,1,1 18,3,1,1 16,8 11,8,3 16,8 13,99 13,99 13,99 13,99 13,99	96
	<u>କ୍ରର୍ଭ୍ୟର୍ଭ୍ୟର୍</u> ୟ	
	888 822 822 822 822 822 822 822 822 822	02
	Year 2 22,711 \$ 22,711 \$ 18,329 \$ 64,184 \$ 16,806 \$ 18,324 \$ 18,324 \$ 13,324 \$ 13,982 \$ 13,982 \$	96,470 \$
	×	
	တ္ မ မ မ မ မ မ မ မ	\$
· .		

ო

Safe Havens Grant Budget: 10/01/2010 through 9/30/2013

Safe Havens Grant Budget: 10/01/2010 through 9/30/2013

	Year 3 \$1 200	\$1700	\$700	\$450	061\$	\$2,500
Year 3 1,350 19,136 21,386 21,386	Year 2	00210	\$700	\$450	\$150	\$2,500
Year 2 1,350 \$ 19,136 \$ 900 \$ 21,386 \$	Year 1	- \$1,200	\$700	\$450	\$150	\$2,500
Year 1 1,350 \$ 19,136 \$ 900 \$ 21,386 \$	Cost	\$3,600	\$2,100	\$1,350	\$450	\$7,500
Cost 4,050 \$ 57,408 \$ 2,700 \$ 64,158 \$	Computation	\$600 x3 people x 2 trips	\$175/night x 3 people x 2	\$75/day x 3 people x 3	\$75 x 3 neople x 2 trips	Total Consultant Travel 3 years:
Computation 3 sessions/year x \$450 x 3 \$ 3 sessions/year x \$450 x 3 \$ 1.5 hour/week x 156 weeks x \$23/hour \$ 1.5 hours/month x \$50/hour x 36 months \$ Total Consultant Other 3 years \$	Itom	Airfare	1	HOTE		
7) Consultants - Other Domestic violence prevention trainer Domestic violence prevention trainer Per Diem Security Staff and Security Staff and Security Staff and Security Therapist 1.5 hours/ To	8) Consultant Travel	Purpose of Travel	OVW Training and Travel			

4,470

ю

Year 2 4,470

4.470

Year 1

Total Rent 3 years: 13,409 \$

372.47 x 36 months Rent

Item Satellite Centers Occupancy

9) Other Costs

Year 3

175

N.

# **Budget Detail & Narrative**

1. Personnel

Name/Position Kathleen Minioza, Project Coordinator Kathleen Minioza, Project Coordinator	4 2 2,0 10
---	------------

The Project Coordinator is responsible for the operational and financial aspects of Safe Havens continuation grant as outlined in the grant and Memorandum of Understanding between the partners.

2. Personnel Travel - Project Coordinator: Travel and technical assistance expenses will be allocated over three years for the partners. Computation is based on City and subcontractor allowable reimbursement rates.

	Purpose of Travel Location	Item		Computation	Cost
	OVW Training TBD	Airfar	e	(\$600 x1 people x 2 trips)	\$1,200
	And Travel		Hotel	(\$175/night x 1 peop	ole x
	· ·			2 nights x 2 trips)	\$700
	р	Meals	-	(\$75/day x 1 people x	
			. `	3 days x 2 trips	\$450
				Ground Transportation	
				(\$75 x 1 people x 2 trips)	\$150
			-	Subtotal Travel	\$2,500
3.	Equipment				•
	Funded through other sources	_			
	i unded unough other sources	5.	. •		
				Subtotal Equipment:	\$ 0
4.	Supplies:				
	Funded through other sources				•

5. Construction

Funded through other sources

Subtotal Supplies \$

Subtotal Construction \$

0

0,

1.

# 6. Consultants/Contracts-Sub contracted grant personnel

Name of Personnel/	Service Provided	<u>Computation</u>	<u>Cost</u>
<u>Consultant (</u> Through Rally) Sonia Melara David Duffey Monique Nogueira Visitation Specialists	Executive Director Program Manager Case Coordinator Direct supervision	\$115,000 x 0.16 FTE x 3 \$75,000 x 0.25 FTE x 3 \$45,000 x 0.33 FTE x 3 \$20/hourx8x2x52ws x 3	\$ 55,200 \$ 56,250 \$ 44,550 \$ 49,920
	•	•	

Subtotal Rally Salaries \$205,920

Family Advocate - t	hrough La Casa		<b>024 (50</b>
TBA	Family Advocate	\$35,000 x .33 x 3	\$34,650

#### Subtotal Consultant Salaries: \$ 240,570

2

The Executive Director will oversee the programmatic implementation of the continuation grant. She will be responsible for the submission of financial and program reports to the and be the primary contact with the partners and consulting committee.

The **Program Manager** (PM) will oversee all supervised visitation agency and satellite center(s) activities, staff supervision, training and education. The PM is also responsible for protocols and coordination with SFUFC and community partners regarding client-specific matters. He is responsible to be on-call during direct visitation hours.

The **Case Coordinator** is responsible for scheduling clients for intake orientation & visits, scheduling staff, conducting intake interviews, preparing case reports and customer service activities. She is also responsible to act on the behalf of the PM in his absence.

**Supervised Visitation Specialists** provide direct supervision to families. This is estimated for 8 staff members for one day spread out over three sites. These are regular hourly employees (W-2 are issued) who do not receive full benefits. Therefore, their benefits are calculated at 10% which includes contributions to FICA, Workers Compensation and local required benefits.

The Family Advocate at La Casa will provide direct services in cases involving or indicating further risk to domestic violence. Specifically, the advocate will work with clients to identify needs, provide support, crisis intervention, facilitate peer-based support group and safety planning as requested by the at risk parent.

Fringe Benefits-Rally Fully Benefited staff (Sub contractor SFMH established formula)

<u>Fringe</u>	Computation	Cost
FICA	7.65%	
Unemployment	0.09%	
Health Benefits	12.45%	
Pension	0.88%	
Workers Comp	2.01%	
Other Benefits	0.35%	
Total	. 23.43%	
	\$155,630 x 23.43%	\$36,551

Fringe Benefits - Rally hourly s	taff
Fringe	
FICA	7.65%
Workers Comp	2.01%
Other	
	\$49,920 x 10.00%

\$ 4,992

Fringe Benefits – La Casa		
FICA	7.65%	
Unemployment Compensation	.10%	
Health Insurance	1.40%	
Life Insurance	.41%	
Worker's Compensation	10.84%	
Long Term Disability	.66%	
	\$34,450 x 21.06%	\$7,297

	and the second	
C Ltotal C-	h Contra ston Entra Don of to	040 040
– Sudtotal Sl	1b-Contractor Fringe Benefits	\$48,840
		\$ 10,010

La Casa de Las Madres	Domestic violence prevention trainer 3 sessions/year x \$450.00 x 3	\$ 4,050
Per diem Security Staff	Provide security and escort to DV victims/children at all Centers one day/week. Two guards x 8 hours/wk	
	x156 wks x \$23/hour	\$ 57,408
1 Family Therapist	Provides monthly case consultation to visitation staff 1.5 hours/mo x \$50/hour x 36 months	<u>\$ 2,700</u>

#### Subtotal Consultant Other \$ 64,158

Subtotal Consultant

\$ 353,568

Cost

\$3,600

\$2,100

\$1,350

\$450

7. Consultant Travel: Travel and technical assistance expenses will be allocated over three years for the partners. Computation is based on City and subcontractor allowable reimbursement rates.

<u>Purpose of Travel</u> <u>Location</u> OVW Training TBD And Travel	<u>Item</u> Airfare Hotel	<u>Computation</u> (\$600 x3 people x 2 trips) (\$175/night x 3 people x
-		2 nights x 2 trips)
	Meals	(\$75/day x 3 people x
		3 days x 2 trips
		Ground Transportation

Subtotal Consultant Travel \$7,500

(\$75 x 3 people x 2 trips)

8. Other Costs

Satellite Centers Occupancy - \$372,47/ (flat rate)	mo x 36 months Subtotal Other Cost	\$13,409 <b>\$13.409</b>
_	:	

9. Indirect

No indirect cost is requested

Subtotal Indirect	\$	0

TOTAL BUDGET \$

\$ 424,757

4

	Total Budget
1. Personnel	\$33,648
Fringe @ DPH Rate (46.27%)	\$14,132
Personnel Total:	\$47,780
2. Personnel Travel	\$2,500
-	·
3. Equipment	\$ 0
4. Supplies	\$ 0
5. Construction	\$ 0
6 Conceltente/Contracto	<u> </u>
6. Consultants/Contracts	\$353,568
7. Consultant Travel	\$7.500
	\$7,500
8. Other Costs: Occupancy	\$ 13,409
Total Direct Costs	\$ 424,757
	· · · · ·
9. Indirect Costs	\$ 0
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$ 424,757
Federal Request	\$ 424,757
Non-Federal Amount	\$ 0

# San Francisco Safe Havens-Budget Summary

180

# Change Grantee Contact or Alternate Contact/Principal Investigator GAN

Page 1 of 2

٩	GRAI	OFFICE OF JUS	NT OF JUSTICE TICE PROGRAMS TMENT NC	TICE	
• •	Gra	ntee Info	ormation		
Grantee Name:	City and County of San Francisco	Project Period:	10/01/2010 - 09/30/2013	GAN Number:	002
Grantee Address:	1 DR. CARLTON B GOODLET PLACE SAN FRANCISCO, 94102	Program Office:	ovw	Date:	01/25/2011
Grantee DUNS Number:	07-038-4255	Grant Manager:	Michelle Dodge		· · · ·
Grant <del>ee</del> EIN:	94-6000479	Application Number(s):	2010-X2329- CA-CW		2000 - 2000 N
Vendor #:	946000479	Award Number:	2010-CW-AX- K025		
Project Title:	San Francisco Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Program	Award Amount:	\$424,757.00		

Change G	irantee Contact or Altern	ate Contact/	Principal Investigator
	Con	tact	
Either	New Point of Contact Informat Information	ion or New Alte	rnate Point of Contact
Current P	oint of Contact Information	New Point	of Contact Information
Prefix	Mr.	*Prefix	Dr.
Prefix (Other)		Prefix (Other)	
First Name	Brian	*First Name	Alice
Middle		Middle Initial	
Initial		*Last Name	Gleghorn
Last Name	Cheu	Suffix	
Suffix Suffix		Suffix (Other)	
(Other) Title	Director	*Title	Director of Alcohol and Drug Programs
Address Line 1	1 South Van Ness	*Address Líne 1	1380 Howard Street
Address Line 2	Sth Floor	Address Line 2	4th Floor
City	San Francisco	*City	San Francisco
State	California	*State	Californía
Zip	94103 - 5416	*Zip	94103 - 2651
Phone	(415) 701-5584 Ext		
Fax	(415) 701-5501	*Phone	(415) 255-3722 Ext
Email	brian.cheu@sfgov.org	Fax	(415) 255-3529
х - т.		ļi I	

#### DEPARTMENT OF HISTICE 110

https://grants.oin.usdoi.gov/gmsexternal/gan/granteeContactGAN.st

Change Grantee Contact or Alternate Contact/Principal Investigator GAN

alice.gleghorn@sfdph.org \*Email Alternate Contact/Principal Investigator New Alternate Point of Contact **Current Alternate Point of Contact** Information Information Chairman \*Prefix Prefix Chairman Prefix Prefix (Other) (Other) \*First Name First Name **Middle Initial** Middle Initial \*Last Name Last Name Suffix Suffix Suffix (Other) Suffix (Other) \*Title Title \*Address Address Line 1 Line 1 Address Line Address 2 Line 2 \*City Ċity Alabama \*State Alabama State \*Zip -Zip Phone Ext Ext \*Phone Fax Fax Email \*Email Comments/Additional Information الم ا ÷ Attachments: Timestamp: User: Filename: sfincjc 01/19/2011 6:40 PM Ltr. to M. Dodge.pdf Print Audit Trail: User: Timestamp: Role: Description: 01/25/2011 1:16 PM dodgem PO - Grant Manager Approved-Final 01/19/2011 6:40 PM sfmcjc Submitted PO - Grant Manager

https://grants.ojp.usdoj.gov/gmsexternal/gan/granteeContactGAN.st

# )VW FY 10 Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation Grant Program

# https://grants.ojp.usdoj.gov/gmsexternal/submitApplication.do



OVW FY 10 Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation Grant Program 2010-X2329-CA-CW



#### Submit Application

<u>Help/Frequently</u> <u>Asked Questions</u>

GMS Home

Log Off

Your application for the OVW FY 10 Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation Grant Program has been successfully submitted. You will no longer be able to edit any information submitted. However, you can log in any time to view the application information.

You will be contacted by the Program Office when your application is processed or any other action is required by you.

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE	2. DATE SUBMITTED	Applicant Identifier
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION	3. DATE RECEIVED BY	State Application II
Application Non-Construction	STATE	State Application Identifier
	4. DATE RECEIVED BY	Federal Identifier
	FEDERAL AGENCY	r cucrai identifier
5.APPLICANT INFORMATION	1	
Legal Name		Organizational Unit
City and County of San Francisco	n i i	
Address		Mayor's Office of Housing
1 South Van Ness 5th Floor		Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application
San Francisco, California 94103-5416		Cheu, Brian (415) 701-5584
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATIO	ON NUMBER (EIN)	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT
94-6000479		
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION		Municipal
Continuation	· · · · · ·	9. NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY
		Office on Violence Against Women
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DO NUMBER: 16.527	OMESTIC ASSISTANCE	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT
CFDA TITLE: Supervised Visitat		San Francisco Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Program
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PRO.	JECT	
City and County of San Francisco		
13. PROPOSED PROJECT		
Start Date: October 01,		14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF
End Date: September	30, 2013	a. Applicant
		b. Project CA08
5. ESTIMATED FUNDING		0.100
ederal	\$650,000	16. IS APPLICATION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE
pplicant	\$0	EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372
tate	\$0	PROCESS?
ocal		Program has not been selected by

184

https://grants.oin.usdoi.gov/gmsevternal/on-lighting.

Ι

Other	\$0	
Program Income	\$0	17. IS THE APPLICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT?
TOTAL	\$650,000	N
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLE PREAPPLICATION ARE TRUE ANI AUTHORIZED BY GOVERNING BO COMPLY WITH THE ATTACHED A	O CORRECT, THE DOCU	I AND THE APPLICANT WILL

Close Window

Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program

City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing

OVW Fiscal Year 2010

# Section III. – Financial Accounting Practices

Will all funds awarded under this program be maintained in an account that is separate and distinct from other sources of revenue/funding?

Yes. The City and County of San Francisco maintains a sophisticated accounting system to track expenditures and revenues related to grants. All grants are separated from the City's General Fund dollars in the accounting system by use of different Funds and Subfunds. Further, each individual grant is segregated into its own Grant Detail which allows all expenditures and revenues to be accurately tracked. At any given time, all drawdowns and expenditures related to a particular grant can be identified and summarized.

# Does the applicant have written accounting procedures?

Yes. The City and County of San Francisco has extensive written accounting procedures in place and independent Controller's Office and Treasurer's Office functions which oversee financial management and accounting. These procedures are regularly audited by a variety of external auditors.

What type of inventory system does the applicant have in place?

All equipment expenditures greater than \$5,000 are inventoried.

Does the applicant's current accounting system allow the applicant to separately track grant drawdowns and expenditures?

Yes. See response to the first question above.

Does the applicant have a risk management assessment process in place to identify and mitigate potential risks?

Yes. In addition to a separate Risk Management division of the General Services Agency, risk management and assessment is a regular part of our daily financial operations. We have a wide range of internal controls which serve to identify and mitigate potential financial risks.

What is the applicant's records retention policy?

We have an extensive records retention policy. For grant expenditures, we retain all records for five years after the grant terminates.

Has the individual primarily responsible for fiscal oversight attended a Fiscal Management Training Seminar put on by a U.S. government agency? If yes, when and which government agency?

Our finance staff regularly attends trainings in financial management put on by the US Department of Housing and Urban Development. The next training to be attended by our Chief Financial Officer and our Fiscal Operations Manager, "CDBG By the Numbers," will take place on April 20-22, 2010.

# MAYOR'S OFFICE OF HOUSING CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM MAYOR

DOUGLAS SHOEMAKER DIRECTOR

# City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program OVW Fiscal Year 2010

#### **IV Summary Data Sheet**

Applicant Authorized Representative:

Applicant Authorized Contact

Brian Cheu, Director of Community Development Mayor's Office of Housing/Community Development Division 1 South Van Ness Ave. 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 Phone: (415)701-5584 Email: Brian.Cheu@sfgov.org

Brian Cheu, Director of Community Development Mayor's Office of Housing/Community Development Division 1 South Van Ness Ave. 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 Phone: (415)701-5584 Email: Brian.Cheu@sfgov.org

The agency spent over \$500,000 in Federal Funds by the end of June 2009.

Non-Profit Non-Governmental Victim Services Program:

La Casa de Las Madres

Visitation Center:

Rally Family Visitation Services Saint Francis Memorial Hospital 900 Hyde Street San Francisco, CA 94109 (415) 353-6595 (415) 353- 6534 (fax)

Applicant Type:

**Continuation Project** 

1 South Van Ness Avenue, Fifth Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103 Phone: (415) 701-5500 Fax: (415) 701-55987DD: (415) 701-5503 www.sfgov.org/moh Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing

# OVW Fiscal Year 2010

#### V. PROPOSAL ABSTRACT

The purpose of this continuation project is to continue to expand supervised visitation services and safe exchanges to families in which domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault or stalking is present in San Francisco's underserved and isolated communities. This project will ensure the full implementation of two satellite centers in communities where a large number of clients who need supervised visitation live. Although, Rally Family Visitation Services' main location is centrally located in the City close to the court, it is not close to where many families live. The project goals are: Goal 1- Increase supervised visitation and monitored exchange services in cases of domestic violence, sexual assault, child abuse, dating violence or stalking, Goal 2 - Fully implement services at the satellite centers and therefore provide families with choice of location and safety. Goal 3 - Provide supervised visitation location options taking into consideration safety risks and the preference of the at risk parent. Goal 4 – Continue on-going review of safety policies and protocols at all facilities to ensure the safety of children and at risk parents. Goal 5- Provide supervised visitation and monitored exchange services to 150 families per year with a history of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, child abuse, or stalking at three sites. Goal 6 - Continue to develop protocols and procedures with the partners to ensure the safety of children and at risk parents. Goal 7- Ensure the long term viability of the services and their integration into the City's domestic violence continuum of services. Under the coordination and oversight of the Mayor's Office of Housing, this project brings together the partnership of La Casa de Las Madres, Rally Family Visitation Center of Saint Francis Memorial Hospital, San Francisco Unified Family Court, and the San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center SafeStart Initiative.

-			. ¢					
· O .	– San Francisc	rie Slabach	nmissioner Marge	ce I Duffey-Rally, Con	Collaborative Practi Black-La Casa, David	<b>ioning Solutions for</b> ce of Housing, Kathy	Boston – August 18-20/08 Supervised Visitation Program: Envisioning Solutions for Collaborative Practice Attendees: Brian Cheu – Mayor's Office of Housing, Kathy Black-La Casa, David Duffey-Rally, Commissioner Margerie Slabach – San Francisco Unified Family Court.	Bc At
	l Liberty Place	to Faith and		nent to Policy Dev	loving from Assessr Melara - Rally	Grantee Meeting – N And Duffey and Sonia	Dallas Texas – May 12-14/08 Supervised Visitation Development Grantee Meeting – Moving from Assessment to Policy Deve Attendees: Kathy Black – La Casa, David Duffey and Sonia Melara - Rally	A S D
• •	- <u> </u>	3lack – La Ci	i <b>on</b> – - Rally, and Kathy Black – La Casa.	<b>Grantee Orientati</b> nd Sonia, Melara -	<b>rant Program New</b> ice, David Duffey ar	ange Development G ffice Of Criminal Just	Washington DC December 11-14/07 Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Development Grant Program New Grantee Orientation Attendees: Rod Seymore - Mayor's Office Of Criminal Justice, David Duffey and Sonia, Melara – Ra	A S A
			•		. •	INING ATTENDED	LIST OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TRAINING ATTENDED	. 1
				•	•	• • •		
	ved by	l and approv	as been submitted and approved by	once an invoice ha	urpose of this chart	red "spent" for the p	<b>**</b> Note that funds are only considered "spent" for the purpose of this chart once an invoice has a contractor or consultant.	س نو
· .	\$408,452	\$6,344	\$378,299	\$23,809	10/1/08-9/30/11	2008-EW-AX-K005	End Violence Against and Abuse of Women Later in Life	
	\$189,892	\$6,124	\$170,135	\$13,633	10/1/07-9/30/10	2007-CW-AX-0005	Visitacion and Safe Exchange Enhanced Training and Services to	
	Total	Travel	Contracts/ Consultants	Personnel	Project Period	Award Number	Program Safe Havens: Supervised	
		3/5/10	nds Unspent as of 3/5/10	Fund				
. •								
/ - 2010	<u>97 17 FISCAL Y EAF 2007 - 2010</u>	CT 11 1130			TS	T OVW PROJEC	VI. SUMMARY OF CURRENT OVW PROJECTS	
Program Housing	hange Grant r's Office of	d Safe Exc. sco, Mayou	sed Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program ity of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing	Safe Havens: Supervised City and County	Safe I			

Seattle - September 10-12/08 Safe Havens Development Grantee All Site Meeting – Addressing Safety in All Aspects of our Work and visit to Kent Center Attendees: Brian Cheu - Mayor's Office of Housing , Kathy Black – La Casa and Sonia Melara-Rally	nt Center.
Minnesota, 10/9-11/08 Visit Children Safety Center for Satellite models Attendees: Kathy Black - La Casa and Sonia Melara - Rally	
<u>Boston 11/18/08</u> Institute on Fatherhood Technical Assistance Attendees; Robin Pry - Child Abuse Prevention Center SafeStart Innitiative, Tina Gilbert - Probation Department Bat Kathy Black - La Casa and Sonia Melara - Rally	epartment Batterers Intervention Programs,
St Paul/Minnesota, June 23-25 2009 <u>Supervised Visitation Grantee Roundtable, Recognizing and Understanding Battery</u> Attendees: Monique Nogueira, Rally Case Coordinator	
St/Paul Minnesota, August 5-7/09 <u>Supervised Visitation Grantee Roundtable, Building the Practice of Orientation</u> <u>Attendees: Sonia Melara and David Duffey - Rally</u>	
San Diego, September 3-4/09 National Conference on Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Attendees: Doris Lee – Mayor's Office of Housing, Sonia Melara - Rally, Kathy Black – La Casa, and Judge Ellen Chai Family Court.	udge Ellen Chaitin – San Francisco Unified
Phoenix - February 4-5/10 Supervised Visitation Program: Envisioning Solutions for Collaborative Practice Attendees: Doris Lee – Mayor's Office of Housing, Sonia Melara - Rally, Sara Sedano – La Casa, Judge Patrik Mahon Family Court.	Patrik Mahoney – San Francisco Unified
Hollywood/ Florida March 3-4/10 <u>Supervised Visitation Grantee Roundtable, Building the Practice of Orientation</u> Attendees: Monique Nogueira and Anna Mikuiya-Junher - Rally	
	•

Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing OVW Fiscal Year 2010

# VII. PROJECT NARRATIVE

#### A. Purpose of Application

**Problem and How Funding Would Alleviate it:** A continuation grant will assist the partners to fully establish the satellite centers proposed for the development grant and deliver services to the most underserved section of San Francisco. Family violence has been the leading cause of all solved homicides for women in the City. The June 2009 report released by newly established San Francisco Domestic Violence Council indicates that in FY 2007-2008, the local 911 dispatchers reported 6,583 domestic violence calls. Thirty two percent were classified as assaults and 1% as aggravated assaults. However, according to newly appointed San Francisco Police Chief, Gorge Gascón (San Francisco Examiner, 2009), an approximate 1, 200 additional cases of domestic violence per year - at least over the past five years - were not reported to the Department of Justice as aggravated assaults. These numbers raise the combined percentage of assault cases to 50% and aggravated assaults to 18% of all domestic violence calls received. The District Attorney's Victims Services Unite handled 2813 cases in the last fiscal year (2008-09). Of those, 540 were domestic violence cases.

Available local statistics do not reflect statistics related to separated families, or the risks to women leaving their abusive partners, especially when there are children involved. The San Francisco Unified Family Court reports that approximately 500 families a year need supervised visitation or monitored exchange services. However, for a variety of reasons, many choose or are ordered by the court to use traditional exchanges and visitation arrangements, such as in person or at a relative's home, Police stations, restaurants or other "public" place. In these instances, the abuser retains a captive audience with the ex-partner and the child(ren). Monitored

Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing <u>OVW Fiscal Year 2010</u>

exchanges and supervised visitation provide families with a safe environment for contact, while protecting all family members from exposure to conflict and abuse, especially against the at risk parent. Unsupervised visits have been fatal for many parents in cases of domestic violence. In July of 2009, a resident of San Francisco Bay area, James Mitchell, violated his restraining order after he heard that he had been ordered to a domestic violence rehabilitation program and that his ex-girlfriend had been granted custody of their daughter. With the pretext of wanting to visit his daughter, James Mitchell went to his ex-girlfriend's house and beat her to death with a bat.

Of all services reported by Rally Family Visitation Services (Rally), 70-80% are domestic violence cases. In 2008, the program conducted a Needs Assessment funded by the Office of Violence Against Women (OVW). The Needs Assessment confirmed these numbers and also indicated that in approximately 20% of the cases, women did not disclose domestic violence to the court. This means that the court may have little information when deciding on the safety needs of families and, therefore, may not order supervised visitation/monitored exchanges when needed.

Most families who call the police with domestic violence claims in San Francisco, press criminal charges, seek protective orders, go to family court on custody/visitation matters, and/or seek supervised visitation/ monitored exchange services are more likely to come from specific isolated diverse and low- income neighborhoods. Through the 2008 survey, Rally found that the majority of families being served were from these neighborhoods. This fact has not changed. In addition, the percentage of families served by Rally in FY 2008-09 increased by 36.4%. Further, the demographics since the development grant was awarded have changed at a significant level. While the percentage of Latino or White families being served has either not changed or gone down, the percentage of African American families being served has increased to 20% of the

193

Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing <u>OVW Fiscal Year 2010</u>

total families being served while the city's African American population has declined to an alltime low of 6.8%.

The OVW development grant has allowed Rally to expand services to communities at risk of domestic violence by opening two satellite centers in these communities. One of the satellite centers is fully operational in the Bayview district of San Francisco. The program signed a lease agreement with an existing community center that runs a Head Start facility in the area. Rally uses the facility during weekends when the program is not in operation. The Bayview is in the South East section of the City with a population that is identified as being predominantly African American. The second satellite center is in the process of opening soon and is aimed at serving another neighborhood where a high concentration of Rally clients live, the Mission district, which has the highest – primarily monolingual - Latino population in San Francisco. The Mission district is highly congested and it is difficulty to find facilities with two entrances. Two sites are presently under evaluation to insure safety protocols.

**Target Population:** Overall, the families currently being served by Rally are representative of the Safe Havens target population. As outlined above, it represents a predominately diverse and underserved population. The most recent bi-annual report submitted to OVW reflects that 86% of the families served under the grant were receiving services at Rally due to domestic violence, 6% due to stalking and 8% due to child abuse. In additions, the families served by Rally in Fiscal Year 2008-2009 included 35% Latino, 20% African American, 20% White, 17% Asian, 4% biracial, and 7% other. Thirty two percent of the families were monolingual, non-English speaking. Sixty four percent earned less than \$20,000 per year. Seventy five percent had a history or allegations of domestic violence – compared to 72% the previous year, and 72% had restraining orders, compared to 59% the previous year.

194

Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing OVW Fiscal Year 2010

Community Service Area: The 2008 estimated census for the City and County of San Francisco reflects the second most densely populated (cities with populations over 200,000) city in the country with a population of 808,976. San Francisco is made up of racially and ethnically diverse residents - 45.6% Caucasian, 31.3% Asian/Pacific Islander, 14.1% Latino, 6.8% African-American, 6% American Indian and Alaska Native, 5% Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander and 2.8% of the population reporting two or more races. Thirty two percent of the City's population was born in another country. Although San Francisco, in 2009, reported a median family income of \$81,136, the significant low-income population faces housing and living costs that are among the highest in the country, second only to New York City nationally. While only 7.6 % of the individual population lives below the federal poverty level, 12.7% of families and 32% of single women with children under 18 live below the federal poverty level. The census data also reveals that of those San Franciscans over the age of 5, almost half speak a language other than English at home (45.7%), and one in four are English-Language Learners (ELL). The largest language groups in San Francisco are Cantonese, Spanish, Tagalog and Russian. Furthermore, 43,710 San Francisco households are "linguistically isolated" with no one over the age of 14 indicating that they speak English "well" or "very well".

The client population, to be served by this grant, reflects a higher percentage of the low income, underserved, and limited English speaking families, than those reported by the census information for the City of San Francisco. In addition, the majority live in low income, isolated neighborhoods with high reports of street and family violence. For instance, as reflected in the Needs Assessment conducted under the OVW development grant, the majority of families reside in the Bayview, Mission, Ocean or Ingleside neighborhoods. These areas of the City are primarily populated by Latino, African American, and Asian/ Pacific Islander families. Seventy

4

Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing <u>OVW Fiscal Year 2010</u>

two percent of families referred to Rally during 2008-09 were families who identified themselves within these three racial/ethnic groups. Thirty two percent could only communicate in a language other than English. These families are not only isolated by distance, but also by language and cultural barriers, leaving them with little access to secure visitation services. Current Services and Gaps: Founded in 1991, Rally Family Visitation services is the only organization that provides safe visitation and exchange services in San Francisco working closely with the court and an extended collaborative that includes adult victims and children services. Although Rally's main location is centrally located in the City and in close proximity to the Court offices, unfortunately it is not easily accessible to the underserved, ethnically isolated sections of the City, where many families served by the program live. In 2007, OVW funded a development grant, allowing Rally to conduct a Needs Assessment to confirm this need and explore the location preference of 2 satellite centers. In addition to confirming the need and the specific communities in need, the Needs Assessment pointed to other very important safety factors. Rally confirmed that while many families want to be closer to where they live, some would prefer other available locations due to risk factors. Therefore, the program's policy is to assign the location of visitation services, at any of the three sites, based on the victim's preference and potential risk factors.

Other OVW grant applications: this applicant has not applied or is applying for other OVW grants at this time.

#### B. What Will Be Done

The Continuation grant will assist to further develop what began during the development phase. The development project proposal grant was committed to expanding supervised visitation and safe exchange options to families with a history of domestic violence, sexual

3/8/2010 12:55 PM,

196

Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing OVW Fiscal Year 2010

assault, dating violence, child abuse, or stalking in isolated communities by establishing two satellite centers in these communities. It was further committed to develop these satellite facilities without increasing the overhead cost for the program, thus ensuring their long term viability. The development grant goals and accomplishments by the partners are as follows: 1) Conduct a needs assessment to determine the need and where visitation centers should be located. This goal was accomplished within the time line commitment and its report was sent to OVW. 2) Establish a minimum of 2 satellite centers in identified communities through the needs assessment. One satellite center is presently in operation in one of the neighborhoods within an existing community center and the same is being planned for the second site. The second is in progress and will be operational in the next few months. Delays in the timeline proposed were experienced due to the date when the City received implementation approval from OVW. 3) Strengthen Community Advisory Committee (Consulting Committee) by incorporating experts from communities served by these centers. This was done in the timeline committed. It is also on ongoing process. 4) Review and implement policies and protocols to ensure they will provide a safe environment at the satellite centers. The policies and procedures were submitted to the consultant/technical assistance team, incorporated proposed changes (see guiding principles below), and the final draft was sent to OVW within the timeline proposed. OVW reviewed them and provided approval for the project's implementation. 5) Hire staff that meet the diversity and linguistic needs of the communities served. Rally has met this goal and holds this is as an ongoing commitment while delivering services. 6) Expand Rally's role as an advocate for supervised visitation services in San Francisco. Rally has joined the City's Domestic Violence Council and its Executive Director has spoken before the Council to advocate for supervised visitation services to become a part of a continuum of services. 7) Maintain program quality

3/8/2010 12:55 PM, 197

# Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing <u>OVW Fiscal Year 2010</u>

assurance and provide consultation to other programs. Rally has been open to provide information to all programs that request it, making sure that these programs understand OVW's guiding principles.

Lastly, Rally was committed to serving 100 families for supervised visitation and 100 for exchange for all three locations. Although, actual project implementation authorization was received in April 2009, by the end of fiscal year 09 (June), Rally had served 196 families (supervised visitation and exchanges), of which 75% had allegations or history of domestic violence as the primary reasons for referral. The last report to OVW (January 10), reflected 78 families receiving services who were either referred due to domestic violence (86%), child abuse (6%) or stalking (8%).

During the development grant period, the partners and members of the consulting team have attended all technical assistance trainings available through its consultants and technical assistance experts, in addition to other training available on line. A list of the technical assistance trainings attended is included with this grant application in the "Summary of Current OVW Projects".

2010-1013 Goals: The continuation grant will allow the partners to continue to implement and strengthen what was started during the development grant phase. The parents are committed to the following goals: Goal 1- Increase supervised visitation and monitored exchange services in cases of domestic violence, sexual assault, child abuse, dating violence or stalking, Goal 2 - Fully implement services at the satellite centers and therefore provide families with (3) choices of location and safety. Goal 3 – Provide supervised visitation location options taking into consideration safety risks and the preference of the at risk parent. Goal 4 – Continue on-going review of safety policies and protocols at all facilities to ensure the safety of children and at risk

Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing OVW Fiscal Year 2010

parents. Goal 5- Provide supervised visitation and monitored exchange services to 150 families per year with a history of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, child abuse, or stalking at three sites. Goal 6 – Continue to develop protocols and procedures with the partners to ensure the safety of children and at risk parents. Goal 7- Ensure the long term viability of the services and their integration into the City's domestic violence continuum of services. <u>Tasks and Activities of each Collaborating Partner:</u> Under the oversight and coordination of the Mayor's Office of Housing, the partners will work together to ensure all goals are met to deliver safe visitation services and monitored exchanges to the target population. The court will provide referrals to the visitation center, La Casa de Las Madres will provide advocacy and support services to women as requested, and the visitation center will provide orientation, safe visitation and monitored exchange services to the families. The families will be assigned to a location based on the safety concerns of the at risk parent. In addition, the Child Abuse Prevention Center SafeStart Initiative will accept referrals for parents (visiting or custodial) who need assistance and for children exposed to violence.

2010-1013 Timeline: Year One -1) Fully establish the opening of the second satellite facility;
2) Evaluate safety protocols and procedures for all facilities; 3) Determine services which will be provided at each center; 4) Provide services at all three facilities.

<u>Year Two</u> - 1) Analyze client population being served at each facility 2) As appropriate, revise policies and procedures to ensure maximum safety for parents at risk and their children; 3) Develop strong community relationships to integrate visitation services in the domestic violence continuum of services.

Year Three-1) All three facilities are fully operational and providing all services; 2) Monitor effectiveness and adjust strategy as necessary; 3) Continue to develop community partnerships.

Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing <u>OVW Fiscal Year 2010</u>

<u>Integration of Guiding Principles</u>: Rally conducted an audit of its practices to ensure that the needs of families in cases of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, stalking and child abuse were being addressed. This resulted in changes to the program's Policies and Procedures, which are now used in staff training and monitored regularly to ensure compliance.

Rally's Mission, Goals and Objectives went through a thoughtful process to ensure it is clear that the safety of children and adult victims is a priority for the program. This section now clarifies this vision, and in addition includes a deepening respect for individual and cultural diversity and the inclusion of community collaborations.

The policies and procedures clarify the program's purpose to its staff and define terms to ensure its practices are within the spirit of Rally's mission. It clarifies that the safety of everyone in the program is the most important aspect of the services, and that everyone is treated respectfully and equally (not the same), ensuring that neutrality is not perceived as being neutral to violence and providing services in an unbiased manner while respecting individual and cultural differences.

The cultural competency policy further clarifies an understanding for the diversity of our client population including race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender, language, age, socioeconomic status, disability, immigration status, or religious affiliation factors. Rally's present administrative and direct service staff, as well as interns, reflects this diversity and languages spoken. Presently, the breakdown for staff is: 36% Latino, 29% Caucasian, 21% Chinese, 7% Filipino and 7% bi-racial (African American/Latina). The breakdown for interns is 29% African American, 29% Caucasian, 29% Asian (Korean/Japanese/Chinese), 13% Filipino. Languages spoken at Rally are: Spanish, Chinese (Cantonese and Mandarin), Portuguese, French, Russian, Korean and Japanese. In addition, 4 members of the staff identify as gay/lesbian. The policies

200
reflect a flexibility that ensures parents can communicate in the language of their choice as long as there is staff that understands such language to ensure the safety of the adult victim.

The safety and security guidelines added a focus on the dynamics of domestic violence and child abuse and the consideration of the welfare of everyone at all stages in the visitation process. Further, it outlines how risk assessment becomes an ongoing process throughout the entire time services are being provided, not just during visits. The policies emphasize the importance of checking in with adult victims and children regarding their safety, in between visits. It further directs the staff to understand the difference between "high conflict" and "domestic violence" cases. Further, specific to domestic violence, the policy focuses on the importance of understanding the link between domestic violence and visitation services, as well as how it may impact the safety of adult victims and children and providing referrals when requested. In addition, Rally changed its policy to no longer limit the amount of time for visitation services and safe exchanges. The length of time for services is based on the safety needs of each family.

#### **Program Specifications**

Scope of services: Rally provides supervised visitation and monitored exchanges. Procedurally, both supervised visitation and exchange services start and end at all Rally's program facilities. The main difference between the two services is that staff is always present during supervised visitation between the non-custodial parent and the children. For supervised visitation, the non-custodial parents and their children are able to visit while being supervised by a staff person (Visitation Specialist) to ensure a safe visit. Supervised visitation begins when the visiting parent arrives and is escorted to a visiting room. The custodial parent arrives 15 minutes later and drops-off the child(ren), who are then escorted to join the non-custodial parent. At the

main location, the rooms have two-way mirrors allowing the staff to stay outside the room. At the satellite centers, there are open rooms and the staff remains in the same room. In all locations, the staff will be in the room if the child requests it or when there are allegations of sexual abuse or abduction risk. Staff interventions are made when program guidelines are violated or the safety and/or well-being of the child(ren) and the at risk parent appears to be in jeopardy during the visit. At the end of the visit, the child(ren) are escorted back to their custodial parent, who is expected to be waiting at a designated area. The non-custodial parent then waits for a period of fifteen minutes before being allowed to depart.

Monitored Exchanges follow the same general protocols as supervised visits. The major difference is that the non-custodial parent picks up the child(ren) for an unsupervised, off-site visit for the specific time-period ordered by the Court. Following the visit, the non-custodial parent returns to the Rally site with the child(ren) and then leave the premises.

Staff interventions are made when program guidelines are violated or the safety and/or well-being of the child(ren) or the at risk parent appears to be in jeopardy during supervised visits and monitored exchanges. Depending on the safety risk for a particular family, the arrival and departure of the parents may be changed to ensure the safety of the at risk parent and the children.

<u>Capacity:</u> Rally's primary location and two satellite centers have the potential of serving up to 40-45 families for one hour supervised visits and 50-55 families for monitored exchanges every week for total of approximately 100 families per week. While, this may translate to approximately 400 families per month, they are actually hours of service. The number of families serve depend on the following factors: 1) the length of the visits (one or two hours per visit); 2) number of children per family (staff needed per family), 3) frequency of visits - some

3/8/2/010 12:55 PM.

202

families may only require visits/exchanges once per month or once every other week; 4) length of visitation services per family - families may use the program for months to years at a time 5) lastly, if the demand for supervised visits increases, the center would have less capacity for exchanges and vice versa.

On the average, Rally presently serves approximately 75-100 unduplicated families per month. Rally served a total of 196 families during 2008-09, a 36.4% increase over the previous year. Rally anticipates serving a total of 200-225 families per year during this continuation grant, including those specific to domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, child abuse, or stalking.

<u>Available services to meet the needs of underserved populations:</u> Rally is the only safe visitation center in San Francisco working closely with the court and an extended partnership of services throughout the City. As stated above, in 2008-09, 75% of the families were referred due to allegations or history of domestic violence, and 72% had protective orders. In addition, in 55% of the cases the children had witnessed domestic violence and in 41% of the cases there were allegations of child abuse (sexual, physical, emotional etc.). The majority of these families are low income, ethnically diverse underserved families living in isolated communities that need these services the most. These communities are the home to many at risk parents and children.

The Safe Havens development grant has provided the partnership with the opportunity to expand and provide options in services to at risk women and children, by opening satellite centers in underserved communities, and by providing technical assistance to develop additional expertise in the domestic violence field related to visitation services.

Under this continuation grant, we propose to continue the establishment of the satellite centers in order to provide these locations as alternatives to long bus or car rides through a

203

densely populated City. This will be done, taking into considerations the specific risks in every family and ensuring that that the parent at risk has the final decision in choosing a site.

Currently, there are no other agencies in San Francisco providing safe supervised visitation and monitored exchange services for families with a history of domestic violence. <u>Demographics to be served by the project</u> – The families served by Rally include mostly underserved and ethnically diverse sectors of San Francisco. In fiscal year 2008-09, 35% were Latino, 20% African American, 20% White, 17% Asian, 4% biracial, and 7% other. Thirty two percent of the families were monolingual, non-English speaking. Sixty four percent earned less than \$20,000 per year. Seventy five percent had a history or allegations of domestic violence – compared to 72% the previous year, and 72% had restraining orders, compared to 59% the previous year.

<u>Hours of operation -</u> Rally operates seven days a week for intake/orientation, visitation and exchange services: Monday-Wednesday 8:30 am to 5:30 p.m., Thursday and Friday 8:30 am to 7:00 pm, Saturdays 8:30 am to 5:00 pm and Sundays 10:30 am to 7:00 p.m. The Bayview facility is providing services on Sundays 10:30 – 7:00 p.m. based on present demand. The second facility in the Mission district is planned to be open on Saturdays 8:30-5:00 p.m. also based on that community's demand. Services are scheduled at times that are convenient to families and when school-age children are not in school.

<u>Program Security</u> - Starting with the Intake/Orientation to visits and exchanges, Rally believes in taking extra security steps when families request it. Security guards are available at all sites and can be used to escort parents to buses or cars. All parents are provided and expected to follow the program guidelines, which in addition to safety procedures, include but are not limited to: refraining from speaking negatively about the other parent, discussing the Court process or future

visitation arrangements, and questioning the children about activities with or about the other parent. Parents are assigned separate entrances and staggered arrival/departure times. At the main location, there are video surveillance cameras at every entrance. Cameras have not been installed at satellite centers and Rally is studying the need as it develops these facilities. At the satellite centers, the staff and security personnel monitor the facility entrances, with special emphasis on the at-risk parent entrance.

<u>Record keeping and confidentiality</u>: For all services, Rally staff document parent and child interactions and behaviors. All documentation is fact-based and reviewed/edited by members of the program administrative team to eliminate any biased language or recommendations. These case reports include fact-based observations of parent-child(ren) contact for each visit and critical incidents. Rally does not discuss the specifics of any case at any time with anyone, including parents or their attorneys. A release of information form is required in order for Rally staff to discuss specific case issues with anyone other than Court personnel or attorney's appointed to represent children. Identifying information is never included in case reports or provided to anyone.

In all cases, but particularly with domestic violence cases, parents will also be asked to sign a release of information if they wish to be referred to and provide Rally with the authorization to speak to a community domestic violence program. In addition, Rally's guidelines require staff to intervene when visiting parent may be violating the program guidelines during a visit, with special attention to domestic violence cases when the parent may be asking about the other parent's whereabouts, current relationships, address or the child's school location.

3/8/2010 12:55 PM,

205

**Referral Process** - Cases are referred by various sources. However, in court ordered cases, the Family Court mediators may mediate agreement between the parties (together or separate when a Restraining Order is in place or whenever it deems necessary) to utilize Rally services, or in cases of non-agreement, the judicial officer makes orders from the bench. Often attorneys and their clients stipulate agreements to the visitation services. When requested, Rally refers at risk parents to La Casa de Las Madres's advocate or other community resources, including SafeStart who will accept referrals of both parents and children.

Intake Procedures: Clients are scheduled for an intake/orientation at a time that is convenient for them (including evenings and weekends). The orientation for each parent is scheduled during different days to avoid having them meet each other. Orientation is also provided for children 4 years and older. Rally staff uses its intake and orientation process to ensure that families are able to provide Rally with additional information that will assist the program in understanding their situation and need for additional safety planning. Information provided to Rally during orientation is not documented or shared with the court. The information is used to further assess risks in the case, and assess what needs to be different in the service delivery for specific families, including whether the arrival and departure time should be different. Completing enrollment paperwork is not a priority during the orientation process, and the focus is on providing a more supportive environment, as well as on building a relationship between staff, parents and children.

**Fee protocols** - Rally uses a sliding scale for low-income families to assess fees for services. For court cases, the Court determines who pays the program fees. However, it is up to Rally to determine the fee and whether it is charged at all. In cases when both parents are ordered to pay half of the fee, Rally will determine the fee based on each parent's income. Therefore, one

3/8/2010 12:55 PM.

206

15.

parent may end up paying less than the other parent. Rally will waive fees for parents when they unable to pay the lowest fee (\$5.00/visit, 3/exchanges) per service.

Center Staff - Rally maintains a minimum level of staffing and increases the staff time based on the services being delivered. There are 3 FTE lead staff who oversee all program administration and operations. In addition, Rally has a pool of 10-15 hourly staff who have tenure between 1 and 15 years of service and experience in the program. Lead staff include: Sonia Melara, Executive Director, has been at Rally since 2003. She is one of La Casa's founding members and former Executive Director for the City of County of San Francisco's Commission on the Status of Women where she doubled the funding for domestic violence programs. She is in the part-time faculty of San Francisco State University School of Social Work. She holds a Masters Degree in Social Work from San Francisco State University. David Duffey-León, Program Manager, has been employed with Rally for 10 years. He received his B.A. degree in Psychology from Austin College and his M.A. degree in Professional Counseling-Marriage and Family Specialization from the University of Texas. He is both a Licensed Professional Counselor and Licensed Psychological Associate in the state of Texas. He has extensive experience and training in child development, family counseling, domestic violence, and child abuse. Monique Nogueira, Case Coordinator II, has been at Rally since 2003. She received her B.A. degree in Psychology from San Francisco State University and is in her 5<sup>th</sup> year of a doctoral program in Clinical Psychology with an emphasis on Children and Families. She also has extensive training in domestic violence, family therapy, children development and child abuse. Anna Mikuriya-Jungherr, Case Coordinator I, has been employed with Rally since 2009. She holds a B.A in Social Work from San Francisco State University. She completed her undergraduate student internship at Rally and is earning her Masters Degree in Social Work at

California State University East Bay with a concentration in child welfare. In addition, Rally maintains specific minimum professional qualification requirements for hiring its staff to provide supervised visitation services. The minimum qualifications for a Visitation Specialist I, requires a Bachelor of Arts Degree in psychology, social work or related field, plus two years experience working with children and families. For Visitation Specialist II, Rally requires a Master's degree in psychology, social work or related field, plus 5 years previous experience working with children and families. For Visitation Specialist II, Rally requires a Master's degree in psychology, social work or related field, plus 5 years previous experience working with children and family, preferably with domestic violence cases. Education may be waived and replaced with experience, especially when hiring staff with second language capabilities. The staff level of training and experience is matched to the needs of families. The level of Rally's present staff's professional background include: 25% Bachelor Degree level and 75% Master Degree level, with two B.A. level members attending school to complete their Master Degree and one to complete a Doctorate program. Every year Rally recruits an average of 10 interns from Social Work and Counseling Bachelors and Master's programs.

**Training Requirements for Staff and Volunteers** - Staff, interns and volunteers must go through a hospital mandated one day orientation that includes many health, safety requirements and organizational core values. Everyone receives an orientation about Rally services in particular, including the programs policies and procedures, and OVW guiding principles. On the job training for new staff and interns includes shadowing of experienced staff with on-site supervision of a Lead staff for each shift. Throughout the year, Rally provides ongoing training during staff meetings on topics such as domestic violence, child abuse, children exposed to violence etc. Staff and interns also have the opportunity to attend seminars and conferences on related topics when available in the community.

208

Consulting Committee: The consulting committee include the four mandated partners, the Mayor's Office of Housing, San Francisco Unified Family Court, Rally Family Visitation Services and La Casa de Las Madres. In addition, there are 13 other members that represent the District Attorney's Office Victim Witness Division, San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center – Safe Start Initiative, Bay Area Legal Services, Department on the Status of Women, and the Department of Human Services Child Protective Services division. Depending on the need, the partners are in communication daily/weekly. The consulting committee meets bi-monthly or more frequently if needed. Frequent updates are communicated via email as needed.

# C. Who will Implement the Continuation Project:

**The San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH)** The Mayor's Office of Housing has been a pioneer in working to address the same underserved communities targeted by the Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange program. The Mayor's Office of Housing funds programs that address domestic violence, especially in the same communities addressed by this Safe Havens continuation proposal. They are also the coordinating/oversight agency for La Casa's OVW grant addressing Abuse in Later Life Program. MOH and its staff have extensive knowledge of administering federal grants. They are responsible for funding similar agencies in San Francisco addressing domestic violence programs, including the Asian Women's Shelter, Bay Area Legal Aid, La Casa de Las Madres, Nihonmachi Legal Outreach, and St. Vincent de Paul Society of San Francisco. The department will assigned a coordinator who is experienced in program planning and coordination and who will be responsible for the overall coordination of the project, including, 1) Being the point of contact with OVW and technical assistance providers; 2) Convening consulting committee meetings; 3) Coordinating site visits and on-site technical assistance; 4) Participating in OVW meetings and trainings; 5) Ensuring that the

3/8/2010 12:55 PM, 209

project is in compliance with the statutory minimum requirements of the Supervised Visitation Grant Program; and 6) Completing any other tasks needed to ensure the success of the continuation project.

San Francisco Unified Family Court (SFUFC) - The Family Court has designated one day a week to provide orientation and assistance to families with domestic violence. In these cases, the mediators at the SFUFC provide mediation services by meeting with the parents separately. Referrals to Rally can be made by the parties' agreement following mediation or by court order at a hearing, when there is no agreement. Mediators will prepare the necessary referral information so that the program is able to provide the ordered services, outlining as much information available to the court to ensure that the program conducts the necessary risk assessment. The SFUFC will also provide guidance and training to the partners and consulting committee on court-related issues, including procedures, as well as on matters related to the legal system and its role in working with victims of domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault and stalking.

**Rally Family Visitation Services**, of Saint Francis Memorial Hospital has been providing supervised visitation and monitored exchange services to families in San Francisco since 1991. Rally will be responsible for coordination and delivery of services through all its facilities, as well as evaluation and implementation of safety protocols. Rally's Executive Director will take a leadership role to plan and implement the continuation grant and will be the primary contact between the partners and consulting committee. David Duffey- León, Program Manager, will be responsible for the operations of programs throughout all facilities and direct supervision of staff. The Case Coordinators will be responsible for intakes, safety planning and serves as lead staff during direct services.

La Casa de las Madres, La Casa de las Madres is San Francisco's oldest and largest antidomestic violence service provider. Founded in 1976 as California's first shelter dedicated to women and children escaping domestic violence, today La Casa remains a community leader. Through emergency residential and community-based support services, from crime scene response to ongoing counseling, legal assistance, and strength-based case management, La Casa serves more than 2,000 survivors and their children and siblings each year. La Casa responds to 5,000 24-hour hotline calls and provides issue education and training to an additional 10,000 community members. Moreover, La Casa does not engage in or encourage activities, like couples counseling, that compromise victim safety. Their services, delivered through strengthbased, client-driven empowerment models, prioritize victim safety and give survivors the tools to transform their lives. Program evaluations demonstrate survivors' perceptions of increased capacities to identify and avoid unsafe situations, behaviors and relationships, improved feelings of self-efficacy, enhanced stability, and more ready access to needed resources. San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center - SafeStart Initiative. The SafeStart Initiative (SafeStart) is a collaboration convened in 1999 to plan what has become a public/private partnership effort to reduce the incidence and impact of violence on San Francisco's children, from birth to six years old. The SafeStart Initiative will provide expert input regarding the effects of violence on young children from birth to age six and will accept direct referrals to provide services to families with young children exposed to domestic violence.

3/8/2010 12:55 PM, 211

City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Continuation Project <u>OVW 2010</u>

## VIII. Budget Detail & Narrative

#### A. Personnel

Name/Position		
Ivallic/1 USILIUI	Computation	Cost
TBA, Project Coordinator		CUSL
	\$100,000x 0.15 FTE x 3	 \$ 45,000

The Project Coordinator is responsible for the operational and financial aspects of Safe Havens continuation grant as outlined in the grant and Memorandum of Understanding between the partners.

B. Fringe Benefits

Fringe FICA Unemployment Health Insurance Insurance Workmen's Compensation Insurance Pension	<u>Computation</u> 7.30 % 0.20 15.10 0.40 3.00 <u>7.00</u> 33.0 %	<u>Cost</u> \$ 3,285 90 6,795 180 1,350 <u>3,150</u> \$ 14,850
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Subtotal Salary \$ 59,850

C. Travel: Travel and technical assistance expenses will be allocated over three years for the partners, Computation is based on City and subcontractor allowable reimbursement rates.

<u>Purpose of Travel</u> OVW Training	Location	Item	Computation	<u>Cost</u>
And Travel	TBD	Airfare Hotel	(\$550 x 4 people x 2 trips) (\$150/night x 4 people x	\$ 4,400
	,		2 nights x 2 trips)	2,560
•		Meals	(\$75/day x 4 people x 3 days x 2 trips	1.000
		Ground Trans		1,800
	Miscellaneou	a Goo Conforme	(\$100 x 4 people x 2 trips)	800
	iviiseenaneou	is (i.e. Conferen	ice registration fees)	600

Subtotal Travel \$ 10,000

1

D. Equipment

Funded through other sources.

#### City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Continuation Project <u>OVW 2010</u>

Subtotal	Equipment:	\$
Subiora	Lyupmone	Ψ

0

Supplies:

Funded through other sources

Subtotal Supplies \$ 0

F. Construction

Funded through other sources		
Funded model other searces	Subtotal Construction	\$ 0.

G. Consultants/Contracts-Sub contracted grant personnel

Name of Personnel/ <u>Consultant (</u> Through Rally) Sonia Melara David Duffey Monique Nogueira Visitation Specialists	Service Provided Executive Director Program Manager Case Coordinator Direct supervision	<u>Computation</u> \$115,000 x 0.25 FTE x 3 \$75,000 x 0.50 FTE x 3 \$45,000 x 0.50 FTE x 3 \$20.50/hour x 2.6 people x 8 hrs x 52 weeks x 3	<u>Cost</u> \$ 86,250 \$ 112,500 \$ 67,500 \$ 66,518*
		Subtotal Rally Salaries	\$332,768

Family Advocate – th	rough La Casa		<i><b><b></b></b></i> <b><i><b></b></i></b>	
TBA	Family Advocate	\$35,000 x .5 x 3	\$52,500	

## Subtotal Consultant Salaries: \$ 385,268

2

The Executive Director will oversee the programmatic implementation of the continuation grant. She will be responsible for the submission of financial and program reports to the Mayor's Office of Housing and be the primary contact with the partners and consulting committee.

The **Program Manager** (PM) will oversee all supervised visitation agency and satellite center(s) activities, staff supervision, training and education. The PM is also responsible for protocols and coordination with SFUFC and community partners regarding client-specific matters. He is responsible to be on-call during direct visitation hours.

#### City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Continuation Project OVW 2010

The **Case Coordinator** is responsible for scheduling clients for intake orientation & visits, scheduling staff, conducting intake interviews, preparing case reports and customer service activities. She is also responsible to act on the behalf of the PM in his absence.

Supervised Visitation Specialists provide direct supervision to families. This is estimated for 8 staff members for one day spread out over three sites. These are regular hourly employees (W-2 are issued) who do not receive full benefits. Therefore, their benefits are calculated at 10% which includes contributions to FICA, Workers Compensation and local required benefits.

The Family Advocate at La Casa will provide direct services in cases involving or indicating further risk to domestic violence. Specifically, the advocate will work with clients to identify needs, provide support, crisis intervention, facilitate peer-based support group and safety planning as requested by the at risk parent.

Fringe Computation Cost FICA 7.22% Unemployment 0.09% Health Benefits 12.45% Pension 0.88% Workers Comp 2.01% Other Benefits 0.35% Total 23.00% \$266,250 x 23.00% \$61,238 \*Fringe Benefits - Rally hourly staff Fringe FICA 7.22% Workers Comp 2.01% Other .77% 10.00% \$6,490 Fringe Benefits – La Casa FICA 7.50% Unemployment Compensation .10% Health Insurance 1.40% Life Insurance .41% Worker's Compensation 10.84% Long Term Disability .66% 20.91% \$52,500 x 20.91% \$10,978 Subtotal Sub-Contractor Fringe Benefits \$78,705

Fringe Benefits-Rally Fully Benefited staff (Sub contractor SFMH established formula)

City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Continuation Project <u>OVW 2010</u>

La Casa de Las Madres	Domestic violence prevention trainer	\$ 5,400
	4 sessions/year x \$450.00 x 3	\$ <b>5,</b> <del>1</del> 00
Per diem Security Staff	Provide security and escort to DV victims/children at all	
	Centers one day/week.	
	Three guards x 8 hours/wk x156 wks x \$23/hour	\$ 86,112
1 Family Therapist	Provides monthly case	
1 1 uning therapas	consultation to visitation staff	<u>\$ 2,700</u>
	1.5 hours/mo x 36 x \$50/hour	<u>\$ 2,700</u>
	Subtotal Consult	ant Other \$ 94,212
	Subtotal Consult	ant \$ 558,185
H. Other Costs		·
H. Other Costs		
<u>Item</u> Satellite Centers (	Occupancy - \$300/mo x 36 months x 2 centers	<u>Cost</u> \$21,600
	Subtotal Other C	, · · ·
I. Indirect		• •
No indirect cost is reques	sted	
1.0 1101.000 1	Subtotal Indirect	\$ 0
	Subiotal indirect	ψν
	TOTAL BU	DGET 649,635

3/8/2010.1:01 PM

City and County of San Francisco, Mayor's Office of Housing Safe Havens: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Continuation Project <u>OVW 2010</u>

	Total Budget
A. Personnel	\$45,000
	\$ 10,000
B. Fringe	\$14,850
	\$11,000
C. Travel	\$ 10,000
	\$ 10,000
D. Equipment	\$ 0
E. Supplies	\$0
	φ0
F. Construction	\$ 0
G. Consultants/Contracts	\$558,185
H. Other: Occupancy	\$ 21,600
	<i>• 1</i> ,000
Total Direct Costs	\$649,635
	4012,000
I. Indirect Costs	\$ 0
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$649,635
	40,030
Federal Request	\$649,635
Non-Federal Amount	\$ 0

# San Francisco Safe Havens-Budget Summary

## Rally

## A Program of Saint Francis Memorial Hospital 2003-04 Operating Budget

REVENUE	Due		
County of San Francisco Family Court System	Program	Safe Havens	Total
California Judicial Council Access to Visitation Grant	52,612		52,612
Saint Francis Memorial Hospital	67,714		67,714
Saint Francis Foundation	74,019		74,019
Fees For Service	10,000		10,000
Consultation and Training	45,000	- ,	50,740
Program Materials Purchased	2,000		2,000
Saint Francis Memorial Hospital (In-kind Contributions)	500	,	500
Foundation Grants and Fundraising	49,761		49,761
Office on Violence Against Women-Safe Havens	50,000	25,000	75,000
TOTAL REVENUE	· .	172,269	172,269
	\$ 351,606	\$ 203,009	554,614
EXPENDITURES			
Personnel			*
	•		
Program Executive Director (.5 FTE)	45,000		45,000
Safe Havens Project Director (.75 FTE)	,	45,000	45,000
Rally Program Coordinator (1 FTE)	52,000	,0,000	
Assistant Coordinator(s) (1 FTE)	35,625	8,907	52,000
Administrative Assistant(s) (1.5 FTE)	40,092	14,560	44,532
	40,002	14,500	54,652
Fringe Benefits @ 27%	46,634	14 005	04 500
Total Personnel		14,905 \$ 83,372	61,539
	P 210,001	\$ 83,372	302,723
Operating		· · · · · ·	
Occupancy & Utilities	40 704		•
Telephone Service	49,761	8,499	58,260
Supplies	1,000		1,000
Printing and Forms	7,083	1,020	8,103
Dues & Subscriptions	3,000		3,000
Training	300		300
Travel (Safe Havens is grant mandated)	276	5 g	276
Equipment (Computers, printers, telephones)	2,000	10,000	12,000
Copy Machine Lease		7,900	7,900
Materials (Production, translation)	1,188		1,188
Two-way Security Radios		5,000	5,000
Child-friendly furniture		2,000	2,000
Children Games, Toys, Books, Art Supplies		4,000	4,000
Parent Education Curriculum		1,700	1,700
Contractual		625	625
Consultant Mental Health Clinician			020
Evaluation of Services (Sefe Llevers	1,275	6,250	7,525
Evaluation of Services (Safe Havens is grant mandated) Training on Domestic Violence Issues	10,000	20,000	30,000
Visitation Assistants		3,118	3,118
Security Escorts	46,372	26,208	72,580
Development		5,824	5,824
Development	10,000	0,027	5,824 10,000
			10,000
Total Operating	132,255	102,144	234,399
Grant Administration by SF Dept. of Children, Youth and their Families	÷	17,493	17,493
TOTAL EXPENDITURES			
\$	351,606 \$	203,009 \$	554,614

## The Rally Project 2001 Program Development Budget A Program of Saint Francis Memorial Hospital

#### EXPENDITURES

	Cost	In-Kind	Additional 1.25 FTE
Personnel Visitation Assistants Additional 1.25 FTE	41,600		41,600
Total Personnel	41,600	0	41,600
<b>Operating</b> Contractual Consultant Mental Health Clinician Evaluation of Services	7,800		7,800 10,000
Total Operating	17,800	0	17,800
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	59,400	0	59,400

				•
	•		es of F	nding
	, T C T C T C			ther
		,	\$ 28,750.00	
	007'01		\$37,500.00	
••	49,037		\$ 22,500.00	•
	30,300 21,200		I	
	30,306			\$ 35,306.00
	71,647	•	\$ 20,412.00	
<b>₽</b>	383,154		\$ 109,162	\$ 273,991
ILS)	127,920			105 747 00
2	12,792		\$ 2,163.00	\$ 10.629 00
222	140,712			
				•
		•		
•	5,250		<del>.</del>	5 250 00
trative Support	150,000		÷∽	17
	7,200		\$ 7,200.00 \$	
	2,514		\$ 0	2.514.00
•	1,000		\$	
	2,000		\$ ' \$	
ed (raining)	3,333		3,333	
	1,500	î	0.	1,500.00
د (	2,000		ዮ י የ	2,000.00
SD=	4,304			4,304.00
	3,000		I	3,000.00
	2,750		\$ '	2,750.00
• •			، ج	
	2,000		\$ 00.006 \$	1,100.00
	21,159 1 ROO		\$ 21,159.00 * _1 200 00	
	47 RAN	-		
	257,650		\$ 63.096.00	19,136.00
				100,101

Rally A Program of Saint Francis Memorial Hospital 2010-11 Annual Budget

200

117,150 898,666

\$

\$ - \$ 117,150.00 \$ 196,594 \$ 702,071

enefitted staff include a 3% salary increase as of July 1, 2010. mount assumes an average of \$20.50/hour. num of 3 staff (3 centers being opened for services) 5. However, only three have been charged to OVW security measures, we may or may not need the

Department of Justice	
Office on Violence Against Women	
Office on violence Against Women	PAGE 1 OF 8
	Cooperative Agreement
~~~	
I. RECIPIENT NAME AND ADDRESS (Including Zip Code)	4. AWARD NUMBER: 2010-CW-AX-K025
City and County of San Francisco	······································
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place San Francisco, CA 94102	5. PROJECT PERIOD: FROM 10/01/2010 TO 09/30/2013
-	BUDGET PERIOD; FROM 10/01/2010 TO 09/30/2013
	6. AWARD DATE 09/23/2010 7. ACTION
IA. GRANTEE IRS/VENDOR NO.	8. SUPPLEMENT NUMBER. Initial
946000479	00 ,
	1
	9. PREVIOUS AWARD AMOUNT \$0
3. PROJECT TITLE	10. AMOUNT OF THIS AWARD \$,424,757
San Francisco Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Program	
	11. TOTAL AWARD \$ 424,757
	<u> </u>
12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS	
THE ABOVE GRANT PROJECT IS APPROVED SUBJECT TO SUCH CO	ONDITIONS OR LIMITATIONS AS ARE SET FORTH
ON THE ATTACHED PAGE(S).	
13. STATUTORY AUTHORITY FOR GRANT	
	(no)
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati	iộn)
	iọn)
	ion}
This project is supported under 42 U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati	içn)
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT	iou)
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati	ġ <b>n</b> )
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT	ġ <b>n</b> )
This project is supported under 42 U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS	
This project is supported under 42 U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati 5. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL 6. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL	
This project is supported under 42 U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL 6. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE SCORE OFFICIAL
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL 5. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL Virginia Davis	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL AGENCY APPROVAL TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL Virginia Davis	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL 5. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL Virginia Davis Deputy Director for Policy Development	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE GRANTEE OFFICIAL 1& TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL Brian Chen Director of Community Development
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati 5. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL COMPANY AGENCY APPROVAL COMPANY AGENCY APPROVAL COMPANY AGENCY APPROVAL COMPANY AGENCY APPROVAL COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY COMPANY C	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL S. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL Virginia Davis Deputy Director for Policy Development	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE GRANTEE OFFICIAL 18 TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL Brian Chen Director of Community Development
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati 5. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL 5. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL Virginia Davis Deputy Director for Policy Development 7. SIGNATURE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE 18. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL Brian Chen Director of Community Development
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati 5. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL AGENCY APPROVAL TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL Virginia Davis Deputy Director for Policy Development 2. SIGNATURE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE GRANTEE OFFICIAL 18 TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL Brian Chen Director of Community Development
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati 5. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL 5. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL Virginia Davis Deputy Director for Policy Development 7. SIGNATURE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE GRANTEE OFFICIAL 18 TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL Brian Cheu Director of Community Development 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL 19. A. DATE 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL 5. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL Virginia Davis Deputy Director for Policy Development AGENCY AGENCY	GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE GRANTEE OFFICIAL 18 TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL Brian Cheu Director of Community Development 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL 19. A. DATE 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL S. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL Virginia Davis Deputy Director for Policy Development S. SIGNATURE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL AGENCY AGENCY ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION CODES ISCAL FUND BUD. DIV.	IS TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL Brian Cheu Director of Community Development 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL 19. A. DATE 2020 USE ONLY
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati 5. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL AGENCY APPROVAL Virginia Davis Deputy Director for Policy Development 7. SIGNATURE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL AGENCY ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION CODES	IS TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL Brian Cheu Director of Community Development 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL 19. A. DATE 2020 USE ONLY
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL CONTINE AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL Virginia Davis Deputy Director for Policy Development AGENCY AGENCY AGENCY AGENCY AGENCY ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION CODES ISCAL FUND BUD. DIV.	IS TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL Brian Cheu Director of Community Development 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL 19. A. DATE 2020 USE ONLY
This project is supported under 42-U.S.C. 10420 (OVW - Supervised Visitati S. METHOD OF PAYMENT GPRS AGENCY APPROVAL S. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL Virginia Davis Deputy Director for Policy Development ASIGNATURE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL AGENCY ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION CODES ISCAL FUND BUD. DIV. /EAR CODE ACT. OFC. REG. SUB. POMS AMOUNT	IS TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL Brian Cheu Director of Community Development 19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL 19. A. DATE 2020 USE ONLY

OJP FORM 4000/2 (REV. 5-87) PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE.

OJP FORM 4000/2 (REV. 4-88)

						.		
			•	· .		·	•	
ALC: NO	Departr	ment of Justice	Warnan	AWARD	CONTINUATIO	NC NC	-	
	Office (	on Violence Against	Women .		SHEET		PAGE 2 OF 8	
			. 1	н		<u>ب</u>		·
	P			Cooper	ative Agreemen	•		
	<b>,</b>				· · ·			
			•		•		•	
	• .		,					
		the second		AWARD DATE	09/23/2010			
PROJECT NU	MBER 2010-CV	W-AX-K025		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	•	ľ
	.+		SPECIAL	CONDITIONS		· • . • .	. Sister of the	
i .		·			tive requirements set	t forth in the	current edition of th	Ç.
1	The recipient a	agrees to comply wr	h me mancia	ancial Grants M	anagement Guide.			
· ·	Office on Viol	agrees to comply wi lence Against Wom					nton (if recipient is	
		1. June that f	allure to subu	nit an acceptable	Equal Employment	Opponutiny	Plan (if recipient is Civil Rights, is a il such time as the	
2.	The recipient	acknowledges that t	28 C.E.R. Se	ction 42.302), th	Equal Employment at is approved by the in or termination of t	funding, unt	il such time as the	
	required to su	pmit one puisourie	es and may re	sult in suspension	in or termination of			
	recipient is in	compliance.		1 N	_ ·	•		
					A STOMB	Circular A-I	33, Audit of States, Is may be withheld, o ular A-133 audits (ar	
	The maintent	agrees to comply w	ith the organi	zational audit re	understands and agr	ees that fund	ls may be withheld, o ular A-133 audits (ar cribed in the current	OI I
3.	I me ieupien	nments, and Non-Pro	ofit Organizat	ions, and Turinei	t issues (if any) from	OMB Circ	ular A-133 audits (ar	
	other related	requirements may b	e imposed, u	ouisianding and p	omptly addressed as	further desc	ular A-133 audits (ar cribed in the current	
	any other and	dits of DOJ grant fur	nds) are not sa	nent Guide.		• •		
	edition of the	dits of DOJ grant to e OVW Financial Gr	CHIRS INTERNAL				the support of the	• .
·   . •	•			thes any federal	funds, either directl	y or indirect	ly, in support of the vernment without the ipient may, however	e · ·   ·
4	Recipient un	iderstands and agree	s that it canno	Fany law, regula	tion or policy, at any	level of go	vernment without the sipient may, however ritorial public officia ng violence, sexual	use
	enacimiens,	Chean mount	COSTUL in or	ler to avoid viol	1001 OF 10 000 3		aitorial nublic officia	ls ·
• n	express prio	A MILLICH approved		information to F	ederal, State, tocal,	internet and a starting	mulalence sexual	1.
	federal fund	IS TO CONSOURCE WILL	tomint polici	the impluse of P	Loginate CORCALLY			
1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			Distriction bouce	es to reduce of a	miniac domesneh c	oliaboration	and provision of	
							ng violence, sexual and provision of	
	and agencie assault, and	stalking (as those to	rms are define	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit	(925(a)) when such o red under this grant I	program		
	and agencie assault, and information	t stalking (as those to is consistent with the	rms are defin he activities of	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit	g25(a)) when such s red under this grant I	program.	Lung acout contrac	tor.
	and agencie assault, and information	t stalking (as those to a is consistent with the	rms are defin he activities of	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I be evidence that a pri	program. incipal, emp	loyee, agent, contrac	tor,
5	and agencie assault, and information	s to develop and the stalking (as those to a is consistent with the at must promptly re	rms are define the activities of fer to the DOJ	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authoru OIG any credib s either 1) subm	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
5	and agencie assault, and information	s to develop and the stalking (as those to a is consistent with the at must promptly re	rms are define the activities of fer to the DOJ	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authoru OIG any credib s either 1) subm	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
5	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgranice, Act; or 2) c	s to neverop and the statiking (as those are a is consistent with if ant must promptly re , subcontractor, or of committed a crimina	rms are define he activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha l or civil viola	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit OIG any credib s either 1) subm thon of laws per his condition als	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgranice, Act; or 2) c	s to develop and the stalking (as those to a is consistent with the at must promptly re	rms are define he activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha l or civil viola	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit OIG any credib s either 1) subm thon of laws per his condition als	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac	tor, ims
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgranice, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m	s to neverop and the statiking (as those are a is consistent with if ant must promptly re , subcontractor, or of committed a crimina	rms are define he activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha l or civil viola	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit OIG any credib s either 1) subm thon of laws per his condition als	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgranice, Act; or 2) c	s to neverop and the statiking (as those are a is consistent with if ant must promptly re , subcontractor, or of committed a crimina	rms are define he activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha l or civil viola	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit OIG any credib s either 1) subm thon of laws per his condition als	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgrantee, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail:	s to here top and the statking (as those is a is consistent with the stat must promptly re- , subcontractor, or of committed a crimina sconduct involving g nisconduct should be the inspector Generi	ims are defini- he activities of the person ha l or civil viola grant funds. T e reported to th	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit OIG any credib s either 1) subm thon of laws per his condition als	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencies assault, and information 5. The recipies subgrantee, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of	I stalking (as those it a l stalking (as those it a is consistent with it at must promptly re , subcontractor, or of committed a crimina sconduct involving a nisconduct should be f the Inspector Genet	ims are defini- he activities of the person ha l or civil viola grant funds. T e reported to th	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit OIG any credib s either 1) subm thon of laws per his condition als	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgrantee, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep	I stalking (as those it a l stalking (as those it a is consistent with it at must promptly re , subcontractor, or of committed a crimina sconduct involving g nisconduct should be fithe Inspector General partment of Justice atoment of Justice	rins are defini- ne activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha lot civil viola- grant funds. T e reported to il ral	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit OIG any credib s either 1) subm thon of laws per his condition als	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipic subgranice, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep livestiga 950 Penr	I stalking (as those it a l stalking (as those it a is consistent with it subcontractor, or of committed a crimina sconduct involving g nisconduct should be f the inspector Gener- sations Division insylvania Avenue, N	rins are defini- ne activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha lot civil viola- grant funds. T e reported to il ral	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit OIG any credib s either 1) subm thon of laws per his condition als	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgranice, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni R 2000 201	s to develop the test is consistent with the statking (as those is a subcontractor, or of committed a crimina sconduct involving g nisconduct should be fate inspector Generations fate	rins are defini- ne activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha lot civil viola- grant funds. T e reported to il ral	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit OIG any credib s either 1) subm thon of laws per his condition als	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgranice, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni R 2000 201	I stalking (as those it a l stalking (as those it a is consistent with it subcontractor, or of committed a crimina sconduct involving g nisconduct should be f the inspector Gener- sations Division insylvania Avenue, N	rins are defini- ne activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha lot civil viola- grant funds. T e reported to il ral	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit OIG any credib s either 1) subm thon of laws per his condition als	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgrantes, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni Room 43 Washing	s to develop an example, is consistent with the sis consistent with the set must promptly re- subcontractor, or of committed a crimina sconduct involving genisconduct should be fulle inspector Generations Division fixed varia Avenue, N 206 geon, DC 20530.	ims are defini- he activities of the person ha l or civil viola grant funds. T e reported to the ral	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit OIG any credib s either 1) subm thon of laws per his condition als	(25(a)) when such a red under this grant I le evidence that a pri- lited a false claim for	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencies assault, and information 5. The recipic subgrantee, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Pent Room 43 Washing e-mail; oi	I stalking (as those it a l stalking (as those it a is consistent with it aut must promptly re , subcontractor, or of committed a crimina sconduct involving a fisconduct should be fisconduct should	rms are defin the activities of ther person has lor civil viola trait funds. T e reported to if ral LW., v	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit 1 OIG any credib 5 either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by -	925(a)) when such o zed under this grant r le evidence that a pri- ited a false claim for aining to fraud, conf o applies to any subr	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencies assault, and information 5. The recipic subgrantee, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Pent Room 43 Washing e-mail; oi	I stalking (as those it a l stalking (as those it a is consistent with it aut must promptly re , subcontractor, or of committed a crimina sconduct involving a fisconduct should be fisconduct should	rms are defin the activities of ther person has lor civil viola trait funds. T e reported to if ral LW., v	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit 1 OIG any credib 5 either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by -	925(a)) when such o zed under this grant r le evidence that a pri- ited a false claim for aining to fraud, conf o applies to any subr	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgrantee, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni Room 43 Washing e-mail: oi hotline: (-	s to the version and the set of the last o	time are define the activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha to civil viola grant funds. T is reported to the ral LW.	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit 1 OIG any credib 5 either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by -	925(a)) when such o zed under this grant r le evidence that a pri- ited a false claim for aining to fraud, conf o applies to any subr	ncipal, emp grant funds	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencies assault, and information 5. The recipic subgrantee, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Pent Room 43 Washing e-mail: of hotline: (	s to the very series in a second series of the second seco	rins are defin he activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha lor civil viola rant funds. T e reported to if ral LW., v in English and s	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit 1 OIG any credib 5 either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by - d Spanish): (800	925(a)) when such o red under this grant r le evidence that a pri ited a false claim for aining to fraud, conf o applies to any subr o applies to any subr	nogram. incipal, emp r grant funds lict of intere ecipients. F	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencies assault, and information 5. The recipic subgrantee, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Pent Room 43 Washing e-mail: of hotline: (	s to the very series in a second series of the second seco	rins are defin he activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha lor civil viola rant funds. T e reported to if ral LW., v in English and s	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit 1 OIG any credib 5 either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by - d Spanish): (800	925(a)) when such o red under this grant r le evidence that a pri ited a false claim for aining to fraud, conf o applies to any subr o applies to any subr	nrogram. incipal, emp r grant funds lict of intere ecipients. F	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai	tor, ims
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgrantee, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni Room 43 Washing e-mail: oi hotline: (-	s to the version of the second	rins are defining and defining are defining a civities of the DOJ ther person has a lot civil violation of the civil violation of the civil violation of the civil violation of the civil	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit (OIG any credib s either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by - d Spanish): (800 he DOJ OIG web	925(a)) when such o red under this grant p ited a false claim for aining to fraud, conf o applies to any sub 869-4499 site at www.usdoj.g	ov/oig.	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai st, bribery, gratuity, otential fraud, waste	tor, ims or
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgrantee, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni Room 43 Washing e-mail: of hotline: ( or hotline	s to the velocity of the set of the last of the set of	rins are defini- he activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha lot civil viola- grant funds. T reported to il ral LW. v in English and il ailable from th	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit (OIG any credib s either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by -	925(a)) when such o red under this grant p ited a false claim for aining to fraud, conf o applies to any subr 869-4499 ssite at www.usdoj.g	ov/oig.	loyee, agent, contrac sunder the False Clai st, bribery, gratuity, otential fraud, waste	any
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipie subgrantee, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni Room 43 Washing e-mail: of hotline: ( or hotline	s to the velocity of the set of the last of the set of	rins are defini- he activities of fer to the DOJ ther person ha lot civil viola- grant funds. T reported to il ral LW. v in English and il ailable from th	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit (OIG any credib s either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by -	925(a)) when such o red under this grant p ited a false claim for aining to fraud, conf o applies to any subr 869-4499 ssite at www.usdoj.g	ov/oig.	loyee, agent, contrac sunder the False Clai st, bribery, gratuity, otential fraud, waste	any
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipic subgranice, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni Room 43 Washing e-mail: oi hotline: ( or hotline Addition	s to the very standard as the set of a second static of a second stati	rins are defining and the activities of the DOI there activities of the there activities of the there are activities of the there are an are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are a the there are activities and the there are an are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are activities are activities and the there are a the there are a the there are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are a the there are activities are activities are activities and the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the thether are a the	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit 101G any credib s either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by - d Spanish): (800 ne DOJ OIG wet unnot use any fex	925(a)) when such o red under this grant p the evidence that a pri- tited a false claim for aning to fraud, conf o applies to any subt o applies to any subt 869-4499 site at www.usdoj.g leral funds, either dir ity Organizations for	ov/oig.	loyee, agent, contrac sunder the False Clai st, bribery, gratuity, otential fraud, waste	any
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipic subgranice, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni Room 43 Washing e-mail: oi hotline: ( or hotline Addition	s to the very standard as the set of a second static of a second stati	rins are defining and the activities of the DOI there activities of the there activities of the there are activities of the there are an are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are a the there are activities and the there are an are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are activities are activities and the there are a the there are a the there are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are a the there are activities are activities are activities and the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the thether are a the	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit 101G any credib s either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by - d Spanish): (800 ne DOJ OIG wet unnot use any fex	925(a)) when such o red under this grant p the evidence that a pri- tited a false claim for aning to fraud, conf o applies to any subt o applies to any subt 869-4499 site at www.usdoj.g leral funds, either dir ity Organizations for	ov/oig.	loyee, agent, contrac s under the False Clai st, bribery, gratuity, otential fraud, waste	any
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipic subgranice, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni Room 43 Washing e-mail: oi hotline: ( or hotline Addition	s to the velocity of the set of the last of the set of	rins are defining and the activities of the DOI there activities of the there activities of the there are activities of the there are an are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are a the there are activities and the there are an are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are activities are activities and the there are a the there are a the there are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are a the there are activities are activities are activities and the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the thether are a the	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit 101G any credib s either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by - d Spanish): (800 ne DOJ OIG wet unnot use any fex	925(a)) when such o red under this grant p the evidence that a pri- tited a false claim for aning to fraud, conf o applies to any subt o applies to any subt 869-4499 site at www.usdoj.g leral funds, either dir ity Organizations for	ov/oig.	loyee, agent, contrac sunder the False Clai st, bribery, gratuity, otential fraud, waste	any
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipic subgranice, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni Room 43 Washing e-mail: oi hotline: ( or hotline Addition	s to hevelop the test is consistent with the statking (as those test is consistent with the subcontractor, or of committed a crimina sconduct involving g misconduct should be fithe Inspector Gener sattment of Justice states Division insylvania Avenue, h 706 gton, DC 20530. ig hottine@usdoj.go contact information e fax: (202) 616-988 nat information is av nt understands and a co subaward to eith aries, without the exp	rins are defining and the activities of the DOI there activities of the there activities of the there are activities of the there are an are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are a the there are activities and the there are an are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are activities are activities and the there are a the there are a the there are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are a the there are activities are activities are activities and the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the thether are a the	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit 101G any credib s either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by - d Spanish): (800 ne DOJ OIG wet unnot use any fex	925(a)) when such o red under this grant p the evidence that a pri- tited a false claim for aning to fraud, conf o applies to any subt o applies to any subt 869-4499 site at www.usdoj.g leral funds, either dir ity Organizations for	ov/oig.	loyee, agent, contrac sunder the False Clai st, bribery, gratuity, otential fraud, waste	any
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipic subgranice, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni Room 43 Washing e-mail: oi hotline: ( or hotline Addition	s to the very standard state of a second state o	rins are defining and the activities of the DOI there activities of the there activities of the there are activities of the there are an are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are a the there are activities and the there are an are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are activities are activities and the there are a the there are a the there are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are a the there are activities are activities are activities and the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the thether are a the	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit 101G any credib s either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by - d Spanish): (800 ne DOJ OIG wet unnot use any fex	925(a)) when such o red under this grant p the evidence that a pri- tited a false claim for aning to fraud, conf o applies to any subt o applies to any subt 869-4499 site at www.usdoj.g leral funds, either dir ity Organizations for	ov/oig.	loyee, agent, contrac sunder the False Clai st, bribery, gratuity, otential fraud, waste	any
	and agencie assault, and information 5. The recipic subgranice, Act; or 2) c similar mis abuse, or m mail: Office of U.S. Dep Investiga 950 Peni Room 43 Washing e-mail: oi hotline: ( or hotline Addition	s to hevelop the test is consistent with the statking (as those test is consistent with the subcontractor, or of committed a crimina sconduct involving g misconduct should be fithe Inspector Gener sattment of Justice states Division insylvania Avenue, h 706 gton, DC 20530. ig hottine@usdoj.go contact information e fax: (202) 616-988 nat information is av nt understands and a co subaward to eith aries, without the exp	rins are defining and the activities of the DOI there activities of the there activities of the there are activities of the there are an are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are activities and the there are an are activities and the there are a the there are activities and the there are an are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are activities are activities and the there are a the there are a the there are activities are activities and the there are activities and the there are a the there are activities are activities are activities and the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the there are a the the there are a the the there are a the thether are a the	ed in 42 USC 13 therwise authorit 101G any credib s either 1) subm tion of laws per his condition als he OIG by - d Spanish): (800 ne DOJ OIG wet unnot use any fex	925(a)) when such o red under this grant p the evidence that a pri- tited a false claim for aning to fraud, conf o applies to any subt o applies to any subt 869-4499 site at www.usdoj.g leral funds, either dir ity Organizations for	ov/oig.	loyee, agent, contrac sunder the False Clai st, bribery, gratuity, otential fraud, waste	any

. .

K

19 -	* may .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2.0		į.,		.
		Department of Justice				f		
8. A		Office on Violence Agains	st Women	AWARE	CONTINUATIO	N		
100			· · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			:
S. 20		· · ·			SHEET	1	PAGE 3 OF 8	È
1	and the state of the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·Corne	rative Agreement			1
and the second se	1999 - C			Coope	rative Agreement	-	•	1
					•			1
		· .				1		1
ς.	. ,				•	j .		1.
arcir					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
OIECT	NUMBER	2010-CW-AX-K025	A	WARD DATE	09/23/2010			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					······		
		- · · ·	appart a					i
	· · ·		SPECIAL CO		,		•	· 1
7	. The reci	pient agrees to comply with	any additional	l requirements (	hat may be improved do	ring the man	narfaimanaa	
	period if	the agency determines that	the recipient i	as bigh rick or	and may of hiposod up	ting and grant	periormance	
	1	B off-off-filles fille	the recipient is	s a men-jisk gi	mee. Cr. 28 C.F.K par	LS 00, 70. ;		·
~	·	r		r		··· *.	•	
.8.	. The gran	tee agrees to comply with a	all relevant stat	utory and regul	atory requirements whi	ch may includ	le, among other	
	relevant	authorities, the Violence Ar	gainst Women	Act of 1994, P.	L 103-322 the Violeni	re Airainst Wi	omen Act of	-
	2000, P.	L. 106-386, the Omnibus Ci	rime Control ar	nd Safe Streets	Act of 1968 42 11 S C	3711 ef seg i	he Violence	
1	Against	Women and Department of	Justice Reauth	orization Act o	E2005 PT 100 162	nd OWWaim		
	regulatio	ns at 28 CFR Part 90.		- in the second			hiemennnR	
· • .					. 11	•	5 g.	.
•					:			
. 9.	Approva	l of this award does not indi	icate approval o	of any consultar	it rate in excess of \$650	) per day. A d	detailed	
	justificat	ion must be submitted to an	d approved by	the Office on V	iolence Against Wome	n prior to obl	igation or	
	expenditi	ire of such funds.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	( )		ţ
1						•		·
10.	The Dire		·					
TQ.	I de Dire	tor of OVW, upon a findin	g that there has	s been substanti	al failure by the grante	to comply w	ith applicable	
	jaws, regi	nations, and/or the terms ar	nd conditions o	of the grant or co	operative agreement x	vill terminate	or sugnend until	
	ine Direc	or is satisfied that there is r	no longer such	failure, all or pa	art of the grant or coone	rative agreen	int. in	
· ·	accordance	e with the provisions of 28	CFR Part 18.	as applicable in	utatis mutandis.			
					/			
11.	The most	an oppose to sub-uit a sub-	a fan en de la compañía de			·	1	
<u>, 15</u>	Desciment	ce agrees to submit semiant	nual progress re	ports that desc	ribe project activities d	uring the repo	nting period.	1
	Flugiess i	eports must be submitted w	athin 30 days a	fter the end of	the reporting periods, v	hich are Janu	ary 1-June 30	
•	and July 1	- December 31 for the dura	ation of the awa	ard. Future awa	rds may be withheld if	mmorree reno	ATE OFA	
	delinquen	L orantees are required to s	ubmit this info	mation online.	through the Grants Mi	magement Sy	stem (GMS), on	- [
	the semi-a	nnual progress report for th	e relevant OV	W grant program	ns.		1	e. 1
	<i>•</i>	•		-, ;	••			. 1
	I Indon itsa	Government Performance a	and Deputies A -		(A) 111 20000		*	1
12.			and Results Act	(UPRA) and V	AWA 2000, grantees	are required to	collect and	1
12.	maintain d	ato that managements - ff.					man a marker of the second	
12.	maintain o	ata mat measure the effectiv	veness of their	Brain-innoch a	ctivities. Accordingly,	the grantee ag	grees to submit	
12.	semi-annu	ata that measure the effective al electronic progress report	'is on program a	activities and m	orram effectiveness m	easures Info	reation that	
	semi-annu grantees m	ata that measure the effectiv al electronic progress report ust collect under GPRA and	ts on program a d VAWA 2000	activities and pr includes, but i	ogram effectiveness m	easures. Info	rmation that	
	semi-annu grantees m number of	ata that measure the effective al electronic progress report ust collect under GPRA and persons seeking services w	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be	activities and pr includes, but i served: 3) nur	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num ober of supervised visit	easures. Info	rmation that is served; 2)	
	maintain o semi-annu grantees m number of supported l	an that measure the effectr al electronic progress repor- ust collect under GPRA and persons seeking services w by the program, 4) number	ts on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised y	activities and pr includes, but i served: 3) nur	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num ober of supervised visit	easures. Info	rmation that is served; 2)	
-	maintain o semi-annu grantees m number of supported l	an that measure the effectr al electronic progress repor- ust collect under GPRA and persons seeking services w by the program, 4) number	ts on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised y	activities and pr includes, but i served: 3) nur	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num ober of supervised visit	easures. Info	rmation that is served; 2)	
-	maintain o semi-annu grantees m number of supported l	ata that measure the effective al electronic progress report ust collect under GPRA and persons seeking services w	ts on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised y	activities and pr includes, but i served: 3) nur	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num ober of supervised visit	easures. Info	rmation that is served; 2)	
	semi-annu grantees m number of supported l exchanges	ata inat measure the effectr al electronic progress repor- ust,collect under GPRA an persons seeking services' w by the program, 4) number between parents and childre	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en.	activities and pr ) includes, but i e served; 3) mr visits between p	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num iber of supervised visi- arents and children; an	easures. Info iber of person lation and exc d 5) number c	rmation that is served; 2) change centers of supervised	
13.	semi-annu grantees m number of supported l exchanges A final rep	ata inai measure the effectr al electronic progress report ust collect under GPRA an persons seeking services w by the program; 4) number between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en.	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) min visits between p	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num iber of supervised visi arents and children; an	easures. Info iber of person lation and exc d 5) number c	rmation that is served; 2) change centers of supervised	
13.	A final rep- significant	ata inat measure the effectr al electronic progress report ust-collect under GPRA and persons seeking services'w y the program; 4) number between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. hary of progress eveloped under	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mr /isits between p s toward achiev the award is d	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num iber of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object up 90 days after the en-	easures. Info ober of person lation and exc d 5) number of tives of the average	rmation that is served; 2) thange centers of supervised ward;	
13.	A final rep- significant Progress Ref	ata inat measure the effectr al electronic progress report ust-collect under GPRA and persons seeking services w by the program; 4) number between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de port should be submitted it	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. uary of progress eveloped under o the Office on	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mr /isits between p s toward achiev the award is d	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num iber of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object up 90 days after the en-	easures. Info ober of person lation and exc d 5) number of tives of the average	rmation that is served; 2) thange centers of supervised ward;	
13.	A final rep- significant Progress Ref	ata inat measure the effectr al electronic progress report ust-collect under GPRA and persons seeking services w by the program; 4) number between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de port should be submitted it	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. uary of progress eveloped under o the Office on	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mr /isits between p s toward achiev the award is d	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num iber of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object up 90 days after the en-	easures. Info ober of person lation and exc d 5) number of tives of the average	rmation that is served; 2) thange centers of supervised ward;	
13.	A final rep- significant Progress Ref	ata inat measure the effectr al electronic progress report ust-collect under GPRA and persons seeking services'w y the program; 4) number between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. uary of progress eveloped under o the Office on	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mr /isits between p s toward achiev the award is d	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num iber of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object up 90 days after the en-	easures. Info ober of person lation and exc d 5) number of tives of the average	rmation that is served; 2) thange centers of supervised ward;	
13.	A final rep- significant rogress Ra significant Progress Ra System wit	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress repor- ust, collect under GPRA and persons seeking services we by the program; 4) number between parents and childred prt, which provides a summ results, and any products de port should be submitted to h the Report Type indicated	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. any of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final".	activities and pr includes, but is e served; 3) nur risits between p s toward achiev the award, is d Violence Agai	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num iber of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and objec ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the	easures. Info iber of person lation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar- d of the award e Grants Mana	rmation that is served; 2) thange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement	
13.	A final rep- significant exchanges A final rep- significant Progress Ra System wit	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust.collect under GPRA and persons seeking services way by the program; 4) number between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to h the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. any of progress eveloped under of the Office on d as "Final". applicable rean	activities and pr includes, but i e served; 3) mr visits between p s toward achiev the award, is d Violence Agai	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inber of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object up 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C F B Part 38 the Da	easures. Info uber of person tation and exc d 5) number of tives of the are d of the award c Grants Mana	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement	
13.	A final rep. significant progress Response A final rep. significant Progress Re System wit The grantee regulation g	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust.collect under GPRA and persons seeking services we by the program, 4) number of between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to h the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. hary of progress eveloped under o the Office on I as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base	activities and pr ) includes, but i e served; 3) mr visits between p s toward achiev the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Oreanization	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inber of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatment	easures. Info uber of person lation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar d of the award c Grants Mana partment of Ji	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement.	
13.	A final rep significant exchanges A final rep significant Progress Re System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment F	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress repor- ust-collect under GPRA and persons seeking services' we by the program, 4) number - between parents and childre- ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de port should be submitted to h the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. arry of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mr visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Again uirements of 28 and Organization th of Justice gra	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inber of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatme in awards of direct fun	easures. Info uber of person iation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar- d of the award c Grants Mann partment of J int Regulation	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement. ustice i'). The Equal heaved to	
13.	semi-anui grantees m number of supported l exchanges A final rep. significant Progress Re System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment I fund any inl	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust,collect under GPRA and persons seeking services w by the program, 4) number - between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de port should be submitted it h the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the coverning "Equal Treatment degulation provides in part ierently religious activities,	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. hary of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer , such as worsh	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) min visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Organization at of Justice gra in, reliefous ins	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inber of supervised visi- arents and children; an ing the goals and objec- ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatme in awards of direct fun attruction, or procedure	easures. Info iber of person iation and exc d 5) number c tives of the ar- d of the awars e Grants Mann partment of J int Regulation ding may not thon Pacinica	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement. ustice i"). The Equal be used to put of direct	
13.	semi-anui grantees m number of supported l exchanges A final rep. significant Progress Re System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment I fund any inl	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust,collect under GPRA and persons seeking services w by the program, 4) number - between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de port should be submitted it h the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the coverning "Equal Treatment degulation provides in part ierently religious activities,	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. hary of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer , such as worsh	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) min visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Organization at of Justice gra in, reliefous ins	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inber of supervised visi- arents and children; an ing the goals and objec- ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatme in awards of direct fun attruction, or procedure	easures. Info iber of person iation and exc d 5) number c tives of the ar- d of the awars e Grants Mann partment of J int Regulation ding may not thon Pacinica	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement. ustice i"). The Equal be used to put of direct	
13. 14.	A final rep significant progress Ra supported l exchanges A final rep significant Progress Ra System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment F fund any inil grants may	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust, collect under GPRA and persons seeking services we by the program; 4) number between parents and childred ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to h the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part errently religious activities, still engage in inherently re	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. ary of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer , such as worsh	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) nur- visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Organization at of Justice gra- tip, religious in-	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inber of supervised visi- arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equa] Treatment awards of direct fun- struction, or proselytizzt	easures. Info iber of person iation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar- d of the awars c Grants Mana partment of Ji int Regulation ding may not tion. Recipies	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement. ustice i''). The Equal be used to nots of direct.	
13. 14.	A final rep semi-anui grantees m number of supported l exchanges A final rep significant Progress R System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment F fund any jul Department	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust.collect under GPRA and persons seeking services we by the program; 4) number between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to h the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment legulation provides in part in herently religious activities, still engage in inherently re of Justice funded program,	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. ary of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer such as worsh ligious activitic and participati	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) nur- visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Again uirements of 28 ed Organization at of Justice gra- tip, religious ins- tion in such achi-	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inber of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatment awards of direct fun- struction, or proselytizz ivities must be separate	easures. Info iber of person iation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ard d of the award e Grants Mana partment of Ji int Regulation ding may not tion. Recipien in time or pla	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement ustice i'). The Equal be used to nts of direct. ace from the	
13. 14. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A final rep significant exchanges A final rep significant Progress R System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment F fund any in grants may Department grantee or a	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust.collect under GPRA and persons seeking services we by the program, 4) number between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to h the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part incrently religious activities, still engage in inherently re- sub-grantee must be volunt	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. any of progress eveloped under of the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer , such as worsh digious activitio and participati tary. The Equal	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mm visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Organization nt of Justice gra- tion in such acti- ion in such acti- 1. Treatment Re-	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inher of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatment awards of direct fun struction, or proselytize vitties must be separate vitties by individuals re- vitties nos mares che	easures. Info ber of person tation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar- d of the award e Grants Mana partment of Ji- nt Regulation ding may not tion. Recipier in time or ple ceiving service	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement ustice i''). The Equal be used to nots of direct ace from the rest from the rest from the	
13. 14. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A final rep. significant exchanges A final rep. significant Progress Re System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment F fund any inl grants may in Department Department or a participating	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust, collect under GPRA and persons seeking services way by the program 4) number of between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part erently religious activities, still engage in inherently re of Justice funded program, sub-grantee must be volunt in programs directly funde	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. any of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer , such as worsh ligious activitie and participati tary. The Equal ed by the Denar	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mm visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Organization nt of Justice gra- tion in such acti- ion in such acti- 1. Treatment Re-	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inher of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatment awards of direct fun struction, or proselytize vitties must be separate vitties by individuals re- vitties nos mares che	easures. Info ber of person tation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar- d of the award e Grants Mana partment of Ji- nt Regulation ding may not tion. Recipier in time or ple ceiving service	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement ustice i''). The Equal be used to nots of direct ace from the rest from the rest from the	
13. 14. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A final rep. significant exchanges A final rep. significant Progress Re System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment F fund any inl grants may in Department Department or a participating	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust.collect under GPRA and persons seeking services we by the program, 4) number between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to h the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part incrently religious activities, still engage in inherently re- sub-grantee must be volunt	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. any of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer , such as worsh ligious activitie and participati tary. The Equal ed by the Denar	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mm visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Organization nt of Justice gra- tion in such acti- ion in such acti- 1. Treatment Re-	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inher of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatment awards of direct fun struction, or proselytize vitties must be separate vitties by individuals re- vitties nos mares che	easures. Info ber of person tation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar- d of the award e Grants Mana partment of Ji- nt Regulation ding may not tion. Recipier in time or ple ceiving service	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement ustice i''). The Equal be used to nots of direct ace from the rest from the rest from the	
13. 14. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A final rep. significant exchanges A final rep. significant Progress Re System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment F fund any inl grants may in Department Department or a participating	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust, collect under GPRA and persons seeking services way by the program 4) number of between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part erently religious activities, still engage in inherently re of Justice funded program, sub-grantee must be volunt in programs directly funde	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. any of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer , such as worsh ligious activitie and participati tary. The Equal ed by the Denar	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mm visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Organization nt of Justice gra- tion in such acti- ion in such acti- 1. Treatment Re-	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inher of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatment awards of direct fun struction, or proselytize vitties must be separate vitties by individuals re- vitties nos mares che	easures. Info ber of person tation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar- d of the award e Grants Mana partment of Ji- nt Regulation ding may not tion. Recipier in time or ple ceiving service	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement ustice i''). The Equal be used to nots of direct ace from the rest from the rest from the	
13. 14. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A final rep. significant exchanges A final rep. significant Progress Re System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment F fund any inl grants may in Department Department or a participating	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust, collect under GPRA and persons seeking services way by the program 4) number of between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part erently religious activities, still engage in inherently re of Justice funded program, sub-grantee must be volunt in programs directly funde	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. any of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer , such as worsh ligious activitie and participati tary. The Equal ed by the Denar	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mm visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Organization nt of Justice gra- tion in such acti- ion in such acti- 1. Treatment Re-	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inher of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatment awards of direct fun struction, or proselytize vitties must be separate vitties by individuals re- vitties nos mares che	easures. Info ber of person tation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar- d of the award e Grants Mana partment of Ji- nt Regulation ding may not tion. Recipier in time or ple ceiving service	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement ustice i''). The Equal be used to nots of direct ace from the rest from the rest from the	
13. 14. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A final rep. significant exchanges A final rep. significant Progress Re System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment F fund any inl grants may in Department Department or a participating	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust, collect under GPRA and persons seeking services way by the program 4) number of between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part erently religious activities, still engage in inherently re of Justice funded program, sub-grantee must be volunt in programs directly funde	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. any of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer , such as worsh ligious activitie and participati tary. The Equal ed by the Denar	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mm visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Organization nt of Justice gra- tion in such acti- ion in such acti- 1. Treatment Re-	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inher of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatment awards of direct fun struction, or proselytize vitties must be separate vitties by individuals re- vitties nos mares che	easures. Info ber of person tation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar- d of the award e Grants Mana partment of Ji- nt Regulation ding may not tion. Recipier in time or ple ceiving service	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement ustice i''). The Equal be used to nots of direct ace from the rest from the rest from the	
13. 14. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A final rep. significant exchanges A final rep. significant Progress Re System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment F fund any inl grants may in Department Department or a participating	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust.collect under GPRA and persons seeking services we by the program 4) number of between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part erently religious activities, still engage in inherently re of Justice funded program, sub-grantee must be volunt in programs directly funde	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. any of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer , such as worsh ligious activitie and participati tary. The Equal ed by the Denar	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mm visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Organization nt of Justice gra- tion in such acti- ion in such acti- 1. Treatment Re-	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inher of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatment awards of direct fun struction, or proselytize vitties must be separate vitties by individuals re- vitties nos mares che	easures. Info ber of person tation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar- d of the award e Grants Mana partment of Ji- nt Regulation ding may not tion. Recipier in time or ple ceiving service	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement ustice i''). The Equal be used to nots of direct ace from the rest from the rest from the	
13. 14. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A final rep. significant exchanges A final rep. significant Progress Re System wit The grantee regulation g Treatment F fund any inl grants may in Department Department or a participating	ata inat measure the effective al electronic progress report ust.collect under GPRA and persons seeking services we by the program 4) number of between parents and childre ort, which provides a summ results, and any products de sport should be submitted to the Report Type indicated agrees to comply with the overning "Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part erently religious activities, still engage in inherently re of Justice funded program, sub-grantee must be volunt in programs directly funde	is on program a d VAWA 2000 ho could not be of supervised v en. any of progress eveloped under o the Office on d as "Final". applicable requ t for Faith Base that Departmer , such as worsh ligious activitie and participati tary. The Equal ed by the Denar	activities and pr ) includes, but is e served; 3) mm visits between p s toward achieve the award, is d Violence Agai uirements of 28 ed Organization nt of Justice gra- tion in such acti- ion in such acti- 1. Treatment Re-	ogram effectiveness in s not limited to: 1) num inher of supervised visi arents and children; an ing the goals and object ue 90 days after the en- nst Women through the C.F.R. Part 38, the De s" (the "Equal Treatment awards of direct fun struction, or proselytize vitties must be separate vitties by individuals re- vitties nos mares che	easures. Info ber of person tation and exc d 5) number of tives of the ar- d of the award e Grants Mana partment of Ji- nt Regulation ding may not tion. Recipier in time or ple ceiving service	rmation that is served; 2) shange centers of supervised ward, d. The Final agement ustice i''). The Equal be used to nots of direct ace from the rest from the rest from the	

Department of Justice		
Office on Violence Against Women	AWARD CONTINUATION SHEET	PAGE 4 OF 8
	OUDD-	
	Cooperative Agreement	
	11/18D DATE 09/23/2010	
OJECT NUMBER 2010-CW-AX-K025	AWARD DATE 09/23/2010	
	IAL CONDITIONS	
	OVW op-line (at	
https://grants.ojp.Usdoj.gov/using ite br www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants/standard onder The final report shall be submitted	terly financial status reports to OVW on-line (al 25 Federal Financial Report form (available for vi forms/ff report.pdf), not later than 30 days after not later than 90 days following the end of the aw	and pointer.
16. Pursuant to 28 CFR \$66.34 the Office on V	Violence Against Women reserves a royalty-free, i r otherwise use, and to suthorize others to use, in y deral Government purposes:	whole or in part (including
irrevocable license to reproduce, publish or in the creation of derivative works), for Fee	deral Government purposes:	
	nd was developed under this award, subaward, con	atract or subcontract
(a) any work that is subject to copyright ar	nd was developed enter t	
pursuant to this award; and	or which ownership was purchased by a recipient,	subrecipient or a contractor
(b) any work that is subject to copyright fo	or which ownership was purchased of a corp	
with support under this award.	contractor or subcontractor) must obtain advance rain manager assigned to this award, and must con	in the approval from the
of, or a license to use, a copyrighted work	contractor or subcontractor) must obtain advance rain manager assigned to this award, and must con action with that approval before: 1) using award j cor 2) incorporating any copyrighted work, or po	
	d of each subrecipient, contractor or subcontractor ward, contract or subcontract under this award.	
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other</li> <li>17. The grantee agrees that grant funds will n diversion programs not approved by OVT</li> </ul>	tot support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling, ma	safety, such as: pre-trial ; mediation, couples anditory counseling for
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other</li> <li>The grantee agrees that grant funds will n diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek</li> </ul>	tot support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling, ma i testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the	safety, such as: pre-trial s; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek</li> </ul>	tot support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling, ma i testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the	safety, such as: pre-trial s; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVI counseling, family counseling or any other victures, penalizing victures who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>All materials and publications (written, victure)</li> </ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma i testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities shaped by wight the sound of the source of the so	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVI counseling, family counseling or any other victums, penalizing victums who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>All materials and publications (written, victual)</li> </ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma i testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities shaped by wight the sound of the source of the so	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>All materials and publications (written, vistatements: "This project was supported Against Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling are to sate theorement explorement of Just Counseling are to sate theorement of the sate to sate to sate theorement of the sate to sate to sat</li></ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma is testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities sl by Grant No	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVI counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>All materials and publications (written, victime)</li> </ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma is testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities sl by Grant No	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>All materials and publications (written, vistatements: "This project was supported Against Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling are to sate theorement explorement of Just Counseling are to sate theorement of the sate to sate to sate theorement of the sate to sate to sat</li></ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma is testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities sl by Grant No	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>All materials and publications (written, vistatements: "This project was supported Against Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling are to sate theorement explorement of Just Counseling are to sate theorement of the sate to sate to sate theorement of the sate to sate to sat</li></ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma is testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities sl by Grant No	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>All materials and publications (written, vistatements: "This project was supported Against Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling are to sate theorement explorement of Just Counseling are to sate theorement of the sate to sate to sate theorement of the sate to sate to sat</li></ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma is testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities sl by Grant No	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>All materials and publications (written, vistatements: "This project was supported Against Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling are to sate theorement explorement of Just Counseling are to sate theorement of the sate to sate to sate theorement of the sate to sate to sat</li></ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma is testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities sl by Grant No	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>All materials and publications (written, vistatements: "This project was supported Against Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling theorem of the programs.</li> </ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma is testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities sl by Grant No	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>18. All materials and publications (written, vistatements: "This project was supported Against Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling to programs.</li> </ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma is testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities sl by Grant No	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>18. All materials and publications (written, vistatements: "This project was supported Against Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling to programs.</li> </ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma is testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities sl by Grant No	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>18. All materials and publications (written, vistatements: "This project was supported Against Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling to programs.</li> </ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma is testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities sl by Grant No	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence
<ul> <li>that this condition is included in any other that this condition is included in any other diversion programs not approved by OVV counseling, family counseling or any other victims, penalizing victims who refuse to sanctions against their abusers (e.g., seek anger management programs.</li> <li>All materials and publications (written, vistatements: "This project was supported Against Women, U.S. Department of Just Counseling theorem of the programs.</li> </ul>	not support activities that may compromise victim W or the placement of offenders in such programs er manner of joint victim-offender counseling; ma is testify, or promoting procedures that would requ c a protection order, file formal complaint); or the visual, or sound) resulting from award activities sl by Grant No	safety, such as: pre-trial i; mediation, couples andatory counseling for ire victims to seek legal placement of perpetrators in hall contain the following the Office on Violence

AU

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b></b>
			1 1 1 L				1
	Department of Justice				.•		i
	Office on Violence Against Wom	en AWARD	<b>CONTINUATIO</b>	Ń			į .
			SHEET	1	PAGE 5 OF	8	
	· · · ·			. 1	mor s or	"	
		Cooper	ative Agreement	1	· .		L.
		1					
	· •				<i>.</i>		
•	•						1
		<u>,                                     </u>		i		i	1
OJECT NUMBER	2010-CW-AX-K025	AWARD DATE	09/23/2010			•	e.
•	······································			•		1	
	SPEC	CIAL CONDITIONS				• * .	
19 / Withi	n 45 days after the end of any confere	once meeting retreat s	eminar symposium tr	aining activi	ty, or similar e	vent	r ·
finde	d under this award, and the total cost	of which exceeds \$20 (	000 in award funds, the	recipient m	ust provide the		
	am manager with the following inform			,			ļ
F 6-				-	•		
l) nar	ne of event;	· · ·		,			
	nt dates;	· ·		•	e.		
	ation of event;		. •	•			1
	nber of federal attendees;		and the second second		÷ .	• •	
	nber of non-federal attendees;						
6) cos	ts of event space, including rooms for	r break-out sessions;		۰.			-
	ts of audio visual services;					·	•
8) oth	er equipment costs (e.g., computer fee	es, telephone fees);	· · · · ·			. ,	
	ts of printing and distribution;					· ·	1
	sts of meals provided during the even	it;					· .
	sts of refreshments provided during th			· · .	•		
12) co	sts of event planner;		and at reals.		•		
13) co	sts of event facilitators; and				· ·		
14) an	y other direct costs associated with th	e event.		•			[ ·
		· · · ·	1 A			:	1
The re	cipient must also itemize and report a	ny of the following atte	endee (including partic	spants, prese	enters, speakers	)	· .
	hat are paid or reimbursed with coope					÷ .	
				•	7	·	
. I) mea	Is and incidental expenses (M&IE po	rtion of per diem);	and the second sec	-			· · ·
2) lodg					• • •		t ·
	sportation to/from event location (e.g.		ately owned vehicle (F	POV)); and	•	·	· ·
4) loca	I transportation (e.g., rental car, POV	) at event location.		•	· · ·		÷.,
		anangerangera an			-		
	at if any item is paid for with registra	ation fees, or any other	non-award funding, th	en that porti	on of the expen	se	-
does no	ot need to be reported.		• •		•	• .	
۰. ۱	μ	• • • • •	• • •	et	· .	· ·	
20. Pursua	at to Executive Order 13513, "Federa	l Leadership on Reduc	ing Text Messaging W	hile Driving	" 74 Fed. Reg.		1
	October 1, 2009), the Department en						
employ	ees from text messaging while drivin	g any vehicle during th	e course of performing	g work funde	d by this grant		
	stablish workplace safety policies an						
caused	by distracted drivers.			•		. [	
•					1		· ·
	· .	• .			۰.	-	
	· · ·	• 	•			3	
			•		· .		· .
•		•	• .		1.1.1	-	· .
						- 1	
					• · · · ·	l	· •
			· .				
		•		•			
•				<ul> <li>1</li> </ul>		· i	
	· .						
• . *							• •
•		· · ·	· •	-		. 1	
			•		·. ·	. 1	

228

Hi

Department of Justice Office on Violence Against Women AWARD CONTINUATION SHEET Cooperative Agreement PAGE 6 OF 8 PAGE 6 OF 8 PAGE 6 OF 8 PAGE 7 OF PAGE 6 OF 8 PAGE 7 OF PAGE 7				••		·.
Office on Violence Against Women       AWARD CONTINUATION SHEET       PAGE 6 OF 8         ECT NUMBER       2010-CW-AX-R025       AWARD DATE       09/23/2010         ECT NUMBER       2010-CW-AX-R025       AWARD DATE       09/23/2010         SPECIAL CONDITIONS         21. TERMS OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT         The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) has elected to enter into a Cooperative Agreement with the City and County of San Francisco and its project partners to increase available supervised visitation and safe exchange services for victims of domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault, teen dating violence, and stalking. This decision reflects a strong mutual interest in increasing the safety and well-being of victims and their children during supervised visitations and safe exchanges. The award recipient acknowledges that OVW will piay a substantial role in shaping and monitoring the project.         STATEMENT OF FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT         The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will:         1. Provide the services of a Federal Program Specialist as a single point of contact for the administration of this cooperative agreement.         2. Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill at oversight function regarding the project.         3. Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.         4. Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to orgoing contact with the recipient.						'i
Office on Violence Against Women       AWARD CONTINUATION SHEET       PAGE 6 OF 8         ECT NUMBER       2010-CW-AX-R025       AWARD DATE       09/23/2010         ECT NUMBER       2010-CW-AX-R025       AWARD DATE       09/23/2010         SPECIAL CONDITIONS         21. TERMS OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT         The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) has elected to enter into a Cooperative Agreement with the City and County of San Francisco and its project partners to increase available supervised visitation and safe exchange services for victims of domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault, teen dating violence, and stalking. This decision reflects a strong mutual interest in increasing the safety and well-being of victims and their children during supervised visitations and safe exchanges. The award recipient acknowledges that OVW will piay a substantial role in shaping and monitoring the project.         STATEMENT OF FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT         The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will:         1. Provide the services of a Federal Program Specialist as a single point of contact for the administration of this cooperative agreement.         2. Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill at oversight function regarding the project.         3. Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.         4. Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to orgoing contact with the recipient.	Department of Justice				-	
EECT NUMBER       2010-CW-AX-K025       AWARD DATE       09/23/2010         SPECIAL CONDITIONS         21. TERMS OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT         The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) has elected to enter into a Cooperative Agreement with the City and County of San Francisco and its project partners to increase available supervised visitation and safe exchange services for victims of domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault, teen dating violence, and stalking. This decision reflects a strong mutual interest in increasing the safety and well-being of victims and their children during supervised visitations and safe exchanges. The award recipient acknowledges that OVW will play a substantial role in shaping and monitoring the project.         STATEMENT OF FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT         The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will:         1. Provide the services of a Federal Program Specialist as a single point of contact for the administration of this cooperative agreement.         2. Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill an oversight function regarding the project.         3. Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.         4. Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to ongoing contact with the recipient.			<b>.</b>	PAGE	6 OF 8	
Interesting       2010-CW-AX-R025         SPECIAL CONDITIONS         21. TERMS OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT         The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) has elected to enter into a Cooperative Agreement with the City and County of San Francisco and its project partners to increase available supervised visitation and safe exchange services for victims of domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault, teen dating violence, and stalking. This decision reflects a strong mutual interest in increasing the safety and well-being of victims and their children during supervised visitations and safe exchanges. The award recipient acknowledges that OVW will play a substantial role in shaping and monitoring the project.         STATEMENT OF FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT         The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will:         1. Provide the services of a Federal Program Specialist as a single point of contact for the administration of this cooperative agreement.         2. Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill an oversight function regarding the project.         3. Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.         4. Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to ongoing contact with the recipient.		Cooperative Agreement			· .	
ECT NUMBER 2010-CWAX-K025       SPECIAL CONDITIONS         21. TERMS OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT         The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) has elected to enter into a Cooperative Agreement with the City and County of San Francisco and its project partners to increase available supervised visitation and safe exchange services for victims of domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault, teen dating violence, and stalking. This decision reflects a strong mutual interest in increasing the safety and well-being of victims and their children during supervised visitations and safe exchanges. The award recipient acknowledges that OVW will play a substantial role in shaping and monitoring the project.         STATEMENT OF FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT         The Qiffice on Violence Against Women (OVW) will:         1. Provide the services of a Federal Program Specialist as a single point of contact for the administration of this cooperative agreement.         2. Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill an oversight function regarding the project.         3. Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.         4. Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to ongoing contact with the recipient.						
<ul> <li>SPECIAL CONDITIONS</li> <li>21. TERMS OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT</li> <li>The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) has elected to enter into a Cooperative Agreement with the City and County of San Francisco and its project partners to increase available supervised visitation and safe exchange services for victims of domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault, teen dating violence, and stalking. This decision reflects a strong mutual interest in increasing the safety and well-being of victims and their children during supervised visitations and safe exchanges. The award recipient acknowledges that OVW will play a substantial role in shaping and monitoring the project.</li> <li>STATEMENT OF FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT</li> <li>The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will:</li> <li>1. Provide the services of a Federal Program Specialist as a single point of contact for the administration of this cooperative agreement.</li> <li>2. Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill an oversight function regarding the project.</li> <li>3. Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.</li> <li>4. Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to ongoing contact with the recipient.</li> </ul>	CT NUMBER 2010-CW-AX-K025	AWARD DATE 09/23/2010		· · · ·		
<ol> <li>TERMS OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT         The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) has elected to enter into a Cooperative Agreement with the City and County of San Francisco and its project partners to increase available supervised visitation and safe exchange services. for victims of domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault teen dating violence, and stalking. This decision reflects a strong mutual interest in mereasing the safety and well-being of victims and their children during supervised visitations and safe exchanges. The award recipient acknowledges that OVW will play a substantial role in shaping and monitoring the project.     </li> <li>STATEMENT OF FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT         The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will:         1. Provide the services of a Federal Program Specialist as a single point of contact for the administration of this cooperative agreement.         2. Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill an oversight function regarding the project.         3. Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.         4. Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to ongoing contact with the recipient.     </li> </ol>		CONDITIONS				
<ul> <li>County of San Francisco and its project particles to infected values and starting violence, and starking. This decision reflects a for victims of domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault, teen dating violence, and starking. This decision reflects a strong mutual interest in increasing the safety and well-being of victims and their children during supervised visitations and safe exchanges. The award recipient acknowledges that OVW will play a substantial role in shaping and monitoring the project.</li> <li>STATEMENT OF FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT</li> <li>The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will:</li> <li>1. Provide the services of a Federal Program Specialist as a single point of contact for the administration of this cooperative agreement.</li> <li>2. Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill an oversight function regarding the project.</li> <li>3. Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.</li> <li>4. Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to ongoing contact with the recipient.</li> </ul>	71. TERMS OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT	· · . · ·				
<ul> <li>monitoring the project.</li> <li>STATEMENT OF FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT</li> <li>The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will: <ol> <li>Provide the services of a Federal Program Specialist as a single point of contact for the administration of this cooperative agreement.</li> <li>Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill an oversight function regarding the project.</li> <li>Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.</li> <li>Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not fimited to ongoing contact with the recipient.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	County of San Francisco and its project parties for victims of domestic violence, child abuse, s strong mutual interest in increasing the safety a and safe exchanges. The award recipient ackno	sexual assault, teen dating violence, and st	talking. en dutit	This decisi	on reflects ed visitation	a l S
<ol> <li>The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) will:</li> <li>Provide the services of a Federal Program Specialist as a single point of contact for the administration of this cooperative agreement.</li> <li>Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill an oversight function regarding the project.</li> <li>Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.</li> <li>Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to ongoing contact with the recipient.</li> </ol>	monitoring the project.				-	î .
<ol> <li>Provide the services of a Federal Program Specialist as a single point of contact for the administration of this cooperative agreement.</li> <li>Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill an oversight function regarding the project.</li> <li>Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.</li> <li>Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to ongoing contact with the recipient.</li> </ol>						•
<ol> <li>Monitor program development and implementation, and fulfill an oversight function regarding the project.</li> <li>Review and approve content and format of the materials produced in conjunction with this project.</li> <li>Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to ongoing contact with the recipient.</li> </ol>	1. Provide the services of a Federal Program S	pecialist as a single point of contact for th	në admi	nistration o	f this	
<ol> <li>Provide input, re-direct the project as needed, and actively monitor the project by methods including but not fimited to ongoing contact with the recipient.</li> </ol>	2. Monitor program development and implement	entation, and fulfill an oversight function	regardi	ng the proje	ect.	
to ongoing contact with the recipical.	3. Review and approve content and format of	the materials produced in conjunction wit	th this p	roject.	t not limite	đ
5. Approve sites and dates of all project related activities.	<ol> <li>Provide input, re-direct the project as neede to ongoing contact with the recipient.</li> </ol>	ed, and actively monitor the project by me		netuuning Di		
	5. Approve sites and dates of all project relate	d activities.	•••			
			•	• • •		
			•		· · · ·	

I	Department of Office on Viole	lustice nce Against Women	AWARD CONTINU SHEET Cooperative Agree		PAGE 7 OF 8
•	;			•	
ROJECT NUMBER	2010-CW-AX-K025		AWARD DATE 09/23/2010		<u> </u>
		<i>SPECIAL</i> NVE AGREEMENT PIENT RESPONSIBIL	<i>Conditions</i>	• • •	
de las	ity and County of S of the project, with Madres. ants will:	an Francisco will work a the Rally Family Visit	collaboratively, in each step of tation Services, the San Francisc	the planning and to Unified Family	implementation Court, and La Casa
l. Wor	k closely with OV	W in the development a	nd implementation of this project	ct.	ν.
2. Ensu discipli violene institute	ue that a multi-disc nary learn should in e/sexual assault ago x, as designated by	iplinary team participat iclude representatives f ency. Representatives y	tes in project development and it from the grantee agency, the stat will participate in the consulting ity participate in the planning an	mplementation. The or local court, a	and the domestic

3. Identify a representative of the grantee agency to serve as project coordinator. This representative will substantially participate in all aspects of the grant project; coordinate development and implementation activities; and attend meetings and institutes, as designated by OVW.

4. Work cooperatively and collaboratively with OVW's technical assistance provider(s) for the Supervised Visitation Grant Program, throughout the term of this agreement.

5. Attend the New Grantee Orientation. The orientation will provide grant and financial management information, content training, and an opportunity for exchange and coalition building among award recipients.

6. Participate in all OVW funded technical assistance opportunities related to the Supervised Visitation Grant Program, including, but not limited to, grantee meetings, on-site technical assistance, and site visits.

7. Allocate project funds, as designated by OVW, for allowable costs to participate in OVW-sponsored technical assistance. Funds designated for OVW-sponsored technical assistance may not be used for any other purpose without prior approval of OVW. Technical assistance includes, but is not limited to, peer-to-peer consultations, focus groups, mentoring site visits, conferences and workshops conducted by OVW-designated technical assistance providers or OVW-designated consultants and contractors.

8. Provide OVW with the agenda for any training seminars, workshops, or conferences not sponsored by OVW that project staff proposes to attend using grant funds. The grantee must receive prior approval from OVW before using OVW grant funds to attend any training, workshops, or conferences not sponsored by OVW. To request approval, grantees must submit a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) request through the Grants Management System to OVW with a copy of the event's brochure, curriculum and/or agenda, a description of the hosts or trainers, and an estimated breakdown of costs. The GAN request should be submitted to OVW at least 20 days before registration for the event is due. Approval to attend non-OVW sponsored programs will be given on a case-by-case basis.

9. Ensure that grant funds will be used to support supervised visitation and safe visitation exchange of children by and between parents in situations involving domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault, or stalking. The grantee may not use grant funds to support individual counseling, family counseling, parent education, support groups or therapeutic supervision. The grantee may not require violints to attend or utilize parent education or other program services.

230

BC

OJP FORM 4000/2 (REV. 4-88)

	1		
Department of Justice			
Office on Violence Against Women	AWARD CONTINUATION	I PAGE 8 OF 8	
	SHEET		
E STATION STATES	Cooperative Agreement		
· •			
DJECT NUMBER 2010-CW-AX-K025	AWARD DATE 09/23/2010		
JECT NOIME	L CONDITIONS		
23. TERMS OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT	· ·		•
STATEMENT OF RECIPIENT RESPONSIB	ILITIES (continued)	Official picitation	
to E used to the proof funds will not be used to	o provide offsite or overnight visitation services visit between a child and a non-custodial parent	that occurs outside the	
includes but is not timited to, any monitored	to any mon	Itored visit permeeten a oning	
premises of the visitation center. Overnight vi	visit between a child and a non-custodial parent isitation includes, but is not limited to, any mon a of the normal operating hours of the visitation.	center.	
	and provide the second provider of the second	AACIUTADAD DIC 1	
			•
includes experts in the following fields: child	ents. Accordingly, grantees must estatute to each abuse and neglect, mental health, batterer's inter dvocacy for victims of domestic violence, datin	g violence, stalking and	
enforcement, child protection services, and a	l abuse and neglect, mental health, banerers mu dvocacy for victims of domestic violence, datin		
Dural and implement ademiate securi	ty measures, including but not limited to, adeque	ate facilities, procedures,	1
12. Develop and implement aucquate south	ty measures, including but not imited to, adequine, for the operation of supervised visitation program to center facilities (including location) and/or	policies and protocols must	
A strendstrantial Clipting Ot 104 miles			
	any fees charged must be	based on the income of the	· ·
Enderly being the propriation of sol viceo,		1	[,
•	the state of the state	at with the Guiding	.
14. Ensure that the grant project is develope	d and implemented in a manner that is consisted ram. The Guiding Principles embody the statut ram. They are intended to guide practice for O	ny requirements and	
The Simervised Visiduul 195	terms in the second sec	an andressing the needs of	1.
objectives of the Supervised within the Guiding P	ram. They are intended to guide practice for O rinciples are considered to be good practice wh under the Supervised Visitation Program can an	d are encouraged to go	· <sup>*</sup>
			1.
1 J the emotioner outfitte Willing UK Ver	and a second sec	. •	
	a set if which most little	nited to: a) Kequining adult	1
account for the safety of adult victims; c) re	y counseling; b) providing visitation of exchange equiring a court order in order to access visitation and performation and	opinions of center staff and	Ĩ.
and d) providing custody evaluations of con	equiring a court order in order to access visitant art reports based on subjective information and	•	1
· · ·	nge center involved in a multi-jurisdictional privious for the sector of	ject meets the statutory and	
		er must operate as a separate	ļ
facility for a minimum of 20 hours per wer	ék.	-	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ds until the Office on	
24. The recipient's budget is pending approval	therefore the recipient may not drawdown run al Management Division has approved the budg issued to remove this special condition. Any o	et and budget narrative and a	
Violence Against Women, Grans I maner	ABY 0	bilgations of expenditor of	
Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) has been	al Management Division has approved the budg issued to remove this special condition. Any o et being approved are made at the recipient's ow	n risk.	1
incurred by the recipient prior to the budg	er bents approved ale made a set		
			l
			ļ
•			ļ
	•		ł

: 130

File No. <u>110159</u>

#### FORM SFEC-126: NOTIFICATION OF CONTRACT APPROVAL S.F. Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code § 1.126)

(S.F. Campaign and Governmen	
City Elective Officer Information (Please print clearly.)	City ale time office (a) held:
Name of City elective officer(s):	City elective office(s) held:
Members, San Francisco Board of Supervisors	Members, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Contractor Information (Please print clearly.)	
Name of contractor:	
La Casa de las Madres	
<ul> <li>La Casa de las madres</li> <li>Please list the names of (1) members of the contractor's board of a financial officer and chief operating officer; (3) any person who h any subcontractor listed in the bid or contract; and (5) any politic additional pages as necessary.</li> <li>1) Maria Bee, ESQ; Betty Creary; Bob Littlefield; Lisa Pola Zauss</li> </ul>	
2) Kathy Black	
3) None	
4) None	
5) None	
Contractor address:	
1663 Mission Street #225, San Francisco, CA 94103	
Date that contract was approved:	Amount of contract: \$13,982
Exchange Grant Program Comments:	
This contract was approved by (check applicable):	
This contract was approved by (enterner pre-	
$\Box$ the City elective officer(s) identified on this form	San Francisco Board of Supervisors
a board on which the city electric children (1)	Print Name of Board
□ the board of a state agency (Health Authority, Housing Au Board, Parking Authority, Redevelopment Agency Commis Development Authority) on which an appointee of the City	ston Relocation Appeals Doard, Treasure Island
Print Name of Board	
Filer Information (Please print clearly.)	
Name of filer: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	Contact telephone number: (415) 554-5184
Address: City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102	E-mail: Bos.legislation@sfgov.org
I DI. Caliton D. Goodott (1990, out 11-1997)	
Signature of City Elective Officer (if submitted by City elective	officer) Date Signed

Signature of Board Secretary or Clerk (if submitted by Board Secretary or Clerk)

Date Signed

File	No.	11	01	59	

## FORM SFEC-126: NOTIFICATION OF CONTRACT APPROVAL (S.F. Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code 5, 1, 1)

City Elective Officer Information (Please print clearly.)	nmental Conduct Code § 1.126)
Name of City elective officer(s):	City elective office(s) held:
Members, San Francisco Board of Supervisors	Members, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Contractor Information (Please print clearly.)	
Name of contractor: Rally Family Visitation Services of Saint Francis Memoria	al Hospital
<ul> <li>Please list the names of (1) members of the contractor's board financial officer and chief operating officer; (3) any person we any subcontractor listed in the bid or contract; and (5) any po additional pages as necessary.</li> <li>1 – Susan Campbell; Jane Bachmann; Mel Blaustein, MD; Am Robert Dureault; Issa Eshima, MD; Robert Eves; Alan E. Fox; Thomas G. Hennessy; Clement Jones, MD; Mary Kane, RN, JI MD; Victor Prieto, MD; Julie Soo, JD; Peter Teng, MD; and V 2 – Thomas Hennessy, CEO; Alan Fox, CFO; Tony C Jackson 3 – None</li> </ul>	d of directors; (2) the contractor's chief executive officer, chief ho has an ownership of 20 percent or more in the contractor; (4 blitical committee sponsored or controlled by the contractor. Use hy Bossen, MD; Carrie Byles; Gary Chan, MD; Anna Cheung; William Gaede; Patricia Galamba, MD; Guido Gores, MD; D; Janis MacKenzie; Frank R. Malin, MD; J. David Malone, Wandy Yo
4 – None	
5 – None	
Contractor address:	
900 Hyde Street, San Francisco, CA 94109	
Date that contract was approved:	Amount of contract: \$82,488
To provide supervised visitation and safe exchange options to dating violence, child abuse, or stalking, through the Safe Have Comments:	ns: Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program.
the City elective officer(s) identified on this form	
a board on which the City elective officer(s) serves	San Francisco Board of Supervisors
the board of a state agency (Health Authority, Housing A Board, Parking Authority, Redevelopment Agency Commis Development Authority) on which an appointee of the City	Print Name of Board uthority Commission, Industrial Development Authority ssion Polooption America Development Authority
Filer Information (Please print clearly.)	
Name of filer:	
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	Contact telephone number: (415) 554-5184
ddress: ity Hall, Room 244 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, Ca 94102	E-mail: Bos.legislation@sfgov.org
3	
anature of City Election Off	· · · · ·
gnature of City Elective Officer (if submitted by City elective of	fficer) Date Signed

Date Signed

· .