FILE NO. 101247

Amendment of the Whole Bearing New Title, In Committee 12/13/2010

ORDINANCE NO.

3-11

[Planning Code - The Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee and Fund] Ordinance amending Planning Code Sections 420.1 through 420.5 to update the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee and Fund and to conform the program with other Area Plan fee programs; making conforming changes to Sections 401 (definitions) and 406 (waiver, reduction, or adjustment of development project requirements); and making findings, including environmental findings. NOTE: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman; deletions are strike-through italics Times New Roman. Board amendment additions are double-underlined; Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal. Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that: Α. The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 101247 and is incorporated herein by reference. B. Pursuant to Section 302 of the Planning Code, the Board finds that this ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in December 9, 2010 Planning Department staff reports dated Commission Resolution No. and the Board incorporates such reasons herein by reference. A copy of these Planning Department staff reportsCommission Resolution No. is on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. 101247 . C. The Board of Supervisors finds that this This ordinance is in conformity with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 for the reasons set

1				
1	forth in Planning Commission Resolution No and the Board incorporates those			
2	findings herein by reference.			
3	General Plan Compliance. The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following			
4	Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:			
5	Housing Element			
6	OBJECTIVE 5: INCREASE THE EFFECTIVENESS AND EFFICIENCY OF THE			
7	CITY'S AFFORDABLE HOUSING PRODUCTION SYSTEM.			
8	POLICY 5.1: Prioritize affordable housing projects in the planning review and approval			
9	processes, and work with the development community to devise methods of streamlining			
10	housing projects.			
11	POLICY 5.4: Coordinate governmental activities related to affordable housing.			
12	POLICY 11.2: Ensure housing is provided with adequate public improvements,			
13	services, and amenities.			
14	OBJECTIVE 12: STRENGTHEN CITYWIDE AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROGRAMS			
15	THROUGH COORDINATED REGIONAL AND STATE EFFORTS.			
16	POLICY 12.3: Encourage jurisdictions throughout the Bay Area to recognize their			
17	share in the responsibility to confront the regional affordable housing crisis.			
18	In order to enhance the City's supply of affordable housing, the Ordinance provides a			
19	waiver from paying the required impact fee to affordable housing units (affordable to			
20	households at and below 80% AMI) that are subsidized by the Mayor's Office of Housing, the			
21	Redevelopment Agency, or the Housing Authority.			
22	Since the 1920's, the area has become predominately residential. In recent years a			
23	number of development proposals and planning efforts have contributed to the transformation			
24	of the area which includes proposals for approximately 4,981 new units. The Ordinance			
25 proposes the Visitacion Valley Impact Fee, which applies to new residential develo				
	Supervisor Maxwell			

Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

...

ensures that the needs generated by new residents in the area is met by helping to fund adequate public improvements and amenities. **Transportation Element OBJECTIVE 1: MEET THE NEEDS OF ALL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS FOR SAFE.** CONVENIENT AND INEXPENSIVE TRAVEL WITHIN SAN FRANCISCO AND BETWEEN THE CITY AND OTHER PARTS OF THE REGION WHILE MAINTAINING THE HIGH QUALITY LIVING ENVIRONMENT OF THE BAY AREA. Policy 6: Ensure choices among modes of travel and accommodate each mode when and where it is most appropriate. OBJECTIVE 2: USE THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM AS A MEANS FOR GUIDING DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVING THE ENVIRONMENT. Policy 1: Use rapid transit and other transportation improvements in the city and region as the catalyst for desirable development, and coordinate new facilities with public and private development. **OBJECTIVE 18: ESTABLISH A STREET HIERARCHY SYSTEM IN WHICH THE** FUNCTION AND DESIGN OF EACH STREET ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE CHARACTER AND USE OF ADJACENT LAND. Policy 2: Design streets for a level of traffic that serves, but will not cause a detrimental impact on adjacent land uses **OBJECTIVE 24: IMPROVE THE AMBIENCE OF THE PEDESTRIAN ENVIRONMENT.** The Ordinance permits funds from the transportation component of the Impact Fee to provide capital improvements to the transportation system which services the Fee Area, including transit, streets, and sidewalks. This will ensure that future development contributes its fair share to sustaining basic standards for the local transportation system. Potential

Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

transportation revenues will fund transit capital improvements including equipment, facilities, fleet, and infrastructure. Streets and right-of-way improvements to be funded include City capital projects such as new street design, street improvements and street restructuring to be maintained by the City over the long term. The fee is intended to fund necessary capital improvements to support the many modes by which people travel, including by transit, auto, bicycle, and on foot.

Community Facilities Element

OBJECTIVE 6: DEVELOPMENT OF A PUBLIC LIBRARY SYSTEM IN SAN FRANCISCO WHICH WILL MAKE ADEQUATE AND EFFICIENT LIBRARY SERVICE FREELY AVAILABLE TO EVERYONE WITHIN THE CITY, AND WHICH WILL BE IN HARMONY WITH RELATED PUBLIC SERVICE FACILITIES AND WITH ALL OTHER FEATURES AND FACILITIES OF LAND DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION PROVIDED FOR IN OTHER SECTIONS OF THE GENERAL PLAN.

The Ordinance permits funds from the community facilities component to

accommodate increased facility need by residents for child care, libraries, and other facilities.

The potential funds will fund capital improvements to existing facilities such as the Visitacion

Valley Library, and to create new facilities where needed.

Recreation and Open Space Element

OBJECTIVE 2: DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN A DIVERSIFIED AND BALANCED

CITYWIDE SYSTEM OF HIGH QUALITY PUBLIC OPEN SPACE.

OBJECTIVE 4: PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR RECREATION AND THE

ENJOYMENT OF OPEN SPACE IN EVERY SAN FRANCISCO NEIGHBORHOOD.

Policy 6: Assure the provision of adequate public open space to serve new residential

<u>development.</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	The Ordinance permits funds from the recreation and parks component to expand and			
2	improve facilities to accommodate increased park usage by residents. This will ensure that			
3	future development bears its fair share of responsibility for the local recreation and parks			
4	system. The potential recreation and parks revenues will fund capital improvements to			
5	existing parks and supporting facilities (such as signage and bathrooms), expansion of trails,			
6	and construction and renovation of playgrounds, playing fields, and outdoor courts, as well as			
7	other amenities.			
8	The proposed replacement project is consistent with the eight General Plan priority			
9	policies set forth in Section 101.1 in that:			
10	1. That existing neighborhood serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and			
11	future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced.			
12	The proposed Ordinance will encourage neighborhood-serving retail uses or			
13	opportunities for employment in or ownership of such businesses in the Visitacion Valley fee			
14	area by encouraging the implementation of public infrastructure that supports neighborhood-			
15	serving retail.			
16	2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected			
17	in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhood.			
18	The proposed Ordinance does not affect existing housing and neighborhood character.			
19	3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced.			
20	In order to enhance the affordable housing supply in the City, the Ordinance provides a			
21	waiver from paying the required impact fee to affordable housing units (affordable to			
22	households at and below 80% AMI) that are subsidized by the Mayor's Office of Housing, the			
23	Redevelopment Agency, or the Housing Authority.			
24	4. That commuter traffic not impede Muni transit service or overburden our streets			
25	or neighborhood parking.			

Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The Ordinance permits the use of impact fee revenues to make improvements to the transportation system to accommodate increased usage resulting from new development, and to help reduce commuter traffic. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and 5. service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced. The Ordinance will not displace any industrial or service-sector uses. 6.__ That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake. The Ordinance will assist in the implementation of public infrastructure needed to serve the area, all of which will be required to meet all seismic requirements. That landmark and historic buildings be preserved. 7. The Ordinance will not affect any landmark or historic buildings. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be 8. protected from development. The Ordinance permits potential recreation and parks revenues to fund capital improvements to existing parks and supporting facilities (such as signage and bathrooms), expansion of trails, and construction and renovation of playgrounds, playing fields, and outdoor courts, as well as other amenities. This will enhance our existing parks and open space systems. The Planning Commission scheduled the review and consideration of this D. Ordinance at three hearings on October 28, November 18 and December 9, 2010. The Planning Commission took public testimony and reviewed, commented on, and considered the Ordinance at two of those hearings on November 18 and December 9. The Commission continued the item three times and another hearing is scheduled on February 3, 2011. Under

Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Planning Code Section 306.4(d)(3), the Planning Commission has 90 days from the referral of the Ordinance from the Board to the Commission to review the Ordinance. Those 90 days expire on January 4, 2011. The Commission's next hearing is scheduled after the expiration of the 90 days the Commission has to hear the item and the Board of Supervisors has not granted an extension. The Board of Supervisors finds that the Planning Commission has reviewed the Ordinance and finds that the Commission's next hearing and any final decision will come after the deadline for the Board to consider any decision of the Commission. The Board has reviewed the comments of the Planning Commission at its November 18 and December 9 hearings and takes those comments into consideration. Notwithstanding that the 90-day period for review of the Ordinance has not expired as of the first reading of this Ordinance, the Board has determined that it will approve the Ordinance.

Section 2. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Sections 401, 406, and 420.1 through 420.5, to read as follows:

SEC. 401. DEFINITIONS. (a) In addition to the specific definitions set forth elsewhere in this Article, the following definitions shall govern interpretation of this Article:

(1)——"Affordable housing project." A housing project containing units constructed to satisfy the requirements of Sections 413.5, 413.8, 415.4, or 4.5.5 of this Article, or receiving funds from the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund.

(2)——"Affordable to a household." A purchase price that a household can afford to pay based on an annual payment for all housing costs of 33 percent of the combined household annual net income, a 10 percent down payment, and available financing, or a rent that a household can afford to pay based on an annual payment for all housing costs of 30 percent of the combined annual net income.

(3)——"Affordable to qualifying households":

(A) With respect to owned units, the average purchase price on the initial sale of all affordable owned units in an affordable housing project shall not exceed the allowable average purchase price. Each unit shall be sold:

(i) Only to households with an annual net income equal to or less than that of a household of moderate income; and

(ii) At or below the maximum purchase price.

(B) With respect to rental units in an affordable housing project, the average annual rent shall not exceed the allowable average annual rent. Each unit shall be rented:

(i) Only to households with an annual net income equal to or less than that of a household of lower income;

(ii) At or less than the maximum annual rent.

(4)-----"Allowable average purchase price":

(A) For all affordable one-bedroom units in a housing project, a price affordable to a two-person household of median income as set forth in Title 25 of the California Code of Regulations Section 6932 ("Section 6932") on January 1st of that year;

(B) For all affordable two-bedroom units in a housing project, a price affordable to a three-person household of median income as set forth in Section 6932 on January 1st of that year;

(C) For all affordable three-bedroom units in a housing project, a price affordable to a four-person household of median income as set forth in Section 6932 on January 1st of that year;

(D) For all affordable four-bedroom units in a housing project, a price affordable to a five-person household of median income as set forth in Section 6932 on January 1st of that year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Affordable to qualifying middle income households":

Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(1)

(A) With respect to owned units, the average purchase price on the initial sale of all qualifying middle income units shall not exceed the allowable average purchase price deemed acceptable for households with an annual gross income equal to or less than the qualifying limits for a household of middle income, adjusted for household size. This purchase price shall be based on household spending of 35% of income for housing, and shall only apply to initial sale, and not for the life of the unit.

(B) With respect to rental units, the average annual rent--including the cost of utilities paid by the tenant according to the HUD utility allowance established by the San Francisco Housing Authority -- for qualifying middle income units shall not exceed the allowable average purchase price deemed acceptable for households with an annual gross income equal to or less than the qualifying limits for a household of middle income, adjusted for household size. This price restriction shall exist for the life of the unit.

(5)——"Allowable average annual rent":

(A) For all affordable one-bedroom units in a housing project, 18 percent of the median income for a household of two persons as set forth in Section 6932 on January 1st of that year;

(B) For all affordable two-bedroom units in a housing project, 18 percent of the median income for a household of three persons as set forth in Section 6932 on January 1st of that year;

(C) For all affordable three-bedroom units in a housing project, 18 percent of the median income for a household of four persons as set forth in Section 6932 on January 1st of that year;

(D) For all affordable four-bedroom units in a housing project, 18 percent of the median income for a household of five persons as set forth in Section 6932 on January 1st of that year.

(6)——"Annual gross income." Gross income as defined in CCR Title 25, Section 6914, as amended from time to time, except that MOH may, in order to promote consistency with the procedures of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, develop an asset test that differs from the State definition if it publishes that test in the Procedures Manual.

(7)—"Annual net income." Net income as defined in Title 25 of the California Code of Regulations Section 6916.

(8)——"Average annual rent." The total annual rent for the calendar year charged by a housing project for all affordable rental units in the project of an equal number of bedrooms divided by the total number of affordable units in the project with that number of bedrooms.

(9)——"Average purchase price." The purchase price for all affordable owned units in an affordable housing project of an equal number of bedrooms divided by the total number of affordable units in the project with that number of bedrooms.

(10)—"Balboa Park Community Improvements Fund." The fund into which all fee revenue the City collects from the Balboa Park Impact Fee is deposited.

(11)—"Balboa Park Community Improvements Program." The program intended to implement the community improvements identified in the Balboa Park Area Plan, as articulated in the Balboa Park Community Improvements Program Document on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 090179.

(12) "Balboa Park Impact Fee." The fee collected by the City to mitigate impacts of new development in the Balboa Park Program Area, as described in the findings in Section 422.1.

(13)—"Balboa Park Program Area." The Balboa Park Plan Area in Figure 1 of the Balboa Park Station Area Plan of the San Francisco General Plan.

(14)—"Base service standard." The relationship between revenue service hours offered by the Municipal Railway and the number of automobile and transit trips estimated to

be generated by certain non-residential uses, expressed as a ratio where the numerator equals the average daily revenue service hours offered by MUNI and the denominator equals the daily automobile and transit trips generated by non-residential land uses as estimated by the TIDF Study or updated under Section 411.5 of this Article.

(15)—"Base service standard fee rate." The TIDF that would allow the City to recover the estimated costs incurred by the Municipal Railway to meet the demand for public transit resulting from new development in the economic activity categories for which the fee is charged, after deducting government grants, fare revenue, and costs for non-vehicle maintenance and general administration.

(16)—"Board" or "Board of Supervisors." The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Change of Use." A change of gross floor area from one category of use to another category of use listed in the use table for the zoning district of the subject lot.

(17)—"Child-care facility." A child-care facility as defined in California Health and Safety Code Section 1596.750.

(18)—"Child-care provider." A provider as defined in California Health and Safety Code Section 1596.791.

(19)—"City" or "San Francisco." The City and County of San Francisco.

(23)—"Commission" or "Planning Commission." The San Francisco Planning Commission.

(24)—"Community apartment." As defined in San Francisco Subdivision Code Section 1308(b).

(25)—"Community facilities." All uses as defined under Section 209.4(a) and 209.3(d) of this Code.

(26)—"Condition of approval" or "Conditions of approval." A condition or set of written conditions imposed by the Planning Commission or another permit-approving or issuing City agency or appellate body to which a project applicant agrees to adhere and fulfill when it receives approval for the construction of a development project subject to this Article .

(27)—"Condominium." As defined in California Civil Code Section 783.

(28)—"Cultural/Institution/Education (CIE)." An economic activity category subject to the TIDF that includes, but is not limited to, schools, as defined in Sections 209.3(g), (h), and (i) and 217(f)-(i) of this Code; child care facilities; museums and zoos; and community facilities , as defined in Sections 209.4 and 221(a)-(c) of this Code.

(29)—"DBI." The San Francisco Department of Building Inspection, or its successor.

(30)—"Dedicated." Legally transferred to the City and County of San Francisco, including all relevant legal documentation, at no cost to the City.

(31)—"Dedicated site." The portion of site proposed to be legally transferred at no cost to the City and County of San Francisco under the requirements of this section.

(32)—"Department" or "Planning Department." The San Francisco Planning Department or the Planning Department's designee, including the Mayor's Office of Housing and other City agencies or departments.

(33)—"Designated affordable housing zones." For the purposes of implementing the Eastern Neighborhoods Public Benefits Fund, shall mean the Mission NCT defined in Section 736 and the Mixed Use Residential District defined in Section 841.

(34)—"Development fee." Either a development impact fee or an in-lieu fee. It shall not include a fee for service or any time and material charges charged for reviewing or processing permit applications.

(35)—"Development Fee Collection Unit" or "Unit." The Development Fee Collection Unit at DBI.

(36)—"Development impact fee." A fee imposed on a development project as a condition of approval to mitigate the impacts of increased demand for public services, facilities or housing caused by the development project that may or may not be an impact fee governed by the California Mitigation Fee Act (California Government Code Section 66000 et seq.).

(37)—"Development impact requirement." A requirement to provide physical improvements, facilities or below market rate housing units imposed on a development project as a condition of approval to mitigate the impacts of increased demand for public services, facilities or housing caused by the development project that may or may not be governed by the California Mitigation Fee Act (California Government Code Section 66000 et seq.).

(38)—"Development project." Any change of use within an existing structure, addition to an existing structure, or new construction, which includes any occupied floor area.

(39)—"Development under the TIDF." Any new construction, or addition to or conversion of an existing structure under a building or site permit issued on or after September 4, 2004, that results in 3,000 gross square feet or more of a covered use. In the case of mixed use development that includes residential development, the term "new development" shall refer to only the non-residential portion of such development. "Existing structure" shall include a structure for which a sponsor already paid a fee under the prior TIDF ordinance, as well as a structure for which no TIDF was paid.

(40)—"Director." The Director of Planning or his or her designee.

(41)—"DPW." The Department of Public Works.

(42)—"Eastern Neighborhoods Infrastructure Impact Fee." The fee collected by the City to mitigate impacts of new development in the Eastern Neighborhoods Program Area, as described in the Findings in Section 423.1

(43)—"Eastern Neighborhoods Public Benefits Fund." The fund into which all fee revenue collected by the City from the Eastern Neighborhoods Impact Fee is deposited.

(44)—"Eastern Neighborhoods Public Benefits Program." The program intended to implement the community improvements identified in the four Area Plans affiliated with the Eastern Neighborhoods (Central Waterfront, East SoMa, Mission, and Showplace Square/Potrero Hill), as articulated in the Eastern Neighborhoods Public Benefits Program Document, on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 081155.)

(45)—"Eastern Neighborhoods Program Area." The Eastern Neighborhoods Plan Area in Map 1 (Land Use Plan) of the Eastern Neighborhoods Area Plan of the San Francisco General Plan.

(46)—"Economic activity category." Under the TIDF, one of the following six categories of non-residential uses: Cultural/Institution/Education (CIE), Management, Information and Professional Services (MIPS), Medical and Health Services, Production/Distribution/Repair (PDR), Retail/Entertainment, and Visitor Services.

(48)—"Entertainment use." Space within a structure or portion thereof intended or primarily suitable for or accessory to the operation of uses defined in San Francisco Planning Code Sections 102.17 (Nighttime Entertainment), 790.38 and 890.37 (Other Entertainment), 790.36 and 890.36 (Adult Entertainment), 790.64 and 890.64 (Movie Theater), and 790.4 and 890.4 (Amusement Arcade), regardless of the zoning district that the use is located in.

(49)—"First certificate of occupancy." Either a temporary certificate of occupancy or a Certificate of Final Completion and Occupancy as defined in San Francisco Building Code Section 109A, whichever is issued first.

(50)—"First construction document." As defined in Section 107A.13.1 of the San Francisco Building Code.

(51)—"Gross floor area." The total area of each floor within the building's exterior walls, as defined in Section 102.9(b)(12) of this Code.

(52) "Gross square feet of use." The meaning set forth in Section 102.9 of this Code, with the exception of the TIDF. With respect to the TIDF, the total square feet of gross floor area in a building and/or space within or adjacent to a structure devoted to all uses covered by the TIDF, including any common areas exclusively serving such uses and not serving residential uses. Where a structure contains more than one use, areas common to two or more uses, such as lobbies, stairs, elevators, restrooms, and other ancillary spaces included in gross floor area that are not exclusively assigned to one uses shall be apportioned among the two or more uses in accordance with the relative amounts of gross floor area, excluding such space, in the structure or on any floor thereof directly assignable to each use.

-(55)—"Hotel" or "Hotel use." Space within a structure or portion thereof intended or primarily suitable for or accessory to the operation of uses defined in San Francisco Planning Code Sections 790.46 and 890.46, regardless of the zoning district that the use is located in.

(56)—"Household." Any person or persons who reside or intend to reside in the same housing unit.

(57)—"Household of lower income." A household composed of one or more persons with a combined annual net income for all adult members which does not exceed the qualifying limit for a lower-income family of a size equivalent to the number of persons residing in such household; as set forth for the County of San Francisco in Title 25 of the California Code of Regulations Section 6932.

(58)—"Household of median income." A household composed of one or more persons with a combined annual net income for all adult members which does not exceed the qualifying limit for a median-income family of a size equivalent to the number of persons

residing in such household, as set forth for the County of San Francisco in Title 25 of the California Code of Regulations Section 6932.

(59)—"Household of moderate income." A household composed of one or more persons with a combined annual net income for all adult members which does not exceed the qualifying limit for a moderate-income family of a size equivalent to the number of persons residing in such household, as set forth for the County of San Francisco in Title 25 of the California Code of Regulations Section 6932.

(60)—___Housing developer." Any business entity building housing units which receives a payment from a sponsor for use in the construction of the housing units. A housing developer may be (a) the same business entity as the sponsor, (b) an entity in which the sponsor is a partner, joint venturor, or stockholder, or (c) an entity in which the sponsor has no control or ownership.

(61)—"Housing project." Any development which has residential units as defined in the Planning Code, including but not limited to dwellings, group housing, independent living units, and other forms of development which are intended to provide long-term housing to individuals and households. "Housing project" shall not include that portion of a development that qualifies as an Institutional Use under the Planning Code. "Housing project" for purposes of this Program shall also include the development of live/work units as defined by Section 102.13 of this Code. Housing project for purposes of this Program shall mean all phases or elements of a multi-phase or multiple lot residential development.

(62)—"Housing unit" or "unit." A dwelling unit as defined in San Francisco Housing Code Section 401.

(63)—"Improvements Fund." The fund into which all revenues collected by the City for each Program Area's impact fees are deposited.

(64)—"In-Kind Agreement." An agreement acceptable in form and substance to the City Attorney and the Director of Planning, under which the project sponsor agrees to provide a specific set of community improvements, at a specific phase of construction, in lieu of contribution to the relevant Fund.

(65)—"Infrastructure." Open space and recreational facilities; public realms improvements such as pedestrian improvements and streetscape improvements; public transit facilities; and community facilities such as libraries, child care facilities, and community centers.

(66)—"In lieu fee." A fee paid by a project sponsor in lieu of complying with a requirement of this Code and that is not a development impact fee governed by the Mitigation Fee Act.

"Institutional use" shall mean space within a structure or portion thereof intended or primarily suitable for or accessory to the operation of uses contained in San Francisco Planning Code Section 217 and 890.50, regardless of the zoning district that the use is located in.

"Integrated PDR use" shall mean space within a structure or portion thereof intended or primarily suitable for or accessory to the operation of uses defined in San Francisco Planning Code Section 890.49, regardless of the zoning district that the use is located in.

(67)—___'Interim Guidelines" shall mean the Office Housing Production Program Interim Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission on January 26, 1982, as amended.

(68)—"Licensed Child-care facility." A child-care facility which has been issued a valid license by the California Department of Social Services pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Sections 1596.80-1596.875, 1596.95-1597.09, or 1597.30-1597.61.

(69)—"Live/work project." A housing project containing more than one live/work unit. (70)—"Live/work unit" shall be as defined in Section 102.13 of this Code.

(71)—"Long term housing." Housing intended for occupancy by a person or persons for 32 consecutive days or longer.

(72)—"Low income." For purposes of this Article, up to 80% of median family income for the San Francisco PMSA, as calculated and adjusted by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) on an annual basis, except that as applied to housing-related purposes such as the construction of affordable housing and the provision of rental subsidies with funds from the SOMA Stabilization Fund established in Section 418.7, it shall mean up to 60% of median family income for the San Francisco PMSA, as calculated and adjusted by HUD on an annual basis.

(73)—"Management, Information and Professional Services (MIPS). An economic activity category under the TIDF that includes, but is not limited to, office use; medical offices and clinics, as defined in Section 890.114 of this Code; business services, as defined in Section 890.111 of this Code; Integrated PDR, as defined in Section 890.49 of this Code, and Small Enterprise Workspaces, as defined in Section 227(t) of this Code.

(74)—"Market and Octavia Community Improvements Fund" The fund into which all fee reveue collected by the City from the Market and Octavia Community Improvements Fee is deposited.

(75)—"Market and Octavia Community Improvements Impact Fee." The fee collected by the City to mitigate impacts of new development in the Market and Octavia Program Area, as described in the findings in Section 421.1.

(76)—"Market and Octavia Community Improvements Program." The program intended to implement the community improvements identified in the Market and Octavia Area Plan, as articulated in the Market and Octavia Community Improvements Program Document on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 071157.)

(77)—"Market and Octavia Program Area." The Market and Octavia Plan Area in Map 1 (Land Use Plan) of the Market and Octavia Area Plan of the San Francisco General Plan, which includes those districts zoned RTO, NCT, or any neighborhood specific NCT, a few parcels zoned RH-1 or RH-2, and those parcels within the Van Ness and Market Downtown Residential Special Use District (VMDRSUD).

(78)—"Market rate housing." Housing constructed in the principal project that is not subject to sales or rental restrictions.

(79)—"Maximum annual rent." The maximum rent that a housing developer may charge any tenant occupying an affordable unit for the calendar year. The maximum annual rent shall be 30 percent of the annual income for a lower-income household as set forth in Section 6932 on January 1st of each year for the following household sizes:

(A) For all one-bedroom units, for a household of two persons;

(B) For all two-bedroom units, for a household of three persons;

(C) For all three-bedroom units, for a household of four persons;

(D) For all four-bedroom units, for a household of five persons.

(19)—"Maximum purchase price." The maximum purchase price that a household of moderate income can afford to pay for an owned unit based on an annual payment for all housing costs of 33 percent of the combined household annual net income, a 10 percent down payment, and available financing, for the following household sizes:

(A) For all one-bedroom units, for a household of two persons;

(B) For all two-bedroom units, for a household of three persons;

(C) For all three-bedroom units, for a household of four persons;

(D) For all four-bedroom units, for a household of five persons.

(80)—"Medical and Health Services." An economic activity category under the TIDF that includes, but is not limited to, those non-residential uses defined in Sections 209.3(a) and

217(a) of this Code; animal services, as defined in Section 224(a) and (b) of this Code; and social and charitable services, as defined in Sections 209.3(d) and 217(d) of this Code.

(81)—"Middle Income Household." A household whose combined annual gross income for all members is between 120 percent and 150 percent of the local median income for the City and County of San Francisco, as calculated by the Mayor's Office of Housing using data from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and adjusted for household size or, if data from HUD is unavailable, as calculated by the Mayor's Office of Housing using other publicly available and credible data and adjusted for household size.

(83)—"MOH." The Mayor's Office of Housing, or its successor.

(84)—"MTA." The Municipal Transportation Agency, or its successor.

(85)—"MTA Director." The Director of MTA or his or her designee.

(86)—"Municipal Railway; MUNI." The public transit system owned by the City and under the jurisdiction of the MTA.

(87)—"Net addition." The total amount of gross floor area defined in Planning Code Section 102.9 contained in a development project, less the gross floor area contained in any structure demolished or retained as part of the proposed development project.

"New development." Under the TIDF, any new construction, or addition to or conversion of an existing structure under a building or site permit issued on or after September 4, 2004 that results in 3,000 gross square feet or more of a use covered by the TIDF. In the case of mixed use development that includes residential development, the term "new development" shall refer to only the non-residential portion of such development. "Existing structure" shall include a structure for which a sponsor already paid a fee under the prior TIDF ordinance, as well as a structure for which no TIDF was paid.

(97)—-"Nonprofit child-care provider." A child-care provider that is an organization organized and operated for nonprofit purposes within the provisions of California Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 23701--23710, inclusive, as demonstrated by a written determination from the California Franchise Tax Board exempting the organization from taxes under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 23701.

(98)—"Nonprofit organization." An organization organized and operated for nonprofit purposes within the provisions of California Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 23701--23710, inclusive, as demonstrated by a written determination from the California Franchise Tax Board exempting the organization from taxes under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 23701.

<u>(102)</u>—<u>"</u>Non-residential use." Space within any structure or portion thereof intended or primarily suitable for or accessory to occupancy by retail, office, commercial, or other nonresidential uses defined in Section 209.3, 209.8, 217, 218, 219 of this Code, and 221, regardless of the zoning district that the use is located in; except that residential components of uses defined in Section 209.3(a)-(c) and (g)-(i) shall be defined as a "residential use" for purposes of this Article. For the purposes of this Article, non-residential use shall not include PDR and publicly owned and operated community facilities.

(103)—"Notice of Special Restrictions." A document recorded with the San Francisco Recorder's Office for any unit subject to this Program detailing the sale and resale or rental restrictions and any restrictions on purchaser or tenant income levels included as a Condition of Approval of the principal project relating to the unit.

(105)—"Office use." Space within a structure or portion thereof intended or primarily suitable for or accessory to the operation of uses defined in San Francisco Planning Code Section 890.70, regardless of the zoning district that the use is located in.

(106)—"Off-site unit." A unit affordable to qualifying households constructed pursuant to this Ordinance on a site other than the site of the principal project.

(107)—"On-site unit." A unit affordable to qualifying households constructed pursuant to this Article on the site of the principal project.

(108)—"Owned unit." A unit affordable to qualifying households which is a condominium, stock cooperative, community apartment, or detached single-family home. The owner or owners of an owned unit must occupy the unit as their primary residence.

(109)—"Owner." The record owner of the fee or a vendee in possession.

(110)—"PDR use." Space within any structure or portion thereof intended or primarily suitable for or accessory to the operation of uses defined in San Francisco Planning_Code Sections 220, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227(a), 227(b), and 227(p), regardless of the zoning district that the use is located in.

(111)—"Principal project." A housing development on which a requirement to provide affordable housing units is imposed.

(112)—"Principal site." The total site proposed for development, including the portion of site proposed to be legally transferred to the City and County of San Francisco.

(113)—"Procedures Manual." The City and County of San Francisco Affordable Housing Monitoring Procedures Manual issued by the San Francisco Department of City Planning, as amended.

(114)—"Rent" or "rental." The total charges for rent, utilities, and related housing services to each household occupying an affordable unit.

(115)—"Rental unit." A unit affordable to qualifying households which is not a condominium, stock cooperative, or community apartment.

(116)—"Replacement of use." The total amount of gross floor area, as defined in Section 102.9 of this Code, to be demolished and reconstructed by a development project.

(118)—"Research and development use." Space within any structure or portion thereof intended or primarily suitable for or accessory to the operation of uses defined in San Francisco Planning Code Section 890.52, regardless of the zoning district that the use is located in.

"Residential development project subject to the Visitacion Valley Community Infrastructure Fee." Any new construction, addition, extension, conversion or enlargement, or combination therefo in Visitaction Valley, of an existing structure which includes any occupied floor area of residential use and which has twenty (20) residential units or more; provided, however, that for projects that solely comprise an addition to an existing structure which would add occupied floor area in an amount less than 20 percent of the occupied floor area of the existing structure, the provisions of this Section shall only apply to the new occupied square footage.

-(122)--"Residential use." Space within any structure or portion thereof intended or primarily suitable for or accessory to occupancy by uses defined in San Francisco Planning Code Sections 209.1, 790.88, and 890.88 of this Code, as relevant for the subject zoning district, or containing group housing as defined in Section 209.2(a)-(c) of this Code and any residential components of institutional uses as defined in Section 209.3(a)-(c) and (g-(i) of this Code.

(124)—"Retail/entertainment." An economic activity category under the TIDF that includes, but is not limited to, a retail use; an entertainment use; massage establishments, as defined in Section 218.1 of this Code; laundering, and cleaning and pressing, as defined in Section 220 of this Code.

(125)—"Retail use." Space within any structure or portion thereof intended or primarily suitable for or accessory to the operation of uses contained in San Francisco Planning Code Section 218, regardless of the zoning district that the use is located in.

(126)—"Revenue services hours." The number of hours that the Municipal Railway provides service to the public with its entire fleet of buses, light rail (including streetcars), and cable cars.

(127)—"Rincon Hill Community Improvements Fund." The fund into which all fee revenue collected by the City from the Rincon Hill Community Infrastructure Impact Fee is deposited.

(128)—"Rincon Hill Community Infrastructure Impact Fee." The fee collected by the City to mitigate impacts of new development in the Rincon Hill Program Are, as described in the findings in Section 418.1.

(129)—"Rincon Hill Program Area." Those districts identified as the Rincon Hill Downtown Residential (RH DTR) Districts in the Planning Code and on the Zoning Maps.

(130)—"Section 6932." Section 6932 of Title 25 of the California Code of Regulations as such section applies to the County of San Francisco.

"Small Enterprise Workspace use" shall mean space within a structure or portion thereof intended or primarily suitable for or accessory to the operation of uses as defined in San Francisco Planning Code Section 227(t), regardless of the zoning district that the use is located in.

(75)—"SOMA." The area bounded by Market Street to the north, Embarcadero to the east, King Street to the south, and South Van Ness and Division to the west.

(131)—"SOMA Community Stabilization Fee." The fee collected by the City to mitigate impacts on the residents and businesses of SOMA of new development in the Rincon Hill Program Area, as described in the findings in Section 418.1.

(132)—"SOMA Community Stabilization Fund." The fund into which all fee revenue collected by the City from the SOMA Community Stabilization Fee is deposited.

(133)—"Sponsor" or "project sponsor." An applicant seeking approval for construction of a development project subject to this Article, such applicant's successor and assigns, and/or any entity which controls or is under common control with such applicant.

(134)—"Stock cooperative." As defined in California Business and Professions Code Section 11003.2.

(135)—"Student housing." A building where 100 percent of the residential uses are affiliated with and operated by an accredited post-secondary educational institution. Typically, student housing is for rent, not for sale. This housing shall provide lodging or both meals and lodging, by prearrangement for one week or more at a time. This definition only applies in the Eastern Neighborhoods Mixed Use Districts.

"TIDF; Transit Imapact Development Fee." The development fee that is the subject of Section 411.1 et seq. of this Article.

(136)—"TIDF Study." The study commissioned by the San Francisco Planning Department and performed by Nelson/Nygaard Associates entitled "Transit Impact Development Fee Analysis – Final Report," dated May 2001, including all the Technical Memoranda supporting the Final Report and the Nelson/Nygaard update materials contained in Board of Supervisors File No. 040141.

(137)—"Total developable site area." That part of the site that can be feasibly developed as residential development, excluding land already substantially developed, parks, required open spaces, streets, alleys, walkways or other public infrastructure.

(138)—"Transit Impact Development Fee; TIDF." The development fee that is the subject of Sectoin 411.1 et seq. of this Article.

(139)—"Treasurer." The Treasurer for the City and County of San Francisco.

(140)—"Trip generation rate." The total number of automobile and Municipal Railway trips generated for each 1,000 square feet of development in a particular economic activity

category as established in the TIDF Study, or pursuant to the five-year review process established in Section 411.5 of this Article.

(141)—"Use." The purpose for which land or a structure, or both, are legally designed, constructed, arranged, or intended, or for which they are legally occupied or maintained, let or leased.

(142)—"Visitacion Valley." The area bounded by Carter Street and McLaren Park to the west, Mansell Street to the north, Route 101 between Mansell Street and Bayshore Boulevard to the northeast, Bayview Park to the north, Candlestick Park and Candlestick Point Recreation Area to the east, the San Francisco Bay to the southeast, and the San Francisco County line to the south.

(143)—"Visitor services." An economic activity category under the TIDF that includes, but is not limited to, hotel use; motel use, as defined in Section 216(c) and (d); and time-share projects, as defined in Section 11003.5(a) of the California Business and Professions Code.

(144)—"Waiver Agreement." An agreement acceptable in form and substance to the City Attorney and the Planning Department under which the City agrees to waive all or a portion of the Community Improvements Impact Fee.

SEC. (420 formerly Section 318.10). VISITACION VALLEY COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE FEE AND FUND.

Sections 420.1 through 420.5, hereafter referred to as Section 420.1 et seq., set forth the requirements and procedures for the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee and Fund. The effective date of these requirements shall be either November 18, 2005, which is the date that the requirements originally became effective, or the date a subsequent modification, if any, became effective.

SEC. 406. WAIVER, REDUCTION, OR ADJUSTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.

(a) Waiver or Reduction Based on Absence of Reasonable Relationship.

(1) The sponsor of any development project subject to a development fee or development impact requirement imposed by this Article may appeal to the Board of Supervisors for a reduction, adjustment, or waiver of the requirement based upon the absence of any reasonable relationship or nexus between the impact of development and either the amount of the fee charged or the on-site requirement.

(2) Any appeal authorized by this Section shall be made in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Board no later than 15 days after the date the Department or Commission takes final action on the project approval that assesses the requirement. The appeal shall set forth in detail the factual and legal basis for the claim of waiver, reduction, or adjustment.

(3) The Board of Supervisors shall consider the appeal at a public hearing within 60 days after the filing of the appeal. The appellant shall bear the burden of presenting substantial evidence to support the appeal, including comparable technical information to support appellant's position. The decision of the Board shall be by a simple majority vote and shall be final.

(4) If a reduction, adjustment, or waiver is granted, any change in use within the project shall invalidate the waiver, adjustment, or reduction of the fee or inclusionary requirement. If the Board grants a reduction, adjustment or waiver, the Clerk of the Board shall promptly transmit the nature and extent of the reduction, adjustment or waiver to the Development Fee Collection Unit at DBI and the Unit shall modify the Project Development Fee Report to reflect the change.

(b) Waiver or Reduction, Based on Housing Affordability or Duplication of Fees.

(1) The Planning Commission shall give special consideration to offering reductions or waivers of the impact fee to housing projects on the grounds of affordability in cases in which the State of California, the Federal Government, MOH, the San Francisco

Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 27 12/13/2010 n:\land\as2010\1100147\00668660.doc

Redevelopment Agency, or other public agency subsidies target new housing for households at or below 50% of the Area Median Income as published by HUD<u>, *including units that qualify as replacement Section 8 units under the HOPE SF program.*</u> This waiver clause intends to provide a local 'match' for these deeply subsidized units and should be considered as such by relevant agencies. Specifically these units may be rental or ownership opportunities but they must be subsidized in a manner which maintains their affordability for a term no less than 55 years. Project sponsors must demonstrate to Department staff that a governmental agency will be enforcing the term of affordability and reviewing performance and service plans as necessary; usually this takes the form of a deed restriction.

(2) The Planning Department shall publish an annual schedule of specific values for waivers and reductions available under this subsection. Department staff shall apply these waivers based on the most recent schedule published at the time that fee payment is made.

(3) Projects that meet the requirements of this subsection are eligible for a 100 percent fee reduction until an alternative fee schedule is published by the Department. Ideally some contribution will be made to Community Improvement Programs for specific areas, as these units will place an equal demand on community improvements infrastructure. This waiver clause shall not be applied to units built as part of a developer's efforts to meet the requirements of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, and Section 415 of this Code.

(4) Waiver based on Duplication of Fees. The City shall make every effort not to assess duplicative fees on new development. In general, project sponsors are only eligible for fee waivers under this Subsection if a contribution to another fee program would result in a duplication of charges for a particular type of community infrastructure. The Department shall publish a schedule annually of all known opportunities for waivers and reductions under this clause, including the specific rate. Requirements under Section 135 and 138 of this Code do not qualify for a waiver or reduction. Should future fees pose a duplicative charge, such as a

Citywide open space or childcare fee, the same methodology shall apply and the Department shall update the schedule of waivers or reductions accordingly.

SEC. 420.1. FINDINGS.

New Residential and Non-Residential Uses. The Visitacion Valley Fee Area (Fee Area) a. is located along the southeastern border of San Francisco and includes the area bounded by McLaren Park to the west, the San Mateo County line to the south. Mansell Street to the north, and Highway 101 and Bavview Park to the east. The Fee Area includes the following planning areas: Executive Park, Schlage Lock, Visitacion Valley Redevelopment Area, and HOPE SF Sunnydale. Jointly these plans aim to strengthen neighborhood character, the neighborhood commercial district, and transit by increasing the housing and retail capacity in the area. This project goal will also help to meet ABAG's projected demand to provide housing in the Bay Area by encouraging the construction of higher density housing. The Plan builds on existing neighborhood character and establishes new standards for amenities necessary for a transit-oriented neighborhood. Need for Public Improvements to Accompany New Uses. The City anticipates an *(b)* increase of at least 5.049 1.780 new housing units within the next 20 years, and over 52225 new jobs, as described in the Visitacion Valley Nexus Study on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. and incorporated by reference herein. This <u>new</u> development will have an impact on the 101247 Area's neighborhood infrastructure. New development will generate needs for a new Library, street improvements, transit improvements, community facilities, childcare and parks and recreation amenities, as described in the Visitacion Valley Nexus Study, on file with the Clerk of the Board. Various City agencies and related planning efforts intend to address existing deficiencies and new impacts through a comprehensive package of community improvements. This Program will enable the City and County of San Francisco to provide necessary public infrastructure to new residents while increasing neighborhood livability and investment in the district.

(c) Programmed Improvements. General public improvements and amenities needed to						
meet the needs of both existing residents, as well as those needs generated by new development, have						
been identified through the various community planning processes, including the Visitacion						
Valley/Schlage Lock Master Plan, the Executive Park Neighborhood Plan, and the HOPE SF						
Sunnydale process. The City developed generalized cost estimates, based on similar project types						
implemented by the City in the relevant time period, to provide reasonable approximations for the						
 eventual cost of providing necessary community improvements to respond to identified community						
needs. In some cases, design work, engineering, and environmental review will be required and may						
alter the nature of the improvements, as well as the sum total of the cost for these improvements.						
(d) Visitacion Valley Impact Fee. Development impact fees are an effective approach to						
mitigate impacts associated with growth in population. The proposed Visitacion Valley Impact Fee						
would be dedicated to community improvements in the described fee Area; directing benefits of the fund						
to those who pay into the fund by providing the necessary infrastructure improvements needed to serve						
new development. The Planning Department has calculated the fee rate based on accepted professional						
methods for the calculation of such fees, and described fully in the Visitacion Valley nexus study.						
The proposed fee would cover less than the full impact of new development. The proposed fee						
only covers a portion of impacts caused by new development and is not intended to remedy existing						
deficiencies. Existing deficiency costs will be paid for by the public, the community, and other private						
sources. Residential and non-residential impact fees are only one of many revenue sources necessary to						
implement the community improvements outlined in the Plan.						
Nexus						
<u>Amount per sf</u>						
Library 17%						
Transportation 28%						

<u>24%</u>

Supervisor Maxwell **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Parks & Recreation

Page 30 12/13/2010 n:\land\as2010\1100147\00668660.doc

Child Care	<u>22%</u>
Community Facilities	<u>9%</u>
<u>Total per sf</u>	<u>\$4.58</u>

e. The Board of Supervisors has reviewed the record for this item including but not limited to the Nexus study, the Planning Department file, the recommendation of the Planning Commission, staff analysis, and public testimony and, on that basis finds that the study supports the requirements of the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee and Fund. Specifically, the Board finds that Nexus study and the record: identify the purpose of the fee to mitigate impacts on the demand for the identified community facilities and infrastructure; identify the use to which the fee is to be put as being to build a new library; and make improvements to the following community facilities; and infrasctructure: transportation, parks and recreation, childcare, and community facilities; and establishes a reasonable relationship between the use of the fee for the idenfitied community facilities and infrastructure and the need for these facilities caused by the construction of new residential and non-residential development. Moreover, the Board finds that the fee is less than the cost of mitigation and does not include the costs of remedying any existing deficiencies. The Board also finds that the Nexus study establishes that the fee does not duplicate other city requirements or fees.

A. A number of large sites in Visitacion Valley are targeted for substantial changes of use. Currently there are three applications pending at the City's Planning Department to develop Executive Park, originally planned as an office complex, into a large housing development. In addition, the City has drafted plans for Schlage Lock, long an industrial site, to be transformed into a major mixed use housing development. Together, these sites would represent over 2,000 new units of housing in areas previously contemplated for office and industrial activities.

For the past thirty years, Executive Park has been the subject of several proposals and development plans. The first Executive Park Development Plan, developed in 1978, considered a development of 833,000 square feet of office space, 174,000 square feet of hotel/meeting space, and

75,000 square feet of retail space. Building permits were issued for the construction of four office buildings and a restaurant under this plan. Three of the office buildings were constructed by 1985, for a total of about 320,000 square feet of office space and 2,500 square feet of retail space. The fourth office building and the restaurant have yet to be constructed.

In 1983, a revised development plan was proposed to amend the previous 1978 Development Plan by adding additional office space and hotel space, and by adding residential use. Overall, and including the four office buildings and the restaurant previously approved, the 1984 Development Plan Amendment called for 1,644,000 square feet of office space, 234,000 square feet of hotel space, 50,000 square feet of retail/restaurant spaces, and 600 residential units.

A 1992 Development Plan added 25,000 square feet of health club space, 10,000 square feet of childcare space, and an additional 10,000 square feet of restaurant space. Following this approval, building permits were issued for the construction of five residential buildings, containing about 287 units. Only two of the residential buildings, containing 128 units, have been constructed.

At present, Executive Park consists of three office buildings containing 320,000 square feet of office space and 2,500 square feet of retail space, and two residential buildings containing 128 residential units. Since 2003, three project sponsors have filed applications to develop over 1,300 new units of housing, totaling 1,709,000 square feet of residential use. To accommodate these projects, the Planning Commission has forwarded a General Plan Amendment to the Board of Supervisors that would allow for an additional 499 residential units while eliminating 1,324,000 square feet of office space, 10,000 square feet of retail space, and 25,000 square feet of health club use. In addition, the General Plan Amendment would reduce the allowable square footage of childcare use from 13,240 square feet to 10,000 square feet.

At the Schlage Lock site, this company operated a large industrial plant for the better part of a century, providing jobs for area residents and serving as a key part of the community. Ingersoll Rand,

In 2002, the City sponsored a series of community planning workshops to formulate a (Executive Park)-

Signature Properties 615.000 433 units square feet-Top Vision 618.000 410 units (Executive Park)square feet Yerby-476.000 496 units (Executive Park)square feet-740.000 Schlage Lock-740 units square feet

Supervisor Maxwell **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

the parent company of Schlage Lock, closed the plant in 1999, indicating a wish to sell the property. Since that time, the site has remained vacant and under utilized.

community plan for the re-use of the 20 acre site. The community planning workshops, involving several hundred residents of Visitacion Valley and surrounding neighborhoods, produced a written report, "The Visitacion' Valley Schlage Lock Community Planning Workshop: Strategic Concept Plan and Workshop Summary." This plan calls for a mix of housing, open space, community oriented retail and community oriented institutional uses. The plan contemplates 740 new units of housing on the residential portions of the site. Using a planning standard of 1,000 square feet per unit, the projected square footage of new residential development at the site is 740,000 square feet.

Projected New Visitacion Valley

Residential Development

· · ·		· ·
Total-	2,079 units -	2,449,000
, 10101		square feet

In its environmental review of the Signature Properties application, the San Francisco Planning Department estimates 3,340 new residents at the three Executive Park sites. For the Schlage Lock site, a planning standard of 2.2 new residents per unit is applied to the development, or 1,628 new residents. Together, therefore, these four proposals are expected to introduce 4,968 new residents to the neighborhood.

According to the 2000 Census, there are currently 16,482 residents in Visitacion Valley. With the 4,968 new residents expected through the above projects, the new Visitacion Valley population would be 21,450 residents. Therefore, 23.2% of all Visitacion Valley residents would be new residents at these four project sites.

B. San Francisco's growing population and severe housing crisis requires the development of new housing. To respond to this need for housing, the City is considering granting Conditional Use Authorization, re-zonings, and/or General Plan Amendments for a number of large development sites in Visitacion Valley. These areas are currently occupied primarily by office or industrial uses with minimal community facilities and infrastructure to support a significant residential population. In addition, very few residents currently reside in these areas. New residential development in these areas will impact Visitacion Valley's community facilities and infrastructure and will generate a substantial need for community improvements as the neighborhood's population grows as a result of new residential development. Substantial new investments in community infrastructure, including active recreational spaces, community facilities, and other public services are necessary to mitigate the impacts of new development at these sites.

The amendments to the General Plan, Planning Code and/or Zoning Maps that are necessary to facilitate residential developments at these sites will permit a substantial amount of new residents. More than 2,050 new units representing approximately 5,000 new residents would be anticipated in the Visitacion Valley neighborhood, resulting in a 30% increase in the neighborhood's residential population. The new development will have a profound impact on the neighborhood's dated infrastructure. A comprehensive program of community facilities and public infrastructure is necessary to mitigate the impacts of the proposed new development and to provide these basic community improvements to the neighborhood's growing residential population.

As a result of this new development, property tax revenue is projected to increase. These revenues will fund improvements and expansions to general City services, including Police, Fire, Emergency, and other services needed to partially meet the increased demand associated with new development. Local impacts on the need for community facilities and infrastructure will be heightened in Visitacion Valley, compared to those typically funded by City government through property tax revenues. The relative cost of capital improvements, along with the reduced role of State and federal funding sources, increases the necessity for development impact fees to cover these costs. General property tax revenues will not be adequate to fully fund the costs of the community facilities and infrastructure necessary to mitigate the impacts of new development in the Visitacion Valley neighborhood.

Development impact fees are a more cost-effective, realistic way to implement mitigations to a local neighborhood associated with particular developments' impacts. As important, the proposed Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee would be dedicated to the Visitacion Valley area, directing benefits of the fund directly to those who pay into the fund.

While this fee will increase the overall burden on new development in the neighborhood, the burden is typically reflected in a reduced sale price for developable land, or passed on to the

buyers/renters of housing in the neighborhood and thus is borne primarily by those who have caused the impact and who will ultimately enjoy the benefits of the community improvements it pays for.

The purpose of the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee is to provide specific improvements, including active recreational spaces, pedestrian and streetscape improvements, and other facilities and services. The Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee will create the necessary financial mechanism to fund these improvements in proportion to the need generated by new development.

The capital improvements that the fee would fund are clearly described in the ordinance. The fee would be solely used to fund the acquisition, design, and construction of community facilities in the Visitacion Valley neighborhood. The proposed fees only cover impacts caused by new development and are not intended to remedy already existing deficiencies; those costs will be paid for by other sources.

The City has existing plans for the community facility and infrastructure projects to be funded through this fee. The San Francisco Public Library has an account established, initial funds appropriated, and adopted plans and a preliminary construction schedule for the Visitacion Valley Branch Library. The San Francisco Department of Recreation and Parks has accounts established, initial funds appropriated, and adopted plans and a preliminary construction schedule for the Visitacion Valley projects identified herein. The Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Planning Department, has an account established and adopted plans and a preliminary construction schedule for the Leland Avenue street improvements. It is anticipated that the remaining community facility and infrastructure projects would be at a similar stage of development in terms of having accounts established and plans adopted as the projects listed above when the final developments covered by this ordinance are to apply for City permits.

C. In order to enable the City and County of San Francisco to create a unified, attractive, and safe residential Visitacion Valley neighborhood, and to mitigate the impacts of potential new large developments on community amenities, it is necessary to upgrade existing streets and streetscaping and

to develop neighborhood public services, active recreational spaces, and community facilities. To fund such community infrastructure and amenities, new residential development in the neighborhood shall be assessed development impact fees proportionate to the increased demand or such infrastructure and amenities created by the new housing. The City will use the proceeds of the fee to develop community facilities and infrastructure within Visitacion Valley that provides direct benefits to the new housing.

The development of community facilities and infrastructure in the Visitacion Valley neighborhood will provide a benefit to new residents beyond the provision of services. It is anticipated that new residents will realize an increase in property values due to the enhanced neighborhood amenities financed with the proceeds of the fee. A Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee shall be established for new residential development within Visitacion Valley as set forth herein.

The proposed improvements described below are necessary to serve the new population at the anticipated densities. Cost estimates are based on an assessment of the potential cost to the City of providing the specific improvements. Developer contributions are based upon the percentage of new residents expected in Visitacion Valley at these four project sites, or 23.2%, with the exception of improvements necessary to mitigate impacts that are created entirely by the developers. In these cases, developer contributions are set at 100%.

The proposed Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee would fund mitigations of the impacts of new development on:

 Active Recreational Spaces: development of neighborhood playground, pool, and outdoor education center

• Library Facilities: construction of a new neighborhood library

• Community Facilities: development of community spaces available for public uses

• Streetscape Improvements: Blanken Avenue sidewalk widening and lighting improvements;

Leland Avenue streetscape improvements

Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 37 12/13/2010 n:\land\as2010\1100147\00668660.doc Active Recreational Space: The San Francisco Recreation and Park Department has provided a cost estimate of necessary improvements to the Kelloch-Velasco Playground (\$2,222,500), the Coffman Pool (\$10,600,000), and the Visitacion Valley Greenway Educational Center for the Sciences and Arts at Tioga Avenue (\$2,054,000). The total developer contribution is deemed to be \$3,451,348.

Library Facilities: The San Francisco Public Library has provided a cost estimate for the construction of the Visitacion Valley Branch Library (\$9,350,000). The total developer contribution is deemed to be \$2,169,200.

Community Facilities: In the Rincon Hill Plan adopted by the Board of Supervisors, the San Francisco Planning Department determined a need of community facilities space at 2.29 square feet for every new resident. Based upon the 4,968 new residents projected for Visitacion Valley from residential development in large opportunity sites, there would be a need for 11,376 square feet of new community center space.

For a comparable land cost, the San Francisco Public Library acquired its current development site on Leland Avenue for \$135 per square foot. For comparable improvement costs, the San Francisco Planning Department estimated a cost of \$400 per square foot to build a new community center in Rincon Hill. Taken together, the cost to build a new community center in Visitacion Valley for the new residents is estimated to be \$6,086,160, a cost to be entirely borne by the developers.

Streetscape Improvements: DPW and San Francisco Public Utilities Commission estimate the cost to upgrade the Blanken Avenue tunnel to make it more accessible for pedestrians, to be \$152,755. This estimate includes widening the sidewalk and improving the lighting in the tunnel. Because these improvements are necessary to accommodate new pedestrian traffic- and to minimize automobile usein the new developments, this cost is to be entirely borne by the developers.

DPW and the Planning Department have provided a cost estimate for improvements to Leland Avenue, the commercial core of Visitacion Valley (\$2,621,730). The total developer contribution is deemed to be \$608,241.

Total Developer Contribution: The total developer contribution for Visitacion Valley community facilities and infrastructure improvements is \$12,467,704. At an estimated 2,449,000 square feet of new residential development, the developer contribution is \$5.09 per square foot. The Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee shall be established at \$4.58 per square foot, or 90% of the estimated costs of the community improvements. By charging developers less than the maximum amount of the justified impact fee, the City avoids any need to refund money to developers if fees collected exceed costs.

D. The Board of Supervisors finds that the Fees imposed in Section 420.1 et seq. as impact fees to fund specific improvements, including active recreational spaces, pedestrian and streetscape improvements, and other facilities and services, are proportionate to the need generated by residential development projects in Visitacion Valley. It shall be the policy of the Board of Supervisors that no additional development impact fees specific to Visitacion Valley will be imposed to fund the specific improvements described above. It is the policy of the Board of Supervisors that any future changes to eitywide impact fees or other exactions will apply equally to Visitacion Valley as to other areas of the City, unless otherwise excepted by the Board.

SEC. 420.2. DEFINITIONS. See Section 401 of this Article.

SEC. 420.3. APPLICATION.

(a) <u>Projects subject to the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure</u> <u>Fee. The Visitacion Valley Community Facilities Fee and Infrastructure Fee is applicable to</u> any development project in the Visitacion Valley fee area which:

(1) has 20 or more residential units, and

(A) creates at least one new residential unit, or

(B) creates additional space in an existing residential unit of more than 800 gross square feet. Application: Section 420.1 et seq. shall apply to all residential development projects that:

(1) are located in Visitacion Valley; and

(2) have both not filed an application or a building permit, site permit, conditional use, planned unit development, environmental evaluation, Zoning Map amendment or General Plan amendment prior to September 1, 2003, and have filed an application for a building permit, site permit, conditional use, planned unit development, environmental evaluation, Zoning Map amendment or General Plan amendment on or after September 1, 2003.

(b) Amount of Fee. The Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee ("Fee") shall be \$<u>4.58</u>4.124.58 for each net addition of <u>occupiable</u> <u>occupiable</u> gross-square feet of residential use within a development project subject to this Section. <u>Any replacement of gross square feet or change of use shall pay per the Fee Schedule in Table 420.3A below.</u>

<u>Residential to</u>	<u>Non-Residential to</u>	
<u>Residential or</u>	Residential	
<u>Non-residential;</u>		PDR to
Non-residential to		Residential
Non-residential; or		Residential
PDR to Non-		
<u>Residential</u>		
\$0	\$3.60/qsf	\$2.32/gsf

(c) Credits for In-Kind Improvements: Option for In-Kind Provision of Community Infrastructure and Fee Credits. Project sponsors may propose to directly provide community improvements to the City. In such a case, the City may enter into an In-Kind Improvements Agreement with the sponsor and issue a fee waiver for the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastucture Fee from the Planning Commission, subject to the following rules and requirements:

(1) Approval criteria. The City shall not enter into an In-Kind Agreement unless the proposed in-kind improvements meet an identified community need and where they substitute for

Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TADIE NICE

1	improvements that could be provided by the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastucture
2	Fund. The City may reject in-kind improvements if they are not consistent with the priorities identified
3	in the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastucture Fee Program, by the Interagency Plan
4	Implementation Committee (see Section 36 of the Administrative Code), or other prioritization
5	processes related to Visitacion Valley community improvements programming. No physical
6	improvement or provision of space otherwise required by the Planning Code or any other City Code
7	shall be eligible for consideration as part of this In-Kind Improvements Agreement.
8	(2) Valuation. The Director of Planning shall determine the appropriate value of the
9	proposed in-kind improvements. For the purposes of calculating the total value, the project sponsor
10	shall provide the Planning Department with a cost estimate for the proposed in-kind improvement(s)
11	from two independent sources or, if relevant, real estate appraisers. If the City has completed a
12	detailed site-specific cost estimate for a planned improvement this may serve as one of the cost
13	estimates provided it is indexed to current cost of construction.
14	(3) Content of the In-Kind Improvements Agreement. The In-Kind Improvements Agreement
15	shall include at least the following items:
16	(i) A description of the type and timeline of the proposed in-kind improvements.
17	(ii) The appropriate value of the proposed in-kind improvement, as determined in subsection
18	<u>(2) above.</u>
19	(iii) The legal remedies in the case of failure by the project sponsor to provide the in-kind
20	improvements according to the specified timeline and terms in the agreement. Such remedies shall
21	include the method by which the City will calculate accrued interest.
22	(4) Approval Process. The Planning Commission must approve the material terms of an In-
23	Kind Agreement. Prior to the parties executing the Agreement, the City Attorney must approve the
24	agreement as to form and to substance. The Director of Planning is authorized to execute the
25	Agreement on behalf of the City. If the Planning Commission approves the In-Kind Agreement, it shall

Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

waive the amount of the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastucture Fee by the value of the proposed In-Kind Improvements Agreement as determined by the Director of Planning. No credit shall be made for land value unless ownership of the land is transferred to the City or a permanent public easement is granted, the acceptance of which is at the sole discretion of the City. The maximum value of the In-Kind Improvements Agreement shall not exceed 100% of the required feethe required Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastucture Fee.

(5) Administrative Costs. Project sponsors that pursue an In-Kind Improvements Agreement will be billed time and materials for any administrative costs that the Planning Department or any other City entity incurs in negotiating, drafting, and monitoring compliance with the In-Kind Improvements Agreement.

(6) Credit for On-Site Community Facilities and Childcare Facilities. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of subsection (c), a project that filed its first environmental application on or before November 18, 2010 only, is eligible for a credit for onsite community facilities or Childcare Facilities as follows: The project sponsor shall receive a credit not to exceed \$1.12 multiplied by the net addition of occupiable square feet of residential use in the residential development project. To qualify for a credit for community facilities or Childcare Facility, the facility shall be open and available to the general public on the same terms and conditions as to residents of the residential development project in which the facilities are located. Subject to the review and approval of the Planning Commission, the project sponsor may apply for a credit up to 100% of the required fee, as stated in subsection (c) above not to exceed \$2.24 multiplied by the net addition of occupiable square feet of residential use in the residential development project.

(1) Credit for On-Site Community Facilities: In its review of a proposed residential development project subject to Section 420.1 et seq., the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors shall apply the planning standard of 2.29 square feet of community facilities space for each

new resident projected at the residential development project to calculate the residential development project's allocation of community facilities space. The project sponsor shall receive a credit against the Fee of \$535 per square foot of community facilities space provided on site within the boundaries of the residential development project, provided that such credit shall not exceed \$2.24 multiplied by the net addition of occupiable square feet of residential use in the residential development project. To qualify for a credit, the community facilities shall be open and available to the general public on the same terms and conditions as to residents of the residential development project in which the community facilities are located.

(2) Credit for Improvements to Blanken Avenue: The Commission may reduce the Fee described in this Section for specific residential development proposals in cases where the Ssponsor has entered into an agreement with the City, in form acceptable to the City Attorneys' Office, to provide in kind improvements to Blanken Avenue. For the purposes of calculating the total value of the in-kind community improvements, the project Sponsor shall provide the Department with a cost estimate for the proposed in kind improvements from two independent contractors. Based on these estimates, the Director of Planning shall determine their appropriate value and the Commission may reduce the Fee assessed to that project proportionally. The Commission may not reduce the fee by an amount greater than the amount that would be the Sponsor's contribution toward the Blanken Avenue improvements if the Sponsor were to pay the Fee.

(d) Timing and Payment of Fee. Any fee required by Section 420.1 et seq. shall be paid to the Development Fee Collection Unit at DBI prior to issuance of the first construction document, with an option for the project sponsor to defer payment to prior to issuance of the first certificate of occupancy upon agreeing to pay a deferral surcharge that would be deposited into the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fund in accordance with Section 402 of this Article and Section 107A.13 of the San Francisco Building Code.

SEC. 420.4. IMPOSITION OF REQUIREMENTS.

(a) Determination of Requirements. The Department shall determine the applicability of Section 420.1 et seq. to any development project requiring a building or site permit and, if Section 420.1 et seq. is applicable, the net addition of *occupiable gross*square feet of residential use subject to its requirements, and shall impose the fee requirements as a condition of approval for issuance of the building or site permit. The project sponsor shall supply any information necessary to assist the Department in this determination.

(b) Notice to Development Fee Collection Unit of Requirements. Prior to issuance of the building or site permit for a development project subject to Section 420 et seq., the Department shall notify the Development Fee Collection Unit at DBI of its final determination of any fee requirements, including any fee credits for in-kind improvements, in addition to the other information required by Section 402(b) of this Article.

(c) Development Fee Collection Unit Notice to Department. The Development Fee Collection Unit at DBI shall provide notice in writing or electronically to the Department prior to issuing the first certificate of occupancy for any development project subject to Section 420.1 et seq. that has elected to satisfy its fee requirement with credits-in-kind improvements. If the Department notifies the Unit at such time that the sponsor has not satisfied the in-kind improvements requirements of Section 420.3, the Director of DBI shall deny any and all certificates of occupancy until the subject project is brought into compliance.

(d) Process for Revisions of Determination of Requirements. In the event that the Department or the Commission takes action affecting any development project subject to Section 420.1 et seq. and such action is subsequently modified, superseded, vacated, or reversed by the Department or the Commission, Board of Appeals, the Board of Supervisors, or by court action, the procedures of Section 402(c) of this Article shall be followed.

SEC. 420.4.LIEN PROCEEDINGS. If, for any reason, the fee imposed under Section 420.3 remains unpaid following issuance of the certificate of occupancy, the Development Fee Collection Unit at DBI shall institute lien proceedings to make the entire unpaid balance of the fee, plus interest and any deferral surcharge, a lien against all parcels used for the development project in accordance with Section 408 of this Article and Section 107A.13.215 of the San Francisco Building Code.

SEC. 420.5. VISITACION VALLEY COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE FUND.

(a) There is hereby established a separate fund set aside for a special purpose entitled the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fund ("Fund"). All monies collected by DBI pursuant to Section 420.3(b) shall be deposited in the Fund which shall be maintained by the Controller.

(b) The receipts in the Fund are, subject to the budgetary and fiscal provisions of the Charter, to be used solely to fund community facilities and infrastructure in Visitacion Valley, including but not limited to capital improvements to library facilities, playgrounds, recreational facilities, *open space, childcare, and transportation. and major streets.*

(c) No portion of the Fund may be used, by way of loan or otherwise, to pay any administrative, general overhead, or similar expense of any public entity, *except for the administration of this fund in an amount not to exceed 4% of the total annual revenue.*

(d) The Controller shall not release any monies from the Fund without prior approval of the Board of Supervisors for an expenditure. City Agencies responsible for the construction or improvement of public infrastructure subject to this ordinance, including but not limited to the San Francisco Public Library, DPW, MTA, DCYFS, and the Department of Recreation and Parks, shall request funds from the Board of Supervisors as necessary. Before approving any expenditures, the Board of Supervisors shall determine the relative impact from the residential

development on public infrastructure in Visitacion Valley described in Section 420.56(b) and shall insure that the expenditures are consistent with mitigating the impacts from the development.

(d) A public hearing shall be held by the Recreation and Parks Commissions to elicit public comment on proposals for the acquisition of property using monies in the Fund or through agreements for financing In-Kind Community Improvements via a Mello-Roos Community Facilities District that will ultimately be maintained by the Department of Recreation and Parks. Notice of public hearings shall be published in an official newspaper at least 20 days prior to the date of the hearing, which notice shall set forth the time, place, and purpose of the hearing. The Parks Commissions may vote to recommend to the Board of Supervisors that it appropriate money from the Fund for acquisition of property for park use and for development of property acquired for park use.

(e) The Planning Commission shall work with other City agencies and commissions. specifically the Department of Recreation and Parks, DPW, and the Metropolitan Transportation Agency, to develop agreements related to the administration of the improvements to existing and development of new public facilities within public rights-of-way or on any acquired property designed for park use, using such monies as have been allocated for that purpose at a hearing of the Board of Supervisors.

(f) The Director of Planning shall have the authority to prescribe rules and regulations governing the Fund, which are consistent with this Section 420.1 et seq. The Director shall make recommendations to the Board regarding allocation of funds.

(eg) The Controller's Office shall file an annual report with the Board of Supervisors beginning one year after the effective date of Section 418.1 et seq., which report shall set forth the amount of money collected in the Fund.

1	Section 3. Severability. This Section is uncodified. If any Section, subsection,
2	paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason
3	held to be unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, or
4	other competent agency, such decisions shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the
5	remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors declares
6	that it would have passed each Section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of
7	this ordinance irrespective of the fact that any one or more Sections, subsections, paragraph,
8	sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance be declared unconstitutional or invalid or
9	ineffective.
10	Section 4. This section is uncodified.
11	In enacting this Ordinance, the Board intends to amend only those words, phrases,
12	paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation, charts, diagrams or any
13	other constituent part of the Planning Code that are explicitly shown in this legislation as
14	additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in
15	accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the legislation. This
16	Ordinance shall not be construed to effectuate any unintended amendments. Any additions or
17	deletions not explicitly shown as described above, omissions, or other technical and non-
18	substantive differences between this Ordinance and the Planning Code that are contained in
19	this legislation are purely accidental and shall not effectuate an amendment to the Planning
20	Code. The Board hereby authorizes the City Attorney, in consultation with the Clerk and other
21	affected City departments, to make those necessary adjustments to the published Planning
22	Code, including non-substantive changes such as renumbering or relettering, to ensure that
23	the published version of the Planning Code is consistent with the laws that this Board enacts.
24	Specifically, the Board of Supervisors recognizes that three pending ordinances in Files
25	Nos. 100046, 101247, and 101095 amend some of the same sections of the Planning Code.

Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

ł

1	The Board intends that, if adopted, the Board amendment additions, and Board amendment
2	deletions shown in all three Ordinances be given effect so that the substance of each
3	ordinance be given full force and effect. To this end, the Board directs the City Attorney's
4	office and the publisher to harmonize the provisions of each ordinance.
5	Section 5. This Section is uncodified. Effective Date. The amendments to Section
6	420.5(d), (e), and (f) shall apply only to appropriations of funds made on or after February 1,
7	<u>2011.</u>
8 9	APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
10	By:
11	Susan Cleveland-Knowles Deputy City Attorney
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	\$
25	
	Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS 12/13/2010 n:\land\as2010\1100147\00668660.doc



City and County of San Francisco Tails Ordinance

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

101247 File Number:

Date Passed: January 04, 2011

Ordinance amending Planning Code Sections 420.1 through 420.5 to update the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee and Fund and to conform the program with other Area Plan fee programs; making conforming changes to Sections 401 (definitions) and 406 (waiver, reduction, or adjustment of development project requirements); and making findings, including environmental findinas.

November 22, 2010 Land Use and Economic Development Committee - CONTINUED TO CALL OF THE CHAIR

December 13, 2010 Land Use and Economic Development Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

December 13, 2010 Land Use and Economic Development Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED AS A COMMITTEE REPORT

December 14, 2010 Board of Supervisors - PASSED, ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Mar, Maxwell and Mirkarimi

January 04, 2011 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Aves: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Mar, Maxwell and Mirkarimi

File No. 101247

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on 1/4/2011 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

N.	
Mayor Gavin Newsom	
X	

Angela Calvillo **Clerk of the Board**

Date Approved

City and County of San Francisco

Printed at 9:29 am on 1/5/11