File No. <u>130119</u>

Committee Item No. _____4___ Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Land Use and Economic Development Date March 18, 2013

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date _____

Cmte Board

	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is needed)
	Environmental Review Determination, dtd 2/25/13 Building Inspection Commission Recommendation, dtd 2/21/13 Notice of Public Hearing
Completed I	by: Alisa Miller Date March 14, 2013

Completed by:

_Date_March 14, 2013 _Date____ SUBSTITUTED IN BOARD 3/12/2013

ORLINANCE NO.

[Building Code - Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program - Wood-Frame Buildings; Optional Evaluation Form Fee]

Ordinance amending the Building Code to establish a Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories or two stories over a basement or underfloor area that has any portion extending above grade, and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978, and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk of the Board to forward the legislation to specified State agencies.

NOTE:

Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman;</u> deletions are <u>strike-through italics Times New Roman</u>. Board amendment additions are <u>double-underlined</u>; Board amendment deletions are <u>strikethrough normal</u>.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. General Findings.

(a) At a duly noticed public hearing held on February 20, 2013, the Building Inspection Commission considered this ordinance.

(b) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 130119 and is incorporated herein by reference.

(c) In Section 19160 of the California Health & Safety Code, the State Legislature declared that because of the generally acknowledged fact that California will experience moderate to severe earthquakes in the foreseeable future, increased efforts to reduce

earthquake hazards should be encouraged and supported. California Health and Safety Code Section 19161 authorizes each city, city and county, or county to assess the earthquake hazard in its jurisdiction and to identify buildings that are potentially hazardous to life in the event of an earthquake. Health and Safety Code Section 19162 authorizes the governing body of any city, city and county, or county to establish by ordinance seismic retrofit standards for these buildings.

(d) Among the potentially hazardous buildings identified in Health and Safety Code Section 19161 are wood-frame, multi-unit residential buildings constructed before January 1, 1978 having soft, weak or open front wall lines (a "soft-story condition"). These conditions generally arise in a building because the first story has perimeter walls that have large openings for garage doors or windows, has few interior partitions, and/or is constructed of materials that have deteriorated over time.

(e) In enacting Health and Safety Code Section 19160 et seq., the State Legislature found that residential buildings with a soft-story condition are particularly vulnerable to severe damage and collapse. Their collapse can ignite fires that threaten trapped occupants and neighboring buildings in the event of an earthquake and could complicate emergency response. In addition, these buildings are an important component of the State's housing stock that are in jeopardy of being lost in the event of a major earthquake. Soft-story residential buildings were responsible for 7,700 of the 16,000 housing units rendered uninhabitable by the Loma Prieta earthquake and over 34,000 of the housing units rendered uninhabitable by the Northridge earthquake. As noted in subsection (j) of Health and Safety Code Section 19160, the Association of Bay Area Governments estimates that soft-story residential buildings will be responsible for 66 percent of the uninhabitable housing following a seismic event on the Hayward fault. In subsections (I) and (n) of Health and Safety Code Section 19160, the Seismic Safety Commission recommended to the State Legislature that

Mayor Lee, Supervisors Chiu, Wiener, Yee, Farrell, Breed, Mar, Tang BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 2 3/12/2013 any mandatory mitigation programs adopted significantly reduce unacceptable hazards in buildings by 2020 and the Legislature stated its intent that local jurisdictions be encouraged to address the seismic safety of soft-story residential buildings and to initiate efforts to reduce the seismic risk in these vulnerable buildings.

Section 2. Findings of Local Conditions Under California Health and Safety Code Section 17958.7.

(a) The Applied Technology Council (ATC) is a nonprofit organization that develops and promotes state-of-the-art, user-friendly engineering resources and applications to mitigate the effects of natural and other hazards on the built environment. Beginning in 1998, ATC was contracted to perform a study called the San Francisco Community Action Plan for Seismic Safety (CAPSS), which was initiated by the San Francisco Building Inspection Commission. Under CAPSS, ATC, together with the CAPSS Public Advisory Committee, studied buildings in San Francisco that are vulnerable to collapse or severe damage in an earthquake.

(b) The purpose of the CAPSS study was to develop earthquake safety policy recommendations founded on clear technical bases. "Here Today, Here Tomorrow," ATC's first policy report under CAPSS, was published in February 2009 and focused on the City's wood-frame structures that have five or more residential units, three or more stories, and were built before the adoption of codes regulating earthquake-resistant construction. It was determined that the possible collapse of many of San Francisco's wood-frame, multi-story buildings containing residential units represents one of the most significant earthquake impacts to the City. The final CAPSS report, issued December 31, 2010, also addressed other vulnerable building types that present risks to the people of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mayor Lee, Supervisors Chiu, Wiener, Yee, Farrell, Breed, Mar, Tang BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 3 3/12/2013 (c) In 2010, the San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR) published a white paper entitled "The Resilient City – Part I," containing SPUR's recommendations regarding how San Francisco can prepare for and rebound quickly from a major earthquake. As noted in the Preface to "Here Today – Here Tomorrow," there has been significant cooperation and communication between the CAPSS Public Advisory Committee and SPUR's hazard mitigation task force. The CAPSS recommendations were strongly influenced by SPUR's vision of city-wide mitigation actions to be taken to assure San Francisco's speedy recovery after a future earthquake .
(d) At the request of participants in the CAPSS project, in May 2009 the Federal

Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) commissioned ATC to prepare guidelines for the seismic retrofit of so-called soft-story wood frame buildings. Technical advisors to the CAPSS project had concluded that existing engineering procedures were not adequate to fully evaluate the complex behavior of these vulnerable buildings, and were not necessarily yielding optimal retrofit designs. Those advisors recommended that new evaluation and design procedures were needed to ensure more reliable, cost-effective engineering practices for evaluation and retrofit and to provide guidance for practical and enforceable retrofit regulations.

(e) In May 2012 FEMA issued a guidelines document entitled FEMA P-807, Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Multi-Unit Wood-Frame Buildings with Weak First Stories, which details procedures for the analysis and seismic retrofit of vulnerable wood-frame buildings that are common in Northern and Southern California and the Pacific Northwest. The guidelines are suitable for implementation through model code provisions that ensure uniform application and enforcement. The retrofit requirements contained in this Ordinance allow the use of FEMA P-807 and other approved methodologies. The retrofit provisions of FEMA P-807 focus on projects in which work is limited to the first story and the second floor diaphragm. Such

Mayor Lee, Supervisors Chiu, Wiener, Yee, Farrell, Breed, Mar, Tang BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 4 3/12/2013

1

2

retrofits can improve performance and reduce risk of collapse but will not necessarily provide a comprehensive building retrofit to a specific performance objective.

(f) In early 2010, then Mayor Newsom convened a Soft-Story Retrofit Task Force with the aim of crafting a mandatory seismic retrofit program for weak-story buildings in San Francisco, including consideration of a phased implementation program and possible financing mechanisms. In 2011, Mayor Lee initiated the Earthquake Safety Implementation Program (ESIP) to implement the recommendations of the CAPSS program, including completing the development of an ordinance for retrofit of weak-story buildings. That work has resulted in the mandatory seismic retrofit program established in this ordinance.

(g) There are approximately 4,300 wood-frame buildings in San Francisco that were built before January 1, 1978, having five or more dwelling units and three or more stories. The CAPSS analysis determined that at least 2,800 of these may have a weak-story condition or similar vulnerability. These vulnerable buildings can be found throughout the City, most notably in the Mission, Western Addition, Richmond, North Beach, and Marina neighborhoods.

(h) California Health and Safety Code Section 19161(a)(2) has set January 1, 1978 as a benchmark date for characterizing wood-frame, multi-unit residential buildings. This January 1, 1978 date supersedes the date of May 21, 1973 found in the San Francisco Building Code that was previously used to distinguish obsolete structural designs from acceptable structures of this building type. Under the California Health and Safety Code, buildings constructed after January 1, 1978 are considered to have been designed to meet a life safety standard in the design-basis earthquake, which has a two percent chance of occurring in any 50-year period. In San Francisco, the design-basis earthquake is similar to a magnitude 7.9 earthquake on a nearby segment of the San Andreas fault.

(i) Buildings located within the City's potential liquefaction zones may not perform as well as buildings outside these mapped areas. These liquefaction zones are identified in the Official Map of the State of California's Seismic Hazard Zones, which was signed by the State Geologist and released on November 17, 2000. Notwithstanding these possible local geological impacts, buildings in these areas will benefit significantly from the seismic retrofit requirements of this ordinance.

(j) The CAPSS study estimates that as they now stand, 43 to 85 percent of the most vulnerable multi-unit, wood-frame buildings would be posted with a red UNSAFE placard ("red-tagged") following a magnitude 7.2 earthquake on a nearby segment of the San Andreas fault, representing 1,200 to 2,400 red-tagged buildings. Red-tagged buildings are uninhabitable and may not be occupied after an earthquake until they are either repaired or replaced. A quarter of the red-tagged buildings, representing 300 to 850 multi-unit buildings, would be expected to collapse. The CAPSS study estimates that with appropriate seismic retrofit the overall rate of collapse in a 7.2 San Andreas fault earthquake drops dramatically.

(k) The CAPSS study found that about 58,000 people live in the subset of 2,800 buildings with the largest perimeter wall openings. These buildings house close to 2,000 businesses that employ an estimated 7,000 people. Without retrofit, the heavy damage that these buildings are likely to sustain and the fires resulting from the earthquake would kill and injure many people and disrupt many neighborhoods for years after an earthquake. This disruption would displace tens of thousands of people from their homes and neighborhoods and thus they could not contribute to bringing communities back to life. Small businesses along neighborhood shopping streets would suffer severe impacts. Many of these buildings contain rent-controlled apartments that might be rebuilt as condominiums rather than apartment buildings or, if rebuilt as apartments, would be exempt from rent control. The

demographics and character of neighborhoods that experience substantial damage could change significantly.

(I) A resilient city is a city that can rebound from a natural disaster and quickly resume normal function. The purpose of this ordinance is to promote the resiliency goals as identified in the Community Safety Element of San Francisco's General Plan, as well as to protect the health, safety, and welfare of San Francisco residents by reducing the possible collapse, major structural damage, loss of housing stock, or risk of fire caused by an earthquake to the most vulnerable wood-frame, residential buildings. This ordinance requires retrofits that will greatly increase the probability of a building being safely occupiable within 24 hours of an expected moderate earthquake, a measure of performance commonly referred to as "shelterin-place," using criteria that limit retrofit costs. This moderate earthquake has a magnitude of 7.2 on the Peninsula segment of the San Andreas Fault. For most of the City, the shaking associated with this scenario is expected to occur at least once during the useful life of a structure and more than once if the structure is renovated periodically to extend its useful life.

(m) As the CAPSS study showed, the seismic retrofitting of multi-unit, wood-frame buildings as required by this ordinance would dramatically reduce the consequences of earthquakes to San Francisco by substantially reducing the collapse hazard and allowing up to 58,000 San Franciscans to remain in their homes rather than be relocated to temporary or emergency housing. It would retain significant amounts of housing, preserve architectural and cultural attributes, contribute to sustainability through conservation of energy and resources, improve public safety, and shorten the time that the City requires to recover from large earthquakes.

Section 3. The San Francisco Building Code is hereby amended by adding Chapter 34B, to read as follows:

<u>CHAPTER 34B</u>

MANDATORY EARTHQUAKE RETROFIT OF WOOD-FRAME BUILDINGS

<u>Section 3401B. Purpose and Intent.</u> The purpose of this Chapter is to promote the health, safety, and welfare of San Francisco residents as well as the ability of the City and County of San Francisco to recover from a major earthquake by reducing the possibility of collapse, major structural damage, or risk of fire caused by an earthquake to certain wood-frame buildings.

In furtherance of this purpose, this Chapter establishes seismic retrofit requirements intended to significantly reduce the collapse risk of residential buildings with critically vulnerable lower stories and to increase the likelihood that these buildings will be structurally safe to occupy shortly after an earthquake. The engineering criteria established by this Chapter generally limit the structural retrofit work to the ground story or to a basement or underfloor area that extends above grade where the most critical vulnerabilities are typically located, thereby improving building performance while limiting retrofit costs and impacts.

Section 3402B. Scope. This Chapter shall apply to existing buildings, including mixedoccupancy buildings, that are Type V (wood-frame) construction of three or more stories or two stories over a basement or underfloor area that has any portion extending above grade, and containing five or more dwelling units and for which a permit for construction of a new building was applied for before January 1, 1978 or which is determined by the Department to have been originally constructed before January 1, 1978.

Exceptions:

<u>1. A building that has been seismically strengthened to meet or exceed the standards of</u> <u>Section 1604.11 of this Code or its predecessor provisions within 15 years prior to the operative date of</u> <u>this Chapter is exempt from this Chapter upon the submittal of documentation showing that such work</u> <u>was properly permitted, completed, and maintained as required by this Code, and that the Department</u> <u>has approved such documentation.</u>

2. A building that has completed voluntary seismic strengthening under the provisions of Administrative Bulletin AB-094 is exempt from the requirements of this Chapter.

Section 3403B. Definitions.

In addition to the definitions in Chapter 2 of this Code, the following definitions shall apply for purposes of this Chapter:

DWELLING UNIT. A dwelling unit shall include any individual residential unit within either an R-1 or an R-2 occupancy building. It shall also include a guestroom, with or without a kitchen, within either a tourist or residential hotel or motel but shall not include a "housekeeping room." A dwelling unit shall include an area that is occupied as a dwelling unit, whether such is approved or unapproved for residential use.

STORY. The first story of any building shall be considered a story, whether or not previously exempted from story count under an earlier edition of the San Francisco Building Code.

Section 3404B. Compliance Requirements.

3404B.1. General. The owner of each building subject to this Chapter shall comply with the reporting requirements of this section. If the building is not exempt and does not meet the minimum criteria specified in this Chapter, the owner shall cause the building to be retrofitted to conform to such criteria according to the compliance deadlines set forth in Table 34B-A. Notice of the compliance requirements shall be given by the Department pursuant to Section 3405B.4.

3404B.2. Screening Form. The owner of a building who has been notified that their building is within the scope of this Chapter as well as all other owners of buildings that may be subject to this Chapter shall engage an architect or engineer to submit to the Department within the time limits set forth in Table 34B-A a properly completed Screening Form.

Exception: Buildings exempt based on the exception in Section 3402B, Exception 2 of this Chapter may complete and submit the required Screening Form without engaging an architect or engineer.

1	3404B.2.1. Required information. The Screening Form to be developed by the	
2	Department shall be used to determine whether a building is or is not subject to the requirements of	
3	this Chapter, and to assign a building to the appropriate Compliance Tier. The Screening Form shall	
4	be completed by an architect as defined in Section 5500 of the California Business and Professions	
5	Code or by a civil or structural engineer registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 6700 et seq. of	
6	the California Business and Professions Code.	
7	The submitted Screening Form shall include:	
8	1. all information required by the Department to determine compliance	
9	requirements, and	
10	2. whether the building is exempt based on Section 3402B, Exception 1 of this	
11	<u>Chapter, and</u>	
12	3. a Declaration, based on a review of building information, of:	
13	(a) whether the building is exempt because it is outside the scope of this	
14	Chapter based on its date of original permit application or construction, number of dwelling units, or	
15	number of stories, or	
16	(b) if not exempt, the appropriate Compliance Tier.	
17	3404B.2.2. Optional Evaluation Form. The Optional Evaluation Form to be developed	
18	by the Department shall be used to determine if an existing building already meets the criteria of	
19	Section 3406B.2 of this Chapter. The Optional Evaluation Form shall be completed by an architect as	
20	defined in Section 5500 of the California Business and Professions Code or by a civil or structural	
21	engineer registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 6700 et seq. of the California Business and	
22	Professions Code. The Optional Evaluation Form shall be accompanied by a completed Screening	
23	Form and shall include:	
24	1. dates and scope of any seismic retrofit work, and	
25		

Mayor Lee, Supervisors Chiu, Wiener, Yee, Farrell, Breed, Mar, Tang BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1	2. plans and other information as the Department may require that are sufficient
2	to support the Declaration below, and
3	3. a Declaration of whether the building satisfies the evaluation criteria given in
4	Section 3406B.2 of this Chapter.
5	3404B.3. Compliance Tiers. Each building not exempt from this Chapter shall be assigned to
6	one of the following Compliance Tiers:
7	1. Tier I: Buildings that contain a Group A, E, R-2.1, R-3.1 or R-4 occupancy on any
8	<u>story.</u>
9	2. Tier II: Buildings containing 15 or more dwelling units, except for buildings assigned
10	<u>to Tier I or Tier IV.</u>
11	3. Tier III: Buildings not falling within the definition of another tier.
12	4. Tier IV: Buildings that contain a Group B or M occupancy on the first story or in a
13	basement or underfloor area that has any portion extending above grade, and buildings that are in
14	mapped liquefaction zones, except for buildings assigned to Tier I.
15	3404B.4. Application for a building permit. For each non-exempt and non-complying building,
16	the owner or the owner's authorized agent shall submit to the Department an application for a building
17	permit accompanied by the necessary permit submittal documents indicating the proposed seismic
18	retrofit. A permit for this seismic retrofit work may include minor ancillary work but shall be separate
19	from any other permits for building alterations or repairs unless such work is triggered by or integral
20	to the seismic retrofit work. No work other than is required under current codes shall be triggered by
21	this seismic retrofit work.
22	3404B4.1. Compliance deadlines. Compliance deadlines for the submission of the
23	Screening Form, Optional Evaluation Form, building permit application, and for completion of seismic
24	retrofit work are given in Table 34B-A. No transfer of title shall alter the time limits for compliance.

Mayor Lee, Supervisors Chiu, Wiener, Yee, Farrell, Breed, Mar, Tang BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

<u>3404B4.2. Certificate of Final Completion and Occupancy. A Certificate of Final</u> <u>Completion and Occupancy indicating completion of the required seismic retrofit work shall be</u> obtained upon completion of required seismic retrofit work.

<u>3404B4.3. Damaged Buildings. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Table 34B-A</u> <u>Compliance Deadlines, if an as-yet unretrofitted building subject to this Chapter suffers damage from</u> <u>an earthquake or subsequent fire caused by the earthquake that renders the building uninhabitable,</u> <u>results in structural damage that triggers retrofit under regulations adopted by the Department of</u> <u>Building Inspection, or results in "disproportionate damage" as defined in this Code, such building</u> <u>shall comply with the requirements of this Chapter and other applicable Sections of this Code within</u> <u>one year of such damage. The Department may grant an extension of this time period for good cause.</u> <u>Compliance with the provisions of this Chapter does not supersede the requirement to comply with</u> <u>Section 3405.3 of this Code when otherwise required by this Code.</u>

<u>3404B.5. Historic Preservation. If any portion of the seismic retrofit work will be visible from</u> the exterior of the subject property and the San Francisco Planning Department determines that the building is a historic resource, or if the interior of the building has been given landmark status, the seismic retrofit work shall be conducted in accordance with guidelines developed by the San Francisco Planning Department, taking into account provisions of the California Historical Building Code.

3405B. Program Implementation and Administration; Fee.

<u>3405B.1. Administrative Bulletin.</u> The Department shall prepare an Administrative Bulletin detailing the procedural and implementation requirements for this Chapter. Such procedures shall be generally consistent with the requirements set forth in this Chapter. The Administrative Bulletin may require sign-posting and other public information that the Department determines is necessary or appropriate.

3405B.2. Compliance Deadlines.

<u>TABLE 34B-A</u>

Compliance Deadlines (in years¹).

<u>Compliance Tier</u>	<u>Submission of</u> <u>Screening Form and</u> <u>Optional Evaluation</u> <u>Form</u>	Submittal of Permit Application with Plans for Seismic Retrofit Work	Completion of Work And Issuance of CFC2
I	1	2	<u>4</u>
\underline{II}	<u>1</u>	3	<u><u>5</u></u>
III	1	4	<u><u>6</u></u>
IV	1	5	<u><u>7</u></u>

¹All time periods are in years measured from 90 days after the operative date of this Chapter. ²All time limits and extensions of Chapter 1A of this Code are applicable, except that all work is to be completed by December 31, 2020, as recommended in California Health & Safety Code Section 19160(1).

<u>3405B.3. Administrative Fee. The fee for services provided by the Department under this</u> <u>Chapter shall be the Standard Hourly Rate for Plan Review and Administration set forth in Table 1A-D</u> of this Code. There shall be no fee required for submittal or review of the Screening Form required by <u>Section 3404B.2. A minimum fee corresponding to two hours for plan review and administration is</u> payable upon submittal of a voluntary Optional Evaluation Form. Additional fees may be charged at the Standard Hourly Rate for additional work and will be payable within 30 days of the Department's notice that payment is due.

3405B.4. Notice.

<u>3405B.4.1. Service of notice on owner. No later than 90 days after the operative date of</u> <u>this Chapter, the Department shall send a notice in accordance with Section 102A.4.2 of this Code to</u> <u>the owner of each building believed to be within the scope of this Chapter. The notice shall inform the</u> <u>owner of the requirement to comply with the provisions of this Chapter, and shall be accompanied by a</u> <u>Screening Form and an informational letter or brochure. Any person who believes that a building that</u>

is within the scope of this Chapter has not been so identified by the Department may notify the Department of the address or location of such building. If the Department determines upon review of the building and/or building records that the building may be within the scope of this Chapter, the Department shall provide notice to the owner as provided in this Section.

<u>3405B.4.2. Failure to give or receive notice.</u> If the owner of a building within the scope of this Chapter has knowledge that they own such a building, then the failure of the Department to issue the notice required by this Section, or the failure of the owner to receive such a notice, shall not relieve the owner of the obligation to comply with the requirements of this Chapter within the time limits set forth in Table 34B-A. For a building not known to the Department to be within the scope of this Chapter and whose owner or owners have no knowledge that the building is within the scope of this Chapter, the time limits set forth in Table 34B-A shall commence upon an owner having actual or constructive notice that the building may be within the scope of this Chapter. In no case, however, shall the final completion date be extended without the approval of the Board of Examiners after hearing an appeal pursuant to Section 3405B.5.

<u>3405B.4.3. Notice to public on Department's website.</u> A list of the buildings by street address and by block and lot for which notice has been given under this Section shall be maintained and made public on the Department's website.

3405B.5. Appeals. The owner of any building subject to this Chapter may appeal to the Board of Examiners any determination made by the Department with respect to compliance with the technical requirements of this Chapter. Such appeal shall be in accordance with the provisions of Section 105A of this Code. The time limits for compliance established by Table 34B-A shall not be extended during any appeal period unless specifically approved by the Board of Examiners. Any person may appeal a determination of the Director related to this Chapter to the Building Inspection Commission pursuant to Chapter 77 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

3405B.6. Enforcement. Whenever any required action has not been completed within the time limits set forth in Table 34B-A, the Department shall abate the violation in accordance with Section 102A of this Code.

3405B.6.1. Posting of notice. An enforcement action shall, in every case, include the Department posting of the building with a standard Department notice stating as follows:

"Earthquake Warning. This building is in violation of the requirements of the San Francisco Building Code regarding earthquake safety."

This notice shall not be removed until the building is in compliance with this Chapter. This notice shall also be recorded against the title of the building. The Building Official shall cause a release of such notice to be filed with the Assessor-Recorder's Office upon conformance with the requirements of this Chapter.

3406B Engineering Criteria for Evaluation and Retrofit.

3406B.1. General. This Chapter requires that evaluation and/or retrofit of buildings within its scope be undertaken using the engineering criteria established in this section.

3406B.2. Engineering Criteria. A proposed seismic evaluation and/or retrofit plan shall demonstrate that the building satisfies one of the following:

<u>1. FEMA P-807, Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Multi-Unit Wood-Frame Buildings</u> With Weak First Stories, as detailed in an Administrative Bulletin to be prepared pursuant to 3406B.3 of this ordinance, with the performance objective of 50 percent maximum probability of exceedance of Onset of Strength Loss drift limits with a spectral demand equal to 0.50 SMS, or

2. ASCE 41-13, Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, with the performance objective of Structural Life Safety in the BSE-1E earthquake, or

3. ASCE 41-06, Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, with the performance objective of Structural Life Safety in the BSE-1 earthquake with earthquake loads multiplied by 75 percent, or

<u>4. for evaluation only, ASCE 31-03, Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings, with the</u> performance level of Life Safety, or

5. for retrofit only, 2012 International Existing Building Code (IEBC) Appendix A-4, or 6. any other rational design basis deemed acceptable by the Department that meets or exceeds the intent of this Chapter.

<u>3406B.3. Alternative Retrofit Criteria.</u> A proposed seismic retrofit plan which fails to meet the criteria of 3406B.2(1) or 3406B.2(5) shall be deemed to comply with this Chapter if, with the approval of the Department, it satisfies the intent of FEMA P-807, Section 6.4.2 with a maximum acceptable Onset of Strength Loss drift limit probability of exceedance of 70 percent.

Exception: Alternative retrofit criteria shall not apply to buildings in which the critical stories, basements, or underfloor areas contain other than parking, storage, or utility uses or occupancies.

<u>3406B.4. Administrative Bulletin for Technical Requirements.</u> The Department shall develop and publish one or more Administrative Bulletins that detail the technical requirements to be used for the evaluation and retrofitting of buildings required to meet the criteria established in Section 3406B.2.

3406B.5. Conformance Period. Any building retrofitted in compliance with this Chapter and properly maintained, shall not, within a period of 15 years after the operative date of this Chapter, be identified as a seismic hazard pursuant to any local building standards adopted after the date of the building seismic retrofit unless the building incurred disproportionate damage, or otherwise has been damaged or altered so that it no longer meets the engineering criteria under which it was retrofitted.

3406B.6. Compliance with this Chapter does not supersede the requirements for compliance with Section 3401.B when otherwise under Chapter 34 of this Code. A permit issued solely for compliance with the provisions of this Chapter shall not be considered a "substantial change" or "structural work" as defined in Chapter 34 and compliance with Section 3401.8 will not be requirement by such work.

Section 4. The City intends to consider the creation of a voluntary special tax financing program to provide financing for the seismic retrofit work required by Chapter 34B. Under this program, the City would issue bonds on behalf of property owners to finance the required seismic retrofit work on participating properties, and each participating property owner would pay special taxes in an amount sufficient to pay its share of the debt service on the bonds. The financing would be voluntary; only those property owners who elect to participate in the program would receive the benefit of the financing and would be obligated to pay special taxes. Such tax financing program does not obligate the City to pay the special taxes.

Section 5. Outreach and Assistance. The Department, with the assistance of other City agencies, intends to implement a comprehensive outreach and assistance program to provide property owners, tenants, design professionals, contractors, and other interested parties with information about the procedures and technical standards necessary to implement the requirements of this Chapter. Information and assistance to be provided would include, but not be limited to, notice of requirements and standards, assistance with preparation of required forms and permit submittal documents, guidance on available finance options, and general assistance in understanding and complying with the requirements of this Chapter.

Section 6. Reporting. The Department shall maintain current information about program implementation, including the number of buildings at each stage of compliance, program administration and budget, and shall annually provide a report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors.

Section 7. Undertaking for the General Welfare. In enacting and implementing this ordinance, the City is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not

Mayor Lee, Supervisors Chiu, Wiener, Yee, Farrell, Breed, Mar, Tang BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 17 3/12/2013 assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.

Section 8. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional.

Section 9. Effective and Operative Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after the date of passage and operative 60 days after the date of passage.

Section 10. This section is uncodified. In enacting this ordinance, the Board intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent part of the Building Code that are explicitly shown in this legislation as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the legislation.

Section 11. Directions to Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board is directed to forward this ordinance to the State Building Standards Commission after final passage, as

required by Health and Safety Code Section 17958.7. The Clerk is further directed to send a copy of the finally-passed ordinance to the California Department of Housing and Community Development for informational purposes, as required by Health and Safety Code Section 19165.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: JUDITH A. BOYAJIAN Deputy City Attorney

n:\land\as2013\1300302\00833504.doc

Mayor Lee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 19 3/12/2013

REVISED LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

(3/12/2013, Substituted in Board)

[Building Code - Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program - Wood-Frame Buildings; Optional Evaluation Form Fee]

Ordinance amending the Building Code to establish a Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories or two stories over a basement or underfloor area that has any portion extending above grade, and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978, and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk of the Board to forward the legislation to specified State agencies.

Existing Law

Among other things, the San Francisco Building Code regulates the construction and alteration of buildings and structures in the City and County of San Francisco and establishes the minimum structural, seismic, and other standards necessary to safeguard life and property. Minimum requirements are established by the California Building Code, but a local jurisdiction is authorized to modify the requirements if they are reasonably necessary because of local conditions.

Amendments to Current Law

The proposed legislation adds a new chapter to the San Francisco Building Code that would require mandatory seismic retrofitting of existing wood-frame buildings, including mixedoccupancy buildings that are three or more stories and contain five or more dwelling units for which a construction permit was applied for before January 1, 1978. An exception is made for a building that has been seismically strengthened within fifteen years prior to the legislation's operative date, which is 60 days from the date of passage. An administrative fee is imposed to cover services provided by the Building Department in implementing and enforcing the seismic retrofitting program.

The retrofit requirements allow the use of guidelines issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and other approved methodologies. The owner of a building subject to the mandatory retrofit program must engage an architect or engineer to prepare and submit to the Department of Building Inspection a Screening Form and optional Evaluation Form within one year from the operative date of the legislation. Buildings subject to the seismic retrofitting program are organized into four Compliance Tiers and all retrofitting work under the program must be completed within seven years from the legislation's operative date.

Background Information

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

California Health and Safety Code Sections 19161 and 19162 authorize local jurisdictions to identify buildings that are potentially hazardous to life in the event of an earthquake and to establish by ordinance retrofit standards for these buildings. Among the potentially hazardous buildings identified by the State Legislature are wood-frame, multi-unit residential buildings constructed before January 1, 1978 having soft, weak or open front wall lines (a "soft-story condition"). These conditions generally arise in a building because the first story has perimeter walls that have large openings for garage doors or windows, has few interior partitions, and/or is constructed of materials that have deteriorated over time. The State Legislature expressly found that residential buildings with a soft-story condition are particularly vulnerable to severe damage and collapse and that these buildings are an important component of the State's housing stock in jeopardy of being lost in the event of an earthquake.

In a study commissioned by San Francisco's Building Inspection Commission, Applied Technology Council (ATC) and a Public Advisory Committee looked at buildings in San Francisco that are vulnerable to collapse or severe damage in an earthquake and developed earthquake policy recommendations. The first policy report was published in February 2009 and focused on the City's wood-frame structures that have five or more residential units, three or more stories, and were built before the adoption of codes regulating earthquake-resistant construction. The study determined that the possible collapse of many of these buildings represents one of the most significant earthquake impacts to San Francisco. The final ATC report issued on December 31, 2010 addressed other vulnerable building types.

In 2010, the San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR) published a white paper containing recommendations for how San Francisco can prepare for and rebound quickly from a major earthquake. The recommendations contained in the ATC study were strongly influenced by SPUR's vision of city-wide mitigation actions to be taken to assure San Francisco's speedy recovery after a future earthquake.

In early 2010, then Mayor Newsom convened a Soft-Story Retrofit Task Force with the aim of crafting a mandatory seismic retrofit program for weak-story buildings in San Francisco, including consideration of a phased implementation program and possible financing mechanisms. In 2011, Mayor Lee initiated the Earthquake Safety Implementation Program to implement recommendations and develop an ordinance for the retrofit of weak-story buildings. That work resulted in the mandatory seismic retrofit program established in this legislation.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department

FROM: Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee Board of Supervisors

DATE: February 6, 2013

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following proposed ordinance, introduced by Mayor Lee on February 5, 2013:

File No. 130119

Ordinance amending the Building Code, to establish a Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978, and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings, and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk of the Board to forward the legislation to specified State agencies.

This matter is being forwarded to your department for informational purposes. If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Bill Wycko, Environmental Review Officer AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs Manager Joy Navarrete, Senior Environmental Planner Monica Pereira, Environmental Planner

Non-physical exemption CEQA Section 15060 (c)(2)

BUILDING INSPECTION COMMISSION (BIC)



Department of Building Inspection Voice (415) 558-6164 - Fax (415) 558-6509 1660 Mission Street, San Francisco, California 94103-2414

February 21, 2013

Edwin M. Lee Mayor

COMMISSION

Angus McCarthy President

Warren Mar Vice-President

Kevin Clinch Frank Lee Dr. James McCray, Jr. Myrna Melgar Debra Walker

Sonya Harris Secretary

Tom Hui Acting Director Ms. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board Board of Supervisors, City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

RE: Proposed Ordinance (File No. 130119) amending the Building Code to establish a Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978, and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings, and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk of the Board to forward the legislation to specified State agencies.

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

On February 20, 2013 the Building Inspection Commission held a public hearing on the proposed amendment to the San Francisco Building Code referenced above. The Commissioners voted unanimously to support this proposed amendment.

The Commissioners voted as follows:

President McCarthy Commissioner Clinch Commissioner Melgar Yes Vice-President Mar Yes Commissioner Lee Yes Commissioner Walker

Yes Yes Yes

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 558-6164.

Sincerely,

Sonya Harris

Sonya Harris Commission Secretary cc:

Tom Hui, S.E., Acting Director Mayor Edwin M. Lee Supervisor David Chiu Supervisor Scott Wiener Supervisor Norman Yee Supervisor Mark Farrell Supervisor London Breed Supervisor Eric Mar 1 .

March 13, 2013

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisors,

I have been a resident of San Francisco since 1982 and have always put 'seismic safety and awareness' as a high priority. I am NERT trained, and frequently discuss this topic with my neighbors and family. In fact, after attending a neighborhood meeting last year on earthquake safety in the community, I agreed to be on the mayor's task force that was formed to study seismic safety in private schools.

File 130119

BOARD OF

2013 MAR 14 PM 4:09

BOS-11

alisa

I strongly support the passage of the Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings. This ordinance is a critical step forward to ensure that San Francisco is safe and resilient and able to handle the eventual large earthquake predicted in the near future. It is all of our responsibilities to ensure that every citizen has access to seismic-safe housing and that our radiant City- by -the -Bay is preserved by strong clear-thinking heads at City Hall and progressive-minded citizens. The more time, effort and resources we expend now, the less tragedy we will experience afterwards.

When this disaster occurs, we want to be remembered for being on guard and ready. Even in an earthquake-prepared city such as Tohoki, Japan, many people died unnecessarily. We all need to think 'outside the box' so that San Francisco avoids such a tragedy! Please help to bring us together as a community, so this program for woodframe buildings will pass. In the eyes of the nation and the world, our City stands for so much that is good~~ let's show people that we have what it takes to be proactive, roll up our sleeves, and do the necessary work to keep our City the jewel that she is.

I am grateful that you are working so hard to prepare San Francisco for a destructive earthquake. No one really wants to think about this subject, but hopefully your leadership will provide the necessary collaborative spirit to move people to implement this program.

Sincerely,

Pat Mcguire Pat McGuire 1648 8th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94122

cc: Mayor Edwin Lee Patrick Otellini

L. Thomas Tobin Tobin & Associates 3451 18th Street San Francisco, CA 94110 415 380-9141 — <u>Ittobin@aol.com</u>

March 13, 2013

The Honorable Members Land Use Committee San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689 Attn: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Dear Members Chiu, Kim and Wiener:

I am writing in support of an ordinance to require evaluation and retrofit, when needed, of all wood frame residential buildings with three or more stories and five or more units.

Weak walls at the ground floor of a few thousand multi unit residential buildings in the City pose a clear threat to public safety, housing availability and affordability, community character, the viability of small businesses and retention of jobs. When earthquakes strike the San Francisco Bay Area the consequences will be severe and long lasting, but avoidable. Fortunately, economically effective and fair measures to strengthen these weaknesses are available. We cannot afford to not fix these obvious deficiencies and protect people and our City.

Your support for this mandatory measure will help San Franciscans prepare for earthquakes, protect the City, tenants and owners, and leave a legacy of leadership.

Sincerely.

fthomas Th

Email: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Miller, Alisa

From:	Board of Supervisors
Sent:	Thursday, March 14, 2013 10:53 AM
То:	BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa
Subject:	File 130119: Letter of Support for File No. 130119 by EERI Northern California Chapter
Attachments:	EERI NC Support File No 130119 FINAL.pdf

From: Heidi Tremayne [mailto:htremayne@berkeley.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2013 4:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Cc: Otellini, Patrick; Hilt, Micah; Schotanus, Marko I.; Laura Dwelley-Samant; Sharyl Rabinovici; MaffeiJ@calquake.com; Mark, Kenneth M; Danielle Hutchings Mieler; Laurence; chuck.real@conservation.ca.gov
Subject: Letter of Support for File No. 130119 by EERI Northern California Chapter

Angela Calvillo, Patrick Otellini, and Micah Hilt,

On behalf of the Earthquake Engineering Research Institute's Northern California Chapter, I am sending our letter of support for the the Ordinance to establish Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings (File No. 130119). A pdf of the letter is attached.

The EERI Northern California Chapter strongly supports this initiative as we feel that it will help protect the City's inhabitants and make the San Francisco more resilient. The effort builds up on lessons learned from multiple Bay Area policy initiatives to address soft-story housing, utilizes both engineering knowledge and practical experience, and ensures that a higher level of building performance can be achieved for San Francisco residents in a reasonable time frame.

Thank you for sharing our letter with the Board of Supervisors.

Representatives of our Chapter will attend Monday's Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee meeting to support this ordinance.

1

Regards,

Heidi

Heidi Tremayne (Faison) President EERI Northern California Chapter & Outreach Director Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center University of California, Berkeley 325 Davis Hall, MC1792 Berkeley, CA 94720 Tel: 510.642.3462 Fax: 510.642.1655 http://peer.berkeley.edu



EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH INSTITUTE Northern California Chapter

Board of Directors

Heidi Tremayne, *President* Janiele Maffei, *Past-President* Marko Schotanus, *Secretary-Treasurer* Danielle Hutchings Mieler Sharyl Rabinovici Chuck Real Laurence Kornfield Laura Dwelley-Samant Ken Mark

March 13, 2013

Via email: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

To: Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, 94102-4689

Cc: Mayor Edwin Lee Members of the Board of Supervisors City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Cc: Patrick Otellini City Hall, Room 362 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, 94102-4689

Subject: EERI Northern California Chapter statement of support for Ordinance to establish Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings (File No. 130119)

Dear Supervisors:

The Northern California Chapter of the Earthquake Engineering Research Institute (EERI-NC) is a regional, member-based organization dedicated to reducing earthquake risk. Our members have long been active in the Community Action Plan for Seismic Safety (CAPSS) study, for example through our help in 2007 to collect data on existing multi-unit residential wood-frame buildings. EERI-NC advocates the development of comprehensive programs for mitigation of the harmful effects of earthquakes. The City and County of San Francisco's (City) Earthquake Safety Implementation Program (ESIP) is such a program, and its measures will help protect the City's inhabitants, and make it a more resilient community.

EERI-NC is strongly in favor of the initiative to create a Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings in the City of San Francisco. The City's ability to recover quickly from the next major earthquake depends on residents being able to shelter-in-place while their homes are being repaired. However, the vulnerability of the City's housing stock to soft-story earthquake hazards is significant and well-documented (in particular by the CAPSS technical reports). Furthermore, the situation is unlikely to improve without additional public action.

> 499 14TH STREET, SUITE 220, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612-1934 PHONE (510) 451-0905 FAX (510) 451-5411 <u>CHAPTERINFO@EERINC.ORG</u> HTTP://WWW.EERINC.ORG



Page 2 of 2

The proposed ordinance builds upon recent momentum and lessons learned from multiple Bay Area policy initiatives to address soft-story housing, including inventories in Santa Clara County, Sebastopol, and Richmond, regional studies by the Association for Bay Area Governments, and a mandatory screening ordinance in Oakland. The mandatory evaluation ordinances in the cities of Berkeley and Alameda, in particular, demonstrate a turning tide of public opinion towards preventative, required seismic upgrading for buildings with documented deficiencies with serious public ramifications. Fremont has had a mandatory retrofit ordinance in place since 2007. Sufficient engineering knowledge and practical experience exists to facilitate mandatory soft-story policymaking. The extensive technical and stakeholder ground work laid by the CAPSS study, combined with insights from the experiences of other cities, can be used to guide the implementation of an administratively efficient and inclusive program in San Francisco.

Most importantly, a mandatory ordinance to retrofit certain weak wood-frame buildings, such as the one introduced by Mayor Lee and Supervisors Chiu, Wiener, Yee, Farrell, Breed and Mar, is a direct way to cost-effectively increase the post-earthquake habitability of the City's housing stock overall. The cost of retrofitting these buildings is much less than the cost of rebuilding them and providing temporary housing for displaced residents during the process. The proposed ordinance allows flexibility in timing for owners to adapt to the new requirements, while still ensuring that a much higher level of building performance is achieved in a reasonable time frame. For all the above reasons, EERI-NC fully supports this ordinance.

Adoption of the ordinance would be an important milestone for implementation of retrofit programs in the City. We look forward to continuing to be a partner of the City and ESIP to achieve our mutual goal of reducing vulnerability to earthquakes.

Sincerely,

Heidi Tremayne

Heidi Tremayne President

About EERI's Northern California Chapter

The Earthquake Engineering Research Institute's (EERI) Northern California Chapter is a nonprofit technical society dedicated to reducing earthquake risk in Northern California by advancing the science and practice of earthquake engineering, by improving understanding of the impact of earthquakes on the physical, social, economic, political and cultural environment and by advocating comprehensive and realistic measures for reducing the harmful effects of earthquakes. EERI's national office is headquartered in Oakland, California.

499 14TH STREET, SUITE 220, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612-1934 PHONE (510) 451-0905 FAX (510) 451-5411 <u>CHAPTERINFO@EERINC.ORG</u> HTTP://EERINC.ORG

PACIFIC HEIGHTS RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION

2585 PACIFIC AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115 TELEPHONE: (415) 922-3572

14 March 2013

VIA e-mail

San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Subject: File Number 130119 - Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program

Dear Supervisors:

The Pacific Heights Resident's Association (PHRA) represents San Francisco residents within the boundaries of Union to Bush Streets, Presidio to Van Ness Avenues. PHRA was founded over 40 years ago, with the mission of preserving the quality of life and neighborhood characteristics of San Francisco.

Our boundaries include buildings that may be soft story buildings, at risk of collapse in a major earthquake. We are well aware of both the risk to life and the loss of housing should such buildings collapse.

The need for the Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program is clear. This program will save lives and, by preserving existing housing, speed San Francisco's recovery in the event of a major earthquake. The leaders and participants in the CAPSS program have done San Francisco a great service in identifying this need.

PHRA supports the Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program, and urges you to pass the enabling legislation.

Sincerely yours,

Menny.

Paul H. Wermer Board Member, Pacific Heights Residents Association

Cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Patrick Otellini, Director of Earthquake Safety



San Francisco Apartment Association

March 13, 2013

Re: File Number 130119

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

To Whom It May Concern,

The San Francisco Apartment Association is in support of Ordinance Number 130119, the Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program, **as it is currently written.** Although mandatory seismic retrofitting could cause a financial burden and hardship on many small residential housing providers, the San Francisco Apartment Association and its members understand that earthquake preparedness and building and resident safety is paramount.

The San Francisco Apartment Association also recognizes that the Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program is a necessary measure to preserve San Francisco's current rent-controlled housing stock and its ability to shelter in place in case of emergency.

Sincerely,

Janan New Executive Director San Francisco Apartment Association

Cc: Patrick Otellini Earthquake Safety Implementation Program



Department of Geophysics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305-2115 Tel.: (650) 723-2782 Fax: (650) 725-7344 e-mail: marylouz@stanford.edu

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Re File Number 130119 – Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to lend my strongest support for the Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program. I was involved in the Advisory Committee for the CAPSS (Citizen's Action Plan for Seismic Safety) for 10 years, and had the honor of serving as its co-chair from 2008-2010. The mandatory soft story seismic retrofit ordinance you are considering came directly from CAPSS recommendations which were vetted with technical experts as well as with community members representing building owners, tenants, and neighborhood associations.

The CAPSS program began with detailed (neighborhood scale) assessment of San Francisco's vulnerability to future earthquakes. In the end CAPSS recommended a staged, thirty-year plan to retrofit all seismically vulnerable building types in the city. No other city in the United States has undertaken such a detailed and objective assessment on its seismic vulnerability.

You now have an opportunity to maintain San Francisco's unique national leadership in seismic safety by approving the proposed mandatory soft-story seismic retrofit program. I strong urge you to do this as the first step in a long-term program that will assure that San Francisco is the safest and most resilient community in the United States,

Sincerely,

Many Jon Zoback

Mary Lou Zoback Consulting Professor, Dept. of Geophysics Past Co-Chair, CAPSS Advisory Committee

Cc: Patrick Ottelini, SF Director of Earthquake Safety

Miller, Alisa

From: Sent: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors Wednesday, March 13, 2013 10:12 AM BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa File 130119: Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program

From: Dee Seligman [mailto:deesel91@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 6:33 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Cc: Otellini, Patrick
Subject: Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program

Dear Bd of Supervisors Land Use Committee Members,

Please support File Number 130119 – Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program. As an active NERT, I understand fully the dangers of soft story construction, and how it will affect all of us as we approach search and rescue after a major earthquake. There is little likelihood that many owners will voluntarily do the retrofitting that is needed, particularly in multi-unit buildings. The City must mandate this retrofitting, and this program is the beginning of that city-wide process that must take place.

1

Sincerely your,

Dee Seligman *H: 415-668-6308* March 12, 2012

Supervisors Wiener, Kim, and Chiu Land Use Committee SF Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA. 94102

RE: March 18th Land Use Committee Meeting; Proposed Soft Story Building Legislation

Dear Supervisors Wiener, Kim, and Chiu:

This is in regard to the subject legislation. I have followed with interest the development of the proposed ordinance. I appreciate all the hard and fine work that has gone into it. The flexibility that is in the "Engineering Criteria for Evaluation and Retrofit" is, I believe, reasonable. I think there should be a little more flexibility in the ordinance.. Suppose a building that is slated for retrofit experiences an earthquake, but the damage that results is significantly less than what had been predicted. Would the owner be allowed to just repair or be required to retrofit to lesser requirements than are in the proposed ordinance or what? In conclusion, it seems that given the gaps of knowledge that still exist regarding the destructiveness of earthquakes that there should be some flexibility in the ordinance to take into account any new information, etc., if only for the fact that it is very costly to retrofit.

The cost of retrofit for most landlords will never be fully recovered given the SF Rent Board regulations. For one thing the passthroughs can only be imposed on those tenants who had lived for a certain period prior to the completion of the retrofit. Also, if rents already were at market rates property owners would be reluctant to use the passthroughs less they lose their tenants. I think retrofits could be very costly for small landlords to comply with as I think we are looking at costs around \$100,000. In the year the retrofit is done the cost of it may exceed the total rent from the building. I believe the proposed legislation would put too heavy of a financial burden on landlords and for that reason I must voice opposition to it even though I support the intent.

Sincerely, Bill Quan 2526 Van Ness Ave., #10 San Francisco, CA. 94109

SoftStoryLegislation-March2013CommentsToLandUseCommittee


130119

March 8, 2013

San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Dear Supervisors,

This letter is to express our support of the Mandatory Soft Story Retrofit Ordinance as well as to express our commitment to working with property owners on financing those needed retrofits. Supporting the financial needs of our San Francisco community is at the heart of our organization.

Sincerely,

Steven Stapp President & CEO

Cc:

Patrick Otellini Director of Earthquake Safety City Hall, Room 12A 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102 email. patrick.otellini@sfgov.org

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689 Email: Board of Supervisors@sfgov.org

770 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102 TEL 415.775.5377 FAX 415.775.5340 www.SanFranciscoFCU.com

March 11, 2013.

To: Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Cc: Mayor Edwin Lee Members of the Board of Supervisors City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

_Cc: Patrick Otellini City Hall, Room 362 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, 94102

Dear Supervisors,

I, Kenneth Paige, strongly support the passage of the Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings. This ordinance is a critical step forward for San Francisco, making our City safer and more resilient. It is all of our responsibilities to ensure every citizen has equal access to safe housing and that our great City is preserved.

Because I am on the CAPPS Committee, I feel it is incumbent on me to set a good example of how a good landlord should respond to a potentially dangerous problem without encumbering my tenants with additional obligations or increased rent. I am planning to add a few additional apartment units in the soft story floor and thereby defraying the cost of the seismic upgrade. Although the apartment will be more stable, the future rents not my tenants will pay for the seismic work. With a better constructed building and with more income, First Republic Bank has offered a larger property loan at a much reduced rate. Win, win, win!!

Thank you so much for your consideration. I am looking forward to working together to make San Francisco a safer, stronger, and more resilient City.

130119

Miller, Alisa

From: Sent: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors Tuesday, March 12, 2013 5:10 PM BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa "File Number 130119 – Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program"

-----Original Message-----From: Diana Arsham [mailto:da@arsham.com] Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 2:42 PM To: Board of Supervisors Subject: "File Number 130119 – Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program"

To Whom it May Concern:

Although I will be unable to attend the meeting on Monday March 18th, I am writing to show support for the Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program.

1

Thank you for your consideration.

Diana Arsham PO Box 15608, SF, CA 94115-0608 415-346-4740 (VOICE MAIL) 415-567-3929 (FAX) DIANA@ARSHAM.COM

130119

Miller, Alisa

From: Sent: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors Tuesday, March 12, 2013 5:10 PM BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa File 130119: the proposed "soft story retrofit" ordinance..."

From: jackbarry99@gmail.com [mailto:jackbarry99@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Jack Barry
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 3:00 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: the proposed "soft story retrofit" ordinance..."

Dear Board Clerk

Please tell all the Board members that this proposed ordinance must be passed, ASAP.

SF cannot afford to let such risky conditions go on as they will cause the city to waste big money, in the "damage clean up" after the next big quake.

1

thanks.

John Barry, Board member, SHARP, the Sunset Hts Assoc. of Responsible People.

(SHARPSF.com)

Real Estate Sales & Management. Full Service. Rates Half the Norm..! jackbarry99@gmail.com

 Laura Dwelley-Samant Supporting Seismic Safety

To: Angela Calvillo

March 12, 2013

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for the ordinance to mandate retrofit of vulnerable softstory residential buildings.

Earthquakes are an inevitable part of San Francisco's future and we must plan for them. We know that this type of building, without retrofit, is likely to see heavy damage in future earthquakes. We have seen a preview of this damage before, during the moderate 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake.

After future earthquakes, the proposed ordinance would reduce casualties, preserve housing (notably, rent controlled housing), reduce the number of people that need emergency shelters, and speed the City's economic, social and cultural recovery.

As a San Francisco resident, specialist in earthquake risk issues, and former co-project manager of the San Francisco Community Action Plan for Seismic Safety (CAPSS) project, I have watched a broad group of San Franciscans—building owners, renters, neighborhood representatives, historic building advocates, business leaders, engineers, urban planners, and many others-study these buildings and craft this ordinance from its early stages. This ordinance is the result of years of effort by and discussion among people who truly care about San Francisco's future, and I urge you to support it.

Sincerely,

Laura Dwelley-Samant

Cc: Mayor Edwin Lee Members of the Board of Supervisors City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Cc: Patrick Otellini City Hall, Room 362 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, 94102

2547 Diamond Street · San Francisco, CA · 94131 · USA Laura.Samant@gmail.com · office 415-839-9614 · mobile 415-310-3618

130119

JOHN C. PAXTON

RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO

155 Montgomery Street, Suite 610 San Francisco, California 94104

2013 MAR 12 PM 4: 13

Telephone (415) 421-3700 Fax (415) 421-3706

March 11, 2013

To the Member of the Land Use Committee, Supervisors Wiener, Kim and Chiu:

I strongly encourage you to support the Ordinance to mandate the seismic retrofit of vulnerable, soft-story buildings.

I am a residential tenant, and I served as the co-chair of the CAPSS Citizen's Advisory Committee. The diverse participants on the Advisory Committee unanimously concluded that we needed to move forward with programs to retrofit the vulnerable, privately-owned structures in San Francisco. The soft story residential buildings addressed in this ordinance will be the easiest and cheapest to address, and will have the greatest impact on the well being of San Francisco.

The majority of San Francisco's residential buildings were built before WWII, when no design standards existed which recognized seismic forces. The CAPSS report entitled <u>Potential Earthquake Impacts</u> estimated that over 28,000 dwelling units (in wood-frame, soft-story buildings with 5 or more units) would not be "occupyable" following a 7.2 Magnitude earthquake on the San Andreas Fault. To put that in perspective, over the past 20 years, San Francisco has built approximately 31,000 new residential units. In a brief period of time, we could lose nearly as many residential units (mainly rent-controlled, rental units) as have been built since 1993. These losses are largely avoidable if we take the action required by this ordinance.

This ordinance is the first of many steps to make San Francisco safer and more resilient from future earthquakes. Thank you for your support on this important issue.

Very truly you cc: Mr. Patrick Otel

March 11, 2013

To: Mayor Edwin Lee Members of the Board of Supervisors City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Cc: Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor and Supervisors,

As a native San Franciscan, with a lifetime of experience in real estate ownership and management, I, George Orbelian, strongly support the passage of the Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings. This ordinance is a critical step forward to making San Francisco a safer and more resilient city. It is all of our responsibilities to ensure every citizen has equal access to safe housing and workspaces and that our great City is preserved.

Preparing for earthquakes by retrofitting our most vulnerable housing and infrastructure will protect and empower the business continuity of the City we love and call home. With this program in place our residential and commercial tenants will continue to have access to homes and businesses ensuring rents to owners. The continuation of rents will make it possible for owners to honor mortgage and tax obligations. This very clear and well thought out policy reflects a consensus of win / win thinking inspired by care for the future of our City. Passage of this program is essential to insuring we avoid foreseeable and preventable earthquake consequences we have witnessed around the world, enabling San Francisco to continue working.

I am proud to live in San Francisco, a city that implements solutions to challenges the rest of the world dreams about solving. I thank you for your efforts and look forward to working with you in furthering our great legacy.

horge Cubelie

George Orbolian Owner, Orbelian Holdings, L.P. March 11, 2013

DAVID BONOWITZ, S.E. 605A BAKER STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94117 415-771-3227 DBONOWITZ@ATT.NET

March 11, 2013

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

BY EMAIL ONLY: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

SUBJECT Support for Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings

Supervisors:

I urge you to support the proposed ordinance addressing our collapse-prone wood-frame buildings – buildings just like those that collapsed in the Loma Prieta and Northridge earthquakes.

Our engineering community has been studying these buildings and San Francisco's earthquake risk for years, and we know two things with high confidence:

- The buildings targeted by this ordinance are legitimate collapse risks.
- If you were to rank the seismic vulnerability of every building in the city, these few thousand would be among the very worst.

So if you want to be serious about reducing San Francisco's earthquake risk, approving this ordinance should be your top priority.

Thank you,

DAVID BONOWITZ, S.E.

P.S. For identification purposes only: I am a Fellow Member of the Structural Engineers Association of Northern California, and I chair the Existing Buildings Committee of the National Council of Structural Engineers Associations. For disclosure purposes, please note that I served as a volunteer on the CAPSS Community Advisory Panel and later had a small contracted role on part of the project. I have also provided professional services that helped to develop the proposed ordinance, and I am in the process of being considered for a paid advisory role to the city's Earthquake Safety Implementation Program.

cc: Patrick Otellini, Director of Earthquake Safety, Patrick.Otellini@sfgov.org



STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS ASSOCIATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

February 11, 2013

Via email: <u>Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org</u>

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, 94102-4689

Re: SEAONC Statement of Support for Ordinance to establish Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings (File No. 130119)

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

The Structural Engineers Association of Northern California (SEAONC) enjoys a close working relationship with the City and County of San Francisco and has provided both formal and informal advice on a variety of technical issues related to the field of structural engineering. On September 13, 2011, the City and County of San Francisco published a 30-year workplan as part of the Earthquake Safety Implementation Program (ESIP) which identifies numerous tasks related to improving the overall seismic safety and resilience of the City and County of San Francisco. In support of the ESIP effort, the SEAONC Board set up a formalized process by which both the SEAONC Board and SEAONC members can assist this effort. The main objectives of this process are to engage our members and utilize their technical skills to assist, review, and provide timely and well-coordinated technical feedback to the ESIP.

In this regard, SEAONC has established a working group to participate in ESIP's development of the proposed Soft-Story Wood Frame Retrofit Ordinance which we understand has been introduced to the City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors on February 5th. This working group of fifteen Structural Engineers has met numerous times over the last few months to discuss the technical aspects of the proposed ordinance.

As part of these discussions, this working group has developed, and the SEAONC Board endorses, the following seven statements of support for the ESIP and the proposed Soft-Story Wood Frame Retrofit Ordinance:

2012-2013

PRESIDENT Grace Kang, SE

VICE PRESIDENT Colin Blaney, SE

SECRETARY Sarah Billington, PhD

TREASURER Darrick Hom, SE

DIRECTORS Ian Aiken, PE, PhD Michael Gemmill, SE Walterio Lopez, SE Taryn Stubblefield, SE

PAST PRESIDENT Peter Lee, SE

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY Ken Miles

February 11, 2013

Ms. Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Re: SEAONC Statement of Support for Ordinance to establish Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings (File No. 130119)

Page 2 of 3

- SEAONC supports the City and County of San Francisco's ("the City's") efforts to reduce earthquake risks through a comprehensive program such as that envisioned by the Earthquake Safety Implementation Program (ESIP).
- SEAONC supports the City's conclusion that a mandatory ordinance to retrofit certain soft-story buildings can be effective toward its risk-reduction goals, specifically toward a more resilient housing stock better able to provide post-earthquake habitability.
- SEAONC supports both the general objective for the retrofit of these buildings to mitigate the soft story vulnerability, and the use of both existing methodologies and policies (such as those named in AB 094) and new ones (such as FEMA P-807), consistent with the overall risk reduction goal.
- SEAONC finds FEMA P-807 suitable for use in the City's proposed program, subject to SEAONC's concurrence with necessary future modifications as needed for implementation.
- SEAONC will continue to work with the City to develop technical criteria appropriate to the ordinance's purpose and intent. While we expect the retrofit criteria, where applied, will reduce a building's collapse risk and will increase the likelihood that it will be structurally safe to occupy, neither the available engineering tools nor any criteria written into the ordinance or administrative bulletin will explicitly address post-earthquake habitability.
- SEAONC commits to continue working with the City in coordination with ESIP, and commits to working with the Department of Building Inspection and others to develop a mechanism for interpretation and resolution of questions as implementation of the ordinance proceeds.
- SEAONC will continue to support the City's implementation of the ordinance through education and guidance of engineers and other stakeholders.

SEAONC looks forward to continuing to work with the City and County of San Francisco as the language of the ordinance is finalized, and as the Administrative Bulletins used to enforce the

575 Market Street, Suite 2125 • San Francisco, California 94105-2870 Phone 415/974-5147 • Fax 415/764-4915 • E-mail: office@seaonc.org • Website: http://www.seaonc.org February 11, 2013

Ms. Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Re: SEAONC Statement of Support for Ordinance to establish Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings (File No. 130119)

Page 3 of 3

enacted amendments to the San Francisco Building Code are developed. We also look forward to continuing our technical participation in ESIP efforts during the coming years.

Very truly yours,

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS ASSOCIATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

grea Sleang

Grace S. Kang, SE President

cc: Mr. Patrick Otellini, Director of Earthquake Safety, City and County of San Francisco

About SEAONC

Founded in 1930, the Structural Engineers Association of Northern California (SEAONC) is committed to the advancement and incorporation of the state-of-the-art in structural engineering standards and practices through applied research, continuing education, and the promotion of professional relations among Civil, Structural, and Geotechnical Engineers.

SEAONC endeavors to enhance the life safety, environmental health, and economic well-being of the public served by Structural Engineers through direct involvement in the development of building codes, through community education and through liaison and consultation with legislative and regulatory agencies that influence the design and construction industry.

The success of SEAONC, in its efforts to serve the public and the profession, stems chiefly from the volunteer commitments of members working on committees, both technical and non-technical, that form the basis of SEAONC operations. The majority of Association members are licensed engineers regularly engaged in the practice of structural engineering. The Association also draws membership from related fields involved in design and construction, including academe (professors and students), contractors, architects, and representatives from industry and government.

575 Market Street, Suite 2125 • San Francisco, California 94105-2870 Phone 415/974-5147 • Fax 415/764-4915 • E-mail: office@seaonc.org • Website: http://www.seaonc.org

AIA San Francisco

A Chapter of the American Institute of Architects



February 7, 2013

Mayor Ed Lee Members of the Board of Supervisors City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Ed Lee and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the American Institute of Architects San Francisco chapter, I would like to address seismic risk communications, particularly in respect to the upcoming soft-story ordinance. The ordinance, now under final development, as we understand it, will require a seismic upgrade of wood-frame, soft-story buildings of 3 or more stories and containing 5+ dwelling units. The program is proposed to be over a 7 year period in 4 phases, based upon impacts on occupants and on the City.

AIA San Francisco's Board of Directors would like to express their support of this proposed softstory ordinance for the following reasons:

- This ordinance will enhance the City of San Francisco's efforts to reduce earthquake risks through a comprehensive program such as that envisioned by the CAPSS/Earthquake Safety Implementation Program.
- It will encourage the City's adoption of a mandatory ordinance to retrofit certain "soft story" buildings to reduce collapse, which will save lives, reduce injuries, and help create a more resilient housing stock that will better provide post-earthquake habitability.
- The ordinance will support the City's objective that certain weak story buildings, as mandated by the ordinance, should be retrofitted to a performance level meeting the City's risk-reduction goal allowing the use of both existing methodologies and codes and new methodologies consistent with the overall risk reduction goal.

By backing the ordinance, AIA San Francisco will continue to support the City's implementations of the ordinance through education and guidance or architects and other stakeholders.

Please utilize these general guidelines as you review the soft-story ordinance and implement legislative actions.

Sincerely yours,

10 Druscoll

Margie O'Driscoll Executive Director

CC: Patrick Otellini

Hallidie Building 130 Sutter Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104 Facsimile 415.874.2642 Telephone 415.362.7397 www.aiasf.org

Miller, Alisa

From: Sent: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors Friday, February 08, 2013 5:00 PM Miller, Alisa File 130119: NO on Retrofit

From: Mary Robinson [mailto:marycrobinson@yahoo.com] Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2013 12:25 AM To: Board of Supervisors Subject: Re: NO on Retrofit

Please Forward to All Supervisors

There is no proof anywhere that demonstrates an older building can be successfully retrofitted without spending hundreds of thousands of dollars on foundation work, etc. I studied this in great detail. It will do nothing unless every window is reinforced requiring a building be taken down to the studs. The unemployed contractors would like this... The East Bay was

required to remove their retrofiting because it caused more problems then it solved. You must be joking or trying to force older owners to sell their rental properties.

This is a complete travesty and unlawful control of property owners. If you vote this in you will be voted out next term. We don't need to spend \$100,000 on shiny new metal that you can not prove will do anything if the quake moves over one millimeter.

Maybe you should dig under the Marina and remove all of the landfill and fill it with concrete...There was a NOVA documentary that tested a building retrofitted when built and an unretrofitted building under the same earthquake stress - they BOTH fell down equally. This will also displace tenants...

Miller, Alisa

From: Sent: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors Friday, February 08, 2013 5:01 PM Miller, Alisa File 130119: NO on Retrofit

From: Mary Robinson [mailto:marycrobinson@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2013 12:00 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: NO on Retrofit

There is no proof anywhere that demonstrates an older building can be satsifactorily retrofitted without spending hundreds of thousands of dollars on foundation work, etc. I studied this in great detail. It will do nothing unless every window is reinforced requiring a building be taken down to the studs. The unemployed contractors would like this... The East Bay was

required to remove their retrofiting because it caused more problems then it solved. You must be joking or trying to force older owners to sell their rental properties.

This is a complete travesty and unlawful control of property owners. If you vote this in you will be voted out next term. We don't need to spend \$100,000 on new shiny metal!

1



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

LAND USE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Economic Development Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

- Date: Monday, March 18, 2013
- Time: 1:30 p.m.
- Location: Committee Room 263, located at City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

Subject: File No. 130119. Ordinance amending the Building Code, to establish a Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978, and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings, and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk of the Board to forward the legislation to specified State agencies.

If the legislation passes, an Administrative Fee for services provided under Building Code, Chapter 34B, Mandatory Earthquake Retrofit of Wood-Frame Buildings, by the Department of Building Inspection shall be codified at the standard hourly rate set forth in Building Code Table 1A-D: \$187/hour for plan review; \$170/hour for inspection or \$180/hour for OSHPD inspection; and \$104/hour for administration services, with a minimum charge of \$52 for 30 minutes or less. When a Screening Form is required by Building Code, Section 3404B.2, a minimum fee equivalent to one hour for plan review and administration shall be charged, or two hours when a voluntary Evaluation Form is also submitted with the Screening Form. Additional fees may be charged for additional work and payable within 30 days of notice that payment is due. Monies collected shall be deposited into the Building Inspection Operating Fund. In accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made a part of the official public record and shall be brought to the attention of the Members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, Room 244, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place, San Francisco CA 94102. Information relating to the proposed fee is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, March 15, 2013.

1 Crav. AD

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

DATED: February 26, 2013 POSTED: February 28, 2013 PUBLISHED: March 4 & 11, 2013

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 Telephone (213) 229-5300 / Fax (213) 229-5481 Visit us @ WWW.LEGALADSTORE.COM

Alisa Miller

S.F. BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES) 1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

COPY OF NOTICE

GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE Notice Type:

AM - 3.18.13 Land Use - File 130119 Fee Ad Ad Description

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE. Please read this notice carefully and call us with any corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the Clerk of the Board. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

03/04/2013, 03/11/2013

Daily Journal Corporation

Serving your legal advertising needs throughout California. Call your local

BUSINESS JOURNAL, RIVERSIDE	(951) 784-0111
DAILY COMMERCE, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
LOS ANGELES DAILY JOURNAL, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
ORANGE COUNTY REPORTER, SANTA ANA	(714) 543-2027
SAN DIEGO COMMERCE, SAN DIEGO	(619) 232-3486
SAN FRANCISCO DAILY JOURNAL, SAN FRANCISCO	(800) 640-4829
SAN JOSE POST-RECORD, SAN JOSE	(408) 287-4866
THE DAILY RECORDER, SACRAMENTO	(916) 444-2355
THE INTER-CITY EXPRESS, OAKLAND	(510) 272-4747



CNS 2451955

CNS 2451955 NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING LAND USE AND ECONOMIC DEVEL-OPMENT COMMITTEE SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SU-PERVISORS MONDAY, MARCH 18, 2013 - 1:30 PM COMMITTEE ROOM 263,C ITY HALL 1 DR CARLTON B, GODDLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO,C A NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN 1HAT the Land Use and EconomicD evelopment Comsider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may at-tend and be heard. File No. 130119. Ordinance amending the Buildings cof the or more dveiling during file or more dveiling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978, and the building has not been seismically strengthened, es-tablishing a fee for administering the program, adopting environmental find-ings, and findings of local conditions un-der California Heatt and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; establishing an opera-tive date; and directing the Clerk of the Board to forward the legislation to spec-fied State agencies.

Section 17958.7; establishing ań opera-tive date; and directing the Cierk of the Board to forward the legislation to speci-fied State agencies. If the legislation passes, an Administra-tive Fee for services provided under Buildings, by the Department of Building Inspection shall be codified at the stan-dard hourly rate set forth in Building Code Table 1A-D: \$187/hour for plan review; \$170/hour for inspection or \$180/hour for OSHPD inspection; and \$104/hour for OSHPD inspection; and \$104/hour for Administration services, witham inimum charge of \$52f or 30 minutes or less. When a Screening Form is required by Building Code, Sec-tion 34048.2, a minimum fee equivalent to one hour for plan review and admini-stration shall be charged, or two hours when a voluntary Evaluation Form is also submitted with the Screening Form. Additional fees may be charged for addi-tional work and payable within 30 days of notice that payment isd ue. Monies collected shall be deposited into the Building Inspection Operating Fund. In accordance with San Francisco Ad-ministrative Code, Section 67.71, per-sons who are unable to attend the hear-ing on this matter may submit written comments to the Cityp nor to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made a part of the Ommittee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Cierk of the Board, Room 244, City Hail, 1 Dr. Cartion Goodiett Place, San Francisco CA 94102. Information relating to the pro-posed fee isa valiable in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board.

Angela Calvillo.C lerk oft he Board



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Tom Hui, Acting Director, Department of Building Inspection Sonya Harris, Secretary, Building Inspection Commission

FROM: Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee Board of Supervisors

DATE: March 13, 2013

SUBJECT: SUBSTITUTE LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following *substitute* ordinance, introduced by Mayor Lee on March 12, 2013:

File No. 130119-2

Ordinance amending the Building Code to establish a Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories or two stories over a basement or underfloor area that has any portion extending above grade, and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978, and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk of the Board to forward the legislation to specified State agencies.

The Building Inspection Commission held a public hearing on this matter on February 20, 2013, and provided their recommendation on the previous version. This matter is scheduled to be heard at the Land Use and Economic Development Committee next week on March 18, 2013.

If you have additional reports or comments to be considered with this matter, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department

FROM: Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee Board of Supervisors

DATE: March 13, 2013

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following *substitute* ordinance, introduced by Mayor Lee on March 12, 2013:

File No. 130119-2

Ordinance amending the Building Code to establish a Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories or two stories over a basement or underfloor area that has any portion extending above grade, and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978, and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk of the Board to forward the legislation to specified State agencies.

The Planning Department issued an environmental review exemption for this matter on February 25, 2013.

This matter is being forwarded to your department for informational purposes. If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Sarah Jones, Environmental Review Officer AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs Manager Joy Navarrete, Senior Environmental Planner Monica Pereira, Environmental Planner



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

February 19, 2013

File No. 130119

Bill Wycko Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Wycko:

On February 5, 2013, Mayor Lee introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 130119

Ordinance amending the Building Code, to establish a Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978, and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings, and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk of the Board to forward the legislation to specified State agencies.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review, pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.7(c).

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Attachment

c: Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department

FROM: Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee Board of Supervisors

DATE: February 6, 2013

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following proposed ordinance, introduced by Mayor Lee on February 5, 2013:

File No. 130119

Ordinance amending the Building Code, to establish a Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978, and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings, and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk of the Board to forward the legislation to specified State agencies.

This matter is being forwarded to your department for informational purposes. If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Bill Wycko, Environmental Review Officer AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs Manager Joy Navarrete, Senior Environmental Planner Monica Pereira, Environmental Planner



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Tom Hui, Acting Director, Department of Building Inspection Sonya Harris, Secretary, Building Inspection Commission

FROM: Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee Board of Supervisors

DATE: February 19, 2013

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED: BUILDING CODE AMENDMENT

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following proposed ordinance, introduced by Mayor Lee on February 5, 2013:

File No. 130119

Ordinance amending the Building Code, to establish a Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978, and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings, and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk of the Board to forward the legislation to specified State agencies.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Charter Section D3.750-5 for public hearing and recommendation. It is pending before the Land Use & Economic Development Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Please forward me the Commission's recommendation and reports at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



TO:		Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM:	for	Mayor Edwin M. Lee
RE:		Substitute Ordinance File No. 130119 - Building Code – Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings; Optional Evaluation Form Fee
DATE:		March 12, 2013

Attached for substitution to the Board of Supervisors is the ordinance amending the Building Code to establish a mandatory seismic retrofit program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories or two stories over a basement or underfloor area that has any portion extending above grade, and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978 and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk to forward the legislation to specified state agencies.

Please note this item is cosponsored by Supervisors Chiu, Wiener, Yee, Farrell, Breed, Mar and Tang.

I request that this item be calendared in Land Use and Economic Development Committee on March 18, 2013.

Should you have any questions, please contact Jason Elliott (415) 554-5105.



cc. Supervisor David Chiu Supervisor Scott Wiener Supervisor Norman Yee Supervisor Mark Farrell Supervisor London Breed Supervisor Eric Mar Supervisor Katy Tang

> 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 200 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-4681 TELEPHONE: (415) 554-6141

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



TO:	Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM:	Mayor Edwin M. Lee
RE:	Building Code – Mandatory Seismic Retrofit Program for Wood-Frame Buildings
DATE:	February 5, 2013

Attached for introduction to the Board of Supervisors is the ordinance amending the Building Code to establish a mandatory seismic retrofit program for wood-frame buildings of three or more stories and containing five or more dwelling units where the permit to construct was applied for prior to January 1, 1978 and the building has not been seismically strengthened; establishing a fee for administering the program; adopting environmental findings and findings of local conditions under California Health and Safety Code Section 17958.7; establishing an operative date; and directing the Clerk to forward the legislation to specified state agencies.

Please note this item is cosponsored by Supervisors Chiu, Wiener, Yee, Farrell, Breed and Mar.

I request that this item be calendared in Land Use and Economic Development Committee on March 4, 2013.

Should you have any questions, please contact Jason Elliott (415) 554-5105.



cc. Supervisor David Chiu Supervisor Scott Wiener Supervisor Norman Yee Supervisor Mark Farrell Supervisor London Breed Supervisor Eric Mar

70 111

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 200 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-4681 TELEPHONE: (415) 554-6141