

1 [Approval of a 30-Day Extension for Planning Commission Review of Exemptions and
2 General Advertising Sign Penalties (File No. 160553)]

3 **Resolution extending by 30 days the prescribed time within which the Planning**
4 **Commission may render its decision on an Ordinance (File No. 160553) amending the**
5 **Planning Code to clarify that all noncommercial Signs are exempt from regulations**
6 **pursuant to Planning Code, Article 6; increase penalties for repeat violations for the**
7 **display of illegal General Advertising Signs; shorten the time before penalties for**
8 **General Advertising Sign violations begin to accrue; allow property liens for such**
9 **penalties that go unpaid; affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the**
10 **California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the**
11 **General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and a**
12 **finding of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code,**
13 **Section 302.**

14
15 WHEREAS, On May 17, 2016, Supervisor Peskin introduced legislation amending the
16 Planning Code to clarify that all noncommercial Signs are exempt from regulations pursuant to
17 Planning Code, Article 6; increase penalties for repeat violations for the display of illegal
18 General Advertising Signs; shorten the time before penalties for General Advertising Sign
19 violations begin to accrue; allow property liens for such penalties that go unpaid; and affirming
20 the Planning Department’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and
21 making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of the
22 Planning Code, Section 101.1, and a finding of public necessity, convenience, and welfare
23 under Planning Code, Section 302; and

24 WHEREAS, On or about June 15, 2016, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors referred
25 the proposed ordinance to the Planning Commission; and

1 WHEREAS, The Planning Commission shall, in accordance with Planning Code,
2 Section 306.4(d), render a decision on the proposed Ordinance within 90 days from the date
3 of referral of the proposed amendment or modification by the Board to the Commission; and

4 WHEREAS, Failure of the Commission to act within 90 days shall be deemed to
5 constitute disapproval; and

6 WHEREAS, The Board, in accordance with Planning Code, Section 306.4(d) may, by
7 Resolution, extend the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission is to render its
8 decision on proposed amendments to the Planning Code that the Board of Supervisors
9 initiates; and

10 WHEREAS, Supervisor Peskin has requested additional time for the Planning
11 Commission to review the proposed Ordinance; and

12 WHEREAS, The Board deems it appropriate in this instance to grant to the Planning
13 Commission additional time to review the proposed Ordinance and render its decision; now,
14 therefore, be it

15 RESOLVED, That by this Resolution, the Board hereby extends the prescribed time
16 within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on the proposed Ordinance for
17 approximately 30 additional days, until October 13, 2016.



City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Resolution

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 160875

Date Passed: August 02, 2016

Resolution extending by 30 days the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on an Ordinance (File No. 160553) amending the Planning Code to clarify that all noncommercial Signs are exempt from regulations pursuant to Planning Code, Article 6; increase penalties for repeat violations for the display of illegal General Advertising Signs; shorten the time before penalties for General Advertising Sign violations begin to accrue; allow property liens for such penalties that go unpaid; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and a finding of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

August 02, 2016 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Peskin, Tang, Wiener and Yee

File No. 160875

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 8/2/2016 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Peggy Neven
for
Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

[Signature]

Mayor

8/11/16

Date Approved